3 WATER QUALITY

DSM'.2-QUAL is a water quality model based on the Branched Lagrangian Transport Model, a public domain code written by Harvey E. Jobson and his colleagues at the U.S. Geological Survey. The main advantages of DSM2-QUAL over the Department's existing water quality module include:

- DSM'.2-QUAL can simulate the transport of multiple constituents in the Delta. Internal kinetic reactions can be incorporated, and the decay or growth of each constituent can be numerically accounted for.
- DSM2-QUAL does not need to know the shape of the cross-section. The model needs the flow, flow area, and top width. All the other parameters are recalculated.

Bodies of water are divided into parcels. The movements of these parcels within the channels are controlled using the flow data provided in the tide file. The size of these parcels usually do not change as Jong as they are entirely within one channel. but *once* they reach a junction. incoming parcels are merged and/or split to form new parcels. The model continues to update the concentration of each of the simulated constituents during each time step. Dispersion only takes place at the interface between the parcels. However, full mixing at the junctions is as umed.

The following describes the Delta Modeling Section's efforts to improve and add nev. features to DSM2-QUAL during the past year. The development of subroutines to model nonconservative constituents and a preliminary model evaluation are also described.

Hydro File

The original version of BLTM reads hydrodynamic information, provided at every time step, from an ASCII file. This had several disadvantages, as briefly described in Chapter 2. The main disadvantage is that the file can be massive for a long-term model run. The other disadvantage is that the user is forced to use a time step that matched the hydro file. To change the size of the time step, the user must develop a new hydro file with the matching time step. In DSM2-QUAL, the user is now free to choose the size of the time step, and all the values are properly averaged.

Open Water Reservoir

An open water reservoir is currently modeled as a tank of water. The concentration of each of the constituents is assumed to be constant throughout the reservoir and is updated every time step. The following describes how the concentration of a conservative constituent is calculated based on different cases. To illustrate this, a reservoir is considered with two connecting junctions. Three different cases can be encountered depending on the direction of the two flow components. Updated concentrations are denoted with primes:

Case I: Flow Entering the Rescn oir from Iloth Junctions



Figure 3-1. Channel Schematics for Case 1

$$V_{res} = V_{res} + (Q_1 + Q_2)^* \Delta t$$
 (3-1)

$$Cres = V_{res} = V_{res} = OI * CI * D.t + O2 * C2 * t. t.$$

$$Yres$$
(3-2)

where

Yres	= Water volume in the reservoir
Crcs	= Constituent concentration in the reservoir
Q 1,Q2	= Flow rate entering the rcservmr
C 1.C2	=Constituent concentration assigned to QI and Q2 $$
t. 1	= time step

Case 2: Flow Entering the Reservoir from One Junction and Leaving from the Other



Figure 3.2. ChanneU Schematics for Case 2

$$C'_{2} = C_{res}$$
 (3-3)
 $V'_{rcs} = {}_{vfc} + (0r-Q_{::})*1$ (3-4)

$$C_{rc}s = V_{a-s}*Cw - 0 + C_{cc}s* + 0 + 0 + C_{cc}s + (3-5)$$

Case 3: Fl-ow Leaving the Reservoir from Both Junctions



Figure 3-3. Channel Schematics for Case 3

$$C_1' = C_{res}$$
(3-6)

$$C_2' = C_{res}$$
(3-7)

$$Y'_{rcS} = Y_{reS} - (Q1+Q:,)^*.6t$$
 (3-8)

$$C'_{res} = C_{res}$$
 (3-9)

If the constituent is nonconservative, the growth or decay of the constituent is first determined, and a new $_{\rm Cres}$ is computed. From there, the procedure for the conservative constituent is followed.

Agricultural Diversions and Returns

The original version of BLTM has the option of assigning flows (either inward or outward) to any grid point within a channel. However, flow estimates for agricultural drainage(Oagl and diversions (Q,h) are currently provided to DSM2 at specified junctions by the Delta Island Consumptive Use (DICU) model. Thus DSM2-QUAL was modified to include this possibility. Future model enhancements will relax this constraint. The following example illustrates the impact of agricultural diversions and returns (see Figure 3-4).

$$C_{3} = C_{ch} = \frac{Q_{1} * C_{1} + Q_{2} * C_{2} + Q_{ag} * C_{ag}}{Q_{1} + Q_{2} + Q_{ag}}$$
(3-10)





$$Q_3 = Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_{ag} - Q_{ch}$$
 (3-11)

Equation 3-11 is enforced in DSM2-HYDRO.

Mass Tracking Routine

MTR is a very powerful and useful tool that lees the user keep track and account for all simulated water quality constituents. First, the amount (or mass, M) of each of the constituents in the Delta is calculated:

v_ he re

 M_{reS} = The initial constituent mass in the reservoirs at the beginning of the time step

Mchan = The initial constituent mass in the channels ac the beginning of the time step

Then the mass of each of the constituents inJected by all the incoming flows in one time step 1s calculated. This calculation includes all the rim flows, agricultural returns, and the flood tide:

$$M_{in} = M_{rim} + M_{ag} + M_{flood}$$
(3-13)

where

M,n = The constituent mass injected by incoming flows

Mnm = The constituent mass injected by rim flows

M_ag = The constituent mass injected by agricultural rerums

Mn000 = The constituent mass injected by the flood tide

Next, the mass of each of the constituents released by outgoing flows in one time step is calculated. This calculation includes all the exports. channel diversions. and the ebb tide:

$$M_{out} = M_{export} + M_{ch} + M_{cbb}$$
(3-14)

where

 $\[\] ..., = The con:-t1tucnt rnas remo\ed by ourgoing now, \] \[\] ..., t1c,ppn= Thl' 011tit112nt m.l\ rcmon:d by L',;ports \[\] M(11 = The constituent mas removed by channel diversions \] ..., 1dbh = The con lituent 'mass removed by the ebb tide \]$

In addition to the physical transport of the constituents. there can be kinetic reactions among the noncon ervative constituents. resulting in internal growth or decay. For each of the nonconservative constHuents. Ihe amount of growth (M, rowth) or decay (Mdec₃v) is calculated for the entire Delta.

