California Regional Water Quality Control Board Santa Ana Region

<u>Monitoring and Reporting Program No. R8-2002-0010</u> <u>NPDES No. CAS618030</u>

for the County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and Incorporated Cities of Orange County Within the Santa Ana Region Areawide Urban Storm Water Runoff

I. GENERAL

- 1. Revisions of the monitoring and reporting program are appropriate to ensure that the permittees are in compliance with requirements and provisions contained in this order. Revisions may be made under the direction of the Executive Officer at any time during the term, and may include a reduction or increase in the number of parameters to be monitored, the frequency of monitoring, or the number and size of samples collected.
- 2. The Executive Officer is authorized to allow the permittees to participate in statewide, national, or other monitoring programs in lieu of this monitoring program.
- 3. All sample collection, handling, storage, and analysis shall be in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136 or other methods approved by the Executive Officer.
- 4. The permittees are authorized to complement their monitoring data with other monitoring sources, provided the monitoring conditions and sources are similar to those in the Santa Ana Watershed.

II. OBJECTIVES

The 1999 Water Quality Monitoring Program prioritized selected monitoring locations in Orange County based on a list of Critical Aquatic Resources and "Warm Spots". This prioritization is based on an analysis of prior years' monitoring data and other available data. It is expected that data collection for the 1999 monitoring program will be completed by July 1, 2003. The permittees also participate in the Regional Monitoring Program for San Diego Creek Nutrient TMDL and other regional monitoring programs, such as those conducted by the Southern California Coastal Water Research Project. The overall goal of these monitoring programs is to develop and support an effective watershed management program. The following are the major objectives:

- 1. To develop and support an effective municipal urban runoff and non-point source control program.
- 2. To define water quality status, trends, and pollutants of concern associated with urban storm water and non-storm water discharges and their impact on the beneficial uses of the receiving waters.

- 3. To characterize pollutants associated with urban storm water and non-storm water discharges and to assess the influence of urban land uses on water quality and the beneficial uses of receiving waters.
- 4. To identify significant water quality problems related to urban storm water and non-storm water discharges.
- 5. To identify other sources of pollutants in storm water and non-storm water runoff to the maximum extent possible (e.g., atmospheric deposition, contaminated sediments, other non-point sources, etc.)
- 6. To identify and prohibit illicit discharges.
- 7. To identify those waters, which without additional action to control pollution from urban storm water discharges, cannot reasonably be expected to attain or maintain applicable water quality standards required to sustain the beneficial uses in the Basin Plan (TMDL monitoring).
- 8. To evaluate the effectiveness of existing municipal storm water quality management programs, including an estimate of pollutant reductions achieved by the structural and nonstructural BMPs implemented by the permittees.
- 9. To evaluate costs and benefits of proposed municipal storm water quality control programs to the stakeholders, including the public.

The Regional Board recognizes that these objectives may not be attainable during this permit period and authorizes the Executive Officer to evaluate and to determine adequate progress toward meeting each objective.

III. MONITORING PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS

- 1. The permittees shall complete the 1999 Water Quality Monitoring Program.
- 2. The permittees shall revise, by July 1, 2003, their Water Quality Monitoring Program to include, at a minimum, the following monitoring components or their equivalence:
 - A. Mass Emissions Monitoring.
 - (1) The principal permittee shall monitor mass emissions in order to: (a) estimate the total mass emissions from the MS4; (b) assess trends in mass emissions over time; and (c) to determine if the MS4 is contributing to exceedances of water quality objectives or beneficial uses, by comparing results to the California Toxics Rule (CTR), Basin Plan, Ocean Plan and/or other relevant standards.

