Should nitrogen be reduced to manage eutrophication if it is growth limiting? Evidence from Moses Lake
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Abstract


The recovery of Moses Lake from hypereutrophy to mesotrophy over a 25-year period resulted from the addition of large quantities of low nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) dilution water and a change in irrigation practices. Throughout the recovery, the in-lake ratio of nitrate-N to soluble reactive P (SRP) remained well below the Redfield ratio, indicating short-term N limitation. Nevertheless, the disproportionately greater reduction in inflow P than N, relative to the Redfield ratio, caused the long-term reduction of total P (TP), SRP and chlorophyll. Lake TN:TP ratios consistently remained slightly above the Redfield ratio, apparently through N fixation, despite continued N limitation. Cyanobacteria (primarily Aphanizomenon) dominated the plankton algae during the recovery period until the lake became mesotrophic and calculated net internal loading of P was undetectable. These results demonstrate that usually inflow P, not N, should be reduced to effect long-term recovery of eutrophic lakes, despite observed short-term limitation by N.
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Nitrogen (N) has received increased interest lately, not only as a limiting nutrient, but also as one that needs to be reduced, along with phosphorus (P), to control eutrophication (Paerl 2007, 2008, Lewis et al. 2008, Lewis and Wurtsbaugh 2008, Conley et al. 2009). The many results from bioassays showing N to be limiting as often as P, and flaws in the evidence for over emphasis on P, support a new paradigm of N and P having more or less equal importance, according to Lewis and Wurtsbaugh (2008). However, the limiting nutrient in eutrophic lakes is usually N because N:P ratios decline with eutrophication (Downing and McCauley 1992), and nitrate-N reaches very low, often undetectable levels in summer. So, would the limiting nutrient in the lake be the appropriate choice for reduction in the inflow to reduce the lake’s trophic state? Lewis and Wurtsbaugh (2008) separated the question of which nutrient controls growth in the lake from which nutrient should be controlled in the input to manage lakes, and they concluded for management purposes the answer is P.

Evidence from lake responses to manipulations of input nutrients also points to P as the nutrient to reduce to reduce trophic state. While most of the management experience in recovering eutrophic lakes is from wastewater diversion in which inputs of both N and P were reduced, the low N:P ratio in wastewater (∼3:1) usually resulted in much more P removed than N, relative to the Redfield ratio (7:1). Therefore, most of the recovery has been accorded to P removal, especially when slow recovery was attributed to continued internal loading of P from sediments (Cooke et al. 2005). Further, an investigation of the response of 18 European lakes to wastewater diversion concluded that algal biomass began to decline only after soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) reached <10 µg/L (Sas et al. 1989); therefore, controlling N would provide minimum benefit due to N fixation because N fixation operates to restore the N:P ratio to the Redfield level (Hutchinson 1970). Moreover, reducing the external N supply relative to P may actually promote dominance by cyanobacteria (Schindler 1977, Nürnberg 2007, Schindler et al. 2008, Vrede et al. 2009).

The reduction of input N as well as P may be necessary to reduce algal biomass if N fixation by cyanobacteria is considered incapable of utilizing the available P in some lakes with low N:P ratios (Lewis et al. 2008). Others have advocated the need to target both N and P to reduce blooms of cyanobacteria in N-limited estuarine areas, or in lakes where watersheds are connected to estuaries (Paerl, 2007, 2008, Conley et al. 2009). Nevertheless, the
pertinent question for management of most eutrophic lakes and reservoirs is which nutrient should be targeted in the external input to reduce eutrophication and its symptoms?