The amount of constituents at the end of the t,ime-stcp can then be determined using the above components.

$$M = M + M_{in} - M_{out} + M_{growth} - M_{decay}$$
(3-15)

The above value can be compared against the calculated amount of constituents left in the Della. See Equation 3-12.

$$M = i \backslash \langle c, + M char.$$
 (3-16)

The results of Equation (3-15) should be exactly equal to Equation (3-16). If all the calculations abolve are done corTecrly, and the results differ. the reason *can* be allributed to one of two factors.

- Incorrect formulation. Mass is being lost or gained without being properly accounted for-an rnd1cauon that there is probably a naw (which needs 10 be fixed) in the program.
- Round off error. Tens of thousands of calculations are needed to obtain the results of equal ions (3-15) and (3-16). Some of the parameters in the above equations are the results of DSM2-HYDRO simulations, which in cum require hundreds of thousands of calculations. Computers can store numbers with a limited precision. The loss of precision may be insignificant for a given number but may in fact become significant after numerous accumulations.

One use of the MTR is to check for the problems just described. Many problems have been detected and corrected using this routine. MTR aided in the development of the reservoir routine and accounting for agricultural drainages and channel diversions. Due to the very complex network representing the Delta and other complicated problems such as flow reversals, it would be very easy to overlook all the possible scenarios and events.

MTR detected a flaw in the original BLTM formulation. The problem was in a part of the code where it was combining two small parcels of water ^{into} one. Under certain conditions, the smallest parcel in a channel simply disappeared. Without the aid of MTR, it would have been almost impossible **to** detect this problem.

The most imponant application of MTR is probably its use as an investigative tool. In a hypothetical study, a dye can be injected in a certain location. and MTR can monitor how. the dye is spreading. For every time step, MTR reports (in terms of percentages) the amount of the dye in the Delta channels and reservoirs, the amount which has left the Delta from each outlet location, and, in case of nonconservative constituents, the amount of growth or decay. This information can help evaluate the impact of various sources on the

\\;Her qu:dHy at a p::inicular location. MTR 1s 1.1so robust enough o !ha\ it can be e;:\1ly modified to focus on a particular location and extract all the desired information In CS.\cr1ce. MTR c111 function like a particle tracking model, but it work!; on a homogeneous ;o lu !ion rather than md'i \\Cual particles.

Round-Off Error on Continuity at a Junction

As mentioned before. hydrodynamic mformation is averaged over a specified 11me interval inside DSM2-HYDRO. This model enforces continuity all every junction. that 1s, the sum of the flows entering the junction must equal the sum of the flows lcavrng the junction. Due to the extra calculations performed during the averaging and the limited precision. there can be small imbalances, thus anificially creating or removing mass from the system. The amount of this imbalance may seem infinitesimally small; however, it can add up to a significant amount when accumulated over all the junctions and over time. The amount of this error can po1enrially grow larger with larger time intervals used in the tide file This problem \\as detected using :v1TR.

The following describes a possible remedy, which is currently implemented m DS'.\1-QUA \perp Figure 3-5 1Hus1rates a Junction with three connecting channels. The nows Q $_1$, Q2, and Q3 are read from the hydro file.



Figure 3-5. Schematics of a Typical Junction Before Flow Corrections

Contmmty requires that:

$$Q_1 + Q_2 - Q_3 = 0 \tag{3-17}$$

However. due to possible round off error, in general there is a small imbalance (E). The idea is to distribule this imbalance to the connecting channels. One idea is simply to divide this error equally among the three channels. However, this simple division is not recommended since a nonzero now can result in a channel with a barrier. A superior approach is ro divide this imbalance in proponion to the absolute magnitude of the flows in the three channels:

$$E = Q_{1} + Q_{-}Q_{+} \qquad (_i1 \text{ Si}$$

$$\sum Q = V_{0}11 + IQ_{1} + IQ_{1}1 \qquad \text{n-191}$$

$$Q_{1}^{c} = Q_{1} - \frac{E * IQ_{1}I}{\sum Q} \qquad \text{n-2oi}$$

$$Q_{2}^{c} = Q_{2} - \frac{E * IQ_{2}I}{\sum Q} \qquad (3-21)$$

$$Q_3^c = Q_3 - \frac{E * |Q_3|}{\Sigma_Q}$$
 (3-22)

where 1he .superscript C indicate\ the corrected value

The following example illu<::1ra1es the application of the correction techniqut: dc\cnhcd above, assuming Q 1=1000. ct\. Q2=2000, cfs. and Q,=2999.99904 cfs.

Error m continuity from Equation 3- \&

E = 1000. + 2000. - 2999.99994 = 0.00006 cfs

Sum of :ill the flows from Equ:ition 3-19:

|Q = 1000. + 2000. + 2999.99994 = 5999 99994 cf∖

Thus the corrected values arc:

 Q_1^{c} = 1000. - OJJ0006 " 1000./5999.99994 = 999.99999 cfs

Q2 = 2000. -0.00006 * 2000./5999.99994 = 1999.99998 cfs

OB = 2999.99994 + 0.00006 * 3000./5999.99994 = 2999.99997 cfs

After correction:

$$Q_1^c + Q_2^c - Q_3^c = 0$$

Therefore, continuity is exactly satisfied. It can be shown that in case of a barrier. the magnitude of the correction would also be zero, thus maintaining the status of the barrier.

Nonconservative Kinetics

New subroutines for modeling nonconservative constituents in the Delta have been completed. These routines are structured in modular form so that they are easy to understand and can be extended to simulate additional constituents with minimum change in existing routines, if such needs arise in the future. Flexibility has been built into the model so that any combination (one, a few, or all) of the variable.scan be modeled as suited to the needs of the user. The model, in its present form, can simulate the following variables:

- I. Temperature
- 2. Blochem1cal oxygen demand (BOD)
- 1, D1c,,olved oxygen
- 4. Organic nitrogen
- :'i. Ammonia nitrogen
- 6. 1'itnte nitrogen
- 7 Nitrate nitrogen
- 8 Organic phospliorus
- 9. Dissolved (inorganic) phosphorus
- 10. Algae (phytoplankton)
- 11. Arbitrary conservative or nonconservative constituent.

