- 1 Nicole S Suard, Esq.
- 2 Managing member, Snug Harbor Resorts, LLC (Protestant)
- 3 3356 Snug Harbor Drive
- 4 Walnut Grove, CA 95690
- 5 (916)775-1455
- 6 http://snugharbor.net

7

8 9

BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD

HEARING IN THE MATTER OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND UNITED STATES BUREAU OF RECLAMATION REQUEST FOR CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION FOR CALIFORNIA WATERFIX SUR-REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF PROTESTANT NICOLE S SUARD, ESQ.

10

- Sur-Rebuttal testimony is offered in response to rebuttal testimony by DWR witness Dr.
- Nader-Tehran¹ regarding assumptions of water quality in the North Delta at locations other
- than NDWA compliance points modeled², regarding DSM2 velocity³ and temperature
- assumptions⁴, and regarding actual impacts based upon actual observed flows compared to
- proposed flows under WaterFix operations⁵.
- 1. ASSUMED WATER QUALITY IMPACTS BASED ON MODELING COMPARED TO
- 17 ACTUAL IMPACTS FROM LOW FLOWS IN SUMMER MONTHS.
- In DWR-79, page 45, Dr. Nader-Tehrani said:
- "In general the water quality will continue to remain fresh at most places in the North Delta under WaterFix operations (at places upstream of Rio Vista), including areas around Ryer Island. "Furthermore, Dr. Nader-Tehrani said
- "I would like to reiterate that the modeled exceedances in D-1641 agricultural, municipal, and industrial water quality objectives are not real, and occur mainly due to a difference in

-

¹ DWR-79.pdf Written testimony of Dr. Parviz Nader-Tehrani, page 45 of 45, lines 3 through 5.

² DWR-50, page 58 of 58 "North Delta Water quality upstream of Rio Vista (including areas around Ryer Island) should continue to remain fresh under WaterFix, DWR-50 page 41 "Water quality in and around Ryer Island has been fresh even during recent droughts." And Water quality at Rio Vista is not representative of water quality I and around Ryer Island.

³ DWR-8, slide 27 and 28, regarding Delta Flow Velocity Effects Analysis, point regarding Nine Delta locations, which are representative of the entire Delta.

⁴ DWR-8.pdf, page 41 of 58, map of Delta Temperature Effects analysis

⁵ SHR-350 and SHR-352

the assumptions in DSM2 and CalSim II, including a difference in the size of the time-step in the two models. Finally, it is my opinion that DSM2 has been properly calibrated and validated, and I believe it represents the best available tool to evaluate the changes in water quality and water levels in the Delta that may occur under WaterFix."

I disagree with the conclusion and testimony of Dr. Nader-Tehrani, and disagree with the modeling presented by DWR regarding impacts, flows and temperatures assumed and projected for Steamboat nearby SHR facility. I base my opinion upon review of flow and salinity data available to the public online, which demonstrates water quality impacts from low flows during summer months, such as was experienced in 2015. I have personally been attending DWR sponsored meetings since 2008, and have consistently asked the same questions regarding impacts to water quality on Steamboat Slough area from lower flows over the long term, regardless of the name of the project as the function is the issue. How much fresh water is diverted from Steamboat Slough and when is the issue. DWR had ample time to analyze impact to the SHR area of Steamboat Slough for proposed WaterFix project, and did not do so.

However, during the rebuttal phase, DWR modeling representative Dr. Nader-Terhani did clearly state his assumption that

"In general the water quality will continue to remain fresh at most places in the North Delta under WaterFix operations (at places upstream of Rio Vista), including areas around Ryer Island." ⁶

Dr. Nader-Tehrani statement can be shown to be an incorrect assumption since low flows of summer 2015 on Steamboat Slough resulted in EC levels higher than what is projected for WaterFix impacts.

⁶ DWR-79.pdf Written testimony of Dr. Parviz Nader-Tehrani, page 45 of 45

Averages do not provide an accurate picture of salinity encroachment due to low freshwater 1 inflows, at least in the North Delta waterways of Steamboat Slough and lower Sacramento 2 river below Isleton. My testimony and supporting documents demonstrate that monthly 3 averages do in fact mask the peak salinity events in low flow periods, and it is those peak 4 salinity inflow events that can cause damage to surface drinking water. My testimony and 5 6 supporting documents also demonstrate that water quality at the NDWA compliance station above the confluence with Sutter Slough is substantially different from water quality at the 7 water quality monitoring station downstream of Snug Harbor on Steamboat Slough. My 8 9 testimony and supporting documents also demonstrate that water quality on the Sacramento River above the monitoring station at Rio Vista is substantially different from the water quality 10 shown at the monitoring station downstream of Isleton on the Sacramento River, which further 11 demonstrates that each waterway in the North Delta responds differently to reduced flows and 12 therefore has different low flow impacts, all of which was not analyzed by DWR and USBR for 13 the WaterFix Project before the Water Board now. 14

