

## State Water Resources Control Board

January 15, 2016

TO: ENCLOSED LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

### **SERVICE LIST OF PARTICIPANTS, LIST OF OTHER INTERESTED PERSONS, AND PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE AGENDA IN THE MATTER OF HEARING ON PETITION REQUESTING CHANGES IN WATER RIGHTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND U.S. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION FOR THE CALIFORNIA WATERFIX PROJECT**

On October 30, 2015, the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water Board) issued a [Notice of Petition and Notice of Public Hearing and Pre-Hearing Conference](#) (Hearing Notice), regarding the petition submitted by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) and the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) (collectively petitioners) to change their water rights as part of the California WaterFix Project (WaterFix Project). In accordance with the Hearing Notice, enclosed is a Service List of Parties to Exchange Information (Table 1) who have submitted a Notice of Intent to Appear (NOI) at the hearing and who have indicated their intent to participate in the evidentiary portion of the above referenced hearing through direct testimony, cross-examination or rebuttal. Any communications to the State Water Board regarding substantive or controversial procedural matters, including but not limited to submittals of evidence, briefs, and motions, must include documentation demonstrating that all parties on Table 1, as it may be amended by the hearing officers, were served a copy of the communication or submittal and indicating the manner of service.

Also enclosed with this letter is a list of interested persons (who are not parties) who have submitted NOIs expressing their intent to present a policy statement (a non-evidentiary comment) only at the hearing (Table 2). Please review Tables 1 and 2 to ensure that they correctly identify your intended participation in the hearing. In particular, some parties may have indicated that they intend to submit evidence who actually intend to present a non-evidentiary policy statement. If you have questions regarding the difference between the two, please review the descriptions of parties and interested persons set forth in Enclosure D on pages 31 and 32 of the Hearing Notice and, if necessary, contact the hearing team at the phone number or email identified below. Copies of the NOIs as well as other documents related to this hearing are or will be posted on the State Water Board's website at [http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water\\_issues/programs/bay\\_delta/california\\_waterfix/#](http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/#) under the "Water Right Change" tab. Any corrections to the Service List should be sent to [CWFhearing@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:CWFhearing@waterboards.ca.gov).<sup>1</sup> The State Water Board will post any such corrections as soon as practicable.

<sup>1</sup> One party declined electronic service in this hearing. That party may still submit information electronically and may also submit it via mail or hand delivery by the required due dates to the State Water Board, Division of Water Rights, Attn: California WaterFix Hearing Staff at P.O. Box 2000, Sacramento, CA 95812-2000, or by hand delivery to Joe Serna Jr.-CalEPA Building 1001 I Street, 2nd Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814.

To organize the conduct of the hearing, a Pre-hearing Conference will commence on Thursday, January 28, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. and continue, if necessary, on Friday, January 29, 2016, at the Joe Serna Jr.-CalEPA Building, Byron Sher Auditorium, 1001 I Street, Second Floor, Sacramento, CA. **If necessary, the Pre-hearing Conference will also extend to February 1, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. in the same location.** This letter addresses procedural issues related to the hearing in this matter that will be discussed at the Pre-hearing Conference. **Any party who wishes to add a procedural issue to the Pre-hearing Conference agenda should submit the proposed addition in writing to the Division of Water Rights at CWFhearing@waterboards.ca.gov no later than January 22, 2016.**

**A representative for each party to the hearing who wishes to participate in the evidentiary portion of the hearing must attend the Pre-hearing Conference, including those who intend to participate only in Part II of the hearing. Failure of a representative of a party to attend the Pre-hearing Conference may result in exclusion of that party from participation in the hearing. Interested persons who are only planning to present a policy statement and will not be participating in the evidentiary portion of the hearing need not attend the Pre-hearing Conference.** Since the purpose of the Pre-hearing Conference is to organize the conduct of the hearing, only parties participating in the evidentiary portion of the hearing (those identified in Table 1) will be afforded the opportunity to speak on the specific issues for discussion at the Pre-hearing Conference. **There will not be an opportunity for public comments by other interested persons or for comments on issues that are not the subject of the Pre-hearing Conference.** Public comments related to this matter in the form of policy statements will be allowed at the beginning of the hearing currently planned for April 7, 2016. The hearing officers will provide any procedural direction for the presentation of policy statements in a separate correspondence.