Refer to the Fifteenth Annual Progress Repoli, 1994, for a description of the mathematical relationships that describe the constituent reactions and interactions. The interactiom among water quality constituents are shown in Figure 3-6. *A* few important rela1Jonsh1p that were not detailed in the 1994 report are described below. Subroutines are described briefly later 1n this section.

Dissolved Oxygen Saturated Concentration

The solubility of dissolved oxygen in water decreases with increasing temperature and salinity. Among about half a dozen or so expressions for DO saturation concentration as a function of temperature reported in the literature, the following algorithm recommended by American Public Halth Association (1985) and rated the best by Bowie et al. (1985), was coded in the model:

h 0,f = -1 39.344 | 1 +
$$\frac{1.575701*10^{5}}{T}$$
 - $\frac{6.642308*10^{7}}{T2}$ + $\frac{1.24380*10^{10}}{yJ}$ - $\frac{8.621949*10^{11}}{T4}$ (3-23)

where 0, f is the "freshwater" DO saturation concentration in mg/Lat I atm and T is temperature in °K. The effect of salinity on DO saturation is incorporated as shown below (APHA 1985):

h 0.-s = ln
$$O_s f$$
- S* r .7674* 10-² - 1.0754* 10 + 2.1407* 10³
T T² (3-24)

where 0.55 is the "saline water" DO saturation concentration in mg/Lat 1 atm and S is salinity in ppt. The effect of barometric pressure on DO saturation is to increase the saturation value and is expressed as:

$$O_{s} = 0 \, s^{p} \left[\frac{1 - (P \, w_{!\,P}) \left[(J - q P) \right]}{(1 - P_{w_{v}}) (I - s)} \right]$$
(3-25)

where 0_5 is the DO saturation at pressure Pin mg/L, Pis the nonstandard pressure in aLm. P_{wv} is the partial pressure of water vapor in atm calculated from:

Va 'ie' i	Que no. Hhi
	and a second second

h Pv.; = 11.'b57 - <u>3840.70</u> - <u>21696 </u>	(3-25a)
\mathbb{T} \mathbb{T}^2	(0 200)
= 0.000975 - 1,.426*JO ¹¹¹¹ t + 6.436*JO-R*t ²	(3-25b)
= temperature in $^{\circ}C = T - 273.150$	(3-25c)

··· '· '.

Atmospheric Reaeration

Reaeratton. a process by which oxygen is exchanged between the atmosphere and a water body. 1s one of the main <;ourcc.s of o-xygen in aquatic systems. The transfer rate of oxygen from air to water may be represented by:



Figure 3-6. Interaction Among Water Quality Constituents in the Model

$$\frac{\text{dlill}}{\text{dt}} = \ln (0, -0)$$

 $\$ 1, or 0 and 0 are the oxygen concen1 rations at saturation and oxygen concentrations of the water beidy, respectively, and k2 is the reaeration coefficient. The oxygen tran, fcr coel'f1 cient in natural waters depends on (Thomann and Mueller, 1987 and Gromiec, 1989):

n-26i

- temperature
- internal mixing and turbulence
- mixing due to wind
- waterfalls, dams, rapids
- surface active reagents

Numerous equations are available for predicting reaeration coefficients, giving a wide range of predicted values for specific hydraulic conditions (Rathbun, 1977). Rev1ew of predictive models for reaeration coefficien.1 can be found in Bowie et al. (1985). Rathbun (1977) and Gromiec (1989). For tidal rivers and estuaries, one of the most widely applied models is the O'Connor-Dobhins equation. This equation, adapted for the present wacer quality model, is described below. A more derailed discussion of alternative reaeration rate fom1ulations, including a list of references. i provided by Rajbhandari {1995)

0 'Conner and Dobbins' (1956) equations were based on their analysis of reaeration. mechanisms that considered the rate of surface renewal through internal turbulence. They recommended the following equation for the reaeration coefficient for moderately deep to deep channels (approximately between 1 ft 10 30 ft. deep) and veloc1ues hetween O.S ft/s and 1.6 ft/s:

$$k_2 (20^{\circ}C) = (D_m \pi)^{0.5} d^{-1.5}$$
 0-27)

where D_m is the molecular diffusion coefficient. L²ff The above equation is often expressed as:

where u is the mean velocity (ft/s) and dis the average stream depth (ft). The effect of temperature on the reaeration coefficient is represented by:

$$k^{2}(t^{\circ}C) = k^{2}(20^{\circ}C)(0)(1-20)$$
(3-29)

The numerical value of e depends on the mixing conditions of the water body. Reponed values range from 1.008 to 1.047 (Bowie et al, 1985). In practice a value of 1.024 is often used (Thomann and Mueller, 1987, Gromiec, 1989 etc.) which has been adopted for the model.

Subroutines

Figure 3-7 is preet:nted to highlight the main processes involved in the dynamic simulation of water q...iality it the Delta. A bneJ description of the newly developed subroutines is provided below. Figures are included for a few rouunes to illustrate 1the main processes.





KI:\TT!CSThe main (unction of this subroutine is to update constituent con-
centrations at each time step. It is called by BLTM's parcel
tr:icking subroutine (ROUTE) for every parcel at each time step.
The flowchart (Figure 3-8) shows the logic of the subroutine. It
has also been extended io simulate kinetics in 'reservoirs' in the
Delta.CALSCSKThis subroutine builds a source/sink matnx for each constituent by

calling each constituent subroutine. Individual constituent by calling each constituent subroutine. Individual constituent routines are listed in Figure 3-9. Flowcharts for three principal constituents (DO, algae. temperature) are presented in Figures 3-10 through 3-12 for illustrative purposes.