This question assumes that water residence time is adequate for N-fixing cyanobacteria because growth is slow if dependent on N fixation. In lakes with N-fixing cyanobacteria, or where conditions are conducive to their growth, ratios of N:P and/or algal bioassays in the lake may not provide the correct answer. Long-term, whole-lake experiments in which the nutrient inputs are manipulated and the lake response in situ is observed are the only reliable way to judge the relative importance of each nutrient (Carpenter 2008, Schindler et al. 2008). Results from Lake 227 clearly show that not only is P the nutrient to target, but N reduction promoted blooms of N-fixing cyanobacteria (Schindler et al. 2008). This effect supports the caution against N reduction, as did the results from 18 European lakes (Sas et al. 1989). Therefore, targeting

Figure 1.-Moses Lake showing inflow of Columbia River dilution water from the East Low Canal (1) entering via Rocky Coulee Wasteway (2) into Crab Creek (3) and then into Parker Horn (5). Upper Parker Horn (5) water was pumped at times into Upper Pelican Horn (19). Water sampling sites are shown by number and solid lines for transects.
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both N and P may not only be much costlier than necessary, but may even promote blooms of N-fixing cyanobacteria, especially in cases of high internal P loading.

These results from Moses Lake before and after dilution water addition and a shift from rill (ditch) to spray irrigation demonstrate the importance of P control in the inflow despite N limitation. Those treatments reduced inflow P preferentially to N and moved the lake from hyper-eutrophy to low eutrophy and finally to mesotrophy over a 25-year period. Dilution water from the Columbia River was low in both P and N, but high background concentration of inflow N in Crab Creek caused a much greater reduction in P than N in the combined total inflow. The lake response to the reduction in inflow P concentration, relative to N, was determined by comparing pretreatment with three subsequent sets of post-treatment data from the central part of the lake. This case demonstrates that short-term indicators such as the N:P ratio and bioassays are not a direct indicator of long-term management effectiveness.

Description of moses lake

Moses Lake, in east-central Washington, was originally a natural lake created by wind blown sand dunes that had historically dammed Crab Creek. The lake level was stabilized with two dams, one constructed in 1929 and another in 1963.

The lake has an area of 2900 ha with a mean depth of 5.6 m and a maximum depth of 11.5 m at South Lake (Fig. 1). The lake is mostly polymictic because 80% of the area is too shallow to stratify. Parker Horn (site 7; Fig. 1) and South Lake (site 9) together represent 26% of the lake’s area and have been used to illustrate the trends in water quality (Welch et al. 1992) because most of the dilution water entering via Crab Creek passed through those sections. However, the lower part of Rocky Ford Arm (Cascade, site 8), representing another 14% of the lake’s area, also received dilution water and had similar water quality as Parker Horn. Even one-third of the water farther up Rocky Ford Arm (site 12) was composed of dilution water (as traced by conductivity; Welch and Patmont 1980).

The two tributaries have similar flow volume (Fig. 1): Crab Creek drains 80% of the 5265 km² watershed, which is mostly range land and dry land agriculture, but also contains some irrigated land (112 km²); and Rocky Ford Creek is largely spring fed. The two streams have typically contributed similar inflows during April–September (Jones and Welch 1990). If the combined flow rates represented the whole year, water residence time in the lake would be about 1 year (Jones and Welch 1990, Welch et al. 1992).

Manipulations

Starting in spring 1977, large volumes of low-nutrient Columbia River water were systematically diverted from the East Low Canal (site 1; Fig. 1) through Rocky Coulee Wasteway and Crab Creek. Clear bars are water exchange rates for the Parker Horn volume in %/day for the 6-month dilution period, and whole-lake volume is $154 \times 10^6$ m³.

In addition to dilution water, sewage effluent from the City of Moses Lake was diverted from Middle Pelican Horn (site 11, Fig. 1) in 1984, and irrigation practices gradually switched during the 1970s from about two-thirds rill to three-fourths spray type (Welch and Weiher 1987). That switch may have caused the observed gradual decrease in SRP from 32 to 7 μg/L and TP from 119 to 47 μg/L in the background surface inflow entering via Crab Creek (site 3) during the 1970s and 1980s (Welch et al. 1992). Wastewater diversion had a major effect in Middle Pelican Horn, a minor effect in South Lake and no effect in Parker Horn (Welch et al. 1992).
Sampling procedures

The lake was sampled twice monthly at eight sites from April through September during 1969–1970 and 1977–1988 (Bush et al. 1972, Welch et al. 1992). Water was collected at a depth of 0.5 m across a series of transects (Fig. 1). Discrete samples were also collected monthly at 0.5 m at the same sites during the spring–summer of 2001 by the Washington Department of Ecology (Carroll 2006). On the same occasions, samples were collected from Rocky Ford Creek (site 13), the inflow to the Rocky Ford Arm, and from Lower Crab Creek (site 4), the inflow to Parker Horn that combined Upper Crab Creek (site 3) flow with Columbia River dilution water entering from the East Low irrigation canal (site 1) via Rock Coulee Wasteway (site 2).

