		DWR-1010		
1 22 33 44	Spencer Kenner (SBN 148930) James E. Mizell (SBN 232698) Robin McGinnis (SBN 276400) DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES Office of the Chief Counsel 1416 9 th St., Room 1104 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: 916-653-5966 E-mail: jmizell@water.ca.gov			
7				
8	BEFORE THE			
,	CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD			
	HEARING IN THE MATTER OF CALIFORNIA	TESTIMONY OF GWENDOLYN		
	AND UNITED STATES BUREAU OF	BOCHHOLZ		
	RECLAMATION REQUEST FOR A CHANGE			
	WATER FIX			
;	I, Gwendolyn Buchholz, do hereby declare:			
	I. INTRODUCTION			
	My name is Gwendolyn Buchholz and I an	n employed as a Vice President with		
	CH2M HILL, Inc. I received a Bachelor's of Arts in Physics from California State University,			
	Sacramento; and a Master's of Science in Civil E	ingineering from University of California,		
	Davis. I am a Registered Civil Engineer in Califo	rnia. I have over 41 years of experience		
	and have been employed at CH2M HILL since 1997. Since 2008, CH2M HILL has served			
	as a subconsultant to ICF International and HDR, Inc. to prepare documents supporting the			
	Bay Delta Conservation Plan/California WaterFix	(CWF). During that period, I have served		
•	as the management lead for CH2M HILL staff to assist the California Department of Water			
	Resources (DWR) and Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), to			
5	conduct water-related impact analyses, including the water supply, surface water, and			
,	groundwater chapters and portions of the water of	quality chapter for the CWF Environmental		
3	Impact Report (EIR)/Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). I also served as the Principal-			

in-Charge to assist Reclamation in preparing the 2016 Biological Assessment for CWF (BA), with ICF International as the primary subconsultant. Exhibit DWR-32 is a true and correct copy of my Statement of Qualifications.

11. **OVERVIEW OF TESTIMONY**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

My testimony summarizes the Adopted Project, CWF Alternative 4A with operational scenario H3+ (CWF H3+) as detailed in the July 2017 Certified Final Environmental Impact Report (2017 Certified FEIR), Findings of Fact and Statement of Overriding Considerations, Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program, and Notice of Determination (NOD). (collectively, Exhibits SWRCB-102, SWRCB-108, SWRCB-109, SWRCB-110, SWRCB-111, and SWRCB-112.) This testimony overviews the facilities, environmental commitments, and operations criteria for CWF H3+; improvements to Delta outflow criteria by implementing CWF H3+; public benefits from implementing CWF H3+; and an overview of DWR and Reclamation testimony that will address the key issues for Part 2.

III. PROJECT SUMMARY

CWF H3+ is the Project adopted by DWR that is the subject of the Petition for Change in Point of Diversion requested by DWR and Reclamation. CWF H3+ was approved by DWR through filing of the NOD with the Governor's Office of Planning and Research, State Clearinghouse, on July 21, 2017. (Exhibit SWRCB-112.)

19 CWF H3+ includes facilities described in the BA (Exhibit SWRCB-104) and 2016 Bay Delta Conservation Plan/California WaterFix Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement (2016 FEIR/S). (Exhibit SWRCB 102.) CWF H3+ includes operational criteria and environmental commitments presented in the 2017 22 23 Certified FEIR, including requirements from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) Biological Opinions for CWF H3+, as 24 summarized in Figure 1. (collectively, Exhibits SWRCB-102, SWRCB-108, SWRCB-105, 25 26 and SWRCB-106.) Additional criteria were imposed by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) in the Incidental Take Permit (ITP). (Exhibit SWRCB-107.)





A. REFINEMENT OF THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION

CWF H3+ facilities and operations are the results reached through refinements based upon technical information and comments compiled during EIR/EIS development. The initial proposed project was presented in the BDCP as Alternative 4, which included three new intakes in the north Delta and two tunnels to convey diverted water to the existing export facilities in the South Delta.

