

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHASTA

3 -0-

4 CHARLES L. LEMM, OLIVE LEMM, J. G. CHATHAM, )  
5 CHRISTIAN OLSON, J. H. SCHOMBURG, WINFIELD )  
6 DURFOP, LUELLA LORTON, Administratrix of )  
7 the Estate of P. W. R. STANFORD, deceased, )  
8 and THE RED RIVER LUMBER COMPANY, a corpora- )  
9 tion, )  
10 Plaintiffs, )

11 vs.

No. 5804

JUDGMENT

AND

DECREE

12 JOHN RUTHERFORD, EUGENE WILSEY, AFTERTHOUGHT )  
13 COPPER COMPANY, a corporation, JAMES ASHER, )  
14 R. A. WARD, M. S. EDSON, WILLIAM ELMERS, )  
15 GEORGE ELDRIDGE, B. F. BIBBENS, O. A. ROSE, )  
16 FRAMA A. THOMAS, JOHN W. MAXWELL, JENNIE MAX- )  
17 WELL, L. O. STRAYER, WILFORD E. ROSS, JOHN )  
18 W. COX, JAMES M. BALLARD, E. C. ENGLISH, H. )  
19 LEE MARTIN, A. F. PEHRSON, W. A. CALKINS, )  
20 EUGENE JOHNSON, L. N. ELLIS, WM. CLARK, JOHN )  
21 DOE GRANT, FIRST DOE, SECOND DOE, THIRD DOE, )  
22 FOURTH DOE, FIFTH DOE, SIXTH DOE, SEVENTH DOE, )  
23 EIGHTH DOE, NINTH DOE, TENTH DOE, GEORGE RUTE- )  
24 RPFORD, H. O. BISHOP, C. S. ERICKSON, IDA L. BID- )  
25 WELL, ALONZO HALEY, FRED ROE, J. G. HADLEY, )  
26 E. L. SMITH, and FRANCES SMITH, EARL PATTON, )  
27 F. H. KENYON, LIZZIE A. GRAY, GEORGE J. BOYLE, )  
28 W. A. BOGUE, H. H. BUTZBACH, E. J. PHILLIPS, )  
29 E. C. FRISBLE, D. G. HUNT, JR., LOUISA LEMM, )  
30 RICHARD ELLERKAMP, and WALTER MELTON, )  
31 Defendants. )

20 The above entitled cause having been referred to the Division of  
21 Water Rights (now Division of Water Resources) of the Department of Public  
22 Works of the State of California, as referee, by an order of the Court,  
23 entered on April 25, 1928, and made under authority of law as conferred by  
24 Section 24 of the Water Commission Act of the State of California, Statutes  
25 1913, Chapter 586, as amended; said referee having made its investigation  
26 and having submitted its report as referee together with a proposed stipula-  
27 tion for judgment to the court on March 5, 1928, and thereafter additional  
28 parties having been brought into said action, said cause having been re-  
29 referred to said referee for further report by the order of the court  
30 dated June 1, 1928, said referee having made further investigations and  
31 having submitted a supplemental report of referee, dated December 12, 1930,