- (2) A minimum of seven mass emissions stations shall be placed at locations to include coastal outfalls at Huntington Harbor/Anaheim Bay, the coastline between Huntington Harbor and Newport Bay, Upper/Lower Newport Bay, the Crystal Cove Area of Special Biological Significance (ASBS), and north Orange County where surface flows have not been well-characterized (e.g., Fullerton Creek Channel, Carbon Creek Channel, or Coyote Creek). Additional locations should be based on large discharge volumes, large subwatershed drainage areas, and/or land use distribution.
- (3) Autosamplers shall be programmed to collect representative samples from the first storm event and two more storm events during the rainy season. A minimum of three dry-weather samples shall also be collected. Samples from the first rain event each year shall be analyzed for the entire suite of priority pollutants. All samples must be analyzed for metals, pH, TSS, TOC, pesticides/herbicides, and constituents which are known to have contributed to impairment of local receiving waters. Dry weather samples should also include an analysis for oil and grease. Sediments associated with mass emissions should be analyzed for constituents of concern.
- B. Estuary/Wetlands Monitoring
 - (1) The permittees shall monitor the Upper Newport estuary, Talbert Marsh, and Bolsa Chica wetlands areas to determine the effects of storm water and nonstorm water runoff associated with increased urbanization on these systems.
 - (2) Monitoring locations shall include representative areas surrounding channel outfalls and areas away from channel outfalls. Sampling strategies shall be designed to enable the determination of storm water and non-storm water effects on sediment chemistry, toxicity, benthic communities, nutrient status, and spatial extent of sediment fate within the estuarine environment. Additionally, other indicators of biological integrity should be evaluated, such as bird populations or endangered plant/animal species.
- C. Water Column Toxicity Monitoring
 - (1) Analyses for toxicity to freshwater and marine species shall be performed on mass emissions samples to determine the impacts of storm water and non-storm water runoff on toxicity of receiving waters.
 - (2) *Ceriodaphnia dubia* and *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* fertilization shall be used to evaluate toxicity on the sample from the first rain event, plus one other wet weather sample and two dry weather samples.

- (3) Criteria shall be identified which will trigger the initiation of Toxicity Identification Evaluations (TIEs) and Toxicity Reduction Evaluations (TREs).
- D. Bacteriological/Pathogen Monitoring
 - (1) The permittees shall obtain monitoring data from other entities (such as the Orange County Health Care Agency) and/or monitor representative areas along the Orange County coastline, as well as a minimum of six inland water bodies/channels, for total coliform, fecal coliform, and Enterococcus in order to determine the impacts of storm water and nonstorm water runoff on loss of beneficial uses to receiving waters. Inland monitoring stations shall be located to include channels/creeks which are currently impaired for pathogens.
 - (2) Where possible, data shall be obtained from monitoring efforts of Orange County Health Care Agency, POTWs, and/or other public or private agencies/entities. Monitoring shall be conducted directly by the permittees only to the extent that data gaps exist.
- E. Bioassessment
 - (1) The permittees shall cooperate with the Southern California Coastal Water Research Project (SCCWRP) in efforts to evaluate the biological index approach for Southern California and to design a research project for developing an Index of Biological Integrity (IBI) for the region.
 - (2) The permittees shall coordinate with SCCWRP and the Regional Board to identify appropriate bioassessment station locations. Station selection and sampling scheme shall be identified in the revised Monitoring Program, and sampling should commence no later than October 2003.
- F. Reconnaissance
 - (1) The permittees shall develop new reconnaissance strategies to identify and prohibit illicit discharges. Where possible, the use of GIS to identify geographic areas with a high density of industries associated with gross pollution (e.g. electroplating industries, auto dismantlers) and/or locations subject to maximum sediment loss (e.g. new development) may be used to determine areas for intensive monitoring efforts. Additionally, the permittees shall coordinate with the Regional Board to develop a comprehensive database to include all enforcement actions for storm water violations and unauthorized, non-storm water discharges, that can then be used to more effectively target reconnaissance efforts.

