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Executive Summary

The Draft version of this report was submitted on June 11, 2010 and U.S. EPA Region 9 (USEPA) and Sullivan International Group, Inc. (Sullivan) scheduled a meeting to be held on September 6, 2011 to discuss the draft report and the agency’s next steps for each case. During the September 2011 meeting barriers to moving cases to closure were discussed. Primary barriers discussed by the agency were recalcitrant RPs, lack of RP funding, lack of necessary historical data and files, and lack of enforcement tools.

One of the sites, BIG OIL & TIRE BROADWAY EXXON (SL0602306442), is on track to be closed within one-year or less. Of the remaining cases, four are in the “Appears to be on Track” category, two are in the “Appears NOT to be on Track” category, and five are in the “Appears to be Stuck” category.
Humboldt County LOP

Apparent Case Status – Initial and After

TOTAL NUMBER OF CASES REVIEWED = 12
NUMBER OF ACTIVE LUST CASES ON NOVEMBER 1, 2010 = 121 CASES
DRAFT REVIEW REPORT PREPARED AND SENT TO AGENCY ON JUNE 11, 2010
RESPONSE/MEETING WITH AGENCY ON SEPTEMBER 6, 2011

APPARENT STATUS OF CASES REVIEWED – INITIAL REVIEW AND AFTER MEETING TO DISCUSS CASES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Apparent Case Status</th>
<th>Initial Assessment Number of Cases (06-11-2010)</th>
<th>Post Meeting Assessment Number of Cases (09-06-2011)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CASE CLOSED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears close to completion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears near completion within 1-year</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears to be on track</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears NOT to be on track</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears to be stuck</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to determine (Insufficient information in GeoTracker)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT FEDERAL UST CASE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHERS – Considered a Duplicate Case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Initial Assessment Percentage of Cases (06-11-2010)

- Appears close to completion: 16%
- Appears to be on track: 25%
- Appears NOT to be on track: 25%
- Appears to be stuck: 17%
- Unable to determine (Insufficient information in GeoTracker): 17%

Post Meeting Assessment Percentage of Cases (09-06-2011)

