
From: rdenton06@comcast.net [<mailto:rdenton06@comcast.net>]

Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2015 8:38 AM

To: Grober, Les@Waterboards; Riddle, Diane@Waterboards; Satkowski, Rich@Waterboards

Subject: Presentation of effect of North Delta exports on fish

Les, Diane and Rich,

Please find attached my July 16, 2008 presentation to the HOTT subgroup on the effect of north Delta exports and closure of the Delta Cross Channel on flows through Sutter and Steamboat Slough. If fish go with the flow then the north Delta intakes will reduce the percentage of outmigrating salmon going the safer route through Sutter and Steamboat.

The Draft BDCP Executive Summary acknowledged that the north Delta exports specifically will harm most of the key fish species. DWR and Reclamation argued though that the benefits of minimizing reverse flows in the south Delta and the 150,000 acres of habitat restoration would more than offset those significant adverse impacts.

The Cal. WaterFix does not minimize south Delta reverse flows (and will even rely on south delta exports in drier periods) and has no significant habitat restoration, so the north Delta intakes will represent a real threat to fish.

Which raises the question, if south and north Delta export locations are bad, why haven't DWR and Reclamation seriously considered and analyzed western Delta export locations?

If you have any questions about this presentation, please contact me at (510) 339-3618.

Richard Denton