

Contents

Tables	lxxxvii
Figures	ccxli
Acronyms and Abbreviations	cclxvii

Volume I. Final EIR/EIS for the BDCP/California WaterFix

Executive Summary..... ES-1

ES.1	Introduction	ES-1
ES.1.1	Background and Context	ES-1
ES.1.1.1	Project Objectives and Purpose and Need.....	ES-4
ES.1.1.2	Public Outreach.....	ES-7
ES.1.1.3	Updated Environmental Analysis	ES-8
ES.1.2	Areas of Known Controversy	ES-10
ES.1.3	Readers Guide to the Final EIR/EIS	ES-13
ES.1.3.1	Topical Summaries	ES-13
ES.1.3.2	Presentation of Alternatives in Resource Chapters	ES-13
ES.1.3.3	Resource Chapter Presentations.....	ES-13
ES.1.3.4	Supplemental Appendices	ES-14
ES.1.3.5	Response to Comments	ES-15
ES.1.4	Key Final EIR/EIS Terminology	ES-16
ES.1.5	Final EIR/EIS Review and Project Approvals	ES-18
ES.2	Alternatives	ES-18
ES.2.1	Alternatives Development Process.....	ES-19
ES.2.1.1	BDCP Alternatives Development Process	ES-19
ES.2.1.2	California WaterFix Alternatives Development Process	ES-22
ES.2.2	BDCP Alternatives	ES-22
ES.2.2.1	No Action Alternative.....	ES-22
ES.2.2.2	BDCP Action Alternatives	ES-24
ES.2.2.3	Components of the BDCP Action Alternatives.....	ES-28
ES.2.3	Alternative Implementation Strategy Alternatives (Non-HCP Alternatives	ES-30
ES.2.3.1	No Action Alternative ELT	ES-30
ES.2.3.2	Non-HCP Action Alternatives	ES-30
ES.3	Mitigation and Adaptive Management	ES-35
ES.3.1	Mitigation Measures, Avoidance and Minimization Measures, and Environmental Commitments.....	ES-35
ES.3.1.1	Project Definition and Design of Project Elements	ES-36
ES.3.1.2	Environmental Commitments, AMMs and Conservation Measures	ES-36
ES.3.1.3	Mitigation Measures	ES-37

ES.3.2	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Program	ES-37
ES.3.2.1	Collaborative Science	ES-38
ES.3.2.2	Monitoring	ES-39
ES.3.2.3	Management Recommendations, Decisions, and Actions.....	ES-39
ES.4	Summary of Impacts.....	ES-40
ES.4.1	Chapter Summaries	ES-40
ES.4.1.1	Chapter 5, Water Supply	ES-40
ES.4.1.2	Chapter 6, Surface Water.....	ES-41
ES.4.1.3	Chapter 7, Groundwater	ES-41
ES.4.1.4	Chapter 8, Water Quality	ES-42
ES.4.1.5	Chapter 9, Geology and Seismicity.....	ES-43
ES.4.1.6	Chapter 10, Soils	ES-43
ES.4.1.7	Chapter 11, Fish and Aquatic Resources.....	ES-43
ES.4.1.8	Chapter 12, Terrestrial Biological Resources	ES-45
ES.4.1.9	Chapter 13, Land Use	ES-45
ES.4.1.10	Chapter 14, Agriculture.....	ES-46
ES.4.1.11	Chapter 15, Recreation	ES-46
ES.4.1.12	Chapter 16, Socioeconomics.....	ES-47
ES.4.1.13	Chapter 17, Aesthetics and Visual Resources	ES-48
ES.4.1.14	Chapter 18, Cultural.....	ES-48
ES.4.1.15	Chapter 19, Transportation.....	ES-48
ES.4.1.16	Chapter 20, Public Services and Utilities.....	ES-49
ES.4.1.17	Chapter 21, Energy.....	ES-49
ES.4.1.18	Chapter 22, Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	ES-50
ES.4.1.19	Chapter 23, Noise.....	ES-52
ES.4.1.20	Chapter 24, Hazards.....	ES-53
ES.4.1.21	Chapter 25, Public Health	ES-53
ES.4.1.22	Chapter 26, Minerals.....	ES-54
ES.4.1.23	Chapter 27, Paleontological Resources.....	ES-54
ES.4.1.24	Chapter 28, Environmental Justice	ES-54
ES.4.1.25	Chapter 30, Growth.....	ES-55
ES.4.2	Executive Summary Impact Table.....	ES-56
Chapter 1	Introduction	1-1
1.1	About the BDCP/California WaterFix.....	1-1
1.2	Background.....	1-4
1.2.1	BDCP Alternatives.....	1-5
1.2.2	Addition of Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	1-6
1.3	Water Supply Development and Management.....	1-7
1.3.1	State Water Project	1-8

1.3.2	Central Valley Project	1-9
1.4	Historical Context	1-9
1.4.1	Delta Environmental Protection	1-10
1.4.2	CALFED and Delta Vision.....	1-11
1.4.3	Relationship to the Delta Reform Act and Delta Plan.....	1-13
1.5	EIR/EIS Project Area.....	1-15
1.5.1	Upstream of the Delta Region	1-15
1.5.2	Delta Region (Plan Area).....	1-15
1.5.3	SWP and CVP Service Areas.....	1-16
1.6	Intended Uses of this EIR/EIS and Agency Roles and Responsibilities	1-16
1.6.1	Overview of Approval Process	1-19
1.6.1.1	BDCP Alternatives	1-19
1.6.1.2	Alternatives 4A (California WaterFix), 2D, and 5A.....	1-20
1.6.1.3	Lead Agencies.....	1-20
1.6.2	Use of this EIR/EIS by Other Entities.....	1-21
1.6.2.1	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and National Marine Fisheries Service	1-21
1.6.2.2	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.....	1-24
1.6.2.3	Environmental Protection Agency	1-25
1.6.2.4	California Department of Fish and Wildlife.....	1-26
1.6.2.5	State Water Resources Control Board	1-28
1.6.2.6	Delta Stewardship Council	1-30
1.7	Public Scoping and Issues of Known Controversy	1-38
1.7.1	Purpose of Recirculated/Supplemental Documents	1-42
1.7.2	Substantive Draft EIR/EIS Revisions.....	1-42
1.7.3	Public Review of Recirculated/Supplemental Documents	1-43
1.8	CEQA/NEPA Terminology	1-43
1.9	Related Actions, Programs, and Planning Efforts.....	1-44
1.10	Final EIR/EIS Organization	1-45
1.11	References Cited.....	1-50
Chapter 2	Project Objectives and Purpose and Need.....	2-1
2.1	Overview.....	2-1
2.2	Regulatory Background	2-2
2.3	Project Objectives.....	2-2
2.4	Project Purpose and Need.....	2-3
2.4.1	Project Need	2-4
Chapter 3	Description of Alternatives.....	3-1
3.1	Introduction.....	3-1
3.1.1	Identification of a Preferred Alternative	3-3
3.2	Alternatives Development Process	3-4

3.2.1	Development of Alternatives.....	3-6
3.2.1.1	Delta Water Conveyance Alternatives Identified in the BDCP Steering Committee Process	3-7
3.2.1.2	Water Conveyance Alternatives Identified in EIR/EIS Scoping Comments	3-7
3.2.1.3	First (Initial) Screening Analysis of Water Conveyance Alternatives	3-8
3.2.1.4	Identification of Operations Alternatives	3-9
3.2.1.5	Second Screening Analysis	3-11
3.2.2	Alternatives Considered and Dismissed from Further Evaluation.....	3-12
3.2.3	Development of the BDCP	3-13
3.2.3.1	Proposed Bay Delta Conservation Plan	3-16
3.2.3.2	Implementation Schedule	3-23
3.2.3.3	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Program.....	3-26
3.2.4	Development of the California WaterFix	3-26
3.2.4.1	Rationale for Revisions to the Proposed Project	3-27
3.3	Components of the Alternatives: Overview	3-29
3.3.1	Overview of Water Conveyance Facility Components	3-30
3.3.1.1	Physical Components	3-30
3.3.1.2	Operational Components.....	3-37
3.3.2	Overview of Restoration Activities	3-50
3.3.2.1	BDCP Conservation Measures.....	3-50
3.3.2.2	Non-HCP Alternative Environmental Commitments.....	3-51
3.4	Approach to Environmental Analysis for Non-HCP Alternatives	3-58
3.5	Alternatives	3-63
3.5.1	No Action Alternative	3-64
3.5.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	3-69
3.5.2.1	Physical and Operational Components.....	3-69
3.5.2.2	Conservation Components.....	3-72
3.5.2.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-73
3.5.2.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits.....	3-74
3.5.2.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-74
3.5.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	3-77
3.5.3.1	Physical and Operational Components.....	3-77
3.5.3.2	Conservation Components.....	3-79
3.5.3.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-80
3.5.3.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits.....	3-80

3.5.3.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-80
3.5.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	3-80
3.5.4.1	Physical and Operational Components	3-80
3.5.4.2	Conservation Components	3-83
3.5.4.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-83
3.5.4.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits	3-83
3.5.4.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-83
3.5.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	3-84
3.5.5.1	Physical and Operational Components	3-84
3.5.5.2	Conservation Components	3-84
3.5.5.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-84
3.5.5.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits	3-85
3.5.5.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-85
3.5.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	3-85
3.5.6.1	Physical and Operational Components	3-85
3.5.6.2	Conservation Components	3-85
3.5.6.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors	3-85
3.5.6.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits	3-86
3.5.6.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-86
3.5.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	3-86
3.5.7.1	Physical and Operational Components	3-86
3.5.7.2	Conservation Components	3-86
3.5.7.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors	3-86
3.5.7.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits	3-87
3.5.7.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-87
3.5.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	3-87
3.5.8.1	Physical and Operational Components	3-87
3.5.8.2	Conservation Components	3-88
3.5.8.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-89
3.5.8.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits	3-89
3.5.8.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-89
3.5.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	3-89

3.5.9.1	Physical and Operational Components	3-89
3.5.9.2	Conservation Components.....	3-93
3.5.9.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-94
3.5.9.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits.....	3-95
3.5.9.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-95
3.5.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	3-96
3.5.10.1	Physical and Operational Components.....	3-96
3.5.10.2	Conservation Components.....	3-97
3.5.10.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-97
3.5.10.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits.....	3-97
3.5.10.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-98
3.5.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	3-98
3.5.11.1	Physical and Operational Components.....	3-98
3.5.11.2	Conservation Components.....	3-98
3.5.11.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-99
3.5.11.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits.....	3-99
3.5.11.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-99
3.5.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	3-99
3.5.12.1	Physical and Operational Components.....	3-99
3.5.12.2	Conservation Components.....	3-100
3.5.12.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-100
3.5.12.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits.....	3-100
3.5.12.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-100
3.5.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1– W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D).....	3-100
3.5.13.1	Physical and Operational Components.....	3-100
3.5.13.2	Conservation Components.....	3-101
3.5.13.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors.....	3-101
3.5.13.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits.....	3-101
3.5.13.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-101
3.5.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E)	3-101
3.5.14.1	Physical and Operational Components.....	3-101
3.5.14.2	Conservation Components.....	3-103

3.5.14.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-103
3.5.14.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits.....	3-103
3.5.14.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-103
3.5.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F).....	3-104
3.5.15.1	Physical and Operational Components	3-104
3.5.15.2	Conservation Components.....	3-104
3.5.15.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-104
3.5.15.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits.....	3-104
3.5.15.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-105
3.5.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G).....	3-105
3.5.16.1	Physical and Operational Components	3-105
3.5.16.2	Conservation Components.....	3-108
3.5.16.3	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors and Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-108
3.5.16.4	Issuance of Federal Incidental Take Permits.....	3-109
3.5.16.5	Issuance of State Incidental Take Permits	3-109
3.5.17	No Action Alternative ELT	3-109
3.5.17.1	No Action Alternative (ELT) Assumptions for State Water Project and Central Valley Project.....	3-110
3.5.17.2	No Action Alternative (ELT) Assumptions for Ongoing Programs and Policies	3-110
3.5.17.3	No Action Alternative (ELT) Assumptions for Biological Opinions	3-110
3.5.18	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H; Proposed Project and CEQA and NEPA Preferred Alternative).....	3-111
3.5.18.1	Physical and Operational Components	3-111
3.5.18.2	Environmental Commitments	3-112
3.5.18.3	Issuance of Federal Biological Opinion	3-112
3.5.18.4	Issuance of State 2081(b) Permits	3-113
3.5.19	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	3-113
3.5.19.1	Physical and Operational Components	3-114
3.5.19.2	Environmental Commitments	3-114
3.5.19.3	Issuance of Federal Biological Opinion	3-115
3.5.19.4	Issuance of State 2081(b) Permits	3-115
3.5.20	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	3-115

3.5.20.1	Water Conveyance Facility Construction and Maintenance	3-116
3.5.20.2	Environmental Commitments	3-117
3.5.20.3	Issuance of Federal Biological Opinion	3-117
3.5.20.4	Issuance of State 2081(b) Permits	3-117
3.6	Components of the Alternatives	3-118
3.6.1	Water Conveyance Facility Components	3-118
3.6.1.1	North Delta Intakes	3-120
3.6.1.2	Conveyance Facilities	3-132
3.6.1.3	Operable Barriers	3-142
3.6.1.4	Forebays	3-146
3.6.1.5	Connections to Banks and Jones Pumping Plants	3-148
3.6.1.6	Power Supply and Grid Connections	3-149
3.6.1.7	Through Delta/Separate Corridors Levee Construction and Modification	3-155
3.6.1.8	Temporary Access and Work Areas for Intake, Canal, and Pipeline/Tunnel Construction	3-157
3.6.1.9	SWP and CVP South Delta Export Facilities	3-160
3.6.1.10	Geotechnical Exploration	3-164
3.6.2	Conservation Components	3-167
3.6.2.1	Habitat Conservation Measures	3-169
3.6.2.2	Measures to Reduce Other Stressors	3-199
3.6.3	Environmental Commitments	3-221
3.6.3.1	Environmental Commitment 3: Natural Communities Protection and Restoration	3-221
3.6.3.2	Environmental Commitment 4: Tidal Natural Communities Restoration	3-221
3.6.3.3	Environmental Commitment 6: Channel Margin Enhancement	3-222
3.6.3.4	Environmental Commitment 7: Riparian Natural Community Restoration	3-222
3.6.3.5	Environmental Commitment 8: Grassland Natural Community	3-222
3.6.3.6	Environmental Commitment 9: Vernal Pool and Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex Restoration	3-222
3.6.3.7	Environmental Commitment 10: Nontidal Marsh Restoration	3-222
3.6.3.8	Environmental Commitment 11: Natural Communities Enhancement and Management	3-222
3.6.3.9	Environmental Commitment 12: Methylmercury Management	3-222
3.6.3.10	Environmental Commitment 15: Localized Reduction of Predatory Fishes (Predator Control)	3-223
3.6.3.11	Environmental Commitment 16: Nonphysical Fish Barrier	3-223
3.6.3.12	Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-223

