1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THOMAS H. KEELING (SBN 114979) FREEMAN FIRM 1818 Grand Canal Boulevard, Suite 4 Stockton, CA 95207 Telephone: (209) 474-1818 Facsimile: (209) 474-1245 Email: <u>tkeeling@freemanfirm.com</u> J. MARK MYLES (SBN 200823) Office of the County Counsel County of San Joaquin 44 N. San Joaquin Street, Suite 679 Stockton, CA 95202-2931 Telephone: (209) 468-2980 Facsimile: (209) 468-0315 Email: <u>imyles@sigov.org</u>	
12 13 14	Attorneys for Protestants County of San Joaque San Joaquin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, and Mokelumne River Water and Power Authority [ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON FOLLO	
15	BEFORE	THE
16 17	CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RES	SOURCES CONTROL BOARD
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	ROPOSED GROUPING AND ORDERING OF PROTESTANTS PURSUANT TO UGUST 31, 2017 ORDER
23 24		
24 25		
23 26		
27		
28		

1 2 3 4	JENNIFER SPALETTA (SBN 200032) SPALETTA LAW, PC P.O. BOX 2660 LODI, CA 95241 Telephone: (209) 224-5568 Facsimile: (209) 224-5589 Email: jennifer@spalettalaw.com
5	Attorneys for Protestants County of San Joaquin,
6	San Joaquin County Flood Control and
7	Water Conservation District, and Mokelumne River Water and Power Authority
8	
9 10	
10 11	
11	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	PROPOSED GROUPING AND ORDERING OF PROTESTANTS (SAN JOAQUIN CO. PROTESTANTS)

Pursuant to the Hearing Officers' August 31, 2017 Ruling, Protestants County of San Joaquin, San Joaquin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, and Mokelumne River Water and Power Authority (collectively, the "San Joaquin County Protestants"), respectfully submit the following proposed groupings and order of Parties for crossexamination in Part 2.

## Proposed Grouping of Protestants for Presentation of Evidence and for Cross Examination in Part 2:

On behalf of the San Joaquin County Protestants, we propose that our three constituent parties (County of San Joaquin, San Joaquin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, and Mokelumne River Water and Power Authority), be grouped together as a single participating protestant for purposes of cross-examination in Part 2 of this proceeding.

For purposes of presentation of evidence in their case in chief, the San Joaquin County Protestants propose to present their witnesses jointly with other protestants and/or as witnesses on joint panels, as follows:

## (1) <u>Groundwater Focus Panel (LAND, Sacramento County Water Agency, and</u> San Joaquin County et al.)

Counsel: Osha Meserve Aaron Ferguson Thomas Keeling

NAME	SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY	ESTIMATED LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	EXPERT WITNESS (YES/NO)
John Lambie (SJC et al. and LAND)	Delta hydrogeology and hydrology as it relates to groundwater/public interest/public trust	35	Yes
Josef Tootle (SJC et al. and LAND)	Public Interest and Public Trust resources; proposed spoils disposal plan; tunneling activities' impacts,	25	Yes
	3		

PROPOSED GROUPING AND ORDERING OF PROTESTANTS (SAN JOAQUIN CO. PROTESTANTS)

28

_			
	including ground loss and impacts		
	on levees and islands		
Steffen Mehl	Long-Term Groundwater	30	Yes
(SCWA) Laura Foglia	Management Planning, SGMA	30	Yes
(SCWA)	Long-Term Groundwater Management Planning, SGMA	30	165
Kerry Schmitz	Public Interest Considerations;	15	No
(SCWA)	Long-Term Groundwater	10	
, ,	Management Planning, SGMA		
Counsel: Phi Aar	o County; Yolo County) I Pogledich on Ferguson omas Keeling		
NAME	SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY	ESTIMATED LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	EXPERT WITNESS (YES/NO)
Kris Balaji	Transportation dynamics and	25	Yes
(SJC et al.)	infrastructure in the Delta; CWF		
	impacts on transportation and		
Demos Kaldesa	public safety	25	Yes
Panos Kokkas (Yolo Co.)	Transportation; traffic circulation and infrastructure impacts	20	res
Reza Maghissi	Transportation; traffic circulation	25	Yes
(Sacramento	and infrastructure impacts		
Co.)			
(3) <u>Public Trus</u>	st/Public Interest Focus Panel (Sa Alliance, LAND)	<u>ın Joaquin Co</u> ı	unty et al., CSP
(3) <u>Public Trus</u> <u>CWIN, Aqu</u>	Alliance, LAND)	<u>ın Joaquin Co</u> ı	<u>unty et al., CSP</u>
(3) <u>Public Trus</u> <u>CWIN, Aqu</u> Counsel: Mic		<u>ın Joaquin Co</u> ı	<u>unty et al., CSP</u>
(3) <u>Public Trus</u> <u>CWIN, Aqu</u> Counsel: Mic Osl	Alliance, LAND) hael Jackson	<u>ın Joaquin Co</u> ı	<u>unty et al., CSP</u>
(3) <u>Public Trus</u> <u>CWIN, Aqu</u> Counsel: Mic Osl	Alliance, LAND) hael Jackson ha Meserve	<u>ın Joaquin Co</u> ı	<u>unty et al., CSP</u>
(3) <u>Public Trus</u> <u>CWIN, Aqu</u> Counsel: Mic Osl	Alliance, LAND) hael Jackson ha Meserve	<u>ın Joaquin Co</u> ı	<u>unty et al., CSP</u>
(3) <u>Public Trus</u> <u>CWIN, Aqu</u> Counsel: Mic Osl	Alliance, LAND) hael Jackson ha Meserve	<u>in Joaquin Coi</u>	<u>unty et al., CSP</u>
(3) <u>Public Trus</u> <u>CWIN, Aqu</u> Counsel: Mic Osl	Alliance, LAND) hael Jackson ha Meserve	<u>ın Joaquin Co</u> ı	<u>unty et al., CSP</u>
(3) <u>Public Trus</u> <u>CWIN, Aqu</u> Counsel: Mic Osl Thc	Alliance, LAND) hael Jackson ha Meserve		·