After considering additional technical information and public comment compiled following publication of the 2013 Draft BDCP EIR/EIS, DWR and Reclamation published the July 2015 Partially Recirculated Draft EIR/Supplemental Draft EIS (RDEIR/SDEIS). (Exhibit SWRCB-3.) As presented in Part 1, the RDEIR/SDEIS identified Alternative 4A as the preferred alternative with operational scenarios within the range defined by H3 and H4. (See Exhibits DWR-1 and DWR-5; Exhibit SWRCB-4.) The RDEIR/SDEIS included refinements to Alternative 4 facilities and operations, which were included within Alternative 4A. (Exhibit SWRCB-3.) Alternative 4A facilities were revised to relocate pumping plant

equipment from the new intakes to a new forebay near the existing Clifton Court Forebay, relocate conveyance facilities to reduce impacts on private property, and focus habitat restoration on mitigation of impacts of CWF facilities and operations. (Exhibits DWR-51 and DWR-57.) Alternative 4A was refined by including changes to spring Delta outflow criteria. As described in the RDEIR/SDEIS, the specific operations would be determined through the EIR/EIS and permitting processes, including issuance of the USFWS and NMFS biological opinions and adaptive management program. Therefore, the preferred alternative operations in the RDEIR/SDEIS were presented as a range between Alternatives 4A H3 and Alternative 4A H4, as shown in blue box within the top component of Figure 1.

Additional technical information and responses to many public and agency comments on the RDEIR/SDEIS were used to further refine the project description, defined as Alternative 4A H3+, in the BA published in August 2016 and the 2016 FEIR/S published in December 2016. The refined project description included modifications to the spring Delta outflow criteria as described in chapter 3 of the BA and in the 2017 Certified EIR, and shown in the middle component of Figure 1. (Exhibits SWRCB-104, SWRCB-102 and SWRCB-108.)

Alternative 4A H3+ was further refined during consultation with USFWS and NMFS 18 19 during preparation of the biological opinions, as described in Appendix A2 of the NMFS Biological Opinion and Section 6 of the USFWS Biological Opinion. (Exhibits SWRCB-105, 20 21 pp. 11-15 and SWRCB-106, Appendix A2.) Both biological opinions (BOs) included reasonable and prudent measures, which are non-discretionary measures imposed through 22 23 the BOs that are necessary or appropriate to minimize the impacts as determined under the federal Endangered Species Act. (Exhibits SWRCB-105, Sections 10.3 and 10.4, and 24 SWRCB-106, Sections 2.9.3 and 2.9.4, pp. 1176-1203.) The refined Alternative 4A H3+ 25 were presented in the 2017 Certified FEIR and NOD published in July 2017, as shown in 26 the bottom component of Figure 1. (Exhibits SWRCB-102, SWRCB-108, SWRCB-109, SWRCB-110, SWRCB-111, and SWRCB-112.)

27 28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Following publication of the 2017 Certified FEIR and BOs, additional criteria were imposed by CDFW in the ITP, as shown in Figure 1. (Exhibit SWRCB-107.)

B. CWF H3+ PROJECT FACILITIES

CWF H3+ involves constructing three new north Delta intake structures with state-ofthe-art fish screens that, when coupled with dual conveyance, will increase water supply reliability, and align water operations to better reflect natural seasonal flow patterns. CWF H3+ reduces the ongoing reliance on existing diversion facilities located in the south Delta, allows for greater operational flexibility to protect fish, and diverts water during high flow events relative to drier periods. Water will be diverted from the Sacramento River through three fish-screened intakes on the east bank of the Sacramento River between Clarksburg and Courtland. Water will travel from the fish-screened intakes through a tunnel system to pumping plants at the modified Clifton Court Forebay with continued conveyance to the existing Banks and Jones Pumping Plants. CWF H3+ includes the continued use of the south Delta export facilities. This CWF H3+ facilities description is consistent with the facilities described in Part 1 of the State Water Board hearing. (See Exhibits DWR-2, DWR-51, and DWR-57, and Exhibit SWRCB-102.) Additional information will be further described in testimony by engineering and recreation expert, Mr. Bednarski. (See Exhibit DWR-1022.)

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

C. CWF H3+ ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS

CWF H3+ includes habitat restoration commensurate with mitigation requirements described in the 2017 Certified FEIR. (Exhibits SWRCB-108, Table 3-9, p. 200, and SWRCB-111, Table 5-1, p. 5-1.) The environmental commitments in the 2017 Certified FEIR are based on the commitments presented in the RDEIR/SDEIS, and 2016 FEIR/S; and refined based on consultation with the USFWS, NMFS, and CDFW. (Exhibit SWRCB-108.) The refinements presented in the 2017 Certified FEIR include an additional 1,533 acres of delta smelt tidal natural community's habitat for a total of 1,828 acres (Environmental Commitment 4), 20 acres of riparian natural community habitat for a total of 271 acres (Environmental Commitment 7), and 1,022 acres of grassland natural community