- Appears near completion within 1-year: 42%
- Appears to be on track: 33%
- Appears NOT to be on track: 17%
- Appears to be stuck: 8%
### Final Review of LUST Cases, Not in the CUF and Over 15 Years, Humboldt County LOP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>ESTIMATED DATE IN CLEAN UP</th>
<th>CASE AGENCY</th>
<th>CASE AGENCY CODE (ベンチマーク)</th>
<th>GLOBAL ID</th>
<th>CODE or IN (グループ)</th>
<th>APPROPRIATE TYPE OF RP</th>
<th>REPORT DATE</th>
<th>DISCUSSION NO/DATE FROM (DRAFT)</th>
<th>NEW STEPS FOR AGENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appears close to completion</td>
<td>Appears near completion within 1-year</td>
<td>BIG OILSTRIKE BROADWAY EXXON</td>
<td>caseworker</td>
<td>MM</td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>2 - Major Oil Company</td>
<td>1/2/1965</td>
<td>The caseworkers said that they have received concurrence from the Board for NFA, just waiting for well destruction. The caseworker said that Rich Petersen identified as the RP and that the agency has been waiting for over one year for the RP to abandon the wells at the site and has had some access issues from the City of Garberville. The caseworker noted that this case is not as old as it looks in GeoTracker it was opened in 2003. However, there was a previous case with the same name that was old and this case took on that release date for some reason. This case should be closed within one year but just need wells abandoned.</td>
<td>Complete closure process in 1-year (includes well decommission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears close to completion</td>
<td>Appears NOT to be on track</td>
<td>TETRAIL CT - CITY CENTER ROCKET</td>
<td>caseworker</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>2 - Major Oil Company</td>
<td>12/23/1992</td>
<td>The caseworker said that an Insurance Company is paying the bills for the cleanup of this site. There is an approved Pilot Study Work Plan for Vacuum Extraction but can't get the client to pay the money to do the work. The RP is claiming they don't have the funding. This case could be an On Track easily once obtaining funding, but it is currently Not On Track until funding is obtained. The agency requested that USEPA assist with getting the Insurance Company to do the work; they will forward USEPA a copy of the enforcement letter that will be sent to the Insurance Company. Recently the caseworker spoke with the consultant and the consultant said that they had submitted a cost estimate to the client. The caseworker said that they will need to send a NOV for this site.</td>
<td>Ensure RP compliance (includes identify RP, enforcement, EAR account etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears to be on track</td>
<td>Appears to be stuck</td>
<td>COST FORTUNE MAINTENANCE STATION</td>
<td>caseworker</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>G - Government Entity (Include School, Hospital, Utility)</td>
<td>4/11/1988</td>
<td>This case is STUCK because there is no funding. The caseworker said that the cost of the proposed excavation at least doubled from the initial data the excavation was scoped and CalTrans not willing to pay.</td>
<td>Ensure RP compliance (includes identify RP, enforcement, EAR account etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears to be on track</td>
<td>Appears to be on track</td>
<td>CHP ARCATA</td>
<td>caseworker</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>G - Government Entity (Include School, Hospital, Utility)</td>
<td>10/27/1992</td>
<td>The caseworker said that now they do have a responsive RP that is willing to do the work. The agency has received a boring permit application with a proposed work date. This case is On Track.</td>
<td>Continue current remediation until low-risk criteria is met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears to be on track</td>
<td>Appears to be on track</td>
<td>GENERAL PETROLEUM SERVICE STATION 99-EUK</td>
<td>caseworker</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>2 - Major Oil Company</td>
<td>12/1993</td>
<td>The caseworker noted that their agency calls this case &quot;Agape Chiropractic&quot;. The agency wants the RP and their consultant to collect vapor samples more frequently during the month of September: one per week for the entire month. This case is ON TRACK.</td>
<td>Push for additional/alternative remediation (current remediation ineffective)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears NOT to be on track</td>
<td>Appears to be on track</td>
<td>CDPR PATRICKS POINT STATE PARK</td>
<td>caseworker</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>G - Government Entity (Include School, Hospital, Utility)</td>
<td>8/24/1987</td>
<td>The caseworker said that an extraction well was installed on site and that soil contamination is still not delineated. Recently a pilot study for vapor extraction has been implemented. This case is now on Track.</td>
<td>Continue current remediation until low-risk criteria is met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears NOT to be on track</td>
<td>Appears to be on track</td>
<td>CDF FORTUNA</td>
<td>caseworker</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>G - Government Entity (Include School, Hospital, Utility)</td>
<td>1/11/1988</td>
<td>The caseworker noted that the agency and the RP have resolved the access issues and the consultant has begun the additional site investigation. The caseworker said he would update GeoTracker to reflect this. This case is ON TRACK.</td>
<td>Continue current remediation until low-risk criteria is met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears NOT to be on track</td>
<td>Appears NOT to be on track</td>
<td>HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY - PLANT OPS</td>
<td>caseworker</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>G - Government Entity (Include School, Hospital, Utility)</td>
<td>5/7/1992</td>
<td>The caseworker said that he had talked to the consultant on the phone on September 6th, 2011. The consultant said that they are going to send reports before the end of September. The work to be conducted is to investigate if the creek is a gaining or losing creek. They will put borings on the opposite side of the creek to see if the results will show if the creek is a groundwater divide or not. This case is not currently on Track but the agency is hopeful that the consultant will keep their word and do work soon.</td>
<td>Push for new/additional remediation investigation (R)/risk assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### CASE REVIEW SUMMARY TABLE
#### HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOCAL OVERSIGHT PROGRAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESTIMATED DATE IN THE CLEANUP PhASE</th>
<th>CHANGE IN CLEANUP PhASE (BASED ON ANNUAL HELP WITH HUMBOLDT COUNTY)</th>
<th>SITE ID</th>
<th>GLOBAL ID</th>
<th>CASE WORKER</th>
<th>PRIMARY OUE (In Geotracker)</th>
<th>AGENCY TYPE OF RP</th>
<th>RELEASE DA 42001</th>
<th>DISCLOSURE NOTER (FROM MEETING/OFFICE)</th>
<th>NEW STEPS FOR AGENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appears to be stuck</td>
<td>Appears to be stuck</td>
<td>TEXACO, PETE'S</td>
<td>UNCPETE92</td>
<td>MVX</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>S - Small Private Business</td>
<td>8/4/1987</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appears to be stuck</td>
<td>Appears to be stuck</td>
<td>VROMAN, TRUEMAN</td>
<td>VROMAN</td>
<td>MVX</td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>S - Small Private Business</td>
<td>3/13/1989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to determine</td>
<td>Unable to determine</td>
<td>SP MINI MXRT / RIO DELL</td>
<td>SPMINIMXRT</td>
<td>MVX</td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>U - Unknown</td>
<td>7/3/1989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to determine</td>
<td>Unable to determine</td>
<td>UNION 76, RIO DELL</td>
<td>UNION76</td>
<td>MVX</td>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>O - Other (Individual, Home etc)</td>
<td>6/11/1990</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The caseworker acknowledged that this case is not claimed in GT. The agency said that they might need some assistance from the associated CUPA to obtain the necessary files. Currently the site is used as a car wash and is not an active gas station. The agency does not have much in terms of historical files. The caseworker said that he will talk to the Board about this case and see if they can strategize on how to deal with it. This case remains STUCK.