NAME	SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY	ESTIMATED LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	EXPERT WITNESS (YES/NO)
Marc Del Piero	CWF impacts on public trust	45	Yes
	resources; balancing the public trust; essential elements of a		
	competent public interest analysis;		
	Delta flow criteria compliant with the		
	2009 Delta Reform Act and other		
	enactments and decisions		
Ed Whitelaw	Public Interest; Fish & Wildlife; Public Trust	45	Yes
L		1	1
Counsel: Joh	n Herrick		
Dea	n Ruiz mas Keeling		
Dea Tho	mas Keeling	ESTIMATED	EYDEDT
Dea		ESTIMATED LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	EXPERT WITNESS (YES/NO)
Dea Tho NAME Dante John	mas Keeling SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY Requirements of 2009 Delta	LENGTH OF DIRECT	WITNESS
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr.	SUBJECT OF PROPOSED         TESTIMONY         Requirements of 2009 Delta         Reform Act and other enactments	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	WITNESS (YES/NO)
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA,	SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY         Requirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre-	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	WITNESS (YES/NO)
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr.	SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY         Requirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	WITNESS (YES/NO)
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA,	SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY         Requirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory mandates and protection of public	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	WITNESS (YES/NO)
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA,	SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY         Requirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory mandates and protection of public trust; protection of senior water	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	WITNESS (YES/NO)
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA,	SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY         Requirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory mandates and protection of public	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	WITNESS (YES/NO)
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA, SJC et al.)	mas Keeling SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY Requirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory mandates and protection of public trust; protection of senior water rights; unreasonable and wasteful use of water; impacts on recreational and other user of water	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY 45	WITNESS (YES/NO) Yes
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA, SJC et al.) Christopher	mas Keeling SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY Requirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory mandates and protection of public trust; protection of senior water rights; unreasonable and wasteful use of water; impacts on recreational and other user of water Delta levees; impacts of CWF on	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	WITNESS (YES/NO)
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA, SJC et al.) Christopher Neudeck	mas Keeling SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY Requirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory mandates and protection of public trust; protection of senior water rights; unreasonable and wasteful use of water; impacts on recreational and other user of water	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY 45	WITNESS (YES/NO) Yes
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA, SJC et al.) Christopher Neudeck (SJC et al.) Tom Burke	mas Keeling SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY Requirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory mandates and protection of public trust; protection of senior water rights; unreasonable and wasteful use of water; impacts on recreational and other user of water Delta levees; impacts of CWF on	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY 45	WITNESS (YES/NO) Yes
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA, SJC et al.) Christopher Neudeck (SJC et al.)	mas KeelingSUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONYRequirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory mandates and protection of public trust; protection of senior water rights; unreasonable and wasteful use of water; impacts on recreational and other user of waterDelta levees; impacts of CWF on levees and reclamation districtsCWF flow criteria, and water quality and related requirements and	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY 45	Yes Yes
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA, SJC et al.) Christopher Neudeck (SJC et al.) Tom Burke	mas KeelingSUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONYRequirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory mandates and protection of public trust; protection of senior water rights; unreasonable and wasteful use of water; impacts on recreational and other user of waterDelta levees; impacts of CWF on levees and reclamation districtsCWF flow criteria, and water quality and related requirements and impacts on fisheries, recreation,	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY 45	Yes Yes
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA, SJC et al.) Christopher Neudeck (SJC et al.) Tom Burke	mas Keeling SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY Requirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory mandates and protection of public trust; protection of senior water rights; unreasonable and wasteful use of water; impacts on recreational and other user of water Delta levees; impacts of CWF on levees and reclamation districts CWF flow criteria, and water quality and related requirements and impacts on fisheries, recreation, public trust, and public interest	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY 45	Yes Yes
Dea Tho NAME Dante John Nomellini, Sr. (SDWA, CDWA, SJC et al.) Christopher Neudeck (SJC et al.) Tom Burke	mas KeelingSUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONYRequirements of 2009 Delta Reform Act and other enactments re: Delta flow criteria; unlawful pre- determination; premature and piecemeal proceedings; statutory mandates and protection of public trust; protection of senior water rights; unreasonable and wasteful use of water; impacts on recreational and other user of waterDelta levees; impacts of CWF on levees and reclamation districtsCWF flow criteria, and water quality and related requirements and impacts on fisheries, recreation,	LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY 45	Yes Yes