5

TESTIMONY OF GWENDOLYN BUCHHOLZ

habitat for a total of 2,092 acres (Environmental Commitment	8) as compared to the 2016		
FEIR/S. (Exhibit SWRCB-108.)			
Environmental commitments that address habitat restoration, protection,			
enhancement, and management activities to mitigate for adverse effects from			
implementation of the proposed water conveyance facilities are summarized in Table 3-9 c			
the of the 2017 Certified FEIR, as presented below.			
Environmental Commitments for CWF H3+ (Table 3-9, E	xhibit SWRCB-108)		
Environmental Commitment 3: Natural Communities Protection and Restoration			
Valley/Foothill Riparian	103 acres		
Grassland	1,060 acres		
Vernal Pool Complex and Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex	188 acres		
Nontidal Marsh	119 acres		
Cultivated Lands	11,870 acres		
Total:	Up to 13,340 acres		
Environmental Commitment 4: Tidal Natural Communities Restoration	Up to 1,828 acres		
Environmental Commitment 6: Channel Margin Enhancement	Up to 4.6 levee miles		
Environmental Commitment 7: Riparian Natural Community Restoration	Up to 271 acres		
Environmental Commitment 8: Grassland Natural Community	Up to 2,092 acres		
Environmental Commitment 9: Vernal Pool and Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex Restoration	Up to 48 acres		
Environmental Commitment 10: Nontidal Marsh Restoration	Up to 832 acres		
Environmental Commitment 11: Natural Communities Enhancement and Management	At sites protected or restored under Environmental Commitments 3–10		
Environmental Commitment 12: Methylmercury Management	At sites restored under Environmental		
6			
TESTIMONY OF GWENDOLYN BUCHHO	OLZ		

	Environmental Communents for CWF H3+ (Table 3-9,)	, Exhibit SWRCB-108)	
		Commitment 4	
	Environmental Commitment 15: Localized Reduction of Predatory Fishes	At north Delta intakes and at Clifton Court Forebay	
	Environmental Commitment 16: Nonphysical Fish Barrier	At Georgiana Slough	
	Additional environmental protection conditions were im	posed by CDFW in the IT	
(Exhibit SWRCB-107.)			
This is a summary of the proposed environmental commitments. Details and effer			
from the environmental commitments will be discussed in the testimony of biological			
reso	urces experts. (See Exhibits DWR-1012, DWR-1013 and	I DWR-1014.)	
	D. CWF H3+ OPERATIONAL CRITERIA		
	CWF H3+ includes some operational criteria presented	in the BA and 2016 FEIF	
for A	Iternative 4A H3+, as discussed in Part 1 of the State Wa	ter Board hearing; and	
refinements based upon the BOs, including:			
•	Implementation of the North Delta Diversions Intake By	pass Flows with real-time	
	operations approach		
•	Refined south Delta export criteria for October and Nov	ember with real-time	
	operations approach		
•	Refined operations criteria for the Head of Old River Ga	ate with real-time operatio	
	approach		
•	For spring Delta outflow:		
	 Added Delta outflow targets in March; 		
	 Eliminated Delta outflow criteria in April and May 	when total Delta outflow	
	exceeds 44,500 cubic feet per second; and		
	Reduced Delta exports in March through May to	achieve Delta outflow	
	targets.		
-	Refined the minimum flow standard in the Secremente	Divor at Dia Vista ta ha	

consistent with D-1641

Real-time operations decision-making process will manage operations with respect to flow and water quality monitoring, and aquatic species protection within the parameters set by the regulatory requirements, operational criteria, and SWP and CVP operations. Operations are informed by several interagency coordination groups, as described in the testimony of Mr. Miller (Exhibit DWR-1011) and listed within 2017 Certified FEIR Table 3-35. (Exhibit SWRCB-102, p. 3-277.)

Operational criteria and real-time operations will be discussed in more detail in testimony by the SWP and CVP operations experts, and by the CalSim II and DSM2 experts.

E.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT

Adaptive management addresses potential long-term changes in operations due to new scientific knowledge. For CWF H3+, the broad purposes of adaptive management are to: (a) promote collaborative science, (b) guide the development and implementation of scientific investigations and monitoring, and (c) apply new information and insights to management decisions and actions, and recommend changes to CWF H3+. (See Exhibits SWRCB-108, p. 189, and SWRCB-111.)