Six part of an investigation of an adjacent site, Tetrault Henderson Center Rocket (T0602300042), a boring was installed in Trueman Vroman’s site and it was determined that the contamination at Tetrault Henderson Center Rocket was due to a release from the Trueman Vroman’s site and that BTEX and TP4 contamination exists. The caseworker said that Mr. Vroman does not want to do additional work at his site and that the “work done during the tank put was sufficient”. The agency and Mr. Vroman have been arguing. In August 2007 the agency sent Mr. Vroman’s case to the District Attorney (DA) but the DA declined to take the case. The agency says that they feel as if they don't have any enforcement tools right now to deal with this case. The caseworker said that they will consider bringing to the Regional Board for some interim assistance and will send a letter to them. This case remains STUCK.

The caseworker note that there are multiple RPs name for this site and the RP situation needs to be sorted out. There are eleven notice of Responsibilities currently. The caseworker noted that no work has been conducted since 2008 and the agency said that they would talk to the Regional Board about writing letters to the RPs to see if any momentum can be gained from that. This case is STUCK.

The caseworker noted that there is a number of irresponsible RPs for this case and no RP is doing any work right now. Agency believes that it would be best if the city takes the case over and then maybe the case could qualify for some other type of funding. The Agency said that they would like to close some of these sites and partake in this drilling program similar to Region 17. The Agency also agreed to write a letter to Unocal since the URF has Unocal down as the RP. This case is STUCK.
Attachment 1:

Case Review Power Point Slides from Draft Report

The contents of this attachment are provided in electronic format only.
BIG OIL AND TIRE BROADWAY EXXON (SL0602306442)
1411 BROADWAY STREET, EUREKA, CA 95501

Case Age: 23 years
RP Identified by Regulator: BIG OIL & TIRE (Corporation)
Primary COC: Gasoline
Current Land Use: Active Service Station

Possible Reason Why This Case Is So Old
• Release date is 1/2/1965. Release reported in 7/1987, 23 years old.
• Need to change Release date in GeoTracker because the age is incorrectly shown as 45 years old.

Activities Conducted to Date Based on GeoTracker Info
SL0602306442

Assessment last 5 years
• GW Monitoring through 7/1987 to 12/2004. Data in GT from 2005 to Present
• Sensitive receptor survey 8/2006

Remediation last 5 years
• Remedial action certificate issued 10/2005

Assessment older than 5 years
• GW Monitoring – 1987 to Present

Remediation older than 5 years
• GW/Vapor extraction from 10/1988 to 2/1993
• Applied ORC in 8/2001-9/2001

NOTE: Data queried from GeoTracker and reviewed in June 2010
IMPEDEMENTS TO CLOSURE as reported by regulatory agency

PROCEDURAL IMPEDIMENTS
Monitoring Wells Not Yet Abandoned - *wells will be abandoned pending RB decision*
Other - *Request for RB concurrence with closure sent 04/19/2010*

BENEFITS OF ADDITIONAL WORK
Other - *pending RB concurring with closure*

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED AND TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENSITIVE RECEPTOR</th>
<th>TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Already Impacted</td>
<td>monitoring wells impacted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Review Conclusions

- The regulatory agency recommended that the RP prepare a site closure request in July 2009. Recommendation for NFA to Regional Board sent 4/19/2010.
- This case should be closed in the near future.
- *Discussion: Next step – decommission wells pending RB decision.*
TETRAULT CITY CENTER ROCKET (T0602300336)
1208 FIFTH STREET, EUREKA, CA 95501

Case Age: 18 years
RP Identified by Regulator: J.J. TETRAULT (Individual)
Primary COC: GASOLINE, DIESEL, MTBE, WASTE OIL
Land Use: Auto Repair Facility

Possible Reasons Why This Case Is So Old

• No Regulatory enforcement in a timely manner.

