STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

ORDER WQ 2018-0009-UST

In the Matter of Underground Storage Tank (UST) Case Closure
Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25296.40 and the
Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy

BY THE CHIEF DEPUTY DIRECTOR:1

By this order, the Chief Deputy Director directs closure of the UST case at the site listed below, pursuant to section 25296.10 of the Health and Safety Code.² The name of the petitioner, the site name, the site address, the Underground Storage Tank Cleanup Fund (Fund) claim number if applicable, current and former lead agencies, and case numbers are as follows:

Thrifty Oil Company (Petitioner)
Thrifty Oil #014
120 East Imperial Highway, Brea, Orange County
Fund Claim No. 13360
Orange County Health Care Agency, Case No. 85UT015
State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) Petition No. DWQP – 0243

I. STATUTORY AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Health and Safety Code section 25296.40 allows for an owner or operator, or responsible party, who has a UST case, who believes that the corrective action plan has been satisfactory implemented, and where closure has not been granted, to petition the State Water Board for review of their case. Upon review of the case, the State Water Board may close or require the closure of any UST case if it is determined that corrective action has been completed in compliance with all of the requirements of subdivisions (a) and (b) of

¹ State Water Board Resolution No. 2012-0061 delegates to the Executive Director the authority to close or require the closure of any UST case if the case meets the criteria found in the State Water Board's Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy adopted by State Water Board Resolution No. 2012-0016. Pursuant to Resolution No. 2012-0061, the Executive Director has delegated this authority to the Chief Deputy Director.

² Unless otherwise noted, all references are to the California Health and Safety Code.

section 25296.10. The State Water Board, or in certain cases the State Water Board Executive Director or Chief Deputy Director, may close a case or require the closure of a UST case. Closure of a UST case is appropriate where the corrective action ensures the protection of human health, safety, and the environment and where the corrective action is consistent with:

1) chapter 6.7 of division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and implementing regulations;

2) any applicable waste discharge requirements or other orders issued pursuant to division 7 of the Water Code; 3) all applicable state policies for water quality control; and 4) all applicable water quality control plans.

State Water Board staff has completed a review of the UST case identified above, and recommends that this case be closed. The recommendation is based upon the facts and circumstances of this particular UST case. A UST Case Closure Summary has been prepared for the case identified above and the bases for determining compliance with the Water Quality Control Policy for Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closures (Low-Threat Closure Policy) are explained in the Case Closure Summary.

Low-Threat Closure Policy

The Policy became effective on August 17, 2012. The Policy establishes consistent statewide case closure criteria for certain low threat petroleum UST sites. In the absence of unique attributes or site-specific conditions that demonstrably increase the risk associated with residual petroleum constituents, cases that meet the general and media-specific criteria in the Low-Threat Closure Policy pose a low threat to human health, safety, the environment, and are appropriate for closure under Health and Safety Code section 25296.10. The Policy provides that if a regulatory agency determines that a case meets the general and media-specific criteria of the Policy, then the regulatory agency shall notify responsible parties and other specified interested persons that the case is eligible for case closure. Unless the regulatory agency revises its determination based on comments received on the proposed case closure, the Policy provides that the agency shall issue a uniform closure letter as specified in Health and Safety Code section 25296.10. The uniform closure letter may only be issued after the expiration of the 60-day comment period, proper destruction or maintenance of monitoring wells or borings, and removal of waste associated with investigation and remediation of the site.

Health and Safety Code section 25299.57, subdivision (I)(1) provides that claims for reimbursement of corrective action costs that are received by the Fund more than 365 days after the date of a uniform closure letter or a letter of commitment, whichever occurs later, shall not be reimbursed unless specified conditions are satisfied.

II. FINDINGS

Based upon the facts in the UST record and the hydrogeologic conditions at the site, the State Water Board finds that corrective action taken to address the unauthorized release of petroleum at the UST release site identified as:

Thrifty Oil Company (Petitioner)
Thrifty Oil #014
120 East Imperial Highway, Brea, Orange County
Fund Claim No. 13360
Orange County Health Care Agency, Case No. 85UT015
State Water Board Petition No. DWQP – 0243

ensures protection of human health, safety, and the environment and is consistent with chapter 6.7 of division 20 of the Health and Safety Code and implementing regulations, the Low-Threat Closure Policy and with other applicable water quality control policies and plans.