**The Pre-hearing Conference will not be used to hear arguments on, or determine the merits of, any hearing issues, other than procedural matters, unless the parties agree prior to the Pre-hearing Conference to resolve a hearing issue by stipulation.** Following the Pre-hearing Conference, the hearing officers may, at their discretion, modify the hearing procedures or issues set forth in the Hearing Notice in whole or in part.

The parties should come to the Pre-hearing Conference prepared to discuss the issues described below. **To ensure an efficient and productive meeting, the parties are strongly encouraged to submit written comments regarding those issues by 12:00 noon, Friday, January 22, 2016.** Parties who submit their comments in writing also will be given an opportunity to summarize their comments orally at the Pre-hearing Conference.

## **PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE AGENDA**

### Timing of Hearing

As currently structured, the hearing is to be conducted in two Parts: Part I will focus on the potential effects of the water right change petition on other legal users of water, including municipal, industrial and agricultural users; and Part II will focus on the potential effects of the petition on fish, wildlife, and recreational uses, including appropriate Delta flow criteria, and other public interest considerations. By email dated September 22, 2015, DWR requested the State Water Board to begin the water rights hearing process before DWR and Reclamation have completed the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) environmental review processes and the compliance processes for the

federal Endangered Species Act (ESA) and the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). The email also provides a schedule for completion of those processes. DWR and Reclamation have not requested a final decision on the petition until they complete the NEPA/CEQA process and make a decision on the project. The Hearing Notice specifies that Part II will not commence until at least 30 days after completion of the NEPA/CEQA and ESA/CESA processes.

Several parties have commented on whether it is appropriate to begin the hearing before these other processes have been completed. Petitioners and other parties are asked to come to the Pre-hearing Conference prepared to address issues related to the timing of these proceedings. Specifically, DWR and Reclamation should provide an update on their current NEPA/CEQA and ESA/CESA compliance schedules in writing by January 22, 2016, along with comments on any other issues that should be addressed at the Pre-hearing Conference that are not identified in this letter. DWR and Reclamation should also be prepared to discuss their NEPA/CEQA and ESA/CESA schedules at the Pre-hearing Conference.

#### Clean Water Act Section 401 Application

As described in the Hearing Notice, at this time, State Water Board staff proposes to process DWR's Clean Water Act section 401 application for water quality certification for the WaterFix Project in a proceeding separate from the water right change petition. The Executive Director has delegated authority to consider whether and under what conditions to issue a water quality certification and currently plans to take action on the application for water quality certification. In so doing, the Executive Director plans to rely on some or all of the information in the hearing record for the water right change petition to the extent the information is relevant to the certification decision. The Executive Director also may rely on additional information not included in the hearing record. The Executive Director may make a decision on the application for water quality certification before completion of the hearing for the water right change petition and before the State Water Board makes a decision on the petition. Any decision by the Executive Director would then be subject to reconsideration by the State Water Board. Parties should come prepared to address this proposed procedure.

#### Efficiency of the Hearing

Given the complexity of the hearing issues and the number of hearing participants, the hearing officers intend to conduct the hearing as efficiently as possible. Toward that end, the hearing officers plan to enforce time limits and propose several additional time-saving measures, discussed below. Hearing participants should be prepared to discuss these measures at the Pre-hearing Conference, and are encouraged to make additional suggestions to streamline the hearing process.

#### General Time Limits

Generally, the timelines are set in the Hearing Notice (see pages 34-35) and are expected to be adhered to unless an alternative proposal generally acceptable to the hearing officers is proposed. Specified time limits are subject to some flexibility if special circumstances arise as the hearing moves forward. The Hearing Notice provides the opportunity for parties to request exceptions to procedural requirements and the hearing officers reserve the right to issue further rulings. Parties must come prepared to comment on the adequacy of time limits specified in the Hearing Notice and/or any alternate proposal.