Petitioners have provided testimony and documentation indicating that when operational, the intakes, tunnels and pumps would combine to divert Sacramento River flows into the proposed tunnel conveyance facilities, leaving as little as 6000 cubic feet per second (cfs) of flow⁷ to be split between the five down river channels and tributaries below the proposed tunnel intakes, specifically the Sacramento River, Steamboat Slough, Sutter Slough Georgiana Slough and the Delta Cross Channel, when the gates are open. If operational, WaterFix project would leave less than the historical lowest fresh outflows recorded for Steamboat Slough from before

_

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

⁷ SHR-350, DWR chart of flows downstream of proposed intakes, for a dry year for the months of July, August, September and October, and SHR-352, DWR chart of flows downstream of proposed intakes, for a dry and critical water year, for the months of Juy, August, September and October, and including the flows diverted into the Delta Cross Channel.

- either CVP or SWP were built⁸. Petitioners provided no evidence of targeted analysis
- 2 validating the assumption of Petitioners witnesses that there would be no negative impact on
- water quality for Protestant Snug Harbor Resorts, LLC, a legal user of surface flows and
- 4 groundwater located on a peninsula on Steamboat Slough, off Ryer Island⁹.
- 5 DWR through its computer modeling witness Nader-Tehrani testimony said
- 6 "In general the water quality will continue to remain fresh at most places in the North Delta
- 7 under WaterFix operations (at places upstream of Rio Vista), including areas around Ryer
- 8 Island."10
- 9 As noted in SDWA_32 pdf, Dr. Nader-Tehrani has been working on water quality modeling
- issues since at least 2000¹¹. However, using flow and water quality data generated by DWR
- itself, as shown primarily on the CDEC website, I am providing evidence to show that SHR use
- of both surface fresh water and the drinking water aquifer could be severely negatively
- impacted by construction and operation of WaterFix Project Preferred Alternative as proposed
- in hearing process. According to Petitioners Witness Dr. Nader-Tehrani
- " Water quality in and around Ryer Island has been fresh even during recent droughts" 12,
- 16 and oral testimony

⁸ SHR-6 1908 description of flows on Steamboat Slough and the Sacramento River in a dry year, highlighted. And SHR-6f, the full description to accompany Survey of the Sacramento River submitted to US House of Representatives: Letter from the Secretary of War, Plus maps.

⁹ SHR-360, screen print of CDEC water monitoring stations modified by SHR by adding SHR location on Steamboat Slough as well at the locations of some of the other surface and groundwater users along Steamboat Slough.

¹⁰ Testimony of Dr. Parviz Nader-Tehrani, page 45 of 45, lines three to 5.

¹¹ SDWA_32.pdf, page 3.

¹² DWR-50, page 41

""I would consider EC values below 300 as fresh". 13

SHR evidence demonstrates that despite the stated recognition by Petitioners witness that 2 Steamboat Slough has seen fresh water flows historically 14, SHR evidence shows that in real 3 life DWR and/or USBR management of flows in the North Delta on lower Steamboat Slough 4 has resulted in increase in salinity of surface flows at monitoring station SXS¹⁵. Since 5 historical records and DWR modeling use different measurements for salinity recording and 6 modeling, please note the DWR-316 Salinity Conversion Table, and also note other flow 7 conversion data¹⁶. Specifically, 2015 DWR/USBR-managed drought flows in the Delta 8 resulted in an increase in surface water salinity in Steamboat Slough at NDWA, using 9 September 2015 as an example 17. Surface water salinity increased even more along lower 10 Steamboat Slough from 2014 through to the end of 2016, using September 2015 as the 11 example¹⁸. The low flows of summer and fall during the 2015 drought are similar to the 12 projected flows for the same waterway if the WaterFix Project was operational, based upon 13 DWR's own computer modeling¹⁹. In other words, WaterFix Project would potentially suspend 14 15 flows on Steamboat Slough into a worst-case drought flow pattern every year from July

through October, based upon DWR's own flow charts. Note that SHR-9, page 105 provides a

conversion chart defining 50 mg/L chloride as 350 EC²⁰. Yet low flows from September 2015

16

17

1

¹³ See video located at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/board_info/video.shtml May 11, 2017 at location 3:56:23 to 3:56:26

¹⁴ DWR-50, page 58 testimony of Dr. Nader-Tehrani "North Delta water quality upstream of Rio Vista (including areas around Ryer Island) should continue to remain fresh under WaterFix".