G. Land Use Correlations

- (1) The permittees shall develop and implement strategies for determining the effects of land use on the quality of receiving waters. While it is recognized that a wide range of land uses exist across the region and within each subwatershed, one relationship that may be easily determined is the impact of development on sediment loading within receiving waters, since developed areas contribute relatively little sediment loading compared to areas under construction. Consequently, the permittees shall, at a minimum, analyze the impacts of increasing development and the conversion of agricultural land to the sediment loading of the Upper Newport Bay.
- (2) Where possible, data shall be obtained from monitoring efforts of other public or private agencies/entities (e.g., Caltrans, The Irvine Company).
- H. TMDL/303(d) Listed Waterbody Monitoring

The Permittees shall continue to participate in the Regional Monitoring Program for the San Diego Creek Nutrient TMDL. In addition, strategies must be revised/developed to evaluate the impacts of storm water or non-storm water runoff on all impairments within the Newport Bay watershed and other 303(d) listed waterbodies. Since the 303(d) listing is dynamic, with new waterbodies and new impairments being identified over time, the permittees shall revise their monitoring plan to incorporate new information as it becomes available.

- 3. By July 1, 2003, the permittees shall develop and submit for approval of the Executive Officer, their revised Water Quality Monitoring Program, which should yield an integrated watershed-monitoring approach capable, to the maximum extent possible, of achieving the above-stated goals. In order to minimize cost and maximize benefits, it is highly recommended that this program be developed in cooperation with the SCCRWP, the Orange County Health Care Agency, neighboring coastal regions and/or other public or private agencies/entities. The development and implementation of the monitoring program shall be in accordance with the time schedules prescribed by the Executive Officer. At a minimum, the program shall include the following and any requirements developed by the State Board in accordance with Water Code Section 13383.5:
 - A. Uniform guidelines for quality control, quality assurance, data collection and data analysis that conform to current US EPA standards.
 - B. A mechanism for the collection, analysis and interpretation of existing data from local, regional or national monitoring programs. These data sources may be utilized to characterize different storm water sources; to determine pollutant generation, transport and fate; to develop a relationship between land use, development size, storm size and the event mean concentration of pollutants; to determine spatial and temporal variances in storm water quality and seasonal and other bias in the

collected data; and to identify any unique features of the Santa Ana Watershed. The permittees are encouraged to use data from similar studies, if available.

- C. A description of the monitoring program, including:
 - (1) The number of monitoring stations;
 - (2) Monitoring locations within flood control channels, bays and estuaries, coastal areas, major outfalls, and other receiving waters;
 - (3) Environmental indicators (e.g., ecosystem, biological, habitat, chemical, sediment, stream health, etc.) chosen for monitoring;
 - (4) Parameters selected for field screening and for laboratory work;
 - (5) Total number of samples to be collected from each station, frequency of sampling during wet and dry weather, short duration or long duration storm events, type of samples (grab, 24-hour composite, etc.), justification for composite versus discrete sampling, type of sampling equipment, quality assurance/quality control procedures followed during sampling and analysis, analysis protocols to be followed (including sample preparation and maximum reporting limits), and identity and qualifications of laboratories performing analyses;
 - (6) A mechanism for analyzing the collected data and interpreting the results including protocols for handling of non-detects and 'outliers', an evaluation of the effectiveness of the management practices, and need for refinement of the management practices; and,
 - (7) A description of the responsibilities of all the participants in this program including cost sharing.

IV. REPORTING

- 1. All progress reports and proposed strategies and plans required by this order shall be signed by the principal permittee, and copies shall be submitted to the Executive Officer of the Regional Board under penalty of perjury.
- 2. The permittees shall submit an ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT to the Executive Officer of the Regional Board and to the Regional Administrator of the U.S. EPA, Region 9, no later than November 15th, of each year. This progress report may be submitted in a mutually agreeable electronic format. At a minimum, annual progress report shall include the following:

