

RAYMOND G. MILLER

SEPTEMBER 27, 1996

6296 MEADOWRIDGE DRIVE

SANTA ROSA

CA

95409

707 539-6442

CITY OF SANTA ROSA
P.O. Box 1678
Santa Rosa, CA 95402

OCT 01 1996

DEPARTMENT OF
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Alternatives 2 and 3 are, in my opinion, driven by the idea that we must use every drop of sewage effluent to irrigate additional crops or for grazing land. This conception has not been proven or agreed upon at this time.

It is very expensive and will take years to achieve, with or without the massive storage dams to "save" the winter sewage. The only way I would go along with this plan would be with the following changes:

- 1) Construct an ocean outfall - pipeline to the coast and xxx feet out into the Pacific Ocean - for temporary use while agricultural clients are being found and developed (without giving the water away) and for use in case there is a malfunction or human error at the treatment plant resulting in effluent of lesser quality that should not be discharged into the Russian River. I like the idea of "redundancy".

- 2) Be prepared to dump a few thousand gallons of treated water thru this pipeline, winter or summer, to limit Russian River discharges for public relations or political reasons rather than alienate the RR community.

Ray Miller

Santa Rosa

Subregional Long-Term Wastewater Project

DRAFT EIR/EIS COMMENT FORM

DUE OCTOBER 7, 1996 4:30 PM

Name: RAYMOND G. MILLER

Date: SEPTEMBER 27, 1996

Address: 5295 MEDOWIDGE DRIVE

City: SANTA ROSA State: CA Zip: 95401

Phone: (707) 579-6440

How to use:

Please fill out the above and provide your written comments about the Draft EIR/EIS in the space provided below. You may add additional pages if needed. Please write legibly. If you prefer to type your comments on a separate page, please attach to this form. Where possible, please reference the page to which the comment refers. When you have completed your comments, please fold the form so the City's address is showing, tape the edges together, (Do not use staples), and place in the mail.

Alternatives 2 and 3 are, in my opinion, the most likely to be chosen. I am concerned that they will use every drop of sewage effluent to irrigate additional acres of far grazing land. This conception has not been proven or tested here at this time. It is very expensive and will take years to develop, and at least one massive storage dam to "save" the winter sewage. The only way I would go along with this plan would be with the following changes:

- 1) Construct an ocean outfall - pipeline to the coast and xxx feet out into the Pacific Ocean - for temporary use while agricultural clients are being found and developed (without giving the water away) and for use in case there is a malfunction or human error at the treatment plant resulting in effluent of lesser quality that should not be discharged into the Russian River. I like the idea of "redundancy".
2. Be prepared to dump a few thousand gallons of treated water thru this pipeline, winter or summer, to the Russian River discharges for public relations or political reasons rather than advertise the 99% quality.

Ray Miller