HEAT

Subroutine HEAT has been adapted from the USEPA QUAL2E model (Brown and Barnwell, 1987) witch some restructuring. This version computes the net shon wave solar radiation



Figure 3-8. Flow Chart for Subroutine KINETIC

and the long wa\'e atmospheric radiation once every hour (Figure _-1 $^3\mathrm{i}$

A call qa1ement to this subroutine (for every hour), vas added lo the main BLTM routine. Meteorology data read by this subroutine are used in computation of heat components as shown in Figure 3-13.

Physic;:ii. chemical and biological rate coefficients arc read 111this subroutine. Some of these coefficients are constant throughout the system; some vary by location; and some are temperature-dependent. A list of these coefficients, including their ranges, is provided in Table 3-1 (Brown and I3armvcll, 1987). Temperature coefficients used in the model are listed in Table 3-2.



MET

RATE

LOC

This subroutine groups channels by their number or location. The grouping allows the input of spatially varying rate coefficients in the model.



Figure 3-9. Flow Chart for Subroutine CALSCSK



Figure 3-1 Q Flow Chart for Subroutine CALDO



Figure 3-11. Flow Chart for Subroutine CALALG (algae)



Figure 3-12. Flow Chart for Subroutine CALTEMP

Annual Progress r,,2por: ;c ;nf 5:a:e \\'a:er Resources Centro, Soard



Figure 3-13. Flow Chart for Subroutine HEAT

Table 3-1. Typical Ranges for Reaction Coefficients

Global coefficent values. Variable names shown are the same as used in the FORTRAN code.

alph(5)	oxygen used in conversion of ammonia to nitrite	3.0 - 4.0
alph(6)	oxygen used in conversion of nitrite to nitrate	1.0-1.14
prefn	algal preference factor for ammonia	0 - 1.0
alph(7)	chlorophyll-a to biomass ratio	10 - 100
alph(1)	fraction of algal biomass which is nitrogen	0.07 - 0.09
alph(2)	fraction of algal biomass which is phosphorus	0.01 - 0.02
alph(3)	oxygen produced in photosynthesis	1.4 - 4.8
alph(4)	oxygen consumed with respiration	1.6-2.3
k.1ght_half	half saturation constant for Light	0.02 - 0.10
knic_half	half saturation constant for nitrogen	0.01 - 0.30
kpho_half	half saturation constant for phosphorus	0.001 - 0.05
xlamO	non-algal light extinction coefficient	variable
xlaml	linear algal self shading coefficient	0.002 - 0.02
xlam2	nonlinear algal self shading coefficient	0.0165

Location dependent coefficient values. Variable names shown below are the same as used in the text of the 1994 Annual Report. These coefficients are described by the array *rcoef* in the FORTRAN code.

kl	BOD decay rate	0.02 - 3.4
k3	BOD settling rate	-0.36 - 0.36
k4	benthic source rate for DO	variable
karb	decay rate for arbitrary non-cons. constituent	variable
s6	settling rate for arbitrary non-cons. constituent	variable
s7	benthic source rate for arbitrary non-cons. constituent	variable
rnumax	maximum algal growth rate	1.0 - 3.0
resp	algal respiration rate	0.05 - 0.5
sl	algal settling rate	0.5 - 6.0
k.n-org	organic nitrogen decay rate	0.02 - 0.4
s4	organic nitrogen settling rate	0.001 - 0.1
kn	ammonia decay rate	0.1 1.0
s3	benthic source rate for ammonia	variable
kni	nitrite decay rate	0.2 - 2.0
kp-org	organic phosphorus decay rate	0.01 - 0.7
s5	organic phosphorus settling rate	0.001-0.1
s2	benthic source rate for dissolved P	variable

Note: rates are in units per day except when specified.

Consti(Ue:H	Reaction type	Temperature coefficient	Variable (FORTRAN)
BOD	decay	1.047	thet(1)
	settling	1.024	thel(2)
lX)	reaerat:ion	1.024	thel(3)
	benthic demand	t.060	thet(4)
ORGANIC-N	decay	1.047	thet(5)
	settling	1.024	thet(6)
AlvfMONIA-N	decay	1.083	thet(7)
	benthic source	1.074	thet(8)
NITRITE-N	decay	1.047	thet(9)
ORGANIC-P	decay	1.047	thet(1O)
	settling	1.024	thet(1 1)
DISSOLVED-P	benthic source	1.074	thet(12)
ALGAE	growth	1.047	thet(13)
	respiration	1.047	thet(14)
	settling	1.024	thec(15)

ì

I

Table 3-2. Temperature Coefficients for Reaction Rat
--

1

Preliminary Mod, e/ Evaluation

1\pre li1mnary e, alu:iti,m of the exienckd BLT! model wa. conducted by applying the model to the Delia. 111i,; section describes the earlier stages of calibration and verification (s1rc-speciftc) in the region including the Stockton Ship Channel. Figure 3-14 shows water quality monitonng stations in the San Joaquin River in the vionity of Stockton, both U[]Stream and downstream of the city\ waste water discharge.

The un-ey periods September 20, 1988 and October 12, 1988 were chosen for model calibration and verification because of the unique hydrodynamic conditions prevailing in these periods and availability of water quality data. The unique hydrodynamic conditions were due in part to placement of a rock barrier at the head of Old River during the period September 22-28. The barrier causes more water to Oow downstream in the San Joaquin R1\'er toward Stockton and less mto Old River toward Clifton Cour1. Modeling of the tvio



Figure 3-14. Monitoring Stations in San Joaquin River Near Stockton

,ccl\,Lrin pro, 1dc 1ntcres1ing. altem:iuvec; of local hydrology and hydraulic h.h.1\ mr t= 11dl h L''iun_::cs ba ed on ,bily flow configur.111ons ..ind water quality