3.6.4	Water Conveyance Operational Components	3-223
3.6.4.1	BDCP Operations of Covered Activities and Associated Federal Actions.....	3-224
3.6.4.2	North Delta and South Delta Water Conveyance Operational Criteria	3-231
3.6.4.3	Real-Time Operational Decision-Making Process	3-273
3.6.4.4	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Program.....	3-281
3.7	Environmental Commitments	3-288
3.8	SWP Long-Term Water Supply Contract Amendment.....	3-289
3.9	References Cited.....	3-290
Chapter 4	Approach to the Environmental Analysis	4-1
4.1	Framework for the Environmental Analysis	4-1
4.1.1	Timeframes for Evaluation	4-1
4.1.1.1	BDCP Alternatives	4-1
4.1.1.2	Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	4-2
4.1.2	Project-Level and Program-Level Analyses.....	4-2
4.1.2.1	BDCP Alternatives	4-2
4.1.2.2	Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	4-4
4.1.3	Analysis of the Action Alternatives	4-4
4.2	Resource Chapter Organization.....	4-5
4.2.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	4-5
4.2.1.1	CEQA and NEPA Baselines.....	4-5
4.2.1.2	Definition of Study Area.....	4-9
4.2.1.3	Presentation of Existing Conditions	4-10
4.2.2	Regulatory Setting	4-10
4.2.3	Methods for Analysis	4-10
4.2.4	Consideration of Seismic Risks and Climate Change on Action Alternatives.....	4-11
4.2.5	Environmental Consequences	4-13
4.2.5.1	Resource-Specific Study Areas	4-14
4.2.5.2	Cumulative Effects Analysis	4-14
4.2.5.3	Mitigation Approaches.....	4-22
4.3	Overview of Tools, Analytical Methods, and Applications	4-23
4.4	References Cited.....	4-30
Chapter 5	Water Supply.....	5-1
5.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	5-1
5.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment.....	5-1
5.1.1	Overview of California Water Resources.....	5-2
5.1.1.1	Historical Precipitation Patterns	5-2
5.1.1.2	Developed Water Supplies.....	5-3
5.1.1.3	Overview of California Water Demand	5-5

5.1.2	SWP and CVP Facilities and Operations.....	5-6
5.1.2.1	CVP Facilities	5-6
5.1.2.2	SWP Facilities	5-16
5.1.2.3	SWP/CVP Coordinated Facilities and Operations	5-20
5.1.2.4	San Luis Complex.....	5-23
5.1.2.5	SWP and CVP Water Supplies and Deliveries.....	5-25
5.1.2.6	Regional and Local Diversions from the Delta	5-25
5.1.2.7	Delta Water Transfers	5-28
5.2	Regulatory Setting	5-32
5.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	5-32
5.2.1.1	Federal Regulations Related to SWP and CVP Authorization and Operations.....	5-32
5.2.1.2	National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Biological Opinions for Continued Long-Term Operation of the CVP/SWP	5-35
5.2.1.3	Federal Power Act.....	5-36
5.2.1.4	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Public Notice 5820A (13 October 1981).....	5-37
5.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	5-37
5.2.2.1	State Regulations Related to SWP Authorization and Operations.....	5-37
5.2.2.2	California State Water Resources Control Board	5-38
5.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	5-44
5.3	Environmental Consequences	5-44
5.3.1	Methods for Analysis	5-44
5.3.1.1	Quantitative Analysis of SWP and CVP Water Supply Impacts	5-45
5.3.1.2	Project- and Program-Level Components	5-56
5.3.2	Determination of Effects	5-57
5.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	5-58
5.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	5-58
5.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	5-71
5.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	5-80
5.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	5-81
5.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	5-82
5.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	5-90
5.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	5-92

5.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	5-93
5.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	5-101
5.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	5-112
5.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	5-120
5.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	5-129
5.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	5-130
5.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	5-132
5.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	5-140
5.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	5-149
5.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	5-158
5.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	5-158
5.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	5-167
5.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	5-178
5.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	5-188
5.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	5-199
5.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	5-205
5.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	5-206
5.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	5-208
5.4	References Cited.....	5-211
Chapter 6	Surface Water	6-1
6.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	6-1
6.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	6-1
6.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area.....	6-2
6.1.2	Central Valley Hydrology	6-2
6.1.2.1	Sacramento River Basin	6-2
6.1.2.2	San Joaquin River Basin.....	6-4
6.1.2.3	Delta Hydraulics	6-7

6.1.2.4	Suisun Marsh.....	6-9
6.1.3	Central Valley Flood Management	6-10
6.1.3.1	Background of Central Valley Flood Management	6-10
6.1.3.2	Flood Management Facilities in the Central Valley and the Delta.....	6-12
6.1.3.3	Operation of Water Supply and Flood Management Flow Regulation Facilities in the Central Valley	6-14
6.1.4	Delta Levee Failure Risks	6-17
6.1.4.1	Subsidence	6-17
6.1.4.2	Other Levee Failure Risks	6-18
6.1.5	Delta Flood Risks.....	6-19
6.1.5.1	FEMA Analyses	6-19
6.1.5.2	FEMA Flood Areas	6-21
6.1.5.3	DWR State Plan of Flood Control	6-23
6.2	Regulatory Setting	6-24
6.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	6-24
6.2.1.1	1850 Swamp and Overflowed Lands Act	6-24
6.2.1.2	Federal Emergency Management Agency	6-25
6.2.1.3	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.....	6-29
6.2.1.4	Bureau of Reclamation.....	6-32
6.2.1.5	Other Federal Agencies.....	6-32
6.2.1.6	CALFED Bay-Delta Program Levee System Integrity Program.....	6-33
6.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	6-33
6.2.2.1	Suisun Marsh Preservation Agreement	6-33
6.2.2.2	Department of Water Resources	6-34
6.2.2.3	Assembly Bill 1200	6-35
6.2.2.4	Central Valley Flood Protection Board.....	6-36
6.2.2.5	Delta Protection Act of 1992.....	6-37
6.2.2.6	State Realty Disclosure Law	6-37
6.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	6-38
6.3	Environmental Consequences	6-38
6.3.1	Methods for Analysis	6-38
6.3.1.1	Quantitative Analysis of Surface Water Resources.....	6-39
6.3.1.2	Methods for Analysis of Flood Management along Major Rivers.....	6-43
6.3.1.3	Analysis of Surface Water Conditions due to Construction and Operation of Conveyance Facilities in the Delta	6-46
6.3.1.4	Project- and Program-Level Components.....	6-47
6.3.2	Determination of Effects	6-47
6.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	6-49
6.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	6-49

6.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	6-55
6.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	6-69
6.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	6-73
6.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	6-78
6.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	6-86
6.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	6-90
6.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	6-94
6.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	6-103
6.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	6-112
6.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	6-121
6.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	6-129
6.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	6-133
6.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	6-137
6.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F).....	6-145
6.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	6-154
6.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	6-165
6.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	6-165
6.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	6-169
6.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	6-181
6.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	6-192
6.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	6-203
6.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	6-214

6.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	6-214
6.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	6-215
6.4	References Cited.....	6-221
Chapter 7	Groundwater	7-1
7.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	7-1
7.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	7-1
7.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area.....	7-2
7.1.1.1	Central Valley Regional Groundwater Setting	7-3
7.1.1.2	Delta and Suisun Marsh Groundwater Setting	7-5
7.1.1.3	Delta Watershed Groundwater Setting	7-13
7.1.1.4	Groundwater Setting in the Export Service Areas outside the Delta Watershed.....	7-22
7.2	Regulatory Setting	7-28
7.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	7-28
7.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	7-28
7.2.2.1	Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act (California Water Code, Division 7 and 2009 Amendments)	7-28
7.2.2.2	Area of Origin Statute (California Water Code 1220)	7-29
7.2.2.3	California Water Code.....	7-29
7.2.2.4	Basin Adjudications	7-31
7.2.2.5	California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring Program (CASGEM) (SBX7-6)	7-32
7.2.2.6	Sustainable Groundwater Management Act	7-32
7.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	7-33
7.3	Environmental Consequences	7-34
7.3.1	Methods for Analysis	7-35
7.3.1.1	Analysis of Groundwater Conditions in Areas that Use SWP/CVP Water Supplies	7-35
7.3.1.2	Analysis of Groundwater Conditions Associated with Construction and Operations of Facilities in the Delta.....	7-38
7.3.1.3	Analysis of Conservation Measures 2–21 in the Delta.....	7-40
7.3.2	Determination of Effects	7-41
7.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	7-42
7.3.3.1	No Action Alternative Late Long-Term.....	7-43
7.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	7-49
7.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	7-61
7.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	7-68

7.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	7-74
7.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	7-78
7.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	7-80
7.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	7-82
7.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	7-85
7.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	7-95
7.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	7-98
7.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	7-102
7.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	7-103
7.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	7-105
7.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F).....	7-107
7.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G).....	7-110
7.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	7-115
7.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	7-115
7.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	7-117
7.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	7-126
7.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	7-133
7.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	7-140
7.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	7-148
7.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	7-149
7.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	7-152
7.4	References Cited.....	7-159
Chapter 8	Water Quality	8-1
8.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	8-1
8.0.1	Readers Guide.....	8-2

8.0.2	Overview	8-2
8.0.3	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	8-2
8.0.4	Regulatory Setting	8-3
8.0.5	Environmental Consequences	8-3
8.0.6	Organization of the Effects and Mitigation Approaches Discussion (Sections 8.3.3 and 8.3.4)	8-4
8.0.7	NEPA and CEQA Impact Conclusions	8-6
8.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	8-7
8.1.1	Affected Environment.....	8-7
8.1.1.1	Organization of the Section	8-8
8.1.1.2	Overview of the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Watersheds.....	8-9
8.1.1.3	Water Management and the State Water Project and Central Valley Project Systems	8-12
8.1.1.4	Primary Factors Affecting Water Quality	8-15
8.1.1.5	Beneficial Uses	8-16
8.1.1.6	Water Quality Objectives and Criteria	8-18
8.1.1.7	Water Quality Impairments	8-24
8.1.1.8	Water Quality Constituents of Concern	8-27
8.1.2	Selection of Monitoring Locations for Characterization of Water Quality	8-29
8.1.2.1	Water Quality Monitoring Programs and Sources of Data	8-29
8.1.2.2	Surface Water Quality Monitoring Locations.....	8-30
8.1.2.3	Delta Source Waters	8-34
8.1.3	Existing Surface Water Quality	8-35
8.1.3.1	Ammonia	8-36
8.1.3.2	Boron.....	8-40
8.1.3.3	Bromide.....	8-43
8.1.3.4	Chloride	8-45
8.1.3.5	Dioxins, Furans, and Polychlorinated Biphenyls	8-47
8.1.3.6	Dissolved Oxygen	8-51
8.1.3.7	Salinity and Electrical Conductivity	8-55
8.1.3.8	Emerging Pollutants: Endocrine-Disrupting Compounds, Pharmaceutical and Personal Care Products, and Nitrosamines.....	8-62
8.1.3.9	Mercury.....	8-66
8.1.3.10	Nitrate/Nitrite and Phosphorus	8-75
8.1.3.11	Organic Carbon	8-81
8.1.3.12	Pathogens.....	8-87
8.1.3.13	Pesticides and Herbicides.....	8-90
8.1.3.14	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons.....	8-95
8.1.3.15	Selenium.....	8-98
8.1.3.16	Other Trace Metals	8-108

8.1.3.17	Turbidity and Total Suspended Solids	8-117
8.1.3.18	<i>Microcystis</i>	8-120
8.2	Regulatory Setting	8-121
8.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	8-122
8.2.1.1	Clean Water Act	8-122
8.2.1.2	Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10	8-124
8.2.1.3	Federal Antidegradation Policy	8-124
8.2.1.4	National Toxics Rule	8-124
8.2.1.5	Safe Drinking Water Act	8-125
8.2.1.6	Surface Water Treatment Rule	8-125
8.2.1.7	Stage 1 and Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule and Long-Term 1 and Long-Term 2 Enhanced Surface Water Treatment Rule	8-125
8.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations	8-126
8.2.2.1	Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act of 1969	8-126
8.2.2.2	State Water Resources Control Board Water Rights Decisions, Water Quality Control Plans, and Water Quality Objectives	8-126
8.2.2.3	Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento– San Joaquin Delta Estuary	8-127
8.2.2.4	Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan) for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins	8-128
8.2.2.5	San Francisco Bay Basin Water Quality Control Plan (Basin Plan)	8-128
8.2.2.6	State Water Board Resolution No. 68-16—Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality Waters in California (State Antidegradation Policy)	8-128
8.2.2.7	State Water Resources Control Board Sources of Drinking Water Policy (Resolution No. 88-63)	8-129
8.2.2.8	Policy for Implementation and Enforcement of the Nonpoint-Source Pollution Control Program (Water Code Section 13369[a][2][B])	8-129
8.2.2.9	California Toxics Rule	8-130
8.2.2.10	Policy for the Implementation of Toxics Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California	8-130
8.2.2.11	Department of Public Health Safe Drinking Water Act Implementation	8-130
8.2.2.12	State Water Resources Control Board Decision 1641	8-131
8.2.2.13	Central Valley Water Board Drinking Water Policy	8-132
8.2.3	Nonregional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	8-132
8.2.3.1	General Plan Goals and Policies	8-133
8.2.3.2	Local Regulations	8-135
8.2.3.3	Policy Consistency	8-135
8.3	Environmental Consequences	8-136

8.3.1	Methods for Analysis	8-136
8.3.1.1	Models Used and Their Linkages.....	8-140
8.3.1.2	Upstream of the Delta Region.....	8-147
8.3.1.3	Plan Area	8-147
8.3.1.4	SWP/CVP Export Service Areas	8-154
8.3.1.5	Mercury and Selenium Bioaccumulation Assessment	8-155
8.3.1.6	Summary of Methods Used to Assess Water Quality Changes Related to Construction Activities, Conveyance Facilities Operations and Maintenance, and Habitat Restoration and Other Stressor-Related Conservation Measures/Environmental Commitments	8-157
8.3.1.7	Constituent-Specific Considerations Used in the Assessment.....	8-160
8.3.1.8	San Francisco Bay.....	8-199
8.3.2	Determination of Effects	8-207
8.3.2.1	Screening Analysis and Results	8-207
8.3.2.2	Comparisons.....	8-209
8.3.2.3	Effects Determinations.....	8-210
8.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	8-212
8.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	8-212
8.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	8-267
8.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	8-356
8.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	8-358
8.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	8-360
8.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	8-420
8.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	8-422
8.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	8-424
8.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	8-482
8.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	8-585
8.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	8-644
8.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	8-704