As part of the adaptive management process, DWR, Reclamation, CDFW, USFWS, NMFS, and other appropriate agencies will coordinate with collaborative science workgroups to identify and prioritize potential changes to address uncertainties related to the effects of SWP and CVP operations, including CWF, and other actions intended to minimize or mitigate effects to protected species. (Exhibits SWRCB-108 and SWRCB-106.) Adaptive management will be discussed in more detail in testimony by the biological experts.

1

F.

REAFFIRMATION OF RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES

As described above in Section A, Refinement of Project Description, CWF H3+ is within the range of alternatives described in Part 1 of the State Water Board hearing. At the time of the Part 1 hearing, the CWF H3+ BOs had not been issued; therefore, DWR and

TESTIMONY OF GWENDOLYN BUCHHOLZ

Reclamation presented an operational range defined by H3 and H4 to assess potential impacts at issue in Part 1. (Exhibit DWR-51.)

A boundary analysis was presented in the Part 1 hearing, defined by Boundary 1 and Boundary 2, to provide a broad range of operational criteria anticipated to occur within the adaptive management process. (Exhibit DWR-114.)

Now, at the beginning of Part 2 of the State Water Board hearing, the criteria of the BOs have been defined and DWR has adopted a project, as discussed above; therefore, the Project Description presented in this testimony is now more narrowly focused on CWF H3+. Boundary 1 and Boundary 2, are not further discussed in the Part 2 hearing. H3 and H4 are discussed to a limited extent as reference points for the analysis of CWF H3+. This is shown in Figure 2.

Due to adaptive management, the CWF H3+ operations could be refined in the future. However, the modified operations would only be an outcome of the adaptive management process if the many agencies participating in that process determined that the changes would be protective of fish and wildlife; and any outcome is anticipated to be within the range of alternatives analyzed in the EIR/EIS and within Boundary 1 and Boundary 2, as presented in Part 1 of the State Water Board hearings.



IMPROVED DELTA FLOW CRITERIA IV.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

28

CWF H3+ will comply with the Delta outflow criteria established by D-1641, 1995 State Water Board San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary Water Quality Control Plan (WQCP) (Exhibit SWRCB-27) and the 2008 USFWS (Exhibit SWRCB-87) and 2009 NMFS biological opinions (Exhibit SWRCB-84). (Exhibit SWRCB-102.) This hearing is also considering what constitutes "appropriate Delta flow criteria" as described in the Delta Reform Act. (California WaterFix Hearing - Ruling Regarding Scheduling of Part 2 and Other Procedural Matters, August 31, 2017, page 12.)

CWF H3+ provides increased spring Delta outflow criteria as compared to Existing Conditions and the No Action Alternative. (Exhibits SWRCB-102 and SWRCB-108.) 22 Increased Delta outflow provided by CWF H3+ benefits aquatic resources consistent with the USFWS and NMFS BOs and the Delta Reform Act. It is anticipated that conditions related to Delta outflow would also be considered as part of adaptive management under CWF H3+.

27 V. **PUBLIC INTEREST**

The Delta is a vitally important ecosystem that supports hundreds of aquatic and

terrestrial species, many of which are listed by the federal and state governments as threatened or endangered. (SWRCB-102, Sections ES.1.1; 1.2, p. 1-4; and Appendix 1A.) The Delta watershed captures runoff from approximately 40 percent of the land in California, and is critical hub of two of the state's most important water systems, SWP and CVP, which serve over 25 million of Californians and 4 million acres of agricultural land uses, including water users in the Bay Area, San Joaquin Valley, Central Coast, and southern California. (Id.) The agricultural, municipal, and industrial land uses supported by water conveyed through the Delta are the source of much of California's financial stability and prosperity. (Id.)

The current SWP and CVP water delivery systems in the Delta are increasingly affected by regulatory constraints on water project operations, especially related to the presence of listed fish species near the south Delta export pump intakes at certain times of year. (Exhibit SWRCB-102, Appendix 1A and Chapter 2.) These conditions limit the timing and amount of water that can be exported through the south Delta pumps for the SWP and CVP. Continued decline of the Delta's ecosystem has led to increased restrictions on SWP and CVP water supply operations which has reduced deliveries to water users. Therefore, CWF H3+ has been selected to improve California's water supply reliability and contribute to the restoration of the Delta's fragile ecosystem. (Exhibit SWRCB-112.)