Model Input

Hydrology. A, erage daily tlows reponed in DWR DayOow Data Summ:iry for 1988 were u)cd for the San Joaquin. Sacramento and Mokelumne River inOows and ,he State Water Project (SWP), Central Valley Project (CVP) and the Contra Costa Water District (CCWD) ""indrav.als. Efnuent tlows from the City of Stockton waste water treatment plant were Obtained from the monthly laboratory data file of the Stockton Municipal Utilities District (Huber, pers comm., 1995). Clifton Court intake gate (opening and closing) schedules were obwined from the monthly operation report (DWR, 1988). Agricultural withdrawals and return Oows. which are also input to the model, were based on the Department of Water Resource, monthly estimates. Hydrologic and hydrodynamic data for both ,irnulauon periods are presented in Table 3-3.

ivater Quality. Smee water quali1y grab sample data were collected only at a frequency of one or two 5amples per month near model boundaries in the San Joaquin and Sacramento Rin:r,. :ind al Martinez. observations closest to the simulated dates were used. These data were extracted from the Water Quality Surveillance Program report (DWR, 1990). Data for Freepon on the Sacramento River and for the Mokelumne River were derived from Water Resource, Data (USGS. 1989. 1990). Stockton effluent data. available at daily or weekly intcn·als. were ak;o input 10 the model (Huber. pers. comm., 1995). Chlorophyll-a and orthophosphate data for the months of September and October were available from effluenc monitoring on a monthly bas1 only for 1989: so these values were med for the presern simulations All input data, including some al selected locations in the Delta. are listed in Table, -3 through 3-7. Figure 3-15 shows the locations of these monitoring stattons in the Delw

To allow representation of diurnal values, whenever possible, hourly averaged concentraliom of DO. TDS and temperature were assigned for the boundary at Martinez. Since such detailed data were not available at Vernalis. hourly averaged values of DO, TDS, and temperature available from {he nearby station at Mossdale were used as approximate representa11ons of these quantities for Vernal is. Hourly averaged data provided for the i mulauon period are shown in Figures 3- 16 and 3-17. Figure 3-18 is included to show the trend of field data at Rough and Ready Island, near Stockton. No such approximations could be made for the Sacramento River, since no continuously monitored stations were situated near this boundary. Qualities of all eleven constituents included in the model, as with the eight constituents applied at other boundaries, were kept constant for the effective simulation period (25 hours).

Agricultural return water quality was based on an estimate using 1964 data (DWR, 1967). Flow weighted averages of nitrogen and phosphorous concentrations were computed using data from thirteen sampling stations in the Delta. Estimates for DO, temperature and TDS concentrations were obtained by averaging the values corresponding to three sub-regions in the Delta for the particular months simulated (MWQI Data Request, 1995). These subregions were classified according to the distribution of dissolved organic carbon in the Delta. Since data on chlorophyll-a *was* not available, it was set to a value corresponding to an average for the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers during the period of simulation.

Hourly meteorological conditions for September 20 and October 18 of 1988 were genera1ed from climatological data at Sacramento Executive Airport (NOAA, 1988)



Figure 3- 5. Selected Monitoring Stations In the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta

Scenario 1: Calibration of The Model

Hydrodynamics. This scenario represents conditions corresponding to September 20, 1988, when there was no barrier at the head of Old River. The actual tide at the Martinez boundary was adjusted so as to repeat each 25 hours. With this tide imposed at the seaward boundary and using the freshwater inflows presented in Table 3-3, the DWRDSM hydrodynamics model was run until a state of hydrodynamic equilibrium was achieved.

Upon examination, de computed stages compared reasonably well at locations near Stockton. The flow split (daily mean) at the head of Old River was close to that actually observed dunng de rime period simulated. Model results showed 83 percent now into Old River with only 17 percent (260 cfs) passing downstream in the San Joaquin River (Figure 3-19). Figures 3-20 and 3-21 are included to show the variation of channel widths and depths in the river.

Inflow/Export	Discharge (cfs)	Discharge (cfs)	
	Sep 20,1988	Oct 12,1988	
Sacramento River	11700	11100	
San Joaquin Rjver	1610	1050	
Mokel umne River	29	30	
State Water Project expon	2383	4388	
CVP export	4610	4407	
Contra Costa Canal expon	221	214	
Stockton City Effluent	31.6	23.6	
Consumptive Use	2048	1260	
Net Delta Outflow (compULed)	4108	1934	

Table 3-3. Hydrology Used	h	Model	Callbration	and	Verification
---------------------------	---	-------	-------------	-----	--------------

Water Quality. Site-specific calibration of the water quality model was focused on the region of San Joaquin River near the Stockton Ship Channel where relatively more water quality data were available. Using this region for model calibration also provided some unique opportunities to examine the local effects of Stockton's wastewater effluent and the unique hydrodynamic conditions due to the placement of the Old River barrier. Initially, all rate coefficients were set to intermediate values in the ranges suggested in the QUAL2E manual(Brown and Barnwell, 1987), or they were set based on previous modeling expenences in the Delta and other similar estuarine systems (Rajbhandan and Orlob, 1990. Smith D.1.,1988, Hydroqual, 1985). Detailed discussions on the sources of data. ranges and their reliabilities are given in Bowie et al (1985).

Calibration started with comparison of diurnal variations of computed temperature wirh observed (hourly averaged) data at Rough and Ready Island near Stockton (Channel 20). Adjustments of dusc attenuation and evaporation coefficients were made until a reasonable agreement between the temperature patterns was obtained. These coefficients affect ware.r tcmpera1ures by increasing or decreasing net shortwave solar radiation input and heat energy losses due to evaporation, respectively. The selected values were within the range suggested in the literature (Brown and Barnwell, 1987, TVA, 1972).

Dissolved oxygen is the most important parameter of interest in the current study, consequently it was the primary constituent to be calibrated. DO *was* generally observed to be at depressed levels, well below saturation, in the Stockton Ship Channel near the wasce water outfall (DWR, 1990).