8.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D).....	8-706
8.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	8-708
8.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F).....	8-768
8.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G).....	8-827
8.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	8-887
8.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	8-887
8.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	8-915
8.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	8-985
8.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	8-1044
8.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	8-1102
8.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	8-1106
8.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	8-1107
8.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	8-1111
8.4	References Cited.....	8-1133
Chapter 9	Geology and Seismicity	9-1
9.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	9-1
9.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment.....	9-1
9.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area.....	9-2
9.1.1.1	Regional Geology	9-3
9.1.1.2	Local Geology	9-4
9.1.1.3	Regional and Local Seismicity	9-11
9.1.1.4	Geologic and Seismic Hazards.....	9-18
9.2	Regulatory Setting	9-28
9.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	9-28
9.2.1.1	U.S. Geological Survey Quaternary Faults.....	9-28
9.2.1.2	U.S. Geological Survey National Seismic Hazard Maps	9-28
9.2.1.3	U.S. Geological Survey Landslide Hazard Program	9-29
9.2.1.4	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers EC 1165-2-211	9-29
9.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	9-29
9.2.2.1	Delta Plan	9-29
9.2.2.2	California Division of Safety of Dams	9-29

9.2.2.3	Liquefaction and Landslide Hazard Maps (Seismic Hazards Mapping Act)	9-30
9.2.2.4	Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zones	9-30
9.2.2.5	Assembly Bill 1200 (Chapter 573, Statutes of 2005)	9-31
9.2.2.6	Regulatory Design Codes and Standards for Project Structures	9-31
9.3	Environmental Consequences	9-44
9.3.1	Methods for Analysis	9-44
9.3.1.1	Process and Methods of Review for Geologic and Seismic Hazards	9-46
9.3.1.2	Evaluation of Construction Activities	9-47
9.3.1.3	Evaluation of Operations	9-51
9.3.2	Determination of Effects	9-51
9.3.2.1	Compatibility with Plans and Policies	9-51
9.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	9-52
9.3.3.1	No Action Alternative	9-52
9.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	9-55
9.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	9-91
9.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	9-127
9.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	9-163
9.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	9-170
9.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	9-178
9.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	9-185
9.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	9-192
9.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	9-228
9.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	9-235
9.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	9-242
9.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	9-250
9.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E)	9-257

9.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F).....	9-265
9.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G).....	9-272
9.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	9-284
9.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	9-284
9.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	9-286
9.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	9-306
9.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	9-314
9.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	9-321
9.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	9-328
9.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	9-328
9.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	9-329
9.4	References Cited.....	9-330
Chapter 10	Soils.....	10-1
10.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	10-1
10.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment.....	10-1
10.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area.....	10-3
10.1.1.1	Soil Associations	10-3
10.1.1.2	Soil Physical and Chemical Properties.....	10-6
10.1.1.3	Soil Suitability and Use Limitation Ratings	10-8
10.1.1.4	Risk of Corrosion to Uncoated Steel	10-9
10.1.1.5	Risk of Corrosion to Concrete	10-11
10.1.2	Land Subsidence	10-11
10.1.2.1	History	10-11
10.1.2.2	Causes of Subsidence	10-12
10.1.2.3	Rates of Subsidence and Current Conditions.....	10-13
10.1.2.4	Consequences of Land Subsidence	10-14
10.2	Regulatory Setting	10-15
10.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	10-16
10.2.1.1	Clean Water Act Section 402, National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Program: Storm Water Permitting	10-16
10.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	10-16
10.2.2.1	Porter-Cologne Water Pollution Control Act	10-16

10.2.2.2	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction and Land Disturbance Activities	10-16
10.2.2.3	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems Permits	10-17
10.2.2.4	Nonpoint Source Implementation and Enforcement Policy	10-17
10.2.2.5	McAteer-Petris Act.....	10-17
10.2.2.6	Suisun Marsh Preservation Act of 1977 and Suisun Marsh Protection Plan (1976)	10-17
10.2.2.7	California Building Code	10-18
10.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	10-18
10.2.3.1	General Plans, Ordinances, and Codes	10-18
10.3	Environmental Consequences	10-20
10.3.1	Methods for Analysis	10-21
10.3.1.1	Impact Mechanisms	10-23
10.3.1.2	Construction Activity Effects	10-24
10.3.1.3	Facility Effects	10-24
10.3.1.4	Operational Component Effects	10-24
10.3.2	Determination of Effects	10-25
10.3.2.1	Compatibility with Plans and Policies	10-26
10.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	10-27
10.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	10-27
10.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	10-31
10.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	10-47
10.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	10-59
10.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	10-70
10.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	10-76
10.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	10-81
10.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	10-87
10.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	10-92
10.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	10-109
10.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	10-115

10.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	10-121
10.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	10-127
10.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E)	10-133
10.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	10-139
10.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	10-145
10.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	10-151
10.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term	10-151
10.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	10-152
10.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	10-158
10.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	10-165
10.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	10-170
10.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	10-175
10.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	10-183
10.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	10-183
10.4	References Cited	10-190
Chapter 11	Fish and Aquatic Resources	11-1
11.0	Readers' Guide and Summary of Effects	11-1
11.0.1	Readers' Guide	11-1
11.0.1.1	Species Evaluated in Chapter 11	11-1
11.0.1.2	Relationship of Chapter 11 to the BDCP and California WaterFix Effects Analyses	11-2
11.0.1.3	NEPA and CEQA Conclusions	11-3
11.0.1.4	Chapter Organization	11-3
11.0.1.5	Important Information about the Organization of the Effects and Mitigation Approaches Discussion, Section 11.3.4 and 11.3.5	11-4
11.0.2	Summary of Effects	11-10
11.0.2.1	Alternative 1A—Summary of Effects	11-10
11.0.2.2	Alternative 1B—Summary of Effects	11-26
11.0.2.3	Alternative 1C—Summary of Effects	11-29
11.0.2.4	Alternative 2A—Summary of Effects	11-32
11.0.2.5	Alternative 2B—Summary of Effects	11-38

11.0.2.6	Alternative 2C—Summary of Effects.....	11-41
11.0.2.7	Alternative 3—Summary of Effects.....	11-44
11.0.2.8	Alternative 4—Summary of Effects.....	11-50
11.0.2.9	Alternative 5—Summary of Effects.....	11-55
11.0.2.10	Alternative 6A—Summary of Effects	11-62
11.0.2.11	Alternative 6B—Summary of Effects.....	11-67
11.0.2.12	Alternative 6C—Summary of Effects.....	11-69
11.0.2.13	Alternative 7—Summary of Effects.....	11-72
11.0.2.14	Alternative 8—Summary of Effects.....	11-78
11.0.2.15	Alternative 9—Summary of Effects.....	11-84
11.0.2.16	Alternative 4A (Proposed Project)—Summary of Effects	11-89
11.0.2.17	Alternative 2D—Summary of Effects	11-94
11.0.2.18	Alternative 5A—Summary of Effects	11-99
11.1	Environmental Setting and Affected Environment.....	11-104
11.1.1	Areas of Potential Environmental Effects	11-105
11.1.1.1	Plan Area	11-105
11.1.1.2	Upstream of the Delta.....	11-109
11.1.1.3	SWP/CVP Export Service Areas	11-121
11.1.1.4	San Pablo and San Francisco Bays.....	11-121
11.1.2	Natural Communities.....	11-122
11.1.2.1	Covered Aquatic Natural Communities.....	11-122
11.1.2.2	Noncovered Aquatic Natural Communities	11-128
11.1.3	Species Evaluated in the EIR/EIS.....	11-133
11.1.3.1	Covered Fish Species	11-133
11.1.3.2	Noncovered Species.....	11-133
11.1.4	Ecological Processes and Functions	11-134
11.1.4.1	Hydrology	11-134
11.1.4.2	Carbon and Nutrient Cycling	11-136
11.1.4.3	Biodiversity.....	11-137
11.1.4.4	Aquatic Communities	11-138
11.1.5	Factors Affecting Species Success.....	11-138
11.1.5.1	Water Development and Conveyance	11-139
11.1.5.2	Hydrograph and Hydrodynamic Alterations	11-146
11.1.5.3	Migration Barriers	11-150
11.1.5.4	Harvest and Hatchery Management.....	11-170
11.1.5.5	Pelagic Organism Decline	11-173
11.2	Regulatory Setting	11-175
11.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	11-175
11.2.1.1	Federal Endangered Species Act	11-175

11.2.1.2	Long-Term Central Valley 2008 and 2009 USFWS and NMFS Biological Opinions	11-177
11.2.1.3	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act	11-181
11.2.1.4	Recovery Plan for Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Native Fish Species	11-182
11.2.1.5	Recovery Planning for Salmon and Steelhead in California	11-182
11.2.1.6	Recovery Planning for Green Sturgeon	11-183
11.2.1.7	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 USC Section 651 et seq.)	11-183
11.2.1.8	Clean Water Act	11-184
11.2.1.9	Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899	11-185
11.2.1.10	Executive Order 11990 – Protection of Wetlands	11-185
11.2.1.11	Central Valley Project Improvement Act	11-185
11.2.1.12	Anadromous Fish Restoration Program	11-185
11.2.1.13	National Invasive Species Act of 1996	11-185
11.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations	11-186
11.2.2.1	California Endangered Species Act	11-186
11.2.2.2	Fully Protected Species under the California Fish and Game Code	11-186
11.2.2.3	California Fish and Game Code Section 1602 – Lake and Streambed Alteration Program	11-187
11.2.2.4	The Salmon, Steelhead Trout, and Anadromous Fisheries Program Act	11-187
11.2.2.5	Marine Invasive Species Act	11-187
11.2.2.6	Natural Community Conservation Planning Act	11-188
11.2.2.7	California Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan	11-188
11.2.2.8	Central Valley Flood Protection Board	11-188
11.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	11-189
11.2.3.1	CALFED Bay-Delta Program	11-189
11.2.3.2	CALFED Levee System Integrity Program	11-189
11.2.3.3	Environmental Water Account	11-189
11.2.3.4	CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program Conservation Strategy	11-190
11.2.3.5	CALFED Integrated Storage Investigation	11-190
11.2.3.6	Interagency Ecological Program Pelagic Organism Decline Studies and the CALFED State of the Bay-Delta Science Report	11-191
11.2.3.7	The Delta Plan	11-191
11.2.3.8	Long-Term Management Strategy for Dredged Materials in the Delta	11-192
11.2.3.9	Assembly Bill 1200	11-192
11.2.3.10	Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary	11-192
11.2.3.11	Strategic Workplan for Activities in the Bay-Delta	11-193
11.2.3.12	Delta Vision Strategic Plan	11-193
11.2.3.13	Local Habitat Conservation Plans and Natural Community Conservation Plans in the Delta	11-194

11.2.3.14	Suisun Marsh Charter and Habitat Management, Preservation, and Restoration Plan	11-195
11.2.3.15	Regional Real-Time Decision Making and Information Sharing	11-195
11.3	Environmental Consequences	11-199
11.3.1	Impact Mechanisms	11-200
11.3.1.1	Potential Impacts Resulting from Construction and Maintenance of Water Conveyance Facilities	11-202
11.3.1.2	Potential Impacts Resulting from Water Operations	11-218
11.3.1.3	Potential Impacts Resulting from Restoration Measures	11-218
11.3.1.4	Potential Construction Impacts Resulting from Other Conservation Measures	11-220
11.3.2	Methods of Analysis	11-221
11.3.2.1	Entrainment Analysis	11-221
11.3.2.2	Flow, Passage, Salinity, and Turbidity Analysis	11-227
11.3.2.3	Biological Stressors Analysis	11-243
11.3.2.4	Contaminants Analysis	11-245
11.3.2.5	Habitat Restoration Analysis	11-246
11.3.2.6	Reservoir Coldwater Fish Habitat Analysis	11-249
11.3.2.7	Effects on Downstream Aquatic Habitat	11-255
11.3.2.8	Critical Habitat and Essential Fish Habitat	11-257
11.3.3	Determination of Effects	11-257
11.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	11-260
11.3.4.1	No Action Alternative	11-262
	No Action Alternative LLT	11-262
	No Action Alternative ELT	11-262
	Covered Fish Species	11-266
	Non-Covered Fish Species of Primary Concern	11-277
11.3.4.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	11-281
	Delta Smelt	11-282
	Longfin Smelt	11-336
	Chinook Salmon	11-360
	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-361
	Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-404
	Fall-/Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon	11-438
	Steelhead	11-487
	Sacramento Splittail	11-534
	Green Sturgeon	11-560
	White Sturgeon	11-591
	Pacific Lamprey	11-619

River Lamprey	11-650
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-675
Upstream Reservoirs	11-757
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants	11-766
11.3.4.3 Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	11-783
Delta Smelt	11-783
Longfin Smelt	11-786
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-789
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-794
Fall-/Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon	11-797
Steelhead	11-800
Sacramento Splittail	11-803
Green Sturgeon	11-805
White Sturgeon	11-808
Pacific Lamprey	11-811
River Lamprey	11-813
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-816
Upstream Reservoirs	11-819
11.3.4.4 Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	11-821
Delta Smelt	11-821
Longfin Smelt	11-823
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-827
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-831
Fall-/Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon	11-834
Steelhead	11-837
Sacramento Splittail	11-840
Green Sturgeon	11-843
White Sturgeon	11-845
Pacific Lamprey	11-848
River Lamprey	11-850
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-853
Upstream Reservoirs	11-856
11.3.4.5 Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	11-858
Delta Smelt	11-858
Longfin Smelt	11-865
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-871
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-891

Fall-/Late Fall—Run Chinook Salmon	11-920
Steelhead	11-962
Sacramento Splittail	11-1001
Green Sturgeon.....	11-1015
White Sturgeon.....	11-1031
Pacific Lamprey	11-1046
River Lamprey	11-1063
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-1079
Upstream Reservoirs	11-1123
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-1123
11.3.4.6 Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	11-1126
Delta Smelt	11-1126
Longfin Smelt	11-1129
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-1131
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-1134
Fall-/Late Fall—Run Chinook Salmon	11-1137
Steelhead	11-1141
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-1145
Green Sturgeon.....	11-1147
White Sturgeon.....	11-1150
Pacific Lamprey	11-1152
River Lamprey	11-1154
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-1157
Upstream Reservoirs	11-1160
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-1160
11.3.4.7 Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	11-1161
Delta Smelt	11-1161
Longfin Smelt	11-1163
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-1165
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-1169
Fall-/Late Fall—Run Chinook Salmon	11-1172
Steelhead	11-1176
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-1179
Green Sturgeon.....	11-1182
White Sturgeon.....	11-1185
Pacific Lamprey	11-1187
River Lamprey	11-1189
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-1191

Upstream Reservoirs	11-1194
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-1194
11.3.4.8 Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	11-1196
Delta Smelt	11-1196
Longfin Smelt	11-1204
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-1212
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-1230
Fall-/Late Fall—Run Chinook Salmon	11-1248
Steelhead	11-1281
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-1308
Green Sturgeon.....	11-1318
White Sturgeon.....	11-1328
Pacific Lamprey.....	11-1338
River Lamprey	11-1356
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-1371
Upstream Reservoirs	11-1406
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-1407
11.3.4.9 Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	11-1410
Delta Smelt	11-1411
Longfin Smelt	11-1422
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-1432
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-1459
Fall-/Late Fall—Run Chinook Salmon	11-1511
Steelhead	11-1597
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-1675
Green Sturgeon.....	11-1694
White Sturgeon.....	11-1721
Pacific Lamprey.....	11-1743
River Lamprey	11-1766
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-1787
Upstream Reservoirs	11-1852
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-1853
11.3.4.10 Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	11-1856
Delta Smelt	11-1856
Longfin Smelt	11-1863
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-1871
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-1885