## (5) <u>Public Interest Focus Panel (LAND, San Joaquin County et al.)</u>

0	Counsel: Osha Meserve Thomas Keeling					
	NAME	SUBJECT OF PROPOSED TESTIMONY	ESTIMATED LENGTH OF DIRECT TESTIMONY	EXPERT WITNESS (YES/NO)		
	Fraser Shilling, Ph.D.	Public trust/public interest: unreasonable environmental and community impacts/inconsistency with state water policy	30	Yes		
	<ul> <li>(6) <u>Economic Impacts/Public Interest Focus Panel (San Joaquin County et al.</u> <u>SDWA, CDWA, and Sacramento County)</u></li> <li>Counsel: Dean Ruiz Aaron Ferguson Thomas Keeling</li> </ul>					
	NAME	SUBJECT OF PROPOSED	ESTIMATED	EXPERT		
Ш						
		TESTIMONY	LENGTH OF	WITNESS		
		TESTIMONY	DIRECT	(YES/NO)		
		TESTIMONY				
	Dr. Jeffrey Michael (SJC et al.,	Public interest: cost/benefit analysis of CWF; financial feasibility of CWF; impacts of CWF on recreation,	DIRECT			
	Michael	Public interest: cost/benefit analysis of CWF; financial feasibility of CWF; impacts of CWF on recreation, recreation-related activities, and Delta tourism; effects of CWF on the local and regional economy;	DIRECT TESTIMONY	(YES/NO)		
	Michael (SJC et al., SDWA, CDWA,	Public interest: cost/benefit analysis of CWF; financial feasibility of CWF; impacts of CWF on recreation, recreation-related activities, and Delta tourism; effects of CWF on	DIRECT TESTIMONY	(YES/NO)		
	Michael (SJC et al., SDWA, CDWA,	Public interest: cost/benefit analysis of CWF; financial feasibility of CWF; impacts of CWF on recreation, recreation-related activities, and Delta tourism; effects of CWF on the local and regional economy; socio-economic impacts of CFW on	DIRECT TESTIMONY	(YES/NO)		
	Michael (SJC et al., SDWA, CDWA,	Public interest: cost/benefit analysis of CWF; financial feasibility of CWF; impacts of CWF on recreation, recreation-related activities, and Delta tourism; effects of CWF on the local and regional economy; socio-economic impacts of CFW on	DIRECT TESTIMONY	(YES/NO)		
	Michael (SJC et al., SDWA, CDWA,	Public interest: cost/benefit analysis of CWF; financial feasibility of CWF; impacts of CWF on recreation, recreation-related activities, and Delta tourism; effects of CWF on the local and regional economy; socio-economic impacts of CFW on	DIRECT TESTIMONY	(YES/NO)		
	Michael (SJC et al., SDWA, CDWA,	Public interest: cost/benefit analysis of CWF; financial feasibility of CWF; impacts of CWF on recreation, recreation-related activities, and Delta tourism; effects of CWF on the local and regional economy; socio-economic impacts of CFW on	DIRECT TESTIMONY	(YES/NO)		

## Proposed Order of Cross-Examination:

2	The San Joaquin County Protestants request that the Board's Part 2 Order of Cross-
3	Examination be prepared so as to allow the San Joaquin County Protestants to cross-examine
4	Petitioners' witnesses subsequent to cross-examination by the South Delta Water Agency and
5	Central Delta Water Agency. We agree with the suggestion made by other Protestants that
6 7	Hearing staff provide a proposed order of groups for cross-examination and allow a short time
8	for comments on that proposal before finalizing the ordering of groups.
9 0	Dated: November 30, 2017 FREEMAN FIRM A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION
1	1 2 2.
2	By: THOMAS H. KEELING
3	Attorneys for Protestants County of San Joaquin, San Joaquin County Flood
4	Control and Water Conservation District, and Mokelumne River Water and Power
5	Authority
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	
8	
~	7 PROPOSED GROUPING AND ORDERING OF PROTESTANTS (SAN JOAQUIN CO. PROTESTANTS)