Activities Conducted to Date Based on Geotracker Info T0602300336

Assessment last 5 years
• Additional wells and borings – ’04, ’05, ’06
• Additional investigation requested ’08, but not completed yet

Assessment older than 5 years
• Wells and soil borings – ’95, ’96, ’97
• SCM – 5/03

Remediation last 5 years
• None

Remediation older than 5 years
• Excavate – 1992
• Excavate – 1993
• ORC – 1997
IMPEDEMENTS TO CLOSURE T0602300336
as reported by regulatory agency

IMPEDEMENTS TO CLOSURE
INADEQUATE SOURCE CONTROL
Remaining Source Poses Threat to Groundwater - see MW-8, & water sample from B-14
GROUNDWATER IMPACTS
Groundwater Impacted Above Other Cleanup Goal - see reports

BENEFITS OF ADDITIONAL WORK
Restore Beneficial Uses - see MW-8

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED AND TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT SENSITIVE
RECEPTOR TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT COMMENTS
Groundwater Already Impacted see MW-8, B-14, and others

NOTES / COMMENTS
Humboldt County LOP concurred with additional work in a letter dated March 26, 2008.

Review Conclusions T0602300336

• Extensive assessment done at the site with some remediation. No remediation activity since 1997. Slow regulatory response.

• HCDEH requests more assessment. Additional subsurface investigation work plan submitted 7/2008. Regulator submitted correspondence letter in 8/2009 requesting for work to begin. Does not appear work has been started.

• Levels are not substantially high and site is without nearby receptors. After a cursory review of this site, it seems this may be a site that can be closed.

• Discussion: Next step – how to move this case towards cleanup closure? Evaluate for closure?
CDOT FORTUNA MAINTENANCE STATION (T0602300033)
1924 SMITH LANE, FORTUNA, CA 95540

Case Age: 22 years
RP Identified by Regulator: CALTRANS
Primary COC: DIESEL, GASOLINE, WASTE OIL / MOTOR / HYDRAULIC / LUBRICATING
Land Use: Not changed

Possible Reasons Why This Case So Is Old

• Initial leak in 1988, USTs removed in 1992.
• Several years between activities; progress was slow. No activities from 1992-1998.
• Free product encountered in 9/2004: additional assessment requested at that time, but not encountered since.
• No remedial activity since 2007 remedial options report was submitted.
• Government entity site.

NOTE: Data queried from GeoTracker and reviewed in June 2010

Activities Conducted to Date Based on GeoTracker Info T0602300033

**Assessment last 5 years**
- Quarterly GWM since 1989, now 10 MWs semi-annual and 10 MWs annual

**Remediation last 5 years**
- Remedial action options submitted 1/2007

**Assessment older than 5 years**
- Vapor survey 1988
- MWs installed 1989-1990
- Assessment 1992
- Site investigation 1998 and RBCA report 1998
- Remedial action options 1998
- Additional MWs installed 9/2000
- Sensitive receptor survey 2002

**Remediation older than 5 years**
- Initial leak reported in 1988 UST removed 11/1993 (from piping, then repaired)
- USTs removed 10/1992
- Remedial action options 1998
- 9/1999 soil excavation and ORC injected
IMPEDIMENTS TO CLOSURE T0602300033

as reported by regulatory agency

BENEFITS OF ADDITIONAL WORK
Remove / Reduce Source Mass - remedial excavation proposed contingent on fund schedule from GS

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED AND TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENSITIVE RECEPTOR</th>
<th>TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Already Impacted</td>
<td>increasing trends</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Review Conclusions T0602300033


• Regulator concurred with further soil excavating, but did not concur with monthly oxygen injections in letter dated 1/15/2010. Regulator indicated that “further delays conducting corrective action is not warranted and may result in issuing a Notice of Violation.”

• Closure Review indicates, “Remove / Reduce Source Mass - remedial excavation proposed contingent on fund schedule from GS.”