- A. A review of the status of program implementation and compliance (or noncompliance) with the schedules contained in this order;
- B. An assessment of the effectiveness of control measures established under the illicit discharge elimination program and the Drainage Area Management Plan. The effectiveness may be measured in terms of how successful the program has been in eliminating illicit/illegal discharges and reducing pollutant loads in storm water discharges;
- C. An assessment of any storm water management program modifications made to comply with Clean Water Act requirements to reduce the discharge of pollutants to the maximum extent practicable;
- D. A summary and analysis of monitoring results from the previous year and any changes to the monitoring program for the following year;
- E. A fiscal analysis progress report as described in Section V., Provision, 25., of this order;
- F. A draft workplan which describes the proposed implementation of the DAMP for next fiscal year. The workplan shall include clearly defined tasks, responsibilities, and schedules for implementation of the storm water program and each permittee actions for the next fiscal year;
- G. Major changes in any previously submitted plans/policies; and
- H. An assessment of the permittees compliance status with the Receiving Water Limitations, Section IV of the Order, including any proposed modifications to the DAMP if the Receiving Water Limitations are not fully achieved.
- 3. The permittees shall be responsible for the submittal to the principal permittee of all required information/materials needed to comply with this order in a timely manner. All such submittals shall be signed by a duly authorized representative of the permittee under penalty of perjury.

(This section intentionally left blank.)

V. REPORTING SCHEDULE

All reports required by this order shall be submitted to the Executive Officer of the Regional Board in accordance with the following schedule:

ITEM	COMPLETION DATE	REPORT DUE DATE
Review planning procedures and CEQA document preparation processes	December 19, 2002	January 2, 2003
Establish Public Education Committee	March 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2002
Review DAMP	July 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003
Develop public education materials including reporting hot-line and web site	July 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2002
Develop and update construction site, including site information, priority, and inspection information	October 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2003
Establish mechanism to ensure local permits for proposed construction sites and industrial facilities are conditioned upon proof of obtaining coverage under the state General Permit	July 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2002
Develop and distribute model maintenance procedures for public agency activities	July 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2002
Develop and distribute BMP guidance for public agency and contract field operations and maintenance staff	July 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2002
Develop model maintenance procedures for drainage facilities	July 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2002
Evaluate Environmental Performance Program applicability to municipal maintenance contracts, contract for field maintenance operations, and leases	July 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2002
Review and revise current grading/erosion control ordinances	July 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003

.....

Implementation Agreement Revision	July 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2002
Litter/Trash Control Ordinance review	July 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003
Additional Debris Control Measures Determination	July 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003
Complete Public Awareness Survey	July 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2002
Proposed Monitoring Program	July 1, 2003	July 1, 2003
Develop restaurant inspections program, which includes runoff, grease blockage and spill reduction aspects	July 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2002
Legal Authority & Enforcement Strategy Certification	November 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003
Review effectiveness of ordinances in prohibiting discharges to MS4's as listed in Section 7.	July 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003
Develop and update an industrial site database, including facility information, priority, and inspection information	July 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003
Develop and update a commercial site database, including facility information, priority, and inspection information	July 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003
Propose mechanism to determine effect of septic system failures on storm water quality and a mechanism to address failures	July 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003
Review oversight of portable toilets to determine need for any revision	July 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003
BMP Guidance for Restaurants, Automotive Service Centers, and Gasoline Service Stations, developed by Public Education Committee	July 1, 2002	Nov 15, 2002
BMP Guidance for Control of Potential Polluting Activities not otherwise regulated	July 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003

Review existing BMPs for New Developments and Water Quality Management Plan to determine need for development of Water Quality Protection Plan	July 1, 2003	Nov 15, 2003
Propose study of erosion control BMPs for new development	November 15, 2003	Nov 15, 2003
Incorporate watershed protection principles and policies into the General Plan	July 1, 2004	Nov 15, 2004
Report of Waste Discharge	180 days before permit expires	Dec. 1, 2005
Annual Report/Fiscal Analysis	November 15th of each year	Nov 15
Evaluate Storm Water Management structure and Implementation Agreement	July 1st of each year	Nov 15
Review Environmental Performance Reports	July 1st of each year	Nov 15
Provide training to public agency staff and to contract field operations staff	Annually	Nov 15
Re-evaluate monitoring program priorities based on previous year's data	Annually	Nov 15
Evaluate the DAMP	July 1st of each year	Nov 15
Permittee Committee meetings to discuss permit implementation and regional and state-wide issues	Held at least 6 times each year	Nov 15

Ordered by

Gerard J. Thibeault Executive Officer January 18, 2002