### Consolidation of Cases

The State Water Board encourages parties with common interests to work together to make the hearing process more efficient. The hearing officers appreciate that numerous parties either propose to present a consolidated case-in-chief or have coordinated with other parties and propose to present direct testimony from the same witness or group of witnesses as part of their case-in-chief. In order to promote efficient consolidation of arguments, testimony, cross-examination and rebuttal, additional time for parties that consolidate all or portions of their cases may be appropriate. If a party presents multiple witnesses, the hearing officers will decide whether the party's witnesses will be cross-examined as a panel. Cross-examiners initially will be limited to **one (1) hour** per witness or panel of witnesses. The hearing officers have discretion to allow additional time for cross-examination, particularly if parties consolidate into groups for the purpose of cross-examination. Parties should come to the Pre-hearing Conference prepared to discuss appropriate time limits for groups of participants to increase the efficiency of the hearing, and any additional suggestions they have regarding efficiency of presentations and cross-examination.

### Information Requests

In addition, the hearing officers may request petitioners or other parties to submit additional information reasonably necessary to attempt to resolve a protest or otherwise address an issue. While the Hearing Notice provides that parties need not file a protest to participate in the hearing, Water Code section 1700 *et seq.* authorizes the State Water Board to request information to help facilitate the resolution of protest issues between the parties. This information could help narrow the focus of hearing issues and increase the efficiency of the hearing. Parties should come prepared to discuss and comment on whether petitioners or other parties should be required to submit proposed terms and conditions or other information that would resolve some or all of the contested issues.

### Opening Statements

At the beginning of a case-in-chief, the party or the party's attorney may make an opening statement briefly and concisely stating the objectives of the case-in-chief, the major points that the proposed evidence is intended to establish, and the relationship between the major points and the key issues. Oral opening statements will be limited to **twenty (20) minutes** per party. Any policy-oriented statements by a party should be included in the opening statement. **All opening statements for Part I of the hearing are hereby required to be submitted in writing by the exhibit due date identified in the Hearing Notice (12:00 noon, Tuesday, March 1, 2016).** In addition, the hearing officers intend to require the parties to include an overview of any legal arguments in their opening statement. Parties should come prepared to comment on page limits for written opening statements.

In addition, opening statements during Part I of the hearing should focus on evidence to be presented during Part I. Parties participating in Part II will be permitted to present additional opening statements describing the evidence that they plan to present during Part II of the hearing at a later date.

### Testimony

On direct, witnesses will be permitted to summarize the written testimony that they have submitted in advance of the hearing. Generally, a more focused summary is far more effective in a hearing than reading previously submitted testimony into the record. To ensure that witnesses are prepared to summarize their written testimony succinctly, and to facilitate review of written testimony before the hearing, **all parties are hereby required to submit a written summary of each witness's direct testimony together with the witness's written testimony.** As a general rule, witnesses will be allowed up to **twenty (20) minutes** to summarize or emphasize their written testimony on direct examination. Each party will be allowed up to **one (1) hour total** to present all of its direct testimony. Many NOIs indicate an estimated length of direct testimony far in excess of what is permitted in the Hearing Notice. Parties requesting extra time for direct testimony must come prepared to explain why this additional time is necessary. As indicated above, allowing parties presenting consolidated cases-in-chief more time on direct may be appropriate. In addition, the hearing officers are inclined to afford petitioners more time to present their cases-in-chief, and to afford the parties more time to cross-examine petitioners' witnesses. Parties should come prepared to comment on this matter which will be the subject of a subsequent ruling by the hearing officers.

### Service List

Parties must come prepared to discuss whether an option to opt out of service of certain hearing materials should be provided. Due to the volume of parties and material that must be served on all parties, some may wish to rely instead on documents as they are posted on the State Water Board's website. The State Water Board cannot guarantee, however, that documents will be posted immediately upon receipt.

### **OTHER HEARING RELATED MATTERS**

The parties should note the following additional information that is not currently planned to be discussed at the Pre-hearing Conference.