Fall-/Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon	11-1903
Steelhead	11-1935
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-1963
Green Sturgeon.....	11-1974
White Sturgeon.....	11-1984
Pacific Lamprey	11-1995
River Lamprey	11-2013
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-2029
Upstream Reservoirs	11-2072
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-2073
11.3.4.11 Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	11-2076
Delta Smelt	11-2076
Longfin Smelt	11-2082
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2087
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2100
Fall-/Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon	11-2119
Steelhead	11-2153
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-2182
Green Sturgeon.....	11-2192
White Sturgeon.....	11-2202
Pacific Lamprey	11-2212
River Lamprey	11-2231
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-2246
Upstream Reservoirs	11-2285
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-2286
11.3.4.12 Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	11-2290
Delta Smelt	11-2290
Longfin Smelt	11-2292
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2295
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2298
Fall-/Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon	11-2302
Steelhead	11-2306
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-2308
Green Sturgeon.....	11-2311
White Sturgeon.....	11-2313
Pacific Lamprey	11-2316
River Lamprey	11-2318
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-2320

Upstream Reservoirs	11-2323
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-2324
11.3.4.13 Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D).....	11-2325
Delta Smelt	11-2325
Longfin Smelt	11-2327
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2330
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2333
Fall-/Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon	11-2336
Steelhead	11-2340
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-2342
Green Sturgeon.....	11-2344
White Sturgeon.....	11-2346
Pacific Lamprey.....	11-2349
River Lamprey	11-2351
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-2354
Upstream Reservoirs	11-2357
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-2357
11.3.4.14 Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	11-2359
Delta Smelt	11-2359
Longfin Smelt	11-2366
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2373
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2391
Fall-/Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon	11-2418
Steelhead	11-2461
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-2497
Green Sturgeon.....	11-2511
White Sturgeon.....	11-2527
Pacific Lamprey.....	11-2542
River Lamprey	11-2561
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-2579
Upstream Reservoirs	11-2629
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-2629
11.3.4.15 Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5 and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F) ...	11-2632
Delta Smelt	11-2632
Longfin Smelt	11-2639
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2645
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2665

Fall-/Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon	11-2692
Steelhead	11-2733
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-2769
Green Sturgeon.....	11-2784
White Sturgeon.....	11-2799
Pacific Lamprey	11-2814
River Lamprey	11-2834
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-2854
Upstream Reservoirs	11-2903
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-2904
11.3.4.16 Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	11-2907
Delta Smelt	11-2911
Longfin Smelt	11-2919
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2926
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-2944
Fall-/Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon	11-2967
Steelhead	11-3004
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-3037
Green Sturgeon.....	11-3051
White Sturgeon.....	11-3067
Pacific Lamprey	11-3081
River Lamprey	11-3099
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-3115
Upstream Reservoirs	11-3161
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-3162
11.3.5 Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	11-3165
11.3.5.1 No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	11-3165
Covered Fish Species	11-3165
11.3.5.2 Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	11-3171
Delta Smelt	11-3172
Longfin Smelt	11-3202
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-3214
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-3247
Fall-/Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon	11-3292
Steelhead	11-3363
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-3423
Green Sturgeon.....	11-3444
White Sturgeon.....	11-3472

Pacific Lamprey	11-3494
River Lamprey	11-3516
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-3535
Upstream Reservoirs	11-3605
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-3606
11.3.5.3 Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	11-3609
Delta Smelt	11-3609
Longfin Smelt	11-3615
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-3621
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-3641
Fall-/Late Fall—Run Chinook Salmon	11-3667
Steelhead	11-3707
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-3744
Green Sturgeon.....	11-3757
White Sturgeon.....	11-3774
Pacific Lamprey	11-3788
River Lamprey	11-3804
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-3820
Upstream Reservoirs	11-3867
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-3867
11.3.5.4 Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	11-3873
Delta Smelt	11-3873
Longfin Smelt	11-3880
Chinook Salmon	11-3885
Winter-Run Chinook Salmon	11-3885
Spring-Run Chinook Salmon	11-3903
Fall-/Late Fall—Run Chinook Salmon	11-3929
Steelhead	11-3970
Sacramento Splittail.....	11-4006
Green Sturgeon.....	11-4019
White Sturgeon.....	11-4035
Pacific Lamprey	11-4049
River Lamprey	11-4066
Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern	11-4080
Upstream Reservoirs	11-4127
Downstream Effects and Operations-Related Contaminants.....	11-4128
11.3.6 Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives.....	11-4131
11.3.6.1 Concurrent Project Effects	11-4131

11.3.6.2	Assessment Methodology	11-4133
11.3.6.3	Covered Fish Species	11-4141
11.3.6.4	Non-Covered Fish Species of Primary Concern	11-4159
11.4	References Cited	11-4167
11.4.1	Printed References	11-4167
11.4.2	Personal Communications	11-4201
Chapter 12	Terrestrial Biological Resources	12-1
12.0	Readers' Guide and Summary	12-1
12.0.1	Overview	12-1
12.0.1.1	Relationship of Chapter 12 to the BDCP and California WaterFix Effects Analyses	12-1
12.0.2	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	12-2
12.0.2.1	Natural Communities	12-2
12.0.2.2	Special-Status Species	12-3
12.0.3	Environmental Consequences	12-3
12.0.4	Organization of Resources	12-4
12.0.5	Organization of Impacts	12-5
12.0.6	Summary of Effects	12-5
12.0.6.1	Differences among the Alternatives	12-6
12.0.6.2	Comparison of the Effects of the Alternatives	12-7
12.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	12-35
12.1.1	Historical Trends in Biodiversity of the Plan Area	12-36
12.1.2	Land Cover Types	12-37
12.1.2.1	Natural Community Mapping Methods	12-37
12.1.2.2	Special-Status and Other Natural Communities	12-39
12.1.3	Special-Status Species	12-55
12.1.3.1	Critical Habitat	12-69
12.1.3.2	Special-Status Wildlife Species	12-69
12.1.3.3	Special-Status Plant Species	12-96
12.1.4	Invasive and Noxious Plant Species	12-112
12.1.4.1	Definitions	12-112
12.1.4.2	General Effects on Native Species and Natural Communities	12-112
12.1.4.3	Invasive Plant Species in Natural Communities	12-113
12.2	Regulatory Setting	12-119
12.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, Regulations, and Executive Orders	12-119
12.2.1.1	Sections 404 and 401 of the Clean Water Act	12-119
12.2.1.2	Endangered Species Act	12-120
12.2.1.3	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act	12-120
12.2.1.4	CALFED Bay-Delta Program	12-121

12.2.1.5	Migratory Bird Treaty Act.....	12-121
12.2.1.6	Rivers and Harbors Act.....	12-122
12.2.1.7	Comprehensive Conservation Plans for National Wildlife Refuges	12-122
12.2.1.8	North American Waterfowl Management Plan and Central Valley Joint Venture.....	12-122
12.2.1.9	Federal Noxious Weed Act and Code of Federal Regulations (Title 7, Part 360).....	12-122
12.2.1.10	Executive Order 11990: Protection of Wetlands	12-123
12.2.1.11	Executive Order 13112: Invasive Species.....	12-123
12.2.1.12	Executive Order 13186: Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds.....	12-123
12.2.1.13	Executive Order 13443: Facilitation of Hunting Heritage and Wildlife Conservation	12-123
12.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	12-124
12.2.2.1	California Endangered Species Act.....	12-124
12.2.2.2	Fully Protected Species	12-124
12.2.2.3	California Native Plant Protection Act	12-124
12.2.2.4	Section 1600 of the California Fish and Game Code.....	12-125
12.2.2.5	Sections of the California Fish and Game Code Pertaining to Invasive Species	12-125
12.2.2.6	Natural Communities Conservation Planning Act.....	12-125
12.2.2.7	Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act	12-125
12.2.2.8	California Food and Agriculture Code	12-126
12.2.2.9	Harbors and Navigation Code	12-126
12.2.2.10	Delta Protection Act of 1992.....	12-126
12.2.2.11	Delta Vision Strategic Plan	12-127
12.2.2.12	Delta Stewardship Council	12-127
12.2.2.13	California Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan.....	12-128
12.2.2.14	California Wetlands Conservation Policy	12-129
12.2.2.15	Suisun Marsh Preservation Act and Suisun Marsh Protection Plan.....	12-129
12.2.2.16	Suisun Marsh Preservation Agreement	12-129
12.2.2.17	Central Valley Flood Protection Plan	12-130
12.2.2.18	Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area Land Management Plan.....	12-130
12.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	12-131
12.2.3.1	City and County General Plans.....	12-131
12.2.3.2	Habitat Conservation Plans	12-133
12.3	Environmental Consequences	12-133
12.3.1	Determination of Effects	12-134
12.3.1.1	Development of Significance Criteria.....	12-135
12.3.1.2	Significance Criteria for Terrestrial Biological Resources.....	12-136

12.3.2	Methods for Analysis	12-138
12.3.2.1	Analysis Approach	12-138
12.3.2.2	Methods Used to Assess Natural Community Effects	12-144
12.3.2.3	Methods Used to Assess Species Effects	12-146
12.3.2.4	Methods Used to Assess Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States.....	12-152
12.3.2.5	Methods Used to Consider Mitigation	12-158
12.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	12-162
12.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	12-162
	Effects on Terrestrial Natural Communities	12-165
	Effects on Special-Status and Common Wildlife and Plants	12-167
	Effects of Global Climate Change on Terrestrial Biological Resources	12-167
12.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	12-170
	Natural Communities.....	12-170
	Wildlife Species.....	12-256
	Plant Species.....	12-734
	General Terrestrial Biology Effects	12-765
12.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	12-800
	Natural Communities.....	12-800
	Wildlife Species.....	12-886
	Plant Species.....	12-1370
	General Terrestrial Biology Effects	12-1401
12.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	12-1436
	Natural Communities.....	12-1436
	Wildlife Species.....	12-1523
	Plant Species.....	12-2004
	General Terrestrial Biology	12-2036
12.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	12-2071
	Comparative Differences in CM1 Construction Effects for Alternatives 1A and 2A.....	12-2071
	Effects of Restoration-Related Conservation Actions of Alternative 2A	12-2074
12.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	12-2078
	Comparative Differences in CM1 Construction Effects for Alternatives 1B and 2B	12-2078
	Effects of Restoration-Related Conservation Actions of Alternative 2B	12-2081

12.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	12-2085
	Comparative Differences in CM1 Construction Effects for Alternatives 1C and 2C	12-2086
	Effects of Restoration-Related Conservation Actions of Alternative 2C	12-2088
12.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	12-2092
	Comparative Differences in CM1 Construction Effects for Alternatives 3 and 1A	12-2092
	Effects of Restoration-Related Conservation Actions of Alternative 3	12-2095
12.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	12-2099
	Natural Communities	12-2099
	Wildlife Species	12-2187
	Plant Species	12-2673
	General Terrestrial Biology	12-2705
12.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	12-2741
	Comparative Differences in CM1 Construction Effects for Alternatives 5 and 1A	12-2741
	Effects of Restoration-Related Conservation Actions of Alternative 5	12-2744
12.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	12-2749
12.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	12-2754
12.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	12-2760
	CM1 Construction Effects for Alternative 6C	12-2761
	Effects of Restoration-Related Actions of Alternative 6C	12-2764
12.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E)	12-2767
	Comparative Differences in CM1 Construction Effects for Alternatives 7 and 1A	12-2767
	Effects of Restoration-Related Actions of Alternative 7	12-2770
12.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	12-2775
	Comparative Differences in CM1 Construction Effects for Alternatives 8 and 1A	12-2775
	Effects of Restoration-Related Actions of Alternative 8	12-2778

12.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G).....	12-2782
	Natural Communities.....	12-2782
	Wildlife Species.....	12-2871
	Plant Species.....	12-3346
	General Terrestrial Biology Effects	12-3376
12.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	12-3410
12.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	12-3410
12.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	12-3412
	Natural Communities.....	12-3412
	Wildlife Species.....	12-3471
	Plant Species.....	12-3734
	General Terrestrial Biology	12-3757
12.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	12-3788
	Comparative Differences in Effects for Alternatives 2D and 4A.....	12-3788
12.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	12-3793
	Comparative Differences in Effects for Alternatives 5A and 4A	12-3793
12.3.5	Cumulative Effects	12-3798
12.3.5.1	Assessment Methodology.....	12-3798
12.3.5.2	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	12-3809
12.3.5.3	Concurrent Project Effects	12-3812
12.3.5.4	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	12-3813
12.3.6	Effects on Other Conservation Plans	12-3820
12.3.6.1	Methodology.....	12-3826
12.3.6.2	CEQA Conclusion	12-3850
12.4	References Cited.....	12-3851
12.4.1	Printed References	12-3851
12.4.2	Personal Communications	12-3878
Chapter 13	Land Use.....	13-1
13.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	13-1
13.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment.....	13-1
13.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area.....	13-2
13.1.1.1	Existing Land Uses in the Study Area	13-2
13.2	Regulatory Setting	13-4
13.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	13-5
13.2.1.1	Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge Comprehensive Conservation Plan.....	13-5

13.2.1.2	Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act	13-6
13.2.1.3	Federal Farmland Protection Policy Act.....	13-6
13.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	13-6
13.2.2.1	1992 Delta Protection Act.....	13-6
13.2.2.2	The Delta Plan	13-12
13.2.2.3	California Department of Parks and Recreation	13-13
13.2.2.4	California Department of Fish and Wildlife.....	13-15
13.2.2.5	California Land Conservation Act of 1965.....	13-16
13.2.2.6	California Relocation Assistance Act.....	13-17
13.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	13-17
13.2.3.1	San Francisco Bay Plan	13-17
13.2.3.2	Suisun Marsh Protection Act.....	13-18
13.2.3.3	Local Airport Land Use Compatibility Plans	13-19
13.2.3.4	County General Plans.....	13-26
13.2.3.5	City General Plans	13-41
13.3	Environmental Consequences	13-45
13.3.1	Methods for Analysis	13-45
13.3.2	Determination of Effects	13-46
13.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	13-50
13.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	13-50
13.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	13-54
13.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	13-73
13.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	13-83
13.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	13-93
13.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	13-98
13.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	13-103
13.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	13-107
13.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	13-111
13.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	13-130
13.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D).....	13-135

13.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	13-139
13.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	13-142
13.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E)	13-146
13.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	13-151
13.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	13-154
13.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	13-163
13.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term	13-163
13.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	13-164
13.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	13-169
13.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	13-176
13.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	13-181
13.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	13-184
13.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	13-185
13.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	13-186
13.4	References Cited	13-194
Chapter 14	Agricultural Resources	14-1
14.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	14-1
14.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	14-2
14.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area	14-3
14.1.1.1	Statutory Delta	14-3
14.1.1.2	Restoration Opportunity Areas (ROAs)	14-3
14.1.1.3	Study Area Climate and Soils	14-5
14.1.1.4	Study Area Crop Types and Distribution	14-7
14.1.1.5	Important Farmland and Land Subject to Williamson Act Contracts or in Farmland Security Zones	14-11
14.1.1.6	General Crop Production Practices and Characteristics	14-12
14.2	Regulatory Setting	14-18
14.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	14-19
14.2.1.1	Farmland Protection Policy Act	14-19
14.2.1.2	Other Natural Resources Conservation Service Programs	14-19
14.2.1.3	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Pesticide Regulatory Program	14-20