• Discussion: Next step – how to move this case towards cleanup closure? Enhanced enforcement?
Case Age: 18 years
RP Identified by Regulator: STATE OF CA, DEPT of GENERAL SERVICES, REAL ESTATE SERVICES DIVISION
Primary COC: DIESEL
Land Use: California Highway Patrol Facility

Possible Reasons Why This Case Is So Old
• No Remediation, only monitoring.
• Government entity site.

Activities Conducted to Date Based on GeoTracker Info T0602300349

**Assessment last 5 years**
• GW Monitoring – 1998 to Present
• Still no complete site assessment performed
• 24-hour tidal study

**Assessment older than 5 years**
• Site investigation in 6/1995
• GW Monitoring – 1998 to Present
• Site investigation

**Remediation last 5 years**
• NONE

**Remediation older than 5 years**
• 4/1995 pipe leak; free product recovery by hand bailing.
• Free product skimmer 1995
IMPEDEMENTS TO CLOSURE

as reported by regulatory agency

SITE ASSESSMENT INCOMPLETE
Extent of Contamination Has Not Been Determined - MTBE required

BENEFITS OF ADDITIONAL WORK
Fill-in RI Data Gaps - MTBE delineation and determine ra

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED AND TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENSITIVE RECEPTOR</th>
<th>TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Already Impacted</td>
<td>MTBE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Review Conclusions

• Regulator responded to second quarter 2009 GWMR to sample “biannually” and asked if they “want to recommend abandoning some MWs during this proposed round of field work.” Also, soil and grab GW samples are proposed in eight borings.

• MTBE contamination needs to be delineated and it appears that only one additional phase of site assessment should be needed to delineate all contamination. Remedial action should be initiated after contaminants are delineated.

• Government entity site, funding may be an issue.

• Discussion: Next step – how to move this case towards cleanup closure?
**Case Age:** 17 years  
**RP Identified by Regulator:** EXXONMOBIL  
**Primary COC:** BENZENE, FUEL OXYGENATES, GASOLINE, TOLUENE, WASTE OIL / MOTOR / HYDRAULIC / LUBRICATING, XYLENE  
**Land Use:** Parking Lot and Storage Building, surrounding areas are commercial and residential

Possible Reasons Why This Case Is So Old

- No activities from 1993-2000; progress was slow.
- NAPL observed intermittently between 2003 to present.

### Activities Conducted to Date Based on GeoTracker Info

**Assessment last 5 years**

- Ongoing Sampling  
- Quarterly GWM since 2002, no semi-annual  
- Vapor assessment 12/2008

**Remediation last 5 years**

- Feb 2007 – DPE Pilot Test  
- Remedial action plan submitted 12/2009

**Assessment older than 5 years**

- Soil sampling at time of removal.  
- Quarterly GWM since 2002, no semi-annual  
- 9 MWs, 7 SVs, and many borings.

**Remediation older than 5 years**

- UST removed 11/1993  
- Free Product removal 8/2003
Review Conclusions T0602300380

- NAPL is intermittently observed in some monitoring wells since 2003.

- Contamination possibly from service station that operated from 1929 to 1959.

- The site should be on a consistent and aggressive cleanup schedule.


- Discussion: Next step – how to move this case towards cleanup closure?
Case Age: 23 years
RP Identified by Regulator: STATE OF CA, DEPT of GENERAL SERVICES, REAL ESTATE SERVICES DIVISION
Primary COC: BENZENE, GASOLINE, TOLUENE, XYLENE
Current Land Use: Park

Possible Reasons Why This Case Is So Old
• Limited regulatory agency enforcement and direction.
• Only monitoring and assessment – very little remediation. Government entity site.

Activities Conducted to Date Based on GeoTracker Info T0602300002

Assessment last 5 years
• 4 GW wells installed 9/2005
• In 11/2006, 3 GW wells & 2 surface samples from creek
• Site Assess. 1 GW installed – 2/2008

Remediation last 5 years
• None

Assessment older than 5 years
• 1 GW well installed in 1992
• 2 additional wells installed in 2/2003
• GW Monitoring since 2/2001
• Subsurface investigation 12/2002

Remediation older than 5 years
• UST removed 2/1988 and another removed 10/1997
• Soil Excavation 9/2004
**Review Conclusions**

- Remediation consultant determines assessment complete and MNA recommended (5/2008). HCDEH does not agree because extent of plume cannot be defined; requested additional assessment, and more corrective action options (6/2008) – no timeline given.