### CEQA Compliance

As described in the Hearing Notice, DWR is the lead agency under CEQA for the WaterFix Project. As indicated above, DWR is in the process of preparing a Final Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the project. As the CEQA lead agency, DWR is responsible for preparing an EIR that satisfies CEQA requirements. As a responsible agency under CEQA, the State Water Board's role is more narrowly circumscribed. A responsible agency must consider the CEQA document prepared by the lead agency before making its own decision whether or under what conditions to approve a project. As a general rule, a responsible agency must assume that the CEQA document prepared by the lead agency is adequate for use by the responsible agency. (Cal. Code of Regs., tit. 14, § 15096, subd. (e).) Accordingly, the adequacy of DWR's EIR for the WaterFix Project for purposes of CEQA compliance is not a key hearing issue, and the parties should not submit evidence or argument on this issue. Comments on the adequacy of the EIR for CEQA purposes should be submitted to DWR consistent with the CEQA review process. The CEQA document will be offered into evidence as an exhibit, however, and therefore the parties will be permitted to submit evidence and argument concerning the document to the extent that the evidence or argument relates to the key hearing issues provided in the Hearing Notice. For example, the parties may point to the analysis contained in the

CEQA document as evidence of the potential effects of the project on legal users of water, or they may wish to refute that analysis.

PowerPoint Presentations

Consistent with the State Water Board's policy of discouraging surprise testimony and exhibits, all testimony and exhibits proposed to be submitted as part of a party's case-in-chief must be submitted in advance of the hearing. This includes PowerPoint presentations or other visual aids that witnesses intend to use while summarizing their written, direct testimony. **Any PowerPoint presentations or other visual aids for witnesses who plan to testify during Part I of the hearing should be designated as exhibits and submitted by the March 1, 2016, deadline for testimony and exhibits.**

Staff Exhibits

Enclosure B to the Hearing Notice lists staff exhibits that are also posted on the Hearings website, or will be posted very shortly. Parties should carefully review the list of staff exhibits before compiling their own lists of exhibits to avoid submitting duplicative exhibits, which will not be accepted. To the extent necessary, parties should cite the staff exhibits in their written testimony.

Ex Parte Communications

Parties and interested persons are reminded that *ex parte* communications concerning substantive or controversial procedural issues relevant to this hearing are prohibited. Please be sure to copy the parties identified in Table 1 of the Service List (or as it may be amended) on any correspondence to Board Members and the hearing team related to this matter.

Thank you for your continued cooperation. Questions regarding non-controversial procedural matters should be directed to the California WaterFix hearing team by email at CWFhearing@waterboards.ca.gov or at (916) 319-0960. (Gov. Code, § 11430.20, subd. (b).)

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Felicia Marcus, State Water Board Chair  
WaterFix Project Co-Hearing Officer

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Tam Doduc, State Water Board Member  
WaterFix Project Co-Hearing Officer

Enclosure: List of Participants

Table 1- Service List of Parties to Exchange Information (Parties Participating in Direct Testimony, Cross-Examination or Rebuttal)

Table 2- Interested Persons (Persons Intending to Make Policy Statements Only)

**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS**  
**California WaterFix Petition Hearing**  
**(Scheduled to Commence on April 7, 2016)**

**SERVICE LIST**  
**(Dated January 15, 2016)**

**Table 1- Service List of Parties to Exchange Information**  
**(Parties Participating in Direct Testimony, Cross-Examination or Rebuttal)**