The unauthorized release from the UST consisted only of petroleum. This order directs closure for the petroleum UST case at the site. This order does not address non-petroleum contamination at the site, if non-petroleum contamination is present.

Pursuant to the Low-Threat Closure Policy, notification has been provided to all entities that are required to receive notice of the proposed case closure, a 60-day comment period has been provided to notified parties, and any comments received have been considered by the State Water Board in determining that the case should be closed.

Pursuant to section 21080.5 of the Public Resources Code, environmental impacts associated with the adoption of this order were analyzed in the substitute environmental document (SED) the State Water Board approved on May 1, 2012. The SED concludes that all environmental effects of adopting and implementing the Low-Threat Closure Policy are less than significant, and environmental impacts as a result of adopting this order in compliance with the Policy are no different from the impacts that are reasonably foreseen as a result of the Policy itself. A Notice of Decision was filed August 17, 2012. No new environmental impacts or any additional reasonably foreseeable impacts beyond those that were addressed in the SED will result from adopting this order.

The UST case identified above may be the subject of orders issued by the Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Water Board) pursuant to division 7 of the Water Code. Any orders that have been issued by the Regional Water Board pursuant to division 7 of the

Water Code, or directives issued by a Local Oversight Program (LOP) agency for this case should be rescinded to the extent they are inconsistent with this order.

III. ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

- A. The UST case identified in Section II of this order, meeting the general and mediaspecific criteria established in the Low-Threat Closure Policy, be closed in accordance with the following conditions and after the following actions are complete. Prior to the issuance of a uniform closure letter, the Petitioner is ordered to:
 - 1. Properly destroy monitoring wells and borings unless the owner of real property on which the well or boring is located certifies that the wells or borings will be maintained in accordance with local or state requirements;
 - 2. Properly remove from the site and manage all waste piles, drums, debris, and other investigation and remediation derived materials in accordance with local or state requirements; and
 - 3. Within six months of the date of this order, submit documentation to the regulatory agency overseeing the UST case identified in Section II of this order that the tasks in subparagraphs (1) and (2) have been completed.
- B. The tasks in subparagraphs (1) and (2) of Paragraph (A) are ordered pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25296.10, and failure to comply with these requirements may result in the imposition of civil penalties pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25299, subdivision (d)(1). Penalties may be imposed administratively by the State Water Board or Regional Water Board.
- C. Within 30 days of receipt of proper documentation from the responsible party that requirements in subparagraphs (1) and (2) of Paragraph (A) are complete, the regulatory agency that is responsible for oversight of the UST case identified in Section II of this order shall notify the State Water Board that the tasks have been satisfactorily completed.

- D. Within 30 days of notification from the regulatory agency that the tasks are complete pursuant to Paragraph (C), the Deputy Director of the Division of Water Quality shall issue a uniform closure letter consistent with Health and Safety Code section 25296.10, subdivision (g) and upload the uniform closure letter to GeoTracker.
- E. Pursuant to section 25299.57, subdivision (I)(1), and except in specified circumstances, all claims for reimbursement of corrective action costs must be received by the Fund within 365 days of issuance of the uniform closure letter in order for the costs to be considered.
- F. Any Regional Water Board or LOP agency directive or order that directs corrective action or other action inconsistent with case closure for the UST case identified in Section II is rescinded, but only to the extent the Regional Water Board order or LOP agency directive is inconsistent with this order.