¹⁵ SHR-363

¹⁶ SHR-363-2 and DWR-316 Salinity Conversion

¹⁷ SHR-363-3

¹⁸ SHR-363-4

¹⁹ SHR-350, SHR-352 Flow charts provided to SHR during WaterFix hearing process

²⁰ SHR-9, page 105, Chloride to EC conversion Chart

- on lower Steamboat Slough showed EC could be between 400 to 620 EC²¹, and above the
- 2 "fresh" level as described by Dr. Nader-Tehrani.
- Negative impacts from DWR/USBR managed low flows in the Delta in 2015 can be
- 4 assumed to be an example of what to expect if the same low flows became the normal flow
- 5 routine if the WaterFix Project were operational. I observed that increase in surface water
- 6 salinity impacted sensitive fruit trees along Snug Harbor Drive, including peaches,
- 7 pomegranates, pears and cherries, with roots that reach the shallow groundwater. Other large
- 8 trees along our banks died from the roots up through the trunk centers and had to be cut down.
- 9 If fresh water flows in a critical dry year like 2015 are higher than what is proposed by the
- WaterFix Project for Steamboat Slough, it is logical to assume suspending Steamboat Slough
- into a similar low flow pattern from July through October every dry and critical year WaterFix
- Project is operational would result in continuous EC levels above 600 on lower Steamboat
- Slough²² WaterFix operations would result in even lower water quality for areas below Isleton
- yet still above the Rio Vista monitoring station. ²³Low flows on Steamboat Slough as projected
- by WaterFix Project would result in negative impacts to the public drinking water wells at the
- SHR facility over time, as well as negatively affect use of surface water for irrigation of
- sensitive crops like cherry trees, pears, peaches and plums. Concern for impacts to drinking
- water at SHR is not a surprise topic for DWR WaterFix staff. I personally brought the same
- issues to the attention of DWR modeling staff in a written comment in 2009 during the draft
- 20 BDCP meetings²⁴, in a written comments from 2009 to 2017. For example, BDCP modeling

²¹ SHR-363-4

²² SHR-363-4

²³ SHR-364

²⁴ SHR-723

- staff responded to concerns about flow and salinity in a 2010 meeting regarding effects
- 2 modeling for reduced North Delta waterways flows in July 2010²⁵, which indicated the
- 3 possibility of increased salinity on Steamboat Slough from reduced flows.
- 4 SHR points out that DWR and USBR had full access to the computer modeling and
- 5 estimates related to impacts from proposed Bay Delta Conservation Plan actions, and
- 6 proposed North Delta barriers proposals of several years ago, and failed to disclose to the
- 5 board that both those proposals indicated increases in salinity on Steamboat Slough directly
- 8 related to the reduced flow of fresh water.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 9 2.USE OF INACCURATE BASELINE DATA FOR DSM2.
 - Rebuttal testimony introduced by DWR witnesses analyzed Delta Temperature effects analysis²⁶ and Delta Flow Velocity Effects Analysis²⁷ based upon DSM2 modeling. Only one location was analyzed for the North Delta for velocity and temperature effects, which is not logical. In any case, analysis must be based upon correct bathymetry, as noted by DWR own modeling reviewers²⁸. Inaccurate baseline data used for DSM2 modeling is another topic I have brought to the attention of DWR modelers in the past. My graphics and testimony show that DSM2 bathymetry for Steamboat Slough is materially incorrect, and that incorrect data would logically have an effect on the modeled outcomes of flow velocity, water temperature and flow discharge.

²⁵ SHR-407 BDCP graphic of salinity impact from proposed reduced flows for BDCP conveyance plan. And SHR-730.

²⁶ DWR-8 slide 41 Delta temperature effects analysis

²⁷ DWR-8 slide 28 Delta flow velocity effects analysis

²⁸ SHR-104, page 2 Aron Blake USGS presentation graphic

- I, witness Nicole S. Suard, Managing Member for Snug Harbor Resorts, LLC certify that I
- 2 myself compiled the screen prints of documents and graphics contained in the referenced
- 3 evidence submitted by Snug Harbor Resorts, LLC for this sur-rebuttal testimony
- 4 Respectfully submitted,

5 Signed: Miles End