<b>Parties Participating in Part I (May also be Parties in Part II)</b>			
<b>THE FOLLOWING PARTIES MUST BE SERVED WITH WRITTEN TESTIMONY, EXHIBITS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. (Note: The parties listed below agreed to accept electronic service, pursuant to the rules specified in the hearing notice.)</b>			
<b>Party or Participant</b>	<b>Authorized Representative/ Attorney</b>	<b>Authorized Representative's Affiliation</b>	<b>Email Address of Authorized Representative/ Attorney</b>
California Department of Water Resources	James Mizell		james.mizell@water.ca.gov
U.S. Department of the Interior	Amy Aufdemberge, Esq.		amy.aufdemberge@sol.doi.gov
Sacramento County Water Agency	Aaron Ferguson	Somach, Simmons & Dunn	aferguson@somachlaw.com
Carmichael Water District, The	Aaron Ferguson	Somach, Simmons & Dunn	aferguson@somachlaw.com
City of Roseville, The	Alan Lilly & Ryan Bezerra	Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan	abl@bkslawfirm.com rsb@bkslawfirm.com
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Alan Lilly & Ryan Bezerra	Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan	abl@bkslawfirm.com rsb@bkslawfirm.com
San Juan Water District	Alan Lilly & Ryan Bezerra	Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan	abl@bkslawfirm.com; rsb@bkslawfirm.com
City of Folsom, The	Alan Lilly & Ryan Bezerra	Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan	abl@bkslawfirm.com; rsb@bkslawfirm.com
Yuba County Water Agency	Alan Lilly & Ryan Bezerra	Bartkiewicz, Kronick & Shanahan, P.C.	abl@bkslawfirm.com; rsb@bkslawfirm.com
South Valley Water Association, et al.	Alex M Peltzer	Peltzer & Richardson, LC	apeltzer@prlawcorp.com
Biggs-West Gridley Water District	Andrew M. Hitchings	Somach, Simmons & Dunn, PC	ahitchings@somachlaw.com;
Glenn-Colusa Irrigation District (GCID)	Andrew M. Hitchings	Somach, Simmons & Dunn	ahitchings@somachlaw.com
North Delta Cares	Anna Swenson		deltaactioncommittee@gmail.com
Restore the Delta	Barbara Barrigan-Parilla	Restore the Delta	barbara@restorethedelta.org

Barbara Daly	Barbara Daly		bdalymn@citolink.net
SolAgra Corp.	Barry Sgarrella, CEO		barry@solagra.com
California Delta Chambers & Visitors Bureau	Bill Wells		info@californiadelta.org
Steamboat Resort	Brad & Emily Pappalardo		empappa@gmail.com
Brett G. Baker	Brett G. Baker		brettgbaker@gmail.com
The Environmental Justice Coalition for Water	Colin Bailey		colin@ejcw.org
Placer County Water Agency	Daniel Kelly	Somach Simmuns & Dunn	dkelly@somachlaw.com
City of Brentwood, The	David Aladjem	Downey Brand LLP	daladjem@downeybrand.com
Reclamation District No. 800 (Byron Tract)	David Aladjem	Downey Brand LLP	daladjem@downeybrand.com
Friant North Authority	David Orth		dorth@davisorthconsulting.com
Deirdre Des Jardins	Deirdre Des Jardins		ddj@cah2oresearch.com
Nevada Irrigation District	Dustin C. Cooper	Minasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper LLP	dcooper@minasianlaw.com
Butte Water District ("BWD")	Dustin C. Cooper	Minasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper, LLP	dcooper@miniasianlaw.com
Richvale Irrigation District ("RID")	Dustin C. Cooper	Minasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper, LLP	dcooper@miniasianlaw.com
Anderson - Cottonwood Irrigation District	Dustin C. Cooper	Minasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper, LLP	dcooper@minasianlaw.com
Plumas Mutual Water Company	Dustin C. Cooper	Minasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper, LLP	dcooper@minasianlaw.com
Reclamation District 1004	Dustin C. Cooper	Minasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper, LLP	dcooper@minasianlaw.com
South Feather Water and Power Agency: Mike Glaze, SFWPA General Manager	Dustin C. Cooper	Minasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper, LLP	dcooper@minasianlaw.com
Western Canal Water District	Dustin C. Cooper	Minasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper, LLP	dcooper@minasianlaw.com
Paradise Irrigation District	Dustin C. Cooper, Minasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper LLP	Minasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper, LLP	dcooper@minasianlaw.com