- HCDEH sends a letter to new consultant requesting more assessment and corrective action options to be provided within 60 days (12/2009). Additional request to complete delineation of soil contamination within 60 days (1/2010).

- Slow progress – two USTs removed 1988 and 1997, one excavation, monitoring, and assessment ongoing ever since. Elevated TPHg and BTX. Increasing trend in TPHg observed.

- Government entity site, budget constraints?

- **Discussion**: Next step – how to move this case towards cleanup closure? Identify feasible remedial action?
CDF FORTUNA (T0602300011)
118 FORTUNA BLVD, SOUTH, FORTUNA, CA 95540

Case Age: 22 years
RP Identified by Regulator: STATE OF CA, DEPT of GENERAL SERVICES, REAL ESTATE SERVICES DIVISION
Primary COC: GASOLINE
Land Use: Dept. of Forestry ranger unit headquarters - operating facility

Possible Reasons Why This Case Is So Old

• Limited regulatory agency enforcement; strict deadlines not enforced. Government entity site.
• Verification monitoring status since 1994, but remediation ceased in 1996.
• Elevated concentrations still detected.

Activities Conducted to Date Based on Geotracker Info T0602300011

**Assessment last 5 years**
- Two site investigations (2/2008 and 3/2009)
- Ongoing GW monitoring, now semi-annual

**Assessment older than 5 years**
- Periodic monitoring since 1996 – typically quarterly
- Subsurface hydropunch investigation in 11/1992

**Remediation last 5 years**
- NONE

**Remediation older than 5 years**
- 5/1993 free product recovery system and groundwater extraction and treatment system operated through 6/1996

NOTE: Data queried from GeoTracker and reviewed in June 2010
IMPEDEMENTS TO CLOSURE

as reported by regulatory agency

BENEFITS OF ADDITIONAL WORK
Remove / Reduce Source Mass - further source delineation and /or removal is needed

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED AND TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENSITIVE RECEPTOR</th>
<th>TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Already Impacted</td>
<td>monitoring wells impacted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Review Conclusions

• First Half 2010 groundwater monitoring report submitted 2/2010. Shows mostly TPHd and TPHg. Elevated level of MTBE in one well. MNA parameters measured.

• Verification monitoring status since 1996 when remedial system ceased. There is ongoing activity at the site, but progress is very slow. Strict timeline not maintained for many years. Government entity site.

• Agency concurred in 3/2010 that further subsurface investigation is not necessary, but requested to continue semi-annual groundwater monitoring and an evaluation of site conditions.

• Discussion: Next step – how to move this case towards cleanup closure? Additional remedial action needed? MNA analysis? Evaluate case for low-risk closure?
Possible Reasons Why This Case Is So Old

- Remediation stopped in 2/2008 due to completion of contract.
- Regulator frequently sent enforcement letters for RP to upload GWM reports and data.

Activities Conducted to Date Based on Geotracker Info T0602300305

### Assessment last 5 years
- WP for Corrective Action dated 6/2001
- Ongoing GW monitoring
- Interim Report of Findings; hand boring installations submitted 4/19/2010

### Remediation last 5 years
- RAP submitted 8/2005
- Mobile ozone and peroxide injected 1/06 – 3/06
- Stationary in-situ chemical oxidation system started - 10/06 thru 2/2008 (when contract ended)

### Assessment older than 5 years
- Samples collected after removals
- Site Assessment - 1994

### Remediation older than 5 years
- USTs removed 1992 and 1993
- Soil removal in 2002
IMPEDEMENTS TO CLOSURE
as reported by regulatory agency

INADEQUATE SOURCE CONTROL
Remaining Source Poses Threat to Groundwater - MtBE in SW-9.

BENEFITS OF ADDITIONAL WORK
Verify Remedial Action Effectiveness - Previous excavation removed 2,154 yards

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED AND TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENSITIVE RECEPTOR</th>
<th>TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Already Impacted</td>
<td>see reports, SW-9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Review Conclusions

• Progress slowed due to completion of contract and remediation was stopped in 2/2008. Last GWM event from third quarter 2008.

• Full extent of MTBE contamination is not delineated. One well towards the edge of the plume has high concentrations of about 1,000 micrograms/liter of MTBE.

• Hand boring installation report submitted 4/2010. Consultant recommended installing one additional MW.