Calibration for DO involves a trade off between the rates of reaeration and benthic oxygen demand (SOD). Other processes such as photosynthetic oxygen production and chemicalblochemical oxidation also affect oxygen balance but have comparatively minor influences on DO balance in this case. Either or both of these dominant processes (reaeration and benihic demand) may be adJusted to achieve acceptable calibration. The O'Connor-Dobbins reaeration equallon was coded in the model (Thomann and Mueller, 1987). It computes reaeration rates based on instantaneous channel velocity and the depth of water derived from hydrodynamic simulation. A minimum rate (as a function of depth) of 3

	SacramenlO River al Green's L (C3) or Freeport	San Joaquin River at Vema.Es (CIO) or Mossda.le2 (C7)	Suisun Bay near Martinez (06)	Mokc!urnnc River at Woocfuridge	Agncultural Return	. Slockton City Effluent
Date/Time	Sep 15/0815 Sep 13/1 130f	Scp16/0950	Sep21/1255	Sep 14/1120		
ms	139 f	hourly (450-480)	hourly (16k-21 k)	63	1030	900
0 0	8.0 f	hourly (7-8)	7.9	8.7 (97% Sat)	S. I	5.3
Organic N	0.5 f	0.3	0.3	0.2	I 4	2.5
NH3-N	0.2	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.31	14.0
N02+N03	0.09	1.30	0.37	0.09		
N02	0.01 e	0.13 e	0.04 e	0.01	0.02	1.46
N03	0.08 e	Ј.17 с	0.33 e	0.08	1.3	1.19
Organic P (estimate)	0.03 b	0.11 •	0.07 b	0.04	0.09	
Ortho-P04	0.1 b	0.12•	0.15 b	0.02	0.40	0.66 a
Chlorophyll-a µg1	0.3	19.1	0.2	0.3	10.0	14.0 a
Temperature °C	20	hourly (18-19)	hourly (18.5-19.5)	21.5	19.6	20.0
BOD					3.9	11.0

Table 3-4. Water Quality (Field Data ¹) at the Model Boundary September 988 Simulation

¹ Note: All units are in mg/l except when noted. Organic phosphorus was obtained. by subtracting onho-P04 from total phosphorus. Dissolved nitrite and nitrate were obtained by subdividing thetr known !Dtal into 10 and 90 percent values. Hourly data are also shown by plots (Figures 3-16, 3-17).

 2 Mossdale data were used as hourly data for the model boundary at Vernalis.

estimate

09/02/88

Free)Xlrt 09/13/88

e

f

.

=

=

	Sacramento River at Green's Landing (C3) or Freepon	San Joaquin River at Vemalis (ClO)or Mossdale ² (C7)	Suisun Bay near Martinez (D6)	Agricultural Return	Stockton City Effluent
Dare/firne	Oct 17/0955 Oct 18/1100(Oct 18/1210	Oct 5/1300		
IDS	% f	hourly	hourly	807	1004
		(498-536)	(J 4500-23800)		
DO	8.9 f	hourly	hourly	5.3	6.2
	(96% Sat)	(7.2-8)	(7.8-8.3)		
Organic N	0.2	0.3	0.3	1.4	3.8
NH3-N	0.51	0.00	0.01	0.31	21.4
N02+N03	0.09	1.10	0.34		
N02	0.01 e	0.10 e	0.03 e	0.02	0.26
N 0 3	0.08 C	1.0 C	0.31 e	1.3	0.7
Organic P	0.03	0.11	0.18	0.09	
Ortho-P04	0.18	0.1	0.06	0.40	2.94a
Chlorophyll-a µg/J	0.9	27.6	0.1	10.0	2ga
Temperature °C	19	hourly	hourly	17.3	19.0
		(18.8-19.6)	(17.7-18.7)		
BOD				6.0	8.0

Table 3-5. Water Quality (Field Data¹) at the Model Boundary October 1988 Simulation

a = 10/25/89e = estimate f = Freeport

¹Note: All uniis are in mg/l except when noted. Organic phosphorus was obi.ained by subtracling ortho-P04 from lot.al phosphorus. Dissolved nitrite and nio-ate were obi.ained by subdividing their known tot.al into IO and 90 percent values. Hourly data are also shown by plots (Figures 3-16, 3-17).

Ì

I

 2 Mossdale data were used as hourly data for the model boundary at Vemalis.

	Old R. at Tracy Road Bridge (P12)	Middle R. at Union Point (PIOA)	Sac R. below Rio Vista Bridge (D24)	GrizzJy Bay at Dolphin (D7)
Date/fime	Sep 16/1135	Sep 15/1100	Sep 20/1420	Sep 20/1025
Tide	LH	ΙΗ	LH	LH
IDS	527*	257 b	178 (+)	13500 (+)
IX)	8.6	7.7	8.3	8.1
Organic N	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.3
NH3-N	0.03	0.04	0.1	0.01
N02 (estimate)	0.12	0.02	0.03	0.04
N02+N03	1.20	0.21	0.27	0.40
N03 (estimate)	1.08	0.19	0.24	0.36
Organic P (estimate)	0.10*	0.03 b	0.03(+)	0.10
Onho-P04	0.14*	0.08 b	0.11(+)	0.18 (+)
Chlorophyll-a mg/l	26	2.4	2.1	1.2
Temperature ^o C	20	21	20	18 °C
BOD				

Table 3-6. Water Quality (Field Data1) at Selected Delta Locations Sep ember 1988 Slmu latlon

b = 09/1/88 data * = 09/2/88 data (+) = 09/6/88 data

i.

1 Note: All units are in mg/l except when noled. Organic phosphorus was obtained by subtracting Onho-P04 from Lota! phosphorus. Dissolved nitrite and nitrate were obtained by subdividing their known total imo 10 and 90 percent values.