14.2.1.4	Agriculture Marketing Service.....	14-20
14.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	14-20
14.2.2.1	California Department of Pesticide Regulation.....	14-20
14.2.2.2	Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program	14-20
14.2.2.3	Delta Protection Act of 1992.....	14-21
14.2.2.4	Delta Reform Act and Delta Plan.....	14-21
14.2.2.5	California Land Conservation Act of 1965 (Williamson Act)	14-22
14.2.2.6	State Water Resources Control Board and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board	14-24
14.2.2.7	California Natural Resources Agency	14-24
14.2.2.8	California Department of Food and Agriculture	14-25
14.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	14-25
14.2.3.1	General Plans	14-25
14.2.3.2	County Right-to-Farm Ordinances	14-25
14.3	Environmental Consequences	14-26
14.3.1	Methods for Analysis	14-26
14.3.1.1	Project- and Program-Level Components	14-27
14.3.1.2	Timing of Effects.....	14-28
14.3.2	Determination of Effects	14-28
14.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	14-30
14.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	14-30
14.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	14-35
14.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1– 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	14-59
14.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	14-69
14.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	14-79
14.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	14-87
14.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	14-95
14.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	14-103
14.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	14-110
14.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	14-136
14.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D).....	14-144

14.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	14-151
14.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	14-159
14.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E)	14-166
14.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5 and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	14-174
14.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	14-181
14.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	14-190
14.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term	14-190
14.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	14-191
14.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	14-199
14.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	14-206
14.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	14-214
14.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	14-219
14.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	14-220
14.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	14-221
14.4	References Cited	14-226
Chapter 15	Recreation	15-1
15.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	15-1
15.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	15-1
15.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area	15-1
15.1.1.1	Description of Existing Conditions in the Study Area	15-1
15.1.1.2	Description of Existing Conditions in the Upstream of the Delta Region	15-24
15.2	Regulatory Setting	15-31
15.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	15-31
15.2.1.1	New Melones Lake Area Final Resource Management Plan	15-31
15.2.1.2	Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge Comprehensive Conservation Plan	15-32
15.2.1.3	Management Guide for the Shasta and Trinity Units of the Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity National Recreation Area	15-33
15.2.1.4	General Management Plan for the Whiskeytown Unit of the Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity National Recreation Area	15-33
15.2.1.5	Boat Navigation Jurisdiction, Rules, and Regulations	15-34
15.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations	15-34

15.2.2.1	Delta Protection Act and Delta Protection Commission Land and Resource Management Plan	15-34
15.2.2.2	Delta Protection Commission, Great California Delta Trail System	15-36
15.2.2.3	California Department of Parks and Recreation Plans	15-36
15.2.2.4	California Department of Fish and Wildlife Plans	15-40
15.2.2.5	California Department of Parks and Recreation's Division of Boating and Waterways Regulations and Programs	15-41
15.2.2.6	California State Lands Commission Regulations	15-42
15.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	15-42
15.2.3.1	City and County General Plans	15-42
15.3	Environmental Consequences	15-58
15.3.1	Methods for Analysis	15-59
15.3.1.1	Assessment Methods	15-59
15.3.2	Determination of Effects	15-62
15.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	15-63
15.3.3.1	No Action Alternative	15-65
15.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	15-69
15.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	15-113
15.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	15-144
15.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	15-173
15.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	15-194
15.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	15-215
15.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	15-236
15.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	15-256
15.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	15-303
15.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	15-324
15.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	15-344
15.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	15-365

15.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	15-385
15.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	15-406
15.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G).....	15-427
15.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	15-465
15.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	15-465
15.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	15-467
15.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	15-482
15.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	15-495
15.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	15-509
15.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	15-513
15.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	15-514
15.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	15-515
15.4	References Cited.....	15-520
15.4.1	Printed References	15-520
15.4.2	Personal Communications	15-534
Chapter 16	Socioeconomics	16-1
16.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	16-1
16.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment.....	16-1
16.1.1	Potential Socioeconomics Effects Area	16-2
16.1.1.1	Statutory Delta	16-2
16.1.1.2	Population of the Delta	16-9
16.1.1.3	Housing in the Delta.....	16-12
16.1.1.4	Employment, Labor Force, and Industry in the Delta	16-15
16.1.1.5	Government and Finance in the Delta	16-18
16.1.1.6	Economic Character of Recreation in the Delta.....	16-21
16.1.1.7	Economics of Agriculture in the Delta.....	16-24
16.2	Regulatory Setting	16-30
16.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	16-30
16.2.1.1	Constitution of the United States: Fifth Amendment Takings Clause	16-31
16.2.1.2	Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970	16-31
16.2.1.3	Housing and Community Development Act of 1974.....	16-31

16.2.1.4	U.S. Department of Agriculture	16-32
16.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	16-32
16.2.2.1	California Constitution: Article 1 Declaration of Rights, Section 19	16-32
16.2.2.2	Williamson Act	16-33
16.2.2.3	Economic Sustainability Plan for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta (Draft).....	16-33
16.2.2.4	Transitions for the Delta Economy (Public Policy Institute of California).....	16-34
16.2.2.5	DWR Economic Analysis Guidebook	16-34
16.2.2.6	Proposed Final Delta Plan	16-35
16.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	16-35
16.2.3.1	Contra Costa County General Plan.....	16-35
16.2.3.2	Sacramento County General Plan	16-36
16.2.3.3	San Joaquin County General Plan	16-37
16.2.3.4	Solano County General Plan.....	16-38
16.2.3.5	Yolo County General Plan.....	16-39
16.3	Environmental Consequences	16-39
16.3.1	Methods for Analysis	16-40
16.3.1.1	Delta Community Effects	16-41
16.3.1.2	Delta Regional Employment and Income.....	16-44
16.3.1.3	Fiscal Effects on Local Delta Governments	16-47
16.3.1.4	Delta Agricultural Economics	16-48
16.3.1.5	Delta Recreational Economics.....	16-49
16.3.1.6	Commercial Fishing Effects	16-49
16.3.2	Determination of Effects	16-49
16.3.2.1	Compatibility with Plans and Policies	16-50
16.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	16-51
16.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	16-51
16.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	16-56
16.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	16-80
16.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	16-97
16.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	16-115
16.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	16-125
16.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	16-135

16.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	16-145
16.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	16-160
16.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	16-185
16.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	16-200
16.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	16-211
16.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	16-221
16.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	16-231
16.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F).....	16-247
16.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	16-257
16.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	16-275
16.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	16-275
16.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	16-276
16.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	16-294
16.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	16-311
16.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	16-329
16.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	16-334
16.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	16-337
16.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	16-339
16.4	References Cited.....	16-355
Chapter 17	Aesthetics and Visual Resources.....	17-1
17.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	17-1
17.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment.....	17-1
17.1.1	Concepts and Terminology	17-2
17.1.1.1	Visual Character	17-2
17.1.1.2	Visual Quality	17-3
17.1.1.3	Visual Exposure and Sensitivity	17-3
17.1.2	Visual Character of the Study Area.....	17-4

17.1.2.1	Delta Overview.....	17-4
17.1.2.2	Delta Landscape Types.....	17-6
17.1.3	Visual Character of the Areas Upstream of the Delta	17-14
17.1.3.1	Trinity Lake.....	17-14
17.1.3.2	Shasta Lake.....	17-15
17.1.3.3	Whiskeytown Reservoir	17-15
17.1.3.4	Lake Oroville.....	17-15
17.1.3.5	Folsom Lake.....	17-15
17.1.3.6	New Melones Lake	17-15
17.1.3.7	San Luis Reservoir	17-16
17.1.3.8	Millerton Lake	17-16
17.1.4	Characterization of Viewers.....	17-16
17.1.4.1	Recreationists.....	17-16
17.1.4.2	Roadway Travelers.....	17-17
17.1.4.3	Railway.....	17-17
17.1.4.4	Residential.....	17-18
17.1.4.5	Businesses	17-19
17.2	Regulatory Setting	17-19
17.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	17-19
17.2.1.1	Sierra Resource Management Plan.....	17-19
17.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	17-20
17.2.2.1	Johnston-Baker-Andal-Boatwright Delta Protection Act of 1992	17-20
17.2.2.2	Delta Protection Commission Land Use and Resource Management Plan for the Primary Zone of the Delta	17-20
17.2.2.3	The Delta Plan	17-20
17.2.2.4	San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission Suisun Marsh Protection Plan	17-23
17.2.2.5	California Scenic Highway Program	17-23
17.2.2.6	State Public Land Management Plans.....	17-24
17.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	17-25
17.2.3.1	East Bay Regional Park District Master Plan	17-26
17.2.3.2	County and City General Plans.....	17-27
17.3	Environmental Consequences	17-36
17.3.1	Methods for Analysis	17-36
17.3.1.1	Site Inventory and Selection of Key Observation Points.....	17-36
17.3.1.2	Preparation of Visual Simulations.....	17-38
17.3.1.3	Analysis of the Alternatives' Impact on Visual Resources.....	17-40
17.3.2	Determination of Effects	17-43
17.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	17-45
17.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	17-46

17.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	17-48
17.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	17-92
17.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	17-113
17.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	17-132
17.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	17-145
17.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	17-157
17.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	17-168
17.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	17-180
17.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	17-228
17.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	17-240
17.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	17-251
17.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D).....	17-263
17.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	17-274
17.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F).....	17-286
17.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G).....	17-298
17.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	17-319
17.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	17-319
17.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	17-320
17.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	17-327
17.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	17-338
17.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	17-348
17.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	17-382

17.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	17-383
17.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Project Alternatives	17-384
17.4	References Cited.....	17-400
17.4.1	Printed References	17-400
17.4.2	Personal Communications	17-404
Chapter 18	Cultural Resources	18-1
18.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	18-1
18.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	18-2
18.1.1	Methods for Resource Identification.....	18-2
18.1.1.1	Archival and Map Research.....	18-3
18.1.1.2	Records Searches	18-3
18.1.1.3	Field Surveys	18-4
18.1.1.4	Native American Correspondence and Consultation.....	18-5
18.1.1.5	Interested Parties and Local Agency Correspondence	18-8
18.1.1.6	Geomorphology	18-9
18.1.2	Prehistoric Archaeological Setting.....	18-9
18.1.2.1	Paleo-Indian	18-10
18.1.2.2	Lower Archaic.....	18-10
18.1.2.3	Middle Archaic	18-11
18.1.2.4	Upper Archaic.....	18-11
18.1.2.5	Emergent.....	18-11
18.1.3	Prehistoric Archaeological Property Types.....	18-11
18.1.3.1	Midden/Mound Sites	18-12
18.1.3.2	Multiple-Occupation Sites.....	18-12
18.1.3.3	Human Burials.....	18-12
18.1.3.4	Lithic Scatters	18-13
18.1.3.5	Resource Procurement/Processing.....	18-13
18.1.3.6	Baked Clay Deposits	18-13
18.1.3.7	Isolated Artifacts	18-13
18.1.4	Ethnographic Setting	18-13
18.1.4.1	Nisenan	18-14
18.1.4.2	Plains Miwok	18-14
18.1.4.3	Northern Valley Yokuts	18-15
18.1.4.4	Southern Patwin.....	18-16
18.1.5	Traditional Cultural Properties and Native American Property Types (Including Sacred Sites).....	18-17
18.1.5.1	Plant-Gathering Areas.....	18-17
18.1.5.2	Fishing Locations	18-17
18.1.5.3	Ceremonial and Sacred Sites.....	18-18

18.1.5.4	Historic-Era Traditional Cultural Properties	18-18
18.1.6	Historic-Era Setting	18-18
18.1.6.1	The Spanish Era to the Gold Rush	18-18
18.1.6.2	Land Reclamation.....	18-19
18.1.6.3	Agriculture.....	18-19
18.1.6.4	Transportation Development.....	18-20
18.1.6.5	Community Development.....	18-21
18.1.6.6	Water Management.....	18-22
18.1.6.7	Recreation	18-24
18.1.7	Historic-Era Built Environment Property Types	18-24
18.1.7.1	Residential Buildings	18-24
18.1.7.2	Commercial Buildings.....	18-25
18.1.7.3	Agricultural Properties	18-25
18.1.7.4	Historic Districts	18-26
18.1.7.5	Reclamation and Flood Management Structures	18-26
18.1.7.6	Transportation	18-27
18.1.7.7	Utility Infrastructure	18-28
18.1.7.8	Rural Historic Landscapes	18-28
18.1.8	Historical Archaeological Property Types	18-28
18.1.8.1	Building Foundations	18-29
18.1.8.2	Refuse Scatters/Dumps.....	18-29
18.1.8.3	Transportation-Related Features.....	18-29
18.1.8.4	Water Conveyance Systems.....	18-29
18.1.8.5	Historic Isolates.....	18-29
18.1.8.6	Maritime/Riverine Property Types	18-29
18.1.9	Identified Resources and Action Alternatives	18-30
18.2	Regulatory Setting	18-31
18.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	18-31
18.2.1.1	National Environmental Policy Act.....	18-31
18.2.1.2	Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966.....	18-31
18.2.1.3	Compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act	18-33
18.2.1.4	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act.....	18-33
18.2.1.5	The Archaeological Resources Protection Act	18-34
18.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	18-34
18.2.2.1	California Environmental Quality Act – Statute and Guidelines	18-34
18.2.2.2	California Public Resources Code, Duties of State Agencies	18-39
18.2.2.3	Discoveries of Human Remains under California Environmental Quality Act Public Law.....	18-40
18.2.2.4	California Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act	18-40

18.2.2.5	Confidentiality Considerations.....	18-41
18.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	18-42
18.2.3.1	City and County General Plans.....	18-42
18.2.3.2	Alameda County.....	18-42
18.2.3.3	Contra Costa County	18-42
18.2.3.4	City of Lathrop.....	18-43
18.2.3.5	City of Oakley	18-43
18.2.3.6	Sacramento County.....	18-44
18.2.3.7	City of Sacramento.....	18-44
18.2.3.8	San Joaquin County.....	18-45
18.2.3.9	Solano County	18-45
18.2.3.10	City of Stockton	18-45
18.2.3.11	City of Rio Vista	18-46
18.2.3.12	Yolo County	18-46
18.3	Environmental Consequences	18-47
18.3.1	Determination of Effects	18-47
18.3.2	Direct and Indirect Effects and Impact Mechanisms	18-48
18.3.3	Geographic Scope of Effects	18-49
18.3.4	Issues Not Carried Forward for Detailed Analysis	18-50
18.3.5	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	18-50
18.3.5.1	No Action Alternative.....	18-50
18.3.5.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	18-53
18.3.5.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	18-79
18.3.5.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	18-88
18.3.5.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	18-98
18.3.5.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	18-105
18.3.5.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	18-113
18.3.5.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	18-122
18.3.5.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	18-129
18.3.5.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	18-154
18.3.5.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	18-162