Friant Water Authority & Members	Fennemore Craig, Lauren Caster, Gregory Adams, Jennifer Buckman, and 13 others		lcaster@fclaw.com; gadams@fclaw.com; jbuckman@friantwater.org; thomas.esqueda@fresno.gov; kelweg1@aol.com; mlarsen@kdwcd.com; sdalke@kern-tulare.com; mhagman@lindmoreid.com; sae16@lsid.org; fmorrissey@orangecoveid.org; sgeivet@ocsnet.net; roland@ssjmud.org; jph@tulareid.org
East Bay Municipal Utility District	Fred Etheridge & Jonathan Salmon		fetherid@ebmud.com; jsalmon@ebmud.com
North San Joaquin Water Conservation District	Jennifer Spaletta, Spaletta Law		jennifer@spalettalaw.com
City of Sacramento	Joe Robinson / Martha Lennihan	Office of the City Attorney / Lennihan Law	jrobinson@cityofsacramento.org mlennihan@lennihan.net
Central Delta Water Agency, South Delta Water Agency ("Delta Agencies"), Lafayette Ranch, Heritage Lands Inc., Mark Bachetti Farms and Rudy Mussi Investments L.P.	John Herrick, Esq. and Dean Ruiz, Esq.		jherrlaw@aol.com; dean@hprlaw.net
City of Stockton	John Luebberke & Tara Mazzanti		john.luebberke@stocktonca.gov; tara.mazzanti@stocktonca.gov
San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority	Jon Rubin		Jon.Rubin@SLDMWA.org
Stockton East Water District	Karna E. Herrigfeld		kharrigfeld@herumcrabtree.com
North Delta Water Agency & Member Districts	Kevin O'Brien	Downey Brand LLP	kobrien@downeybrand.com

Brannan-Andrus Levee Maintenance District; Reclamation District 407; Reclamation District 2067; Reclamation District 317; Reclamation District 551; Reclamation District 563; Reclamation District 150; Reclamation District 2098	Kevin O'Brien & David Aladjem	Downey Brand LLP	kobrien@downeybrand.com daladjem@downeybrand.com
Sacramento Valley Group	Kevin O'Brien & David Aladjem	Downey Brand LLP	kobrien@downeybrand.com daladjem@downeybrand.com
County of San Joaquin, San Joaquin County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, and Mokelumne River Water and Power Authority	Kurtis Keller	Neumiller & Beardslee	kkeller@neumiller.com
County of Colusa, The	Marcos Kropf		mkropf@countyofcolusa.com
Save the California Delta Alliance; Janet & Michael McCleary; Frank Morgan; and Captain Morgan's Delta Adventures, LLC	Michael Brodsky	Law Offices of Michael A. Brodsky	michael@brodskylaw.net
Islands, Inc	Michael J. Van Zandt		mvanzandt@hansonbridgett.com
California Sportfishing Protection Alliance, California Water Impact Network, and AquAlliance	Michael Jackson, Bill Jennings, Chris Shutes, Barbara Vlamis, and Carolee Krieger	Law Offices of Michael Jackson	mjatty@sbcglobal.net blancapaloma@msn.com deltakeep@me.com barbarav@aqualliance.net caroleekreiger7@gmail.com
Snug Harbor Resorts, LLC	Nicole S Suard		sunshine@snugharbor.net
Local Agencies of the North Delta	Osha Meserve		osha@semlawyers.com
Bogle Vineyards/Delta Watershed Landowner Coalition	Osha Meserve		osha@semlawyers.com
Diablo Vineyards and Brad Lange/Delta Watershed Landowner Coalition	Osha Meserve		oahs@semlawyers.com

Stillwater Orchards/Delta Watershed Landowner Coalition	Osha Meserve		osha@semlawyers.com
Patrick Porgans	Patrick Porgans		porgansinc@sbcglobal.net
San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors Water Authority	Paul Minasian	Minasian, Meith, Soares, Sexton & Cooper, LLP	pminasian@minasianlaw.com
Coalition for a Sustainable Delta, The	Paul S. Weiland		pweiland@nossaman.com
Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District	Paul Simmons	Somach, Simmons & Dunn, PC	psimmons@somachlaw.com
Westlands Water District	Philip A Williams		pwilliams@westlandswater.org
County of Yolo	Philip J. Pogledich		philip.pogledich@yolocounty.org
City of Antioch	Ron Bernal	City of Antioch	rbernal@ci.antioch.ca.us
Contra Costa County and Contra Costa County Water Agency	Ryan Hernandez		ryan.hernandez@dcd.cccounty.us; stephen.siptroth@cc.cccounty.us
Contra Costa Water District	Scott Shapiro and Kevin O'Brien	Downey Brand LLP	sshapiro@downeybrand.com; kobrien@downeybrand.com
Daniel Wilson	Daniel Wilson		daniel@kaydix.com
State Water Contractors	Stefanie Morris		smorris@swc.org
Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations and Institute for Fisheries Resources	Stephan C. Volker	Volker Law	svolker@volkerlaw.com
Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority & water service contractors in its service area	Steven Saxton, Meredith Nikkel & J. Mark Atlas	Downey Brand & J. Mark Atlas Law	ssaxton@downeybrand.com mnikkel@downeybrand.com matlas@jmatlaslaw.com
The San Joaquin Tributaries Authority (SJTA), Merced Irrigation District, Modesto Irrigation District, Oakdale Irrigation District, South San Joaquin Irrigation District, Turlock Irrigation District, and City and County of San Francisco	Tim O' Laughlin & Valerie Kincaid	O'Laughlin & Paris, LLP	towater@olaughlinparis.com; vkinkaid@olaughlinparis.com

