

**From:** Andrew Salveson  
**To:** [commentletters](#)  
**Subject:** Comment Letter – Proposed Recycled Water Policy Amendment  
**Date:** Monday, June 25, 2018 9:32:17 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)  
[image005.png](#)  
[image006.png](#)  
**Importance:** High



Jeanine Townsend,

Please find our comments below on the Proposed Recycled Water Policy Amendment. Thank you for your consideration.

- We are concerned about the removal of water recycling goals (page 1). While drought conditions have reduced or alleviated in most parts of CA, we are in a low water supply state with a large population and agricultural base. Removing reclamation goals sends the wrong message to the state.
- How does a particular utility evaluate their progress toward the listed state-wide goal?
- Section 3.2. We recommend including a line item related to necessary discharge flows to maintain the aquatic environment.
- Raw water augmentation is listed (page 5), but little difference is made between it and “Reservoir water augmentation”. We suggest that some language is used to explain the difference.
- Further, “Finished water augmentation” is not listed. We request that Finished water augmentation is also listed and described.
- There are only a few laboratories that are known for bioassay testing, and there is only one that we are aware of that is responsive in California (UC Davis).

Thank You

Andy

Andrew Salveson, P.E.

Water Reuse Practice Director

**Check out our latest work on Disinfection and Water Reuse at: <http://carollo.com/blog/771>**

P: (925) 932 - 1710

M: (925) 788 9857

[asalveson@carollo.com](mailto:asalveson@carollo.com)

[www.carollo.com](http://www.carollo.com)



**WATER** IS OUR FOCUS, OUR BUSINESS, AND OUR PASSION