CWF H3+ will modernize, and add flexibility to the state's water system by aligning water operations to reflect natural seasonal flow patterns due to the creation of new water diversions in the north Delta equipped with state-of-the-art fish screens and reduced reliance on south Delta exports. CWF H3+ will capture additional flow during wetter periods when unregulated flows are available. CWF H3+ will increase average annual deliveries of water conveyed through the Delta as compared to the No Action Alternative over the long-term, and especially in wetter water years. (See Exhibits SWRCB-102 and DWR-1016.)

Through the extensive environmental commitments, CWF H3+ will contribute to reversing the trend of habitat loss, habitat degradation, declining populations of native

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

species, and degradation of natural flow patterns caused by reverse flows through the Delta. (See SWRCB-108, Table 3-9, p. 200.) CWF H3+ will reduce water exports in drier years when Delta aquatic resources are subject to increased stresses; and increase Delta exports in wetter years when aquatic resources are not as affected by stresses in the Delta. This type of operation will reduce reverse flows in the south Delta, and provide river flows for native fish species to enhance survival of listed species including delta smelt, longfin smelt, and Chinook salmon. CWF H3+ will reduce reverse flows in Old and Middle Rivers in all months except April, during which reverse flows will remain similar to Existing Conditions. (Exhibits SWRCB-102, Section 6.0, p. 6-1 and SWRCB-108, p. 14.) Overall, CWF H3+ will result in reduced entrainment of aquatic species, such as Delta Smelt and Longfin Smelt, at the south Delta intakes. (Exhibit DWR-1012.) The new water diversions in the north Delta will be equipped with state-of-the-art fish screens to minimize and avoid effects to migrating fish species. (Exhibits DWR-1012 and DWR-1022.) The CWF H3+ adaptive management process will address scientific uncertainties regarding benefits and impacts on Delta aquatic species. (Exhibit DWR-1014.) Monitoring and improving operations will provide benefits and/or minimize negative effects to aquatic species. 16 (Exhibits DWR-1012 and DWR-1013.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CWF H3+ will also provide protections and benefits to California's economy. California cities that receive water from the Delta (including areas within the Bay Area, Central Coast, and southern California) support populations of more than 25 million and the associated economies. A functioning water delivery system that can provide more reliable supplies within regulatory limits and withstand the impacts of climate change and earthquakes is critical to continuation of the economic conditions. (Exhibits SWRCB-102 and SWRCB-108.)

25 Without implementation of CWF H3+, the negative economic impact of water export cutbacks could occur statewide. If Delta water exports are further restricted due to 26 continued decline of protected species and due to the inflexibilities caused by operational 27 limitations of existing facilities, local water agencies would probably increase reliance on 28

1	pote	ntially overdrawn sources, including local surface water storage and groundwater.			
2	(Exh	(Exhibit SWRCB-102.) CWF H3+ will support more stable agricultural activities by enabling			
3	land	land use implementation and reducing risk associated with uncertain water deliveries.			
4	(Exhibit SWRCB-102.)				
5		Construction of CWF H3+ will create more than 8,600 new construction jobs, and wi			
6	generate revenue in a range of other sectors of the local economy and other regions of				
7	Calif	California. (Exhibit SWRCB-102, Section 16.3.3.9, pp. 16-160 – 16-185.)			
8	1	Overall, implementation of CWF H3+ will improve water supply, ecosystem			
9	cond	conditions, and economics of the state of California; and contribute to the public interest of			
10	the c	the citizens and environment of California.			
11	VI.	OVERVIEW OF REMAINING TESTIMONY FOR DWR AND RECLAMATION			
12	15	Additional testimony will be presented to the State Water Board by DWR and			
13	Recl	amation as part of the Part 2 hearing, including:			
14	•	Analysis of operations under CWF H3+, including a discussion of real-time			
15		operational criteria. This will be included in the Panel 1 testimony.			
16	•	Discussion of the modeling approaches and conditions under CWF H3+ related to			
17		hydrologic, water quality, and biological models, including a discussion of the			
18		adaptive management approach. This will be included in the Panel 2 testimony.			
19	•	Discussion of engineering-related construction based impacts of CWF H3+,			
20		including impacts to navigation. This will be included in the Panel 2 testimony.			
21	•	Discussion of potential impacts to recreation due to implementation of CWF H3+.			
22		This will be included in the Panel 3 testimony.			
23					
24		Executed on this 28th day of November 2017 in Sacramento, California.			
25		1 1 1 10 101 - Black			
26		(Gwerdolyn Buchhøiz)			
27					
28					
		13			
		TESTIMONY OF GWENDOLYN BUCHHOLZ			