- 1	I	
1	KRISTEN T. CASTAÑOS (SB #198672)	
2	<u>kristen.castanos@stoel.com</u> WESLEY A. MILIBAND (SB #241283)	
3	wes.miliband@stoel.com ERIC R. SKANCHY (SB #303759) eric.skanchy@stoel.com STOEL RIVES LLP 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814	
4		
5		
	Telephone: 916.447.0700 Facsimile: 916.447.4781	
6		
7	Attorneys for Protestant City of Sacramento	
8		
9	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD	
10	IN THE MATTER OF	
11	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES AND UNITED STATES	TESTIMONY OF JAMES PEIFER FOR PART 1 REBUTTAL (EXHIBIT CITY
12	BUREAU OF RECLAMATION FOR A	SAC - 35)
13	PETITION FOR CHANGE FOR CALIFORNIA WATERFIX	
14		
15	I, James Peifer, do hereby declare:	
16		
17		submitted through Exhibit CitySac-1 and
18	orally during Part 1B of this proceeding, I submit this testimony to support the rebuttal testimony	
19	offered by Tom Gohring, P.E., Executive Director of the Water Forum, particularly as to the	
20	Modified Flow Management Standard ("Modified FMS").	
21		
22	2. The City of Sacramento has invested in and supports the Modified FMS given its	
23	ability to support regional water supply reliability and environmental habitat.	
24	3. As testified by Mr. Gohring, in 2014 the City of Sacramento installed emergency	
25	pumps at its American River diversion because its permanent pumps were at risk of cavitating	
26	due to the potential of Nimbus Dam releases below the minimum design criteria of 500 cubic fee	
27	per second and a corresponding reduction of river ele	evation.
_ /		

STOEL RIVES LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SACRAMENTO

- 4. Not only do such conditions threaten the City of Sacramento's ability to exercise its water rights by diverting water, such conditions, as stated by Mr. Gohring, could have been lethal for steelhead and fall-run Chinook salmon juveniles.
- 5. Accordingly, the City of Sacramento supports the Water Forum and Mr. Gohring's testimony in this proceeding for the Modified FMS as a solution, or at minimum part of a solution, to adverse impacts to legal users of water in the Sacramento region, including the City of Sacramento, that may result from the Petition for Change for the California WaterFix.
- 6. Ultimately, to protect the City of Sacramento, as a legal user of water, from injury resulting from implementation of the CWF, the Petition for Change for the California WaterFix should be denied, or if approved, only be approved with conditions that protect regional water supply reliability and prevent future injury to City of Sacramento, such as the Modified FMS.

Executed on this 23rd day of March, 2017 in Sacramento, California

James Peifer, P.E.

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY and STATEMENT OF SERVICE

CALIFORNIA WATERFIX PETITION HEARING Department of Water Resources and U.S. Bureau of Reclamation (Petitioners)

I hereby certify that I have this day submitted to the State Water Resources Control Board and caused a true and correct copy of the following document(s):

TESTIMONY OF JAMES PEIFER FOR PART 1 REBUTTAL (EXHIBIT CITYSAC-35)

This Notice of Availability and Statement of Service was served **by Electronic Mail** (email) upon the parties listed in Table 1 of the **Current Service List** for the California WaterFix Petition Hearing, dated March 21, 2017, posted by the State Water Resources Control Board at http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/programs/bay_delta/california_waterfix/service-list.shtml:

I certify that the foregoing is true and correct and that this document was executed on March 23, 2017.

Signature: Manlyn Dykes

Name: Marilyn Sykes Title: Legal Assistant

Party/Affiliation: CITY OF SACRAMENTO Address: 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600

Sacramento, CA 95814