• Discussion: Next step – Additional assessment should be completed in a timely manner and not slow the remediation progress. Has remediation resumed?
TEXACO, PETE’S (T0602300118)
248 FORTUNA BOULEVARD, FORTUNA, CA 95540

Case Age: 23 years
RP Identified by Regulator: ROBERT JOHNSTON
Not Claimed in GeoTracker
Primary COC: WASTE OIL / MOTOR / HYDRAULIC / LUBRICATING
Land Use: Unknown

Possible Reasons Why This Case Is So Old
• No ESI submittals and limited site history
• Referred to RB in 10/1989, should not be listed as Humboldt LOP case?
• No enforcement or action taken by HCDEH or Regional Board since 1987.
• Not properly mapped in GT. Address does not indicate N or S of the blvd. Location not verified.

Activities Conducted to Date Based on GeoTracker Info T0602300118

Assessment last 5 years
• NONE DOCUMENTED

Remediation last 5 years
• NONE DOCUMENTED

Assessment older than 5 years
• NONE DOCUMENTED

Remediation older than 5 years
• NONE DOCUMENTED
IMPEDEMENTS TO CLOSURE  T0602300118

as reported by regulatory agency

IMPEDEMENTS TO CLOSURE

PROCEDURAL IMPEDIMENTS


BENEFITS OF ADDITIONAL WORK

Verify Remedial Action Effectiveness - tanks apparently have been removed. A figure in HCDEH file shows three gasoline UST's

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED AND TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENSITIVE RECEPTOR</th>
<th>TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>three shallow borings completed in 1989 but no water samples taken (even though water was in each hole at a depth of approximately 5 ft bgs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Review Conclusions  T0602300118

• No ESI submittals or documents uploaded; limited site history. Unclaimed site in GeoTracker.

• Case referred to RB in 1989; another case with RB lead not found. Closure Review indicates, “returned to LOP at unknown time” and “no unauthorized release form on file.”

• Closure Review indicates, “three shallow borings completed in 1989, but no water samples taken (even though water was in each hole at a depth of approximately 5 feet bgs.)”

• Address not specific, could be North or South Fortuna Boulevard, which are two different locations. Businesses appear to exist at both locations.

• Discussion: Next step – how to move this case towards cleanup closure?
VROMAN, TRUEMAN (T0602300089)
335 HARRIS STREET, EUREKA, CA 95501

Case Age: 21 years
RP Identified by Regulator: TRUEMAN VROMAN
(assumed Individual)
Not Claimed in GeoTracker
Primary COC: GASOLINE
Land Use: Not located in GeoTracker correctly, appears residential

Possible Reasons Why This Case Is So Old

• No ESI submittals or documents uploaded.
• Regulatory Agency took no oversight or enforcement actions and have been unable to establish communication with the RP.

Activities Conducted to Date Based on Geotracker Info T0602300089

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment last 5 years</th>
<th>Remediation last 5 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• NONE DOCUMENTED</td>
<td>• NONE DOCUMENTED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment older than 5 years</th>
<th>Remediation older than 5 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• NONE DOCUMENTED</td>
<td>• NONE DOCUMENTED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Data queried from GeoTracker and reviewed in June 2010
IMPEDEMENTS TO CLOSURE as reported by regulatory agency

SITE ASSESSMENT INCOMPLETE
Pollutant Sources Have Not Been Adequately Identified or Evaluated - investigation related to adjacent site (Henderson Center) revealed potential source of BTEX adjacent to former tanks at subject site.

Extent of Contamination Has Not Been Determined - the site across the street is almost entirely MTBE. the source at the subject site is TPHg and BTEX.

Potential Risks, Threats, And Other Environmental Concerns Have Not Been Adequately Identified And Assessed - Sampling is incomplete.

GROUNDWATER IMPACTS
Other - evaluation of potential threat to groundwater is incomplete.

BENEFITS OF ADDITIONAL WORK
Complete CSM and Our Understanding of Hydrogeologic Regime and Fate and Transport of Contaminants - incomplete evaluation

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED AND TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENSITIVE RECEPTOR</th>
<th>TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>this site needs water samples and soil samples adjacent to former tanks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Review Conclusions

• Lack of regulatory oversight or enforcement activities following discovery of release in 1989. Not claimed in GeoTracker.

• Communication sent in 1999. This was followed up in 2003 and referred to local agency in the same year. Third request for work plan dated 9/16/2003. Activities report shows file review in 11/2009.