	Old R. at Tracy Road Bridge (P12)	Middle R. at Union Point (PIOA)	Sac R. below Rio Vista Bridge (D24)	Grizzly Bay at Dolphin (D7)
Date/rime	Oct 1811435	Oct 17/1240	Oct 19/1325	Oct 19/1015
Tide	LH	LH	LH	LH
IDS	591 (+)	270 b	335 (+)	13200 (+)
IX)	8.7	7.8	81	7.8
Organic N	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2
I\1-I3-N	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.00
N02 (estimate)	0.09	0.03	0.02	0.04
N02+N03	0.93	0.32	0.22	0.43
N03 (estimate)	0.84	0.29	0.20	0.39
Organic P (estimate)	0.09	0.03	0.06 (+)	0.14 (+)
Ortho-P04	0.12	0.10	0.07 (+)	0.12 (+)
Chlorophyll-a, µg⁄l	39.2	1.4	2.5	0.8
Temperature ° C	21	21	20	19
BOD				
$b = \frac{10/03/88}{10/03/88}$				

Table	3-7.	Water	Quality	(Field	Data ¹) at	Selected	Delta	Locations	
October 1988 Simulation										

 $\begin{array}{rcl} * & = & 10/03/88 \\ (+) & = & 10/04/88 \end{array}$

¹ Note: All units are in mg/I except when note<I. Organic phosphorus was obtained by subtracting ortho-P04 from total phosphorus. Dissolved nitrite and nitrate were obtained by subdividing their known total into 10 and 90 percent values.

Ι

Ì

4



(September \$4 to October 24, 1988)

ft/depth (in feet) per day was adapted to account for reaeration during very low velocilies during slack cide conditions.

In calibration there was generally more latitude for adjustment in DO by varying benthic demands, although these were only varied spatially, not temporally. In areas near the effluent outfall site, SOD is expected to be higher because deposits of settleable organic solids tend to build up over time, especially due to historically poor circulation of water in the area, most notable during extended droughts. During the calibration process various values of the benthic oxygen demand based on the suggested range of 2-10.0 g/m²-day (Thomann, 1972) were tried.

After repeated simulacions. adjustments of parameters, and examination of DO profiles from the downstream end of channel ID (approximately 20 miles downstream of Vema\ls) to channel 3J (approx:imalely 38 miles downstream of Vemalis), model results were found to be in good agreement with field data. The calibrated model uses benthic ox:ygen demands of 1.6 g/m²-day for channels I through 9 and 4.9 g/m²-day from channels 10 through 20 (in the vicinily of the outfall). A uniform demand rate of 0.5 g/m²-day was assigned to lhe resl of the Delta.



(September 14 to October 24, 1988)

Profiles of calibrated DO and temperature are shown in Figures 3-22a and 3-23a. The time \'ariation of computed discharge at channel 13 is shown as an inset in the DO plot.

Ι



Figure 3-18. Dissolved Oxygen, Total Dissolved Solids, and Temperature h the San Joaquin River at Stockton (September 14 to October 24, 1988)

~



l



Figure 3-20. Channel Widths along the San Joaquin River



Figure 3-21. Channel Depths along the San Joaquin River

1



Figure 3-22b. Comparison of Observed and Simulated Dissolved Oxygen Profiles

454 D.N.2 W

1.1.19 - Jan - - - -

Figure 3-23a. Comparison of Observed and SImulated Temperature Profiles

Figure 3-23b. Comparison of **Observed** and Simulated Temperature Profiles

Scenario2: Ver.rification of The Model

flydrodynamics. h\llo" 111gcalibr:111on the model wa et up D mntlare \Valer quality for Cktol1 r 1. 19S.S. Pnor t0 tlm date, a rock barn er wa put in place at the head of Old $R_{1 \land tT, \land}$ in the cal'ibration nin the actual tide at the Martinez boundary for this date 1Ms .ldju,ted ,o as Lo repeat after 25 hours. With this tide imposed at the seaward boundar^y and u,ing the .frc,hwak'r inflows presented_ in Table 3-3, the DWRDSM hydrodynamics module was run until a state of dynamic equilibrium was achieved.

Th,: flow split at the Old River Head computed by the model was found to be close to that actually obser, ed during the ame time period. Model results showed the flow split (daily mean) into Old River at its head to be 27 percent (280 cfs). with 73 percent (74 I cfs) of the flow passing downstream m the San Joaquin River. This represents a nearly three-fold irn:rease 1n due nt downstream now over that of September 20, 1988 simula{ion period (,cc F1 ure 3-19).

Hlater Quality. In this simulation calibration coefficients previously established remain unaltered. This allows us 10 examine how wc11 the model can simulate hydrodynamic and w:1ter quality conditions different from chose of the calibration period, a measure of the model' rlrability a a simulation 1001.

Figure 3-22b represents the comparison of computed DO and field data for the verification run. Model results agree very closely with field observations over the 18-mile reach of the ri, er. reproducing most features of the "oxygen sag". E pecially notable is the displacement of the sag downstream due to the increase in net downstream flow (compared to the calibr.111on case) and the increa e in the DO sag minimum, due apparently to improved reaer, 1t1on and dispersion along the channel. A comparison of temperature profiles which look reasonable, especially considering the fact that one sec of climate data was used for the entire system, is shown in Figure 3-23b. (This study used data from Sacramento Airport Sta{ion for lack of detailed data at Stockton Station, although the latter would ha, e been more appropnare because of the focus in this region for the present evaluation).

Figure 3-24 shows the profile of nitrate-nitrogen during the two simulation periods. Ranges of observed data for the months of September and October 1988 (taken at weekly intervals) are shown for comparison. Nitrate is somewhat underesrimated by the model, due. most likely, to uncertainties in boundary values. Future modeling efforts are expected to improve nnrate simulation capability.

Figures 3-25 and 3-26 present computed profiles of chlorophyll-a and orthophosphate of the reach for the two simularion periods. In these plots, field data at only one station (P8) were available for comparison.

Diurnal Variation in Water Quality. The diurnal variation of DO is important because it informs us how low DO levels can get during certain hours of the daily cycle. This variation can be significant when larger populations of algae are present in the water. Figures 3-27 through 3-33 show diurnal variations of selected quality variables at a station near Stockton (Channel 17) for the September 1988 scenario. The chlorophyll pattern shown in Figure 3-27 is typical of what would be expected on a dear day. The sinusoidal pattern seen for afternoon hours shows the influence of solar radiation that peaks during mid-afternoon. DO concentrations (shown in Figure 3-28) exhibit a similar trend in concentration variations with elevated levels during the afternoon. This pattern reflects the effect of the increase in photosynthetic oxygen production during afternoon hours. Increased chlorophyll-a levels in the system also deplete nutrients in the system rapidly which, in turn. reduce the demand on oxidation. This process also contributes to the rise of DO levels during the afternoon.