Sample analysis

Samples for soluble nutrients were filtered through 0.45-µm Millipores at the site. The SRP was determined by the acid molybdate heteropoly blue method and NO₃ by cadmium reduction (EPA 1979). The TP and TN were determined on previously frozen samples: TP after persulfate digestion and TN by ultraviolet light oxidation through the mid-1980s (Strickland and Parsons 1972) and afterward by persulfate oxidation (Solorzano and Sharp 1990). Oxidized samples were analyzed for NO₂⁻ + NO₃⁻-N.

Chlorophyll a (chl) was determined by the flurometric method, corrected for phaeophytin, through 1986 and spectrophotometrically afterward. Due to instrument problems, values for 1984 were determined from a regression of algal biovolume on chl using past data. Methods for chl and nutrients are described in more detail in Welch et al. (1992).

Data from Lower Parker Horn (site 7; Fig. 1) and South Lake (site 9) are presented as averages of the spring–summer means within four groupings: pre-treatment (1969–1970), post-dilution (1977–1984), post-dilution and diversion (1986–1988), and most recent (2001). Data from 1985 are omitted from the period mean because of exceptionally high internal P loading (2 × average), caused by high wind mixing during August that produced low water column stability and high lake TP and chl. The other groups of years had rather consistent in-lake conditions, despite low dilution input in 1980 after the Mount St. Helens ash fall, and no dilution water addition in 1984, the year sewage was diverted. For example, the means and standard errors (± SE) for summer TP were 74 ± 3 and 44 ± 2 µg/L for 1977–1984 and 1986–1988, respectively. Data from Lower Parker Horn and South Lake were used to indicate lake conditions during May–September because they were most affected by dilution water that began in April and by irrigation return, although Rocky Ford Arm (site 12) and Cascade (site 8) were also affected. Lower Crab Creek (site 4) during April–September represented inflow to Parker Horn of dilution water mixed with normal flow containing background nutrient levels.

Results

The effect of dilution water addition and changed irrigation practices was a 4-fold decrease in the spring–summer mean P concentrations in lower Crab Creek (site 4; Fig. 3).
The trend of decreasing inflow TP concentration persisted through 2001, while SRP changed little after the mid 1980s. In contrast, TN and NO$_3$-N concentrations showed little change through the 1980s, remaining at levels of 1200 and 800 µg/L, respectively. Not until 2001 did TN and NO$_3$-N decrease by more than one-half (Fig. 3). The lower inflow TN and NO$_3$-N concentrations in 2001 may have been due in part to larger dilution water addition, which was about double the average during 1986–1988 (Fig. 2). However, dilution water addition in 1986–1988 was already about 50% greater than during 1977–1984, yet inflow TN and NO$_3$-N concentrations were similar for those two periods.

Concentrations of TP and SRP in the lake (mean of Lower Parker Horn and South Lake) decreased in proportion to the decrease in inflow concentrations (Fig. 4). The TP decreased from a pre-treatment mean of >150 µg/L (hypereutrophy) to 17 µg/L (mesotrophy) by 2001. Chlorophyll also declined in proportion to TP, from a pretreatment mean of >50 to 11 µg/L in 2001.

Total P still varies from year to year. Parker Horn was sampled on five occasions in 2005 and averaged 33 µg/L (WQE 2005). Although South Lake was not sampled, TP probably would have been lower, judging from past years. Therefore, the two-area mean was probably around the 30 µg/L meso-eutrophic boundary (Nürnberg 1996).