Chief Deputy Director

Date







State Water Resources Control Board

REVISED¹ UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK (UST) CASE CLOSURE SUMMARY

Agency Information

-goney and continuous		
Agency Name:	Address:	
Orange County Health Care Agency,	1241 East Dyer Road, Suite 120	
Division of Environmental Health (Orange	Santa Ana, CA 92705	
County)		
Agency Caseworker: Julie Wozencraft	Case No.: 85UT015	

Case Information

UST Cleanup Fund (Fund) Claim No. 13360	Global ID: T0605900455	
Site Name:	Site Address:	
Thrifty Oil #014	120 East Imperial Highway	
	Brea, CA 92821 (Site)	
Petitioner:	Address:	
Thrifty Oil Company	13116 Imperial Highway	
Attention: Barry Berkett Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670		
(Berkett@ThriftyOil.com)		
Fund Expenditures to Date: \$1,217,519	Number of Years Case Open: 23	

URL: http://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/profile report.asp?global id=T0605900455

Summary

The Low-Threat Underground Storage Tank Case Closure Policy (Policy) contains general and media-specific criteria, and cases that meet those criteria are appropriate for closure pursuant to the Policy. This case meets all of the required criteria of the Policy.

The Site is an active fueling station and has been at this location for over 25 years. Thrifty Oil Company owns the property but ceased its operations in 1997; the facility was subsequently leased by another operator. The Site contains three gasoline USTs (12,000 gallon capacities) and three dispenser islands. Integrity testing for the UST system was conducted in January 1985 and failed. As a result, the former tanks were removed along with 600 tons of contaminated soil, and the USTs were replaced. Since that time, several different types of remedial actions were conducted at the Site, including a groundwater extraction, treatment, and disposal system, vapor extraction, air and ozone sparging, dual phase extraction, and free

¹ This case closure summary was revised on May 25, 2018 to make non-substantive changes to correct a typographical error in the date on the signature block and to correct the letterhead.

product recovery. Between 1986 and 2012, over 4,400,000 gallons of contaminated groundwater and about 64,000 pounds of hydrocarbons were removed from the subsurface.

In August 2015, free product was unexpectedly found in off-site, downgradient monitoring well W-10. In February 2016, free product was found in on-site well GTI-1, and in February 2017, free product was found in RW and W-1. Free product was found in these four wells in only one monitoring event after about a 20 year absence. Free product was not found in subsequent monitoring events or in the most recent monitoring event conducted in August 2017 (i.e. Third Quarter 2017).

Concentrations of benzene and MTBE have decreased over time due to remediation and natural attenuation. The extent of the plumes have been defined with the existing monitoring well network. Benzene concentrations near the leading edge of the plume meet the Policy threshold. Benzene data collected near on-site sources meet or are near the Policy threshold. There are no public supply wells or surface water bodies within 1000 feet of the Site. The recent occurrence of free product is associated with a lowering of the groundwater table to levels below the former smear zone, which resulted due to drought conditions from 2011 through 2016. Minor increases in concentrations during the most recent monitoring event are believed to be associated with the excessive rainfall that occurred during the 2016/2017 wet season. Remaining petroleum constituents are limited, stable, and decreasing. Additional assessment would be unnecessary and will not likely change the conceptual model. Any remaining petroleum constituents do not pose significant risk to human health, safety, or the environment under current conditions.

Rationale for Closure Under the Policy

- General Criteria Site MEETS ALL EIGHT GENERAL CRITERIA under the Policy.
- Groundwater Media-Specific Criteria Site meets the criteria in **Class 5**. The regulatory agency determines, based on an analysis of Site-specific conditions that under current and reasonably anticipated near-term future scenarios, the contaminant plume poses a low threat to human health, safety, and to the environment and water quality objectives will be achieved within a reasonable time frame.
- Petroleum Vapor Intrusion to Indoor Air Site meets **Criteria 2 (b)**. A Site–specific risk assessment for the vapor intrusion pathway was conducted and demonstrates that human health is protected to the satisfaction of the regulatory agency.
- Direct Contact and Outdoor Air Exposure Site meets Criteria 3 (a). Maximum concentrations of petroleum constituents in soil from confirmation soil samples are less than or equal to those listed in Table 1 of the Policy.

Objections to Closure

Orange County staff objects to UST case closure because:

Absorbent socks have been used in the monitoring wells GTI-1, RW, W-1, W-4, and W-10
where free product has been found in recent years. Additional post-remedial monitoring
should continue to assure that free product does not accumulate in monitoring wells at this
Site.