Figure 3-24a. Comparison of Obsen1ed and Simulated Nitrate Profiles

Figure 3-24b. Comparison of Observed and Simulated Ni1rate Profiles

Figure 342Sa. Simulated Chlorophyll-a Profile

Figure 3-25b. Simulated Chlorophyll-a Profile

3-38

Figure 3-26a. Simulated Orthophosphate Profile

Figure 3-26b. Simulated Orthophosphate Profile

Hourly \':tr::it1ons of ammonia and nitrate concentrations (sec Figures 3-29 and 3-3 I) show gradual depression during the hours that correspond to rising chlorophyll-a levels, most likely ic sultins from the incrc;:iscd uptake rates of nitrogen ∞ maintain cell growth. A s1milo.r trend 1; exh1bi:ed hy the diurnal pattern of inorganic phosphorus (orthophosphate) as shown in Figure 3-32. The fall in phosphate concentrations results from the increased phosphorus uptake during growth.

hgure 3-30 <hows computed organic nHrogen concentrations over a 24-hour period. The rise and fali pattern follow that of chlorophyll-a resulting from the increased release of algal cells during respiration. The hourly variation of computed temperature is included [or reference (see Figure 3-33). Note that all of the above transformation processes are dependent on temperature.

Further work on model evaluation is in progress. Future modeling efforts will involve more detailed calibration of the model in the Delta.

Figure 3.27. Computer Hourly Variation of Chlorophyll.a at Channel 17

₩<u>₽<u>₩</u>:0<u>"</u>a#]</u>

3-41

Figure 3-30. Computer Hourly Variation of Organ le Nitrogen at Channel 17

Figure 3-31. Computer Hourly Variation of Nitrate at Channel 17

Figure 3-32. Computer Hourly Varlatio.n of Orth phosphate at Channel 17

Figure 3433. Computer Hourly Variation of Temperature at Channel 17

-1

References

), PI J. r. moic111 Public IkJIth A, ocialiPn J. 1985 Standard Merhods (or 1/1e 1: m11111011011 of ||c| 1er and ||<me H:..o. 16th ed. \\'3, hingw: 1. D C 1: 774.

B0"-1c. (i I., d JI. 1985 *Ratti. Cnnst(mts nnd Killetlcs Fnnnulat,ons in Surfa,e* \ nlcr *Qua/in*· *Alodelinp.* Second FJ11:1,n. L S. Environmental Prtitccl\on Agency. Athens. Georgia. EPA 60013-85/40

Brown.LC. and T.O. Barnwell. Jr. 1987. *The £11hanced Sheal11 Wilier Qiwli1y*, *',fodels* QUAL2f. *and Ql*. *'AL7.f'*. *L*. *'. 'CAS Docume111alim1 and 1./sers Manual*. *L*S Environmental Protection Agency, Athens. Georgia, EPA 600/3-87/007.

Dcpanment of Water Resources. 1990 Vata Quality Coruillions in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delia D11nnfi 1988. D1vi 10n of Local Assistance

Depan:nent nd Waler Rejources. 1967. Delw alld Summ Marsh Water Oriality /llverniallon. Billen11 123

Dcp:mment of Water Resources. 1988 State Water Projcc1 Operations Data Monthly repons.

Department of Water Resources. 1990 Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Water Qua/try Sun-e11/ance Pro?J()III - 1988 Vo/w,,,: 1 Di,,,ion of Local Ass1s1:mcc.

Gromiec. M J. Reacration Ch.3 in. Mmhemm1ca! Submode ls lii Water Qualia S n terms S E Jorgen\en :ind \! J Grom1ec led, L Elsevier.

Huher. L , 1995 Personal communication and fax Department of Munic1pal UII lities. City of Stockton

HydroQual. \985 *Central Della F:111rophicalion Model-Mu/1ip!e Algal 5@eciei* Prepared for the Dcp:mmcnt of Water Resources. California HydroQual. Inc. Mahwah. NJ 07430.

MWQI Dat:i Request. 1995. InIcmal DWR memorandums 10 P. Hu11on from R. Woodard. February I Jnd 15

National Occ:inic and Atmosphene Adm1m tralion. NOAA O9b8) Local cl1matolog1Cal d11-a

O'Connor. D.J Jnd W.E DobhinL \956 *Mechall1sm of Reaeratwn ,n Nawral Streams.* J. of the Samtary Engineenng Division. ASCE. 82. SA6. pp 1-30

Ralbhandar1. H L. and G. T. Orlob.. 1990 £,.a/unlio11/Del·1/opmen1 of Water Quality Models for Wastelood Allocation in r.,11,anne Envzronme111s. Prepared for California State Water Resources Control Board and Cahfom1a Rog1011;il Water Quality Control Board. San francisco Bay Region. Department of Civil and Env1ronmental Engineenng. University of California. Davis.

Rajbhandan. H L.. 1995. Dmam11: *Sl1nu/olwn of Water Quality Van.ables* 111.*Surface Water Satems* U11ki11g *a Lagmagwa Reference Frame.* PhD. D1ssenation-in-prepara11on Department of Civil and E1wironmenwl Engineering. I;nl\,erSHy of Cahfom1a. Davis.

Rathbun. R E. 1977. *Reaeratwn Coefficients of Streams. Stare-of-the-Art.* ASCE. J Hydraulics Division. Vol. 103. No. HY4. pp. 409-424.

Smith, DJ. (1988) Effects of the Slockton Slup Chnnnel Deepening on the Dissolved Ox'gen of Wmer Near Ihe Port of Sloc/.:1011. Cahjornia (Phase II). Resource Management Associa(es. Inc. Lafayette. California