18.3.5.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	18-170
18.3.5.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	18-178
18.3.5.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E)	18-186
18.3.5.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	18-194
18.3.5.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	18-202
18.3.6	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	18-212
18.3.6.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term	18-212
18.3.6.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	18-213
18.3.6.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	18-220
18.3.6.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	18-228
18.3.7	Cumulative Analysis	18-236
18.3.7.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	18-239
18.3.7.2	Concurrent Project Effects	18-240
18.3.7.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	18-241
18.4	References Cited	18-243
18.4.1	Printed References	18-243
18.4.2	Personal Communications	18-249
Chapter 19	Transportation	19-1
19.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	19-1
19.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	19-2
19.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area	19-2
19.1.2	Roadway Facilities	19-2
19.1.2.1	Existing Levels of Service	19-9
19.1.2.2	Existing Pavement Conditions	19-15
19.1.2.3	Bicycle Routes	19-25
19.1.3	Marine Facilities	19-25
19.1.3.1	M5/580 Marine Highway Corridor	19-25
19.1.3.2	Port of Stockton	19-25
19.1.3.3	Port of West Sacramento	19-26
19.1.3.4	Ferry Services	19-26
19.1.3.5	Draw Bridges	19-26

19.1.4	Rail Facilities	19-28
19.1.4.1	Freight Service.....	19-28
19.1.4.2	Passenger Service.....	19-29
19.1.5	Air Transportation Facilities.....	19-30
19.1.5.1	Byron Airport.....	19-31
19.1.5.2	Franklin Field.....	19-31
19.1.5.3	Lodi Airport	19-31
19.1.5.4	Nut Tree Airport.....	19-31
19.1.5.5	Rio Vista Municipal Airport	19-32
19.1.5.6	Sacramento Executive Airport	19-32
19.1.5.7	Sacramento International Airport.....	19-32
19.1.5.8	Stockton Municipal Airport.....	19-33
19.1.5.9	Tracy Municipal Airport.....	19-33
19.1.5.10	Travis Air Force Base	19-33
19.1.5.11	University Airport.....	19-34
19.1.6	Transit Facilities	19-34
19.2	Regulatory Setting	19-34
19.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	19-34
19.2.1.1	Federal Highway Administration.....	19-34
19.2.1.2	Federal Aviation Administration	19-35
19.2.1.3	Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899.....	19-35
19.2.1.4	United States Coast Guard	19-35
19.2.2	State and Regional Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	19-35
19.2.2.1	Public Resources Code	19-35
19.2.2.2	Delta Protection Commission’s Land Use and Resource Management Plan.....	19-35
19.2.2.3	Metropolitan Planning Organizations	19-36
19.3	Environmental Consequences	19-36
19.3.1	Methods for Analysis	19-37
19.3.2	Determination of Effects	19-39
19.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	19-42
19.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	19-42
19.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	19-43
19.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	19-89
19.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	19-119
19.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	19-148

19.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	19-163
19.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	19-178
19.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	19-192
19.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	19-206
19.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	19-247
19.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	19-261
19.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	19-275
19.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D).....	19-289
19.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	19-302
19.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F).....	19-316
19.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G).....	19-330
19.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	19-356
19.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	19-356
19.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	19-357
19.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	19-371
19.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	19-402
19.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	19-431
19.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	19-434
19.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	19-435
19.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	19-436
19.4	References Cited.....	19-438
Chapter 20	Public Services and Utilities	20-1
20.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	20-1
20.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment.....	20-1
20.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area.....	20-2

20.1.1.1	Public Services.....	20-3
20.1.1.2	Utilities	20-6
20.2	Regulatory Setting	20-8
20.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	20-8
20.2.1.1	Public Services.....	20-9
20.2.1.2	Utilities	20-10
20.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	20-10
20.2.2.1	Public Services.....	20-10
20.2.2.2	Utilities	20-11
20.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	20-14
20.2.3.1	County General Plans	20-14
20.2.3.2	City General Plans	20-25
20.3	Environmental Consequences	20-30
20.3.1	Methods for Analysis	20-30
20.3.1.1	Public Services.....	20-31
20.3.1.2	Utilities	20-32
20.3.2	Determination of Effects	20-33
20.3.2.1	Compatibility with Plans and Policies	20-35
20.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	20-36
20.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	20-36
20.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	20-40
20.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	20-60
20.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	20-76
20.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	20-90
20.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	20-97
20.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	20-105
20.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	20-112
20.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	20-119
20.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	20-136
20.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1-5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D).....	20-143

20.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	20-150
20.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	20-157
20.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E)	20-164
20.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	20-171
20.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	20-178
20.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	20-188
20.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term	20-188
20.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	20-188
20.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	20-197
20.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	20-206
20.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	20-215
20.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	20-219
20.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	20-219
20.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	20-221
20.4	References Cited	20-225
Chapter 21	Energy	21-1
21.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	21-1
21.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	21-1
21.1.1	CVP Hydropower Generation and Pumping Facilities	21-3
21.1.1.1	Trinity River and Sacramento River Facilities	21-5
21.1.1.2	American River Facilities	21-6
21.1.1.3	Stanislaus River Facilities	21-6
21.1.1.4	CVP Delta-Mendota Canal Facilities	21-6
21.1.2	SWP Hydropower Generation and Pumping Facilities	21-7
21.1.2.1	Feather River Facilities	21-10
21.1.2.2	SWP Delta Facilities	21-11
21.1.2.3	San Luis Reservoir and Canal Facilities	21-11
21.1.2.4	California Aqueduct Facilities	21-12
21.1.3	CVP and SWP Energy Generation and Pumping Use	21-13
21.1.3.1	CVP and SWP Energy Generation	21-13
21.1.3.2	CVP and SWP Energy Use for Water Pumping	21-22

21.1.4	Energy Transmission for the Action Alternatives	21-26
21.1.4.1	Interconnection in the North	21-27
21.1.4.2	Interconnection in the South	21-28
21.2	Regulatory Setting	21-30
21.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	21-30
21.2.1.1	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission	21-30
21.2.1.2	Western Area Power Administration	21-30
21.2.1.3	Other	21-31
21.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	21-31
21.2.2.1	California Public Utilities Commission.....	21-31
21.2.2.2	California Independent System Operator	21-31
21.2.2.3	California Energy Commission	21-32
21.2.2.4	CEQA Guidelines.....	21-32
21.3	Environmental Consequences	21-32
21.3.1	Methods for Analysis	21-33
21.3.1.1	Construction.....	21-33
21.3.1.2	Operation	21-35
21.3.2	Determination of Effects	21-40
21.3.2.1	Potential for New Energy Resources.....	21-40
21.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	21-41
21.3.3.1	No Action Alternative Late Long Term.....	21-44
21.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	21-45
21.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	21-47
21.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	21-48
21.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	21-50
21.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	21-52
21.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	21-53
21.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	21-55
21.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	21-56
21.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	21-58
21.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	21-59

21.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	21-61
21.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	21-62
21.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E)	21-64
21.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	21-66
21.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	21-67
21.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	21-69
21.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term	21-69
21.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	21-70
21.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	21-71
21.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	21-73
21.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	21-75
21.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	21-80
21.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	21-81
21.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	21-82
21.4	References Cited	21-84
Chapter 22	Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases	22-1
22.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	22-1
22.1	Affected Environment/Environmental Setting	22-3
22.1.1	Regional Climate and Meteorology	22-4
22.1.1.1	Sacramento Valley Air Basin	22-4
22.1.1.2	San Joaquin Valley Air Basin	22-5
22.1.1.3	San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin	22-5
22.1.2	Background Information on Air Pollutants	22-5
22.1.2.1	Criteria Pollutants	22-5
22.1.2.2	Toxic Air Contaminants	22-8
22.1.2.3	Valley Fever	22-8
22.1.3	Background Information on Climate Change and Greenhouse Gas Emissions	22-9
22.1.3.1	Climate Change	22-9
22.1.3.2	Principal Greenhouse Gas Emissions Generated by the Alternatives	22-10
22.1.3.3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventories	22-12
22.1.4	Existing Air Quality Conditions	22-12

22.1.4.1	Attainment Status	22-12
22.1.5	Sensitive Receptors.....	22-15
22.2	Regulatory Setting	22-15
22.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	22-16
22.2.1.1	Criteria Pollutants	22-16
22.2.1.2	Greenhouse Gases	22-20
22.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	22-22
22.2.2.1	Criteria Pollutants	22-22
22.2.2.2	Toxic Air Containments	22-23
22.2.2.3	Greenhouse Gases	22-24
22.2.2.4	Environmental Justice Compliance and Enforcement Working Group.....	22-28
22.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	22-29
22.2.3.1	Criteria Pollutants	22-29
22.2.3.2	Greenhouse Gases	22-34
22.3	Environmental Consequences	22-35
22.3.1	Methods for Analysis	22-35
22.3.1.1	Construction of the Water Conveyance Facility.....	22-35
22.3.1.2	Operation and Maintenance of the Water Conveyance Facility.....	22-40
22.3.1.3	Programmatic Assessment of CM2–CM21	22-41
22.3.2	Determination of Effects	22-42
22.3.2.1	Local Air District Thresholds.....	22-43
22.3.2.2	General Conformity <i>de minimis</i> Thresholds.....	22-49
22.3.2.3	Greenhouse Gas Thresholds	22-49
22.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	22-53
22.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	22-53
22.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	22-56
22.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	22-110
22.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	22-143
22.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	22-175
22.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	22-201
22.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	22-227
22.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	22-252

22.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	22-283
22.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	22-336
22.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	22-365
22.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	22-385
22.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	22-405
22.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	22-424
22.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F).....	22-453
22.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	22-474
22.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	22-503
22.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	22-503
22.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	22-504
22.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	22-523
22.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	22-555
22.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	22-587
22.3.5.1	Assessment Methodology.....	22-587
22.3.5.2	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	22-588
22.3.5.3	Concurrent Project Effects	22-589
22.3.5.4	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	22-591
22.4	References Cited.....	22-597
22.4.1	Printed References	22-597
22.4.2	Personal Communications	22-602
Chapter 23	Noise	23-1
23.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	23-1
23.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment.....	23-2
23.1.1	Definitions of Noise	23-2
23.1.2	Groundborne Vibration	23-5
23.1.2.1	Vibration from Construction	23-5
23.1.2.2	Groundborne Noise.....	23-6

23.1.2.3	Human Response to Airblast and Vibration from Blasting	23-7
23.1.3	Potential Environmental Effects Area.....	23-8
23.1.3.1	Sutter County	23-8
23.1.3.2	Sacramento County.....	23-9
23.1.3.3	Yolo County	23-9
23.1.3.4	Solano County	23-10
23.1.3.5	San Joaquin County	23-11
23.1.3.6	Contra Costa County	23-11
23.1.3.7	Alameda County	23-12
23.2	Regulatory Setting	23-12
23.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	23-12
23.2.1.1	U.S. Bureau of Mines Criteria for Airblast and Ground Vibration due to Blasting Activities.....	23-13
23.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	23-14
23.2.2.1	Traffic Noise Analysis Protocol for New Highway Construction and Reconstruction Projects	23-14
23.2.2.2	Caltrans Vibration Criteria.....	23-15
23.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	23-15
23.2.3.1	Sutter County	23-15
23.2.3.2	Sacramento County.....	23-16
23.2.3.3	Yolo County	23-16
23.2.3.4	Solano County	23-17
23.2.3.5	San Joaquin County	23-17
23.2.3.6	Contra Costa County	23-18
23.2.3.7	Alameda County	23-18
23.3	Environmental Consequences	23-19
23.3.1	Methods for Analysis	23-19
23.3.1.1	Construction Noise and Vibration.....	23-19
23.3.1.2	Traffic Noise Modeling	23-21
23.3.1.3	Groundborne Vibration from Tunneling Operations	23-22
23.3.1.4	Operations.....	23-22
23.3.1.5	Existing Baseline Conditions in the Study Area.....	23-22
23.3.2	Determination of Effects	23-25
23.3.2.1	Construction and Restoration Activity	23-27
23.3.2.2	Groundborne Vibration and Noise during Construction.....	23-28
23.3.2.3	Conveyance Facility Operations	23-29
23.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	23-29
23.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	23-31
23.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	23-36

23.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	23-59
23.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	23-72
23.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	23-86
23.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	23-96
23.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	23-105
23.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	23-111
23.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	23-120
23.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	23-143
23.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	23-151
23.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	23-157
23.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D).....	23-163
23.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	23-168
23.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F).....	23-177
23.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	23-183
23.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	23-192
23.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	23-192
23.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	23-193
23.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	23-199
23.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	23-216
23.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	23-231
23.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	23-238
23.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	23-238
23.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	23-239

23.4	References Cited.....	23-240
23.4.1	Printed References	23-240
23.4.2	Personal Communications	23-243
Chapter 24	Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	24-1
24.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	24-1
24.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	24-1
24.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area.....	24-1
24.1.2	Potential Hazardous Materials in the Study Area.....	24-2
24.1.2.1	Naturally Occurring Hazards	24-2
24.1.2.2	Hazards from Agricultural Practices.....	24-2
24.1.2.3	Hazards from Oil and Gas Production and Processing	24-5
24.1.2.4	Hazards from Historical Mercury Mining.....	24-6
24.1.2.5	Urban, Residential, and Recreational Land Use	24-6
24.1.2.6	Hazardous Materials Transportation	24-7
24.1.2.7	Wildfire Hazards.....	24-11
24.1.3	Airports within 2 Miles of the Water Conveyance Option Footprints or Restoration Opportunity Areas.....	24-12
24.1.3.1	Public Airports.....	24-12
24.1.3.2	Private Airports	24-12
24.2	Regulatory Setting	24-13
24.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	24-13
24.2.1.1	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as Amended	24-13
24.2.1.2	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as Amended	24-13
24.2.1.3	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act	24-13
24.2.1.4	Toxic Substances Control Act.....	24-14
24.2.1.5	National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants.....	24-14
24.2.1.6	Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act.....	24-14
24.2.1.7	Hazardous Materials Transportation Act	24-15
24.2.1.8	The Clean Water Act	24-15
24.2.1.9	Safe Drinking Water Act.....	24-16
24.2.1.10	Oil Pollution Act of 1990	24-16
24.2.1.11	Federal Railroad Administration	24-16
24.2.1.12	Occupational Safety and Health Act	24-16
24.2.1.13	Safe, Efficient Use and Preservation of Navigable Airspace	24-17
24.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	24-18
24.2.2.1	California Hazardous Substance Account Act	24-18
24.2.2.2	California Hazardous Waste Control Law.....	24-19
24.2.2.3	Hazardous Waste Program	24-19