Water Forum, The	Tom Gohring		tgohring@waterforum.org
Earthjustice	Trent W. Orr		torr@earthjustice.org
County of Solano	William Emlen		WFEmlen@solanocounty.com

**THE FOLLOWING PARTY MUST BE SERVED WITH WRITTEN TESTIMONY, EXHIBITS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. (Note: The party listed below must be served a hard copy, pursuant to the rules specified in the hearing notice.)**

<b>Party or Participant</b>	<b>Authorized Representative/ Attorney</b>	<b>Mailing Address of Authorized Representative/ Attorney</b>
Clifton Court, L.P.	Suzanne Womack & Sheldon Moore	3619 Land Park Drive Sacramento, CA 95818

**Parties Participating in Part II Only (Must also be Served in Part I)**

**THE FOLLOWING PARTIES MUST BE SERVED WITH WRITTEN TESTIMONY, EXHIBITS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. (Note: The parties listed below agreed to accept electronic service, pursuant to the rules specified in the hearing notice.)**

<b>Party or Participant</b>	<b>Authorized Representative/ Attorney</b>	<b>Authorized Representative's Affiliation</b>	<b>Email Address of Authorized Representative/ Attorney</b>
County of Sacramento, The	Aaron Ferguson	Somach Simmons & Dunn	aferguson@somachlaw.com
Friends of the River	Bob Wright		bwright@friendsoftheriver.org
Environmental Council of Sacramento	Brenda Rose		office@ecosacramento.net
Trout Unlimited	Brian Johnson		bjohnson@tu.org
California Department of Fish and Wildlife	Carl Wilcox		carl.wilcox@wildlife.ca.gov
Environmental Water Caucus	Conner Everts		connere@gmail.com
Sierra Club California	E. Robert Wright & Kyle Jones		bwright@friendsoftheriver.org; kyle.jones@sierraclub.org
Planning & Conservation League	Jonas Minton		jminton@pcl.org
Natural Resources Defense Council, The Bay Institute, and Defenders of Wildlife	Kate Poole	Natural Resources Defense Council	kpoole@nrdc.org; awaren@nrdc.org; bobker@bay.org; rzwilling@defenders.org; dobegi@nrdc.org
SAVE OUR SANDHILL CRANES	Mike Savino		wirthsoscranes@yahoo.com
Friends of the San Francisco Estuary	Mitch Avalon		friendsofsfestuary@gmail.com
Friends of Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge	Osha Meserve		osha@semlawyers.com; rmburness@comcast.net
American Rivers, INC	Steve Rothert		srothert@americanrivers.org

**Table 2 - Interested Persons**  
**(Persons Intending to Make Policy Statements Only)**

**PARTIES IN TABLE 1 ARE NOT REQUIRED TO SERVE THE FOLLOWING INTERESTED PERSONS WITH WRITTEN TESTIMONY, EXHIBITS, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS**