• Closure review indicates, “investigation relegated to adjacent site (Henderson Center) revealed potential source of BTEX adjacent to former tanks at subject site” and “evaluation of potential threat to groundwater is incomplete.”

• Discussion: Next step – How to move this case towards cleanup closure? Enforcement needed.
BP MINI MART / RIO DELL (T0602300108)
255 WILDCOOD AVENUE, RIO DELL, CA 95562

Case Age: 21 years
RP Identified by Regulator: ROGER AND JUDITH ASTIER
(CITY OF RIO DELL seems to have taken responsibility)
Not Claimed in GeoTracker
Primary COC: GASOLINE
Land Use: Unknown – street view unavailable and limited history in GT

Possible Reasons Why This Case Is So Old
• Limited enforcement: request for investigation WP dated 4/2003 and no action taken until 2008 (19 years after release).
• No ESI submittals/documents; limited site history.
• Government entity site?

Activities Conducted to Date Based on Geotracker Info
T0602300108

Assessment last 5 years
• Phase II submitted to agency. Agency did not concur with NFA in letter 2/15/2008

Remediation last 5 years
• NONE DOCUMENTED

Assessment older than 5 years
• NONE DOCUMENTED
• Request for investigation WP submitted 4/2003

Remediation older than 5 years
• NONE DOCUMENTED
IMPEDIMENTS TO CLOSURE  T0602300108
as reported by regulatory agency

IMPEDIMENTS TO CLOSURE
SITE ASSESSMENT INCOMPLETE
Pollutant Sources Have Not Been Adequately Identified or Evaluated - some info from borings completed in 2008. See Humboldt County LOP letter dated 2/29/2008

BENEFITS OF ADDITIONAL WORK
Protect Designated Beneficial Uses - geophysical data suggests tanks may still be in ground.

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED AND TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SENSITIVE RECEPTOR</th>
<th>TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>limited information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Review Conclusions  T0602300108

• Closure review indicates, “geophysical data suggests tanks may still be in ground.”

• Phase II submitted to agency. Agency did not concur with NFA in letter 2/15/2008 and requested a work plan for further delineation of remaining soil/GW contamination. Also, concurred with the “recommendation to remove USTs that may be present.” No relevant correspondences submitted since 2/2008 (GW monitoring frequency reduction letter submitted, but does not pertain to site – no GWM program has been instituted.)

• HCDEH needs to enforce and keep case on a reasonable timeframe. No action since 2008.

• Discussion: Next step – how to move this case towards cleanup closure?
UNION 76, RIO DELL (T0602300199)
609 WILDKOOD AVE, RIO DELL, CA 95562

Case Age: 20 years
RP Identified by Regulator: MILLARD BARISDALE
(assumed individual)
Primary COC: GASOLINE
Land Use: Auto Shop or U-haul based on Google search results (Street View not available)

Possible Reasons Why This Case Is So Old

• Claimed in GT, but no ESI submittals or documents uploaded. Limited site history.
• No activities in GeoTracker except historical enforcement in 6/1990 and a file review in 2/2009.

Activities Conducted to Date Based on Geotracker Info T0602300199

Assessment last 5 years
• NONE DOCUMENTED

Remediation last 5 years
• NONE DOCUMENTED

Assessment older than 5 years
• NONE DOCUMENTED

Remediation older than 5 years
• NONE DOCUMENTED
• UST removed in 1992

NOTE: Data queried from GeoTracker and reviewed in June 2010
IMPEDIMENTS TO CLOSURE  T0602300199
as reported by regulatory agency

IMPEDIMENTS TO CLOSURE
PROCEDURAL IMPEDIMENTS
Non-Responsive and / or Recalcitrant Responsible Party - analytical results of water sample from tank pull in 1990 verified an unauthorized release from the tank system had occurred

BENEFITS OF ADDITIONAL WORK
Protect Designated Beneficial Uses - see water sample from tank pull

SENSITIVE RECEPTORS LIKELY TO BE IMPACTED AND TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT
SENSITIVE RECEPTOR   TIME FRAME FOR IMPACT   COMMENTS
Groundwater          Already Impacted             limited information

Review Conclusions  T0602300199

• No submittals or documentation. Conclusions cannot be determined for this site based on information in GeoTracker.

• Discussion: Next step – how to move this case towards cleanup closure?