Mean spring–summer NO$_3$-N concentration in the lake actually increased during 1977–1984 with dilution water addition before declining in the mid-1980s (Fig. 4). Nevertheless, low inlake NO$_3$-N:SRP ratios persisted after treatment, indicating that N continued to be limiting. During periods of high algal biomass, NO$_3$-N reached very low, often non-detectable levels.

Nitrogen limitation was also demonstrated by in-lake bioassays conducted in 100 L plastic bags 1970 (Buckley 1971, Welch et al. 1972). Chlorophyll increased in proportion to the concentration of NO$_3$-N added (Fig. 5). The NO$_3$-N and SRP concentrations in all bags and the ambient lake averaged 9 and 3 µg/L, respectively, at the start of the 13-day bioassay. Because low-nutrient dilution water with 9 µg/L NO$_3$-N and 16 µg/L SRP was added to the bags at 25, 50 and 75%, there was dilution of the 1 mg/L NO$_3$-N that was previously added to lake water in half the bags. Thus, the highest initial NO$_3$-N concentration was in the 25% dilution bags, which produced the highest chl (Fig. 5). Colony counts of cyanobacteria, which represented nearly all the biomass, also increased much more with NO$_3$-N added, but the increase in colonies was not proportional to NO$_3$-N concentration added, as was the case for chl (Table 1; Fig. 5).

Table 1.- Net yield of cyanobacteria (Anabaena, Aphanizomenon and Microcystis) in colonies/mL in 100 L plastic bags suspended in Moses Lake in August 1970 diluted with low-nutrient Columbia River water with and without NO$_3$-N added to lake water at 1 mg/L. Bag water was exchanged at 10%/day with water of the initial compositions during the 13-day experiment (from Buckley, 1971).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% Lake Water</th>
<th>W/O NO$_3$</th>
<th>W/ NO$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>+277</td>
<td>+743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>+57</td>
<td>+896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>+40</td>
<td>+796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5.-Response of algae, as mean chl of four consecutive highest values, to low-nutrient Columbia River water addition to 100-L plastic bags in situ at 25, 50 and 75% lake water, with NO₃-N added to lake water at 1 mg/L, resulting in initial NO₃-N of 751, 502 and 232 µg/L, respectively. Controls (cross hatched) were with dilution water but no NO₃-N added. NO₃-N concentration decreased to an averaged of 4 µg/L in all bags after 13 days of the experiment (Buckley 1971).

While dilution water was low in N as well as P, the high NO₃-N background levels in Crab Creek caused the dilution water addition to greatly raise the mixed inflow NO₃-N:SRP ratio to nearly 60 from the 1969–1970 ratio of about 20 (Fig. 6). This amounted to a preferential input reduction of P over N; therefore, the reduction of chl and improvement in lake quality resulted from a reduction of in-flow P, despite the continued, lower-than Redfield, inlake NO₃-N:SRP ratio (Fig. 6) and continued short-term N limitation when those ratios were low in the lake (Fig. 5; Table 1).

In contrast to the low NO₃-N:SRP ratio, TN:TP in the lake (8–12) was consistently near the Redfield ratio, even though it was much higher in the inflow (Fig. 6). Again, the high

Figure 6.-Average N:P ratios in the inflow (April–September) and in Parker Horn and South Lake of Moses Lake (May–September) before (1969–1970) and after the start (1977) of systematic dilution. The dashed line (RR) is the Redfield ratio.
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The long-term dilution of Moses Lake with low-nutrient Columbia River water disproportionately lowered P more than N, despite similarly low N and P in dilution water. Dilution was more effective at lowering inflow P than N concentration because background NO$_3$-N was very high. Also, background P concentrations in Crab Creek decreased substantially accompanying a switch in irrigation practiced during the 1970s–1980s. Decreased P, therefore, must have been the cause for the decrease in lake chl and change in lake trophic state from hypereutrophic to mesotrophic over a 25-year period. This is strong evidence that decreased P was the cause for the decrease in lake chl and the change in lake trophic state from hypereutrophic to mesotrophic over a 25-year period. This observation is consistent with current experience and rationale for emphasizing control of P rather than N to reduce eutrophication and its effects in lakes (Carpenter 2008, Schindler et al. 2008, Vrede et al. 2009).

