5 6

> 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Principal, Porgans and Associates

BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

HEARING IN THE MATTER OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND UNITED STATES **BUREAU OF RECLAMATION** REQUEST FOR A CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION/REDIVERSION FOR CALIFORNIA WATER FIX

STATEMENT OF ISSUESPROPOSED TO BE ADDRESSED IN CLOSING BRIEFS FOR PART 1

Petitioner PATRICK PORGANS on behalf of PLANETARY SOLUTIONARIES submits this Statement of Issues Proposed to Be Addressed in Closing Briefs for Part 1 of this hearing in response to the Hearing Officers' ruling of 19 December 2016. The ruling invites parties to submit a concise statement of the issues that they would like addressed at the end of Part 1, including and explanation why such issues would more appropriately briefed at the end of Part 1 rather than Part 2. The issues that PORGANS believes would be appropriately addressed at the end of Part 1 rather than Part 2 are as follows:

Issue Number I: Would granting the Petition in effect require the issuance pf a new water right?

Based upon a review of the State Water Board's (SWB) files there are questions that raise issues as to whether the PETITIONERS, in particular, the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation have met the terms and conditions imposed in permits issued by the SWB. In particular, did the Bureau provide the conveyance canals to meet the water needs of those within the Area of Origin (where the water originates)? Details pertaining to this issue is contained in PORGANS MOTION TO DISMISS submitted to the SWB.

22

- Issue Number II: Has the Bureau met the terms and conditions of their water right permits to provide for
 salinity protection in the Delta?
- According to SWB documents the Bureau has failed to provide the flow data or means required to meet salinity objectives. Failure to comply has and continues to cause injury to private property and Public
- 5 Trust Resources. Therefore, it would be in the Public Interest for the SWB to include this information in a
- 6 brief.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- **Issue Number III:** The Availability of Water in the Delta for SWP and CVP
- SWB records also indicate that due to the inherent shortcomings of the federal Central Valley Project, and the State Water Project, both dependent to some degree on the export of "surplus" water from the Delta, which as witnessed, during this and previous drought events, was devoid of "surplus" water.
- **Issue Number IV:** Compliance with the Terms and Conditions of the Bureau and DWR Permits
- The Project operators' statements that the CVP and SWP have been compliant with Delta water quality protections contained in their respective permits 97 percent of the time requires vetting, as that number is misleading. Compliance with Delta salinity protection does not always require the Projects to release carriage water to meet Delta standards, it is during drought years that the violations tend to occur. When questioned as to the validity of this compliance percentage (wet and drought years), the PETITIONERS could not answer the question. During a 1993 SWB hearing, on this matter of the several hundred violations of Delta standards, committed by the Bureau and DWR, the Board, opted not to take an enforcement action against the DWR and the Bureau. Based on the SWB, DWR, and Bureau exhibits entered into the record, they illegally impounded and diverted an estimated 500,000 acre-feet of water, valued at \$29 million. In this current drought the Bureau and DWR have resorted to exporting "abandoned" water flowing through the Delta, and water realized from the mandatory 25 percent cutback in urban water users.

1 2	Attached to this correspondence is the Statement of Service.
3	Respectfully submitted,
	X
	Patrick Porgans De Facto Public Trustee and Solutionist
4	Patrick Porgans
5	
6	
7	STATEMENT OF SERVICE
8	
9 10	CALIFORNIA WATERFIX PETITION HEARING
11	OALII OKKIA WATEKI IXTETITION TIEAKING
12	Department of Water Resources and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Petitioners)
13 14	I hereby certify that I have this day submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board
15	and caused a true and correct copy of the following document(s):
16	
17 18	STATEMENT OF ISSUES PROPOSED TO BE ADDRESSED IN CLOSING BRIEFS FOR PART 1
19	to be served by Electronic Mail (email), in parts due to server limitations, upon the parties listed in
20	Table 1 of the Current Service List for the California WaterFix Petition Hearing, dated November
21 22	15, 2016, posted by the State Water Resources Control Board at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/se
23	rvice list.shtml
24	
25 26	I certify that the foregoing is true and correct and that this document was executed on 29 January 2016.
27	,,
28	Cinnatura, Balviola Barrana
29 30	Signature: Patrick Porgans
30	
	X
	Patrick Porgans
21	Solutionist De Facto Public Trustee
31 32	Party/Affiliation: Planetary Solutionaries
33	Address: P.O. Box 60940, Sacramento, CA 95860
34	