1 2 3 4 5	OSHA R. MESERVE (SBN 204240) SOLURI MESERVE, A LAW CORPORATION 1010 F Street, Suite 100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 455-7300 Facsimile: (916) 244-7300 Email: osha@semlawyers.com		
6 7 8	Attorneys for Protestants Local Agencies of the North Delta Bogle Vineyards / Delta Watershed Landowner Coalition Diablo Vineyards and Brad Lange / Delta Watershed Landowner Coalition Stillwater Orchards / Delta Watershed Landowner Coalition		
9 10 11 12	THOMAS H. KEELING (SBN 114979) FREEMAN FIRM 1818 Grand Canal Boulevard, Suite 4 Stockton, CA 95207 Telephone: (209) 474-1818 Facsimile: (209) 474-1245 Email: tkeeling@freemanfirm.com		
14	Attorney for Protestants County of San Joaquin, et al.		
15	[ADDITIONAL COUNSEL LISTED ON FOLLOWING PAGE]		
16	BEFORE THE		
17	CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD		
18 19 20 21	HEARING IN THE MATTER OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND UNITED STATES BUREAU OF RECLAMATION'S REQUEST FOR A CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION FOR CALIFORNIA WATER FIX	TESTIMONY OF DANIEL WILSON	
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
26   27			
28			

Testimony of Daniel Wilson

1	J. MARK MYLES (SBN 200823)
2	Office of the County Counsel
	County of San Joaquin 44 N. San Joaquin Street, Suite 679
3	Stockton, CA 95202-2931
4	Telephone: (209) 468-2980
5	Facsimile: (209) 468-0315 Email: jmyles@sjgov.org
6	Attorney for Protestants County of San Joaquin, et al.
7	JENNIFER SPALETTA (200032)
8	SPALETTA LAW
9	P.O. Box 2660 Lodi, CA 95241
10	Telephone: (209) 224-5568
	Facsimile: (209) 224-5589 Email: jennifer@spalettalaw.com
11	Email: jerililler@Spaiettalaw.com
12	Attorney for Protestants County of San Joaquin, et al.
13	MICHAEL J. VAN ZANDT (SBN 96777)
14	HANSON BRIDGETT LLP 425 Market Street, 26th Floor
15	San Francisco, CA 94105
16	Telephone: (415) 777-3200 Facsimile: (415) 541-9366
	Email: mvanzandt@hansonbridgett.com
17	Attorney for Protestants Islands, Inc.
18	Attorney for Frotestarias islands, inc.
19	
20	
21   22	
23	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	i
	Testimony of Daniel Wilson

My name is Daniel Wilson. I graduated from University of Californian Davis with a Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering. I have been involved with levees, floods and water for 44 years since the 1972 Brannan Andrus flood. I was the contract administrator for the reconstruction of Tyler Island and Dead Horse Island in 1986 and Dead Horse Island in 1997. I served eight years on the Delta Protection Commission. I am or have been a trustee on Reclamations Districts 2111, 563, 556 & 3.

I am a sixth generation farmer managing several orchards and open land operations. I am responsible for maintaining over 60 water diversions on various farms in the Sacramento Delta. (See Daniel Wilson Protest.) I also manage Riverside Elevators in Isleton, the only major public grain storage facility in the Delta. In addition, our family operates a pear packing facility that was located on Andrus Island at the location of the second original muck pit.

On a personal note, my home, orchard and pear packing shed was in the original path of the Twin Tunnels, and was also slated to be covered with twenty feet of muck. That proposal focused my attention on the massive disruption this project would impose on my family in particular, as well as the Delta and the greater San Francisco Bay. The proposed configuration has since been moved east to McCormack-Williamson and Staten Islands. However, the fact that this proposal was made at all illustrates the absolute disregard of the quality of life in the Delta by the proponents of the Tunnels.

I manage several water diversions involving turbine pumps; low head centrifugal pumps and high pressure sprinkler pumps, several of which are downstream from the proposed new diversions. This raises two issues—water quality and water levels. According to the information presented by the Petitioners, water levels in the north Delta in the vicinity, and in particular, downstream of the intakes, will be lowered. (DWR-66, pp. 9-10.) Though the testimony states that this will be for a "short period of time," depending on the timing, this could interfere with our ability to irrigate crops with our diversions. Sufficient information has not been provided in the Petition to assess this injury. Additionally, it is the policy of DWR not to assist farmers with higher pumping costs associated with lowered water levels. Thus, those

costs (injuries) would be borne by the water users even though we have had no part in creating the adverse condition.

Changes to water quality downstream of the proposed intakes are also a serious concern. (See, e.g., DWR-66, pp. 4-6 [discussing 18-19% increase in EC at Emmaton in July and August for all scenarios].) In addition, the DWR is already proposing dams on Sutter and Steamboat Sloughs during low flow years. If that was combined with pulling up to 9,000 cfs out of the Sacramento River, it is clear to me that this will interfere with our ability to continue to irrigate our orchards with high quality water and produce high quality fruit. Sufficient information has not been provided in the Petition to assess this injury. If lands in the north Delta become un-farmable, that will put well in excess of 1,000 people out of work in our operation alone.

There has been much discussion about modeling of future scenarios and regulations to that would ensure future diversions do not harm water users in the Delta. The modeling is inadequate and inaccurate by its very nature. The Petitioners have made clear the modeling is for comparative purposes only, and cross-examination has revealed serious problems with even relying on modeling for comparative purposes. With respect to operating criteria that would control operation of the new intakes, that can readily change, and we know the export water interests will use all the power they have to take as much water as possible regardless of the impacts on us.

My second issue is much more specific; the most northern intake is proposed to be on top of one of our water diversions (S019377; DWR-2, slide 21) and family orchards.

The intake will completely destroy our orchard that has been in my family for four generations.

(LAND- 69, p. 91 [DCE CM1 Property Acquisition Management Plan].) My grandfather began farming that orchard in the twenties. The orchard that would be destroyed by Intake Number 2 is a wonderful family orchard full of multiple and unique varieties of exotic pears. These heirloom pears are a vital component in our overall varietal marketing plan. Intake 2 would destroy the entire farm, including the water diversion on the Sacramento River that supplies it with high quality Sacramento River water. (See LAND-57 [3 Maps of private Properties

 destruction of the diversion and the entire orchard it irrigates.

In summary, my family opposes this plan and respectfully requests that the Petition be denied.

Needed for Water Tunnel Intakes #2, 3 and 5].).) I can think of no more severe injury than the





I declare under penalty of perjusy under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing statements are true and correct.

Executed on the 31st Day of August, 2016, at Walnut Grove, California.

Daniel Wilson