Improvement of the lake’s trophic state to mesotrophy in 2001 was probably due, in large part, to the lack of net internal P loading, computed from mass balance (Carroll 2006). As long as internal loading of P continued to be one-third of the total (external plus internal), as was the average for 10 of 12 years during 1977–1988, increasing the volume of dilution water beyond 180 × 10$^6$ m$^3$ (only ~5% greater than the 25-year average) was expected to be only minimally effective at further reducing lake TP (Jones and Welch 1990). Declining internal P loading over that 25-year period following a reduction in inflow concentration...
was not unexpected, however, based on histories of lake recovery (Cooke et al. 2005).

Also consistent with the decrease in TP to a mesotrophic level (<30 $\mu$g/L), was the decreased dominance by cyanobacteria. This group of algae, consisting of primarily *Aphanizomenon* but also *Anabaena* and *Microcystis*, decreased from nearly 100% of the biomass in 1969–1970, when the lake was hypereutrophic, to an average of 65 ± 22% after dilution began in the 1970s–1980s, to <5% in 2001 (Welch et al. 1992, Carroll 2006). That change was also not unexpected, because reducing TP to <30 $\mu$g/L and chl to <10 $\mu$g/L has been shown to greatly decrease the probability of cyanobacteria being 50% of the biomass (Downing et al. 2001).

The lower inflow of TN and NO$_3$-N concentrations in 2001 compared to previous years probably did not account for the further decrease in trophic state (Fig. 3). Concentration of TP was also lower in the inflow and the lake in 2001 than during 1986–1988, but in-lake NO$_3$-N was not appreciably lower in 2001. Although in-lake TN was lower in 2001 (211 $\mu$g/L) than during 1986–1988 (400 $\mu$g/L), the TN:TP ratio in the lake was not substantially higher in 2001 (12.4) than in 1986–1988 (9.1).

The disproportionately greater reduction in P than N to Moses Lake was probably similar to that for most lakes recovering from the diversion of wastewater with a low TN:TP ratio. Wastewater diversion projects have not usually evaluated results in terms of change in inflow N:P ratio, but rather have emphasized P reduction in both inflow and lake water (Sas et al. 1989, Wilander and Persson 2001, Cooke et al. 2005). Consequently, the reduction of in-lake P has been considered the key to lake recovery. For example, in Lake Washington, where in-lake P and chl decreased markedly soon after diversion of wastewater, in-lake NO$_3$-N remained relatively unchanged (Edmondson 1970). As in Lake Washington, average spring–summer NO$_3$-N in Moses Lake did not decline consistently with chl as did TP and SRP.

There may be situations where inflow N reduction would recover eutrophic lakes without resulting in increased dominance by cyanobacteria and N fixation, which would be unlike the results from Lake 227 (Schindler et al. 2008). While N-fixation is apparently adequate to make up intake N deficiencies in most eutrophic lakes, the energy demanding process may be too slow in reservoirs with short water retention times of 1–2 weeks. Retention times less than about 10 days have been found to reduce cyanobacteria biomass accumulation (Persson 1981). Reduction of inflow N in such circumstances would probably be cost-effective only if background P were naturally high and uncontrollable.

These results demonstrate that a management plan to reduce eutrophication should not be based solely on simple, short-term indicators, such as lake N:P ratio and bioassays. These indicators, while instructive, cannot represent the long-term response to whole-lake manipulations, as do the ELA experiments (Schindler 2008) and histories of lake recovery from nutrient load reductions (Edmondson 1970, Sas et al. 1989, Wilander and Persson 2001, Cooke et al. 2005). The results of these observations clearly show that P reduction, either from external or internal sources, most cost-effectively controls eutrophication in fresh water lakes. The author is unaware of any published case that demonstrates the effectiveness of N-only reduction, or for the necessity of N reduction in addition to P reduction.
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