Free product was not found in any of the monitoring wells during the most recent monitoring event (i.e. Third Quarter 2017). Weekly field data sheets show the last time any product was found in a monitoring well was in July 2017 in one of the absorbent socks. Prior to 2015, the last time any free product was measured in a monitoring well (W-4) was in January 2000. Since 2015, free product was measured in GTI-1, RW, W-1, and W-10 only one time and not in subsequent monitoring events. The occurrence of free product during recent years is due to the lowering of the groundwater table below the former smear zone.

2. Benzene concentrations in groundwater are elevated and appear to be increasing in off-site monitoring wells where groundwater flow is southwesterly toward a residential area.

RESPONSE: Plume stability is not determined based on a change in a contaminant concentration from one monitoring event to the next. A plume is considered stable if the contaminant concentration trend is decreasing in monitoring wells at the downgradient, distal end of the plume. Benzene concentrations have decreased significantly since routine monitoring began in the early 1990's. The lowest Groundwater-Specific criterion for benzene is 1000 micrograms per liter (μ g/L) and contaminant concentrations less than this threshold are considered low-threat to human health, safety, and the environment under the Policy. The benzene concentrations in monitoring wells adjacent to on-site sources have generally been less than 1000 μ g/L for the past seven years. These reductions in concentration are attributable to remedial actions at the Site. Benzene concentrations in all off-site monitoring wells have been less than 1000 μ g/L for the past three monitoring events. Concentrations of benzene in off-site monitoring wells will continue to decrease over time due to natural attenuation.

3. The concentrations of total petroleum hydrocarbons as gasoline (TPH-g) and naphthalene have increased in many of the monitoring wells in recent monitoring events.

<u>RESPONSE</u>: Trend graphs of monitoring well data at key locations within the plume display the plume dynamics and are more indicative of plume stability than are changes in contaminant concentrations from one monitoring event to the next. An increase in contaminant concentration does not necessarily mean the areal extent of the plume is expanding. The concentrations of TPH-g in the monitoring wells adjacent to on-site sources have decreased one to two orders of magnitude from the historical high concentrations. The TPH-g plume does not appear to be expanding and is stable. The apparent "increase" in naphthalene is not unexpected and is associated with the occurrence of free product in recent years.

4. Increases in TPH-g concentrations in some of the on-site, upgradient monitoring wells in the mid-2000s are not indicative of an off-site source. There are other on-site, upgradient wells (i.e. W-8 and W-9) that did not show these increases during this period of time and there are no records of releases from other off-site sources.

An off-site source investigation is not needed because under the current and near-term future scenarios, the contaminant plumes pose a low threat and water quality objectives will be achieved in a reasonable time frame due to natural attenuation. The increases in contaminant concentrations in many of the on- and off-site monitoring wells from 2005 through 2006 resulted from excessive rainfall during the 2004/2005 wet season (up to 235 percent of the long-term average). Monitoring wells W-8 and W-9 did not show increases in contaminant concentrations

because these slant-drilled monitoring wells do not have comparable monitoring intervals as evidenced by their groundwater elevations.

5. The benzene plume extent has not been defined to water quality objectives in the direction of flow (southwesterly) in the adjacent residential neighborhood. The benzene plume length cannot be determined because the plume extent has not been defined.

The benzene plume can be defined using off-site, downgradient monitoring wells, W-11 through W-14. Plume definition is based on interpolation of the contaminant concentration between known data points. The benzene plume length is about 300 feet based on Third Quarter 2017 monitoring data. Installation of another monitoring well to define the benzene concentration along the southwestern flank of the plume will not change the conceptual site model for this case.

6. The conceptual site model that assess the nature, extent and mobility of the release was not developed.

Sufficient data have been developed and there is a long, consistent, and thorough monitoring history at this Site to understand the migration of residual petroleum concentrations in groundwater.

Recommendation for Closure

The corrective action performed at this Site ensures the protection of human health, safety, and the environment. The corrective action performed at this Site is consistent with chapter 6.7 of division 20 of the Health and Safety Code, implementing regulations, applicable state policies for water quality control and applicable water quality control plans. Case closure is recommended.

Mitte Cole	1/19/2018
Reviewed By:	
Matthew Cohen, PG No. 9077	Date
Senior Engineering Geologist	

