

The following Figures 1 – 15 show CalSim II model results for a sensitivity analysis that looked at CWF H3+ and an alternative that was developed by taking CWF H3+ and removing the January – August Rio Vista flow requirement. The Alternative scenario is labeled CWF H3+ no RV in Figures 1 – 15. The No Action Alternative (NAA) results are also shown in these figures for reference.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8




Figure 9




Figure 10




Figure 11




Figure 12




Figure 13




Figure 14





Figure 15





The following Figures 16 – 24 show CalSim II model results for the NAA and CWF H3+ scenarios. Figures 16 – 23 show OMR compliance exceedance probability. Figure 24 shows model results for average monthly OMR flows.

Figure 16




Figure 17




Figure 18




Figure 19




Figure 20




Figure 21




Figure 22




Figure 23




Figure 24