24.2.2.4	Hazardous Materials Release Response Plans and Inventory (Business Plan)	24-19
24.2.2.5	California Underground Storage Tank Program.....	24-20
24.2.2.6	Aboveground Petroleum Storage Act (APSA) of 2007	24-20
24.2.2.7	California Solid Waste	24-20
24.2.2.8	Control of Pesticides	24-21
24.2.2.9	Water Code	24-21
24.2.2.10	State Water Board Resolution No. 92-49.....	24-21
24.2.2.11	California Law for Conservation of Petroleum.....	24-21
24.2.2.12	California Department of Conservation, Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources Construction-Site Plan Review Program.....	24-21
24.2.2.13	California Occupational Safety and Health Act	24-22
24.2.2.14	Safe Drinking Water and Toxics Enforcement Act	24-24
24.2.2.15	Accidental Release Prevention Law	24-24
24.2.2.16	Fire Hazard Severity Zones.....	24-25
24.2.2.17	State Aeronautics Act.....	24-25
24.2.3	Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	24-25
24.2.3.1	Certified Unified Program Agencies	24-25
24.2.3.2	County General Plans	24-26
24.3	Environmental Consequences	24-30
24.3.1	Methods for Analysis	24-31
24.3.1.1	Initial Site Assessments	24-31
24.3.1.2	Conceptual Engineering Reports.....	24-33
24.3.1.3	Construction Effects.....	24-33
24.3.1.4	Operation/Maintenance Activities Impacts	24-35
24.3.1.5	Cumulative Impacts Related to Hazards and Hazardous Materials	24-36
24.3.2	Determination of Effects	24-36
24.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	24-39
24.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	24-39
24.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	24-44
24.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	24-77
24.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	24-90
24.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	24-104
24.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	24-114

24.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	24-125
24.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	24-135
24.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	24-144
24.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	24-175
24.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	24-184
24.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	24-193
24.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	24-204
24.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	24-212
24.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F).....	24-221
24.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	24-231
24.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A.....	24-243
24.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	24-243
24.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	24-244
24.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	24-251
24.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	24-262
24.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	24-272
24.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	24-276
24.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	24-277
24.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	24-277
24.4	References Cited.....	24-283
24.4.1	Printed References	24-283
24.4.2	Personal Communications	24-286
Chapter 25	Public Health	25-1
25.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	25-1
25.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	25-2
25.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area.....	25-2

25.1.1.1	Drinking Water	25-3
25.1.1.2	Bioaccumulating Constituents	25-5
25.1.1.3	Pathogens.....	25-12
25.1.1.4	<i>Microcystis</i>	25-15
25.1.1.5	Vectors	25-18
25.1.1.6	Electromagnetic Fields	25-26
25.2	Regulatory Setting	25-27
25.2.1	Federal and State Agencies Responsible for Regulating Water Quality	25-28
25.2.1.1	Bureau of Reclamation.....	25-28
25.2.1.2	Other Federal Agencies.....	25-28
25.2.2	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	25-28
25.2.2.1	Clean Water Act	25-28
25.2.2.2	Clean Water Act Section 303(d)	25-29
25.2.2.3	National Toxics Rule	25-29
25.2.2.4	Safe Drinking Water Act.....	25-29
25.2.2.5	Surface Water Treatment Rule	25-29
25.2.3	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	25-30
25.2.3.1	California Toxics Rule	25-30
25.2.3.2	California Safe Drinking Water Act.....	25-30
25.2.3.3	Assembly Bill 1200	25-30
25.2.3.4	The California Department of Public Health's <i>Best Management Practices for Mosquito Control in California</i>	25-30
25.2.4	Regional Agencies and Programs Responsible for Regulating Drinking Water	25-31
25.2.4.1	Regional Water Quality Control Board Water Rights Decisions, Water Quality Control Plans, and Water Quality Objectives	25-31
25.2.4.2	Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins	25-32
25.2.4.3	Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay Basin	25-32
25.2.4.4	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board Drinking Water Policy	25-32
25.2.4.5	California Drinking Water Standards Incorporated by Reference in Basin Plans	25-32
25.2.4.6	Safe, Clean, Reliable, Water Supply Act.....	25-33
25.2.5	Regional Agencies and Programs Responsible for Vector Control	25-33
25.2.5.1	Alameda County Vector Control Services District.....	25-33
25.2.5.2	Contra Costa Mosquito and Vector Control District	25-33
25.2.5.3	Sacramento-Yolo Mosquito and Vector Control District	25-33
25.2.5.4	San Joaquin County Mosquito and Vector Control District	25-34
25.2.5.5	Solano County Mosquito Abatement District	25-34
25.2.5.6	Sutter-Yuba Mosquito Abatement District	25-34

25.2.5.7	The Central Valley Joint Venture’s Technical Guide to <i>Best Management Practices for Mosquito Control in Managed Wetlands</i>	25-34
25.2.5.8	County General Plan Policies Related to Vector Control	25-36
25.2.6	State and Regional Agencies and Programs Responsible for Regulating Electromagnetic Fields.....	25-36
25.2.6.1	California Public Utilities Commission EMF Design Guidelines for Electrical Facilities	25-36
25.2.6.2	Local Utility Policies Regulating Electromagnetic Fields	25-37
25.2.6.3	County General Plan Policies Related to Electromagnetic Fields.....	25-38
25.3	Environmental Consequences	25-38
25.3.1	Methods for Analysis	25-39
25.3.1.1	Vectors	25-40
25.3.1.2	Pathogens and Water Quality	25-40
25.3.1.3	<i>Microcystis</i>	25-40
25.3.1.4	Constituents of Concern and Water Quality	25-41
25.3.1.5	Bioaccumulation	25-41
25.3.1.6	Electromagnetic Fields	25-43
25.3.2	Determination of Effects	25-48
25.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	25-52
25.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	25-52
25.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	25-58
25.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	25-77
25.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	25-87
25.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	25-97
25.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	25-108
25.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	25-118
25.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	25-129
25.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	25-139
25.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	25-160
25.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	25-171

25.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	25-182
25.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	25-193
25.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E)	25-204
25.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	25-216
25.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	25-227
25.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	25-237
25.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term	25-237
25.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	25-240
25.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	25-254
25.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	25-265
25.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	25-276
25.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	25-282
25.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	25-284
25.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	25-285
25.4	References Cited	25-295
Chapter 26	Mineral Resources	26-1
26.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	26-1
26.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	26-1
26.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area	26-2
26.1.2	Existing Mineral Resources in the Study Area	26-2
26.1.2.1	Aggregate Resources	26-3
26.1.2.2	Oil and Gas Resources	26-5
26.2	Regulatory Setting	26-9
26.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	26-9
26.2.1.1	Buy America Act	26-9
26.2.1.2	Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977	26-9
26.2.1.3	Cosumnes River Preserve Management Plan	26-9
26.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations	26-9
26.2.2.1	Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975	26-9
26.2.2.2	California Department of Conservation, Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources Construction-site Plan Review Program	26-10

26.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	26-10
26.2.3.1	Delta Protection Commission	26-11
26.2.3.2	Suisun Marsh Protection Plan	26-11
26.2.3.3	Alameda County Code and East County Area Plan	26-12
26.2.3.4	Contra Costa County General Plan	26-13
26.2.3.5	Contra Costa County Ordinance Code	26-14
26.2.3.6	City of Rio Vista Zoning Ordinance	26-14
26.2.3.7	Sacramento County General Plan	26-14
26.2.3.8	Zoning Code of Sacramento County	26-16
26.2.3.9	San Joaquin County General Plan	26-16
26.2.3.10	Solano County General Plan	26-17
26.2.3.11	Solano County Code	26-17
26.2.3.12	Yolo County General Plan	26-17
26.2.3.13	Yolo County Code	26-18
26.3	Environmental Consequences	26-18
26.3.1	Methods for Analysis	26-19
26.3.1.1	Construction and Footprint Effects	26-19
26.3.1.2	Operational Effects	26-19
26.3.1.3	Restoration Effects	26-20
26.3.2	Determination of Effects	26-20
26.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	26-23
26.3.3.1	No Action Alternative	26-23
26.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	26-26
26.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	26-41
26.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	26-47
26.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	26-53
26.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	26-59
26.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	26-65
26.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	26-71
26.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	26-77
26.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	26-86

26.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	26-92
26.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	26-98
26.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	26-103
26.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E)	26-109
26.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	26-115
26.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	26-121
26.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	26-126
26.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term	26-126
26.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	26-127
26.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	26-134
26.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	26-141
26.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	26-147
26.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	26-150
26.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	26-150
26.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	26-151
26.4	References Cited	26-155
Chapter 27	Paleontological Resources	27-1
27.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	27-1
27.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	27-1
27.1.1	Potential Environmental Effects Area	27-1
27.1.1.1	Physiographic Setting	27-2
27.1.1.2	Geologic and Stratigraphic Setting	27-3
27.1.1.3	Paleontological Sensitivity of Potentially Affected Units	27-6
27.2	Regulatory Setting	27-13
27.2.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	27-13
27.2.1.1	Antiquities Act of 1906	27-13
27.2.1.2	Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009	27-14
27.2.1.3	Federal Land Policy and Management Act (1976)	27-14
27.2.1.4	Code of Federal Regulations, Title 43	27-14
27.2.1.5	Secretarial of the Interior Order 3104	27-14

27.2.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations.....	27-15
27.2.3	Regional and Local Plans, Policies, and Regulations	27-15
27.2.3.1	Alameda County	27-15
27.2.3.2	Sacramento County.....	27-15
27.2.3.3	San Joaquin County	27-16
27.2.3.4	Solano County	27-16
27.2.3.5	Yolo County	27-17
27.3	Environmental Consequences	27-17
27.3.1	Methods for Analysis	27-17
27.3.2	Determination of Effects	27-18
27.3.2.1	Compatibility with Plans and Policies	27-19
27.3.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	27-20
27.3.3.1	No Action Alternative.....	27-20
27.3.3.2	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	27-23
27.3.3.3	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	27-37
27.3.3.4	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	27-42
27.3.3.5	Alternative 2A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	27-47
27.3.3.6	Alternative 2B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Five Intakes (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	27-50
27.3.3.7	Alternative 2C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	27-53
27.3.3.8	Alternative 3—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1 and 2 (6,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A).....	27-56
27.3.3.9	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	27-59
27.3.3.10	Alternative 5—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 1 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	27-72
27.3.3.11	Alternative 6A—Isolated Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	27-75
27.3.3.12	Alternative 6B—Isolated Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	27-77
27.3.3.13	Alternative 6C—Isolated Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario D)	27-80
27.3.3.14	Alternative 7—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Enhanced Aquatic Conservation (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario E).....	27-83

27.3.3.15	Alternative 8—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel, Intakes 2, 3, and 5, and Increased Delta Outflow (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario F)	27-86
27.3.3.16	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	27-89
27.3.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	27-93
27.3.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term	27-93
27.3.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	27-94
27.3.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	27-97
27.3.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	27-100
27.3.5	Cumulative Analysis	27-103
27.3.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	27-105
27.3.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	27-106
27.3.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	27-106
27.4	References Cited	27-108
27.4.1	Printed References	27-108
Chapter 28	Environmental Justice	28-1
28.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives	28-1
28.1	Introduction	28-1
28.2	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment	28-3
28.2.1	Identification of Environmental Justice Populations in the Study Area	28-3
28.2.1.1	Meaningfully Greater Populations	28-3
28.2.1.2	Minority Populations	28-3
28.2.1.3	Hispanic Residents	28-4
28.2.1.4	Characteristics of Relevant Minority Populations	28-5
28.2.1.5	Cultural Practices and Social Activities	28-5
28.2.1.6	Culturally Relevant Places, Neighborhoods, Businesses, and Farmlands	28-6
28.2.1.7	Subsistence and Recreational Activities	28-6
28.2.2	Low-Income Populations	28-8
28.2.2.1	Patterns of Employment for Low-income Populations	28-9
28.3	Public Outreach	28-10
28.4	Regulatory Setting	28-11
28.4.1	Federal Plans, Policies, and Regulations	28-11
28.4.1.1	Executive Order 12898	28-11
28.4.1.2	Council on Environmental Quality Guidance (1997)	28-11
28.4.1.3	Environmental Compliance Memorandum No. ECM 95-3	28-12

28.4.1.4	U.S. Department of the Interior	28-12
28.4.1.5	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service	28-12
28.4.2	State Plans, Policies, and Regulations	28-13
28.4.2.1	California Senate Bill 115 (Solis)	28-13
28.4.2.2	California Government Code Section 65040.12	28-13
28.4.2.3	Public Resources Code Sections 71110–71116	28-13
28.4.2.4	California Resources Agency	28-14
28.4.2.5	Environmental Justice Compliance and Enforcement Working Group	28-15
28.5	Environmental Consequences	28-15
28.5.1	Methods for Analysis	28-15
28.5.1.1	Definitions	28-15
28.5.1.2	Overview of Methods	28-16
28.5.2	Determination of Effects	28-17
28.5.3	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	28-18
28.5.3.1	Issues Not Analyzed in Detail	28-18
28.5.3.2	No Action Alternative	28-25
28.5.3.3	Alternative 1A—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	28-30
28.5.3.4	Alternative 1B—Dual Conveyance with East Alignment and Intakes 1–5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	28-45
28.5.3.5	Alternative 1C—Dual Conveyance with West Alignment and Intakes W1–W5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario A)	28-60
28.5.3.6	Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, And 5 (9,000 Cfs; Operational Scenario H)	28-74
28.5.3.7	Other Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment Alternatives Compared with Alternative 1A	28-89
28.5.3.8	Other East Alignment Alternatives Compared with Alternative 1B	28-95
28.5.3.9	Other West Alignment Alternatives Compared with Alternative 1C	28-100
28.5.3.10	Alternative 9—Through Delta/Separate Corridors (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario G)	28-104
28.5.4	Effects and Mitigation Approaches—Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	28-114
28.5.4.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term	28-114
28.5.4.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	28-115
28.5.4.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B)	28-122
28.5.4.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C)	28-132
28.5.5	Cumulative Analysis	28-141
28.5.5.1	Cumulative Effects of the No Action Alternative	28-144

28.5.5.2	Concurrent Project Effects	28-145
28.5.5.3	Cumulative Effects of the Action Alternatives	28-145
28.6	References	28-147
28.6.1	Printed References	28-147
Chapter 29	Climate Change.....	29-1
29.1	Introduction.....	29-1
29.1.1	Purpose.....	29-1
29.1.2	Organization	29-4
29.1.3	Climate Change Background.....	29-4
29.2	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment.....	29-5
29.2.1.1	Global Climate Change Effects	29-6
29.2.1.2	Climate Change Effects on California	29-8
29.2.1.3	Climate Change Effects on the Plan Area.....	29-11
29.3	Resiliency and Adaptation Analysis	29-14
29.3.1	BDCP Alternatives	29-15
29.3.1.1	Resiliency and Adaptability to Sea Level Rise and Hydrology Changes	29-15
29.3.2	Non-HCP/NCCP Alternatives (Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A)	29-20
29.3.2.1	No Action Alternative Early Long-Term.....	29-20
29.3.2.2	Alternative 4A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)	29-20
29.3.2.3	Alternative 2D—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intakes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (15,000 cfs; Operational Scenario B).....	29-22
29.3.2.4	Alternative 5A—Dual Conveyance with Modified Pipeline/Tunnel and Intake 2 (3,000 cfs; Operational Scenario C).....	29-23
29.3.2.5	Delta Levee Stability and Reliability	29-23
29.3.3	Resiliency and Adaptability to Increased Temperature.....	29-24
29.3.3.1	Water Demand.....	29-24
29.3.3.2	Water Temperatures.....	29-25
29.4	Compatibility with Applicable Plans and Policies	29-26
29.4.1	Applicable Plans and Policies.....	29-26
29.4.1.1	Federal	29-26
29.4.1.2	State	29-29
29.4.2	Compatibility Evaluation.....	29-32
29.5	References Cited.....	29-33
Chapter 30	Growth Inducement and Other Indirect Effects.....	30-1
30.0	Summary Comparison of Alternatives.....	30-1
30.1	Environmental Setting/Affected Environment.....	30-2
30.1.1	Statewide Population and Water Use	30-3
30.1.2	Water Supply by Hydrologic Region	30-9

