

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN

IN THE MATTER OF THE DETERMINATION OF THE)
RIGHTS, BASED UPON PRIOR APPROPRIATION, OF)
THE VARIOUS CLAIMANTS OF THE WATERS OF THE)
STANISLAUS RIVER AND ITS TRIBUTARIES IN)
CALIFORNIA.)

No. 16873

Dept. No. 1

JUDGMENT

The above entitled proceeding, under and pursuant to the provisions of the Water Commission Act of California, came on regularly for trial by and before the above entitled court on April 24, 1925, and was regularly continued to October 25, 1925, to be reset, and thereafter reset for trial on September 14, 1926, and after trial on said day was continued for further trial, and tried on December 16, 17, 22, 23, 28 and 29, 1926, before this court sitting without a jury, and Spencer Burroughs, esquire, appearing as counsel for the Division of Water Rights; Nutter, Hancock & Rutherford, esquires, appearing as counsel for South San Joaquin Irrigation District, Rolleri Company and Domingo Rolleri; Griffin, Boone & Boone, esquires, appearing as counsel for the Oakdale Irrigation District; Chickering & Gregory, esquires, appearing as counsel for the Sierra and San Francisco Power Company; J. C. Webster, esquire, appearing as counsel for Henry W. Eckel; Berry & Watson, esquires, and McNoble & Berry, esquires, appearing as counsel for R. P. Sleane, Charles Sanguinetti and Frank Canepa; Daniel Marceau, esquire, appearing as counsel for Estelle Sibbald; Joseph Huberty, esquire, appearing as counsel for Utica Mining Company; Edwin L. Forster, esquire, appearing as counsel for the Columbia Marble Company and Jose Frances Souza; J. W. Colebird, esquire, appearing as counsel for San Francisco Cattle Loan Association; Grant & Zindara, esquires, appearing as Counsel for Jean Gunder, William Grant, Charles E. Grant and Users of Water Under the Ditch System of the Sierra and San Francisco Power Company; and evidence, both oral and documentary, introduced, and upon the evidence being closed, the cause was submitted to the court for its consideration, determination and decision, and the court made and signed its findings of fact and conclusions of law on file herein, and now orders that judgment be entered in the above entitled proceeding in accordance therewith;

Wherefore, by reason of the law and the findings aforesaid, it is ordered, adjudged, and decreed as follows to wit:-