**Interested Persons Participating in Part I (May also be Interested Persons in Part II)**

<b>Party or Participant</b>	<b>Authorized Representative/ Attorney</b>	<b>Authorized Representative's Affiliation</b>	<b>Email Address of Authorized Representative/ Attorney</b>
Castaic Lake Water Agency	Matthew Stone		mstone@clwa.org
Central Valley Clean Water Association	Debbie Webster		eofficer@cvcwa.org
Coachella Valley Water District	Robert C Cheng		rcheng@cvwd.org
Desert Water Agency	Mark Krause		mkrause@dwa.org
Kern County Water Agency	Curtis Creel		ccreel@kcwa.com
Metropolitan Water District of Southern California	Rebecca Sheehan		rsheehan@mw2o.com
Mojave Water Agency	Kirby Brill		kbrill@mojavewater.org
North State Water Alliance	David J. Guy, President	Northern California Water Association	dguy@norcalwater.org
Partnership for Sound Science in Environmental Policy	Craig S.J. Johns, Program Manager, PSSEP		cjohns@calrestrats.com
San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District	Douglas Headrick		douglash@sbvmwd.com
San Geronio Pass Water Agency	Jeff Davis		jdavis@sgpwa.com
Santa Clara Valley Water District	Erick Soderlund		esoderlund@valleywater.org
Terri Crain	Terri Crain		tcrain@scvchamber.com
Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District	Mark Gilkey		mgilkey@tlbwsd.com
U.S. EPA Region 9	Tomas Torres		torres.tomas@epa.gov
Zone 7 Water Agency	J. Duerig		jduerig@zone7water.com
City of Chico, The	Erik Gustafson		Erik.gustafson@chicoca.gov
Rural County Representatives of California	Kathy Mannion		kmannion@rcrcnet.org

SEMILLAS (Stockton Educational Movement in Language Literacy and Scholarship)	Moteczuma Sanchez		motecps@gmail.com
<b>Interested Persons Participating in Part II Only</b>			
African American Chamber of Commerce of San Joaquin County	Brandie Owusu-Spencer	African American Chamber of Commerce of San Joaquin County	eyv269@gmail.com
Asian Pacific Self-Development and Residential Association	Hengsothea Ung		usothea@asparaonline.org
Assemblymember Susan Eggman	Gustavo Medina		gustavo.medina@asm.ca.gov
Braceros del Delta	Luis Magaña		Lmagana@afsc.org
Cafe Coop	Esperanza Vielma	Cafe Coop	evielma@cafecoop.org
California Striped Bass Association	Jim Cox		jimcoxsportfishing@yahoo.com
California Student Sustainability Coalition	Ryan Camero		rcarcamaero@gmail.com
Catholic Charities, Diocese of Stockton	Katelyn Roedner Sutter		kroedner@ccstockton.org
Central Valley Asian Chamber	Cynthia Lam		cynthiajlan@gmail.com
Earth Law Center	Linda Sheehan		lsheehan@earthlaw.org
Frank L Ruhstaller	Frank L Ruhstaller		larryruhstaller@gmail.com
Golden Gate Salmon Assoc	John McManus		john@goldengatesalmon.org
Greater Stockton Chamber of Commerce	Douglas W. Wilhoit, Jr.		doug@stocktonchamber.org
Joan Buchanan	Joan Buchanan		bu4567@aol.com
Lao Family Community Empowerment	Ger Vang		gvang@lfcempowerment.org
Lower Sherman Island Duck Hunters Association	Roger Mammon		r.mammon@att.net
Michael Frost	Michael Frost		mr.michaelfrost@gmail.com
National Marine Fisheries Service	Ryan Wulff		ryan.wulff@noaa.gov
Rogene Reynolds	Rogene Reynolds		reynolds65686@gmail.com
Ronald Forbes, Delta Fly Fishers	Ronald Forbes		bluse03@yahoo.com
San Francisco Baykeeper	George Torgun		george@baykeeper.org

Senator Cathleen Galgiani	Senator Cathleen Gagiani and Staff Trent Hager & Marian Norris		Cathleen.Galgiani@sen.ca.gov; Trent.Hager@sen.ca.gov; Marian.Norris@sen.ca.gov
Social Media Moms	Martha Vielma		vielmam07@yahoo.com
Stockton Downtown Comeback Club	Karl E Nate Knodt		nknodt@sanjoaquinrtd.com
Stockton Vegan & Vegetarians	Jennifer Patterson		activistjen86@gmail.com
Visit Stockton	Wes Rhea		wes@visitstockton.org