30.1.2.1	San Francisco Bay Hydrologic Region.....	30-14
30.1.2.2	Sacramento River Hydrologic Region.....	30-17
30.1.2.3	San Joaquin River Hydrologic Region	30-21
30.1.2.4	Central Coast Hydrologic Region.....	30-22
30.1.2.5	South Coast Hydrologic Region	30-26
30.1.2.6	Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region.....	30-28
30.1.2.7	South Lahontan Hydrologic Region.....	30-30
30.1.2.8	Colorado River Hydrologic Region	30-32
30.1.2.9	Growth Forecasts from Regional Planning Agencies	30-34
30.2	Regulatory Setting	30-39
30.2.1	Relationship between Land Use Planning and Water Supply.....	30-39
30.2.1.1	Regional Planning.....	30-39
30.2.1.2	Local Planning	30-42
30.2.1.3	Water Supply Management and Planning	30-44
30.3	Environmental Consequences	30-48
30.3.1	Methods for Analysis	30-48
30.3.1.1	Direct Growth Inducement Potential.....	30-49
30.3.1.2	Indirect Growth Inducement Potential	30-49
30.3.1.3	Key Assumptions	30-52
30.3.2	Effects and Mitigation Approaches	30-54
30.3.2.1	Direct Growth Inducement	30-54
30.3.2.2	Indirect Growth Inducement Associated with Facility Construction and Operation	30-55
30.3.2.3	Indirect Growth Inducement Effects Associated with Increased Water Deliveries.....	30-56
30.3.2.4	Potential for Increases in Water Deliveries to Remove Obstacles to Growth	30-73
30.3.3	Secondary Effects of Induced Growth	30-89
30.3.3.1	Secondary Impacts of Growth Identified in Jurisdictions’ General Plan Environmental Impact Reports	30-90
30.3.3.2	Secondary Impacts of Growth – Other Considerations	30-97
30.3.4	Indirect Effects of Reduced SWP and CVP Deliveries in Export Service Areas	30-98
30.3.4.1	Agricultural Contractor Export Service Areas	30-98
30.3.4.2	M&I Contractor Export Service Areas	30-101
30.3.5	Authority to Mitigate Effects of Growth.....	30-103
30.3.5.1	Implementation of Environmental Protection Measures by Land Use Planning Agencies	30-106
30.3.5.2	Implementation of Environmental Protection Measures by Resource Management Agencies.....	30-107
30.3.6	Environmental Impacts Relating to Water Transfers	30-107

30.3.6.1	Surface Water	30-108
30.3.6.2	Groundwater.....	30-108
30.3.6.3	Water Quality	30-109
30.3.6.4	Fish and Aquatic Resources.....	30-110
30.3.6.5	Terrestrial Biological Resources	30-110
30.3.6.6	Agricultural Resources	30-111
30.3.6.7	Recreation	30-111
30.3.6.8	Employment and Income.....	30-112
30.3.7	Conclusions	30-115
30.4	References Cited.....	30-116
30.4.1	Printed References	30-116
30.4.2	Personal Communications	30-119
Chapter 31	Other CEQA/NEPA Required Sections, including Mitigation and Environmental Commitment Impacts, Environmentally Superior Alternative, and Public Trust Considerations.....	31-1
31.1	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitments of Resources/Significant Irreversible Environmental Changes.....	31-1
31.2	Relationship between Short-Term Uses of the Environment and Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity.....	31-3
31.3	CEQA Environmentally Superior Alternative	31-4
31.4	Summary of Significant and Unavoidable Adverse Impacts.....	31-9
31.5	Environmental and Other Commitments and Mitigation Measures with the Potential for Environmental Effects under CEQA and NEPA	31-17
31.5.1	Environmental and Other Commitments	31-17
31.5.1.1	Perform Geotechnical Studies	31-17
31.5.1.2	Transmission Line Pole Placement.....	31-20
31.5.1.3	Prepare and Implement Mosquito Management Plans.....	31-22
31.5.1.4	Disposal and Reuse of Spoils, Reusable Tunnel Material (RTM), and Dredged Material	31-22
31.5.1.5	Assist Water Purveyors in Developing Methods to Reduce Potential Water Quality Effects	31-26
31.5.1.6	Enhance Recreation Access in the Vicinity of the Proposed Intakes	31-28
31.5.1.7	Use of Slurry Cutoff Walls to Protect Groundwater during Dewatering Operations.....	31-29
31.5.2	Mitigation Measures.....	31-30
31.5.2.1	Mitigation Measure WQ-7e: Implement Terms of the Contra Costa Water District Settlement Agreement	31-31
31.5.2.2	Mitigation Measure SOILS-2b: Salvage, Stockpile, and Replace Topsoil and Prepare a Topsoil Storage and Handling Plan	31-31

31.5.2.3	Mitigation Measure AQUA-1b: Use an Attenuation Device to Reduce Effects of Pile Driving and Other Construction-Related Underwater Noise	31-32
31.5.2.4	Mitigation Measure BIO-176: Compensatory Mitigation for Fill of Waters of the U.S.	31-34
31.5.2.5	Mitigation Measure BIO-179a: Conduct Food Studies and Monitoring for Wintering Waterfowl in Suisun Marsh	31-35
31.5.2.6	Mitigation Measure BIO-179b: Conduct Food Studies and Monitoring to Demonstrate Food Quality of Palustrine Tidal Wetlands in the Yolo and Delta Basins.....	31-37
31.5.2.7	Mitigation Measure AG-1: Develop an Agricultural Lands Stewardship Plan (ALSP) to Preserve Agricultural Productivity and Mitigate for Loss of Important Farmland and Land Subject to Williamson Act Contracts or in Farmland Security Zones.....	31-39
31.5.2.8	Mitigation Measure GW-5: Agricultural Lands Seepage Minimization.....	31-41
31.5.2.9	Mitigation Measure GW-7: Provide an Alternate Source of Water.....	31-42
31.5.2.10	Mitigation Measure REC-2: Provide Alternative Bank Fishing Access Sites.....	31-43
31.5.2.11	Mitigation Measure REC-6: Provide a Temporary Alternative Boat Launch to Ensure Access to San Luis Reservoir.....	31-45
31.5.2.12	Mitigation Measure AES-1a: Locate New Transmission Lines and Access Routes to Minimize the Removal of Trees and Shrubs and Pruning Needed to Accommodate New Transmission Lines and Underground Transmission Lines Where Feasible.....	31-47
31.5.2.13	Mitigation Measure AES-1c: Develop and Implement a Spoil/Borrow and Reusable Tunnel Material Area Management Plan	31-49
31.5.2.14	Mitigation Measure AES-1d: Restore Barge Unloading Facility Sites Once Decommissioned	31-50
31.5.2.15	Mitigation Measure AES-1e: Apply Aesthetic Design Treatments to All Structures to the Extent Feasible	31-52
31.5.2.16	Mitigation Measure AES-1f: Locate Concrete Batch Plants and Fuel Stations Away from Sensitive Visual Resources and Receptors and Restore Sites upon Removal of Facilities	31-52
31.5.2.17	Mitigation Measure AES-1g: Implement Best Management Practices to Implement Project Landscaping Plan	31-54
31.5.2.18	Mitigation Measure AES-4c: Install Visual Barriers along Access Routes, Where Necessary, to Prevent Light Spill from Truck Headlights toward Residences.....	31-55
31.5.2.19	Mitigation Measure AES-6a: Underground New or Relocated Utility Lines Where Feasible	31-56
31.5.2.20	Mitigation Measure CUL-6: Conduct a Survey of Inaccessible Properties to Assess Eligibility, Determine if These Properties Will Be	

	Adversely Impacted by the Project, and Develop Treatment to Resolve or Mitigate Adverse Impacts	31-57
31.5.2.21	Mitigation Measure TRANS-2c: Improve Physical Condition of Affected Roadway Segments as Stipulated in Mitigation Agreements or Encroachment Permits	31-58
31.5.2.22	Mitigation Measure UT-6b: Relocate Utility Infrastructure in a Way That Avoids or Minimizes Any Effect on Operational Reliability.....	31-60
31.5.2.23	Mitigation Measure UT-6c: Relocate Utility Infrastructure in a Way That Avoids or Minimizes Any Effect on Worker and Public Health and Safety	31-61
31.5.2.24	Mitigation Measure AQ-9: Implement Measures to Reduce Re-Entrained Road Dust and Receptor Exposure to PM _{2.5} and PM ₁₀	31-64
31.5.2.25	Mitigation Measure AQ-21: Develop and Implement a GHG Mitigation Program to Reduce Construction Related GHG Emissions to Net Zero (0).....	31-66
31.5.3	Mitigation Measures That Require Payment of Fees	31-68
31.5.4	Combined Alternative 4A Impacts and Mitigation Measure Effects	31-69
31.6	Public Trust Considerations	31-102
31.6.1	Introduction	31-102
31.6.2	Public Trust Doctrine Considerations	31-103
31.6.2.1	Impact WQ-14: Effects on Mercury Concentrations Resulting from Implementation of Environmental Commitments 3, 4, 6–12, 15, and 16	31-104
31.6.2.2	Impact AQUA-201: Effects of Water Operations on Entrainment of Non-Covered Aquatic Species of Primary Management Concern (Striped Bass and American Shad)	31-104
31.6.2.3	Impact REC-2: Result in Long-Term Reduction of Recreation Opportunities and Experiences as a Result of Constructing the Proposed Water Conveyance Facilities	31-104
31.6.2.4	Impact REC-3: Result in Long-Term Reduction of Recreational Navigation Opportunities as a Result of Constructing the Proposed Water Conveyance Facilities	31-105
31.6.3	Conclusion.....	31-105
Chapter 32	Public Involvement, Consultation, and Coordination.....	32-1
32.1	Public Involvement	32-1
32.1.1	EIR/EIS Scoping Meetings and Comments.....	32-1
32.1.1.1	2008 Scoping Meetings.....	32-2
32.1.1.2	2009 Scoping Meetings.....	32-3
32.1.1.3	Summary of Scoping Comments Received.....	32-3
32.1.2	Draft EIR/EIS Public Meetings and Comments.....	32-5
32.1.2.1	2014 Public Meetings on the Draft EIR/EIS.....	32-5
32.1.2.2	Summary of Public Comments Received on the Draft EIR/EIS.....	32-6

32.1.3	Partially Recirculated Draft EIR/EIS Public Meetings and Comments	32-7
32.1.3.1	2015 Public Meetings on the RDEIR/SDEIS	32-8
32.1.3.2	Summary of Public Comments Received on the Partially Recirculated Draft EIR/Supplemental Draft EIS	32-9
32.1.4	Public Outreach Activities.....	32-10
32.1.4.1	BDCP Steering Committee and Working Groups	32-10
32.1.4.2	Stakeholder Briefings and Presentations	32-11
32.1.4.3	Public Meetings.....	32-11
32.1.4.4	Environmental Justice	32-12
32.1.4.5	Additional and Ongoing Public Participation Opportunities	32-13
32.2	Compliance with Agency Consultation Requirements	32-14
32.2.1	Federal Requirements	32-14
32.2.1.1	Clean Water Act	32-14
32.2.1.2	Federal Endangered Species Act	32-14
32.2.1.3	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.....	32-15
32.2.1.4	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act	32-16
32.2.1.5	Rivers and Harbors Act.....	32-16
32.2.1.6	National Historic Preservation Act	32-17
32.2.1.7	Native American Consultation	32-17
32.2.1.8	Executive Order on Environmental Justice (EO 12898)	32-18
32.2.2	State Requirements	32-18
32.2.2.1	Natural Community Conservation Planning Act	32-18
32.2.2.2	California Endangered Species Act.....	32-18
32.2.2.3	Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act	32-19
32.3	Agency Involvement and Coordination	32-20
32.3.1	Agency Involvement in the EIR/EIS.....	32-20
32.3.2	Agency Involvement in the BDCP/California WaterFix.....	32-20
32.4	Public Review of the Final EIR/EIS	32-22
Chapter 33	List of Preparers.....	33-1
33.1	Preparers by Affiliation.....	33-1
33.1.1	Lead Agencies	33-1
	California Department of Water Resources	33-1
	Bureau of Reclamation	33-5
33.1.2	Responsible and Cooperating Agencies.....	33-8
	California Department of Fish and Wildlife	33-8
	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	33-9
	National Marine Fisheries Service	33-10
33.1.3	Consultant Teams	33-11
	ICF International	33-11

	CH2M Hill	33-19
	RBI Consulting, Inc.	33-21
	Fehr & Peers	33-22
	AECOM.....	33-23
	Apple One	33-25
	Black & Veatch.....	33-25
	Cardno Entrix	33-26
	egret, inc.....	33-27
	ESA.....	33-27
	Estep Environmental Consulting	33-28
	Loren Bottorff	33-28
	SAIC.....	33-28
33.2	Preparers by Resource.....	33-29
Chapter 34	References Cited	34-1
34.0	Executive Summary	34-1
34.1	Chapter 1. Introduction	34-1
34.2	Chapter 2. Project Objectives and Purpose and Need	34-2
34.3	Chapter 3. Description of Alternatives	34-2
34.4	Chapter 4. Approach to the Environmental Analysis	34-4
34.5	Chapter 5. Water Supply	34-5
34.6	Chapter 6. Surface Water	34-7
34.7	Chapter 7. Groundwater.....	34-11
34.8	Chapter 8. Water Quality.....	34-16
34.9	Chapter 9. Geology and Seismicity	34-40
34.10	Chapter 10. Soils	34-46
34.11	Chapter 11. Fish and Aquatic Resources	34-49
34.11.1	Printed References	34-49
34.11.2	Personal Communications	34-84
34.12	Chapter 12. Terrestrial Biological Resources.....	34-85
34.12.1	Printed References	34-85
34.12.2	Personal Communications	34-112
34.13	Chapter 13. Land Use	34-112
34.14	Chapter 14. Agricultural Resources	34-115
34.15	Chapter 15. Recreation.....	34-119
34.15.1	Printed References	34-119
34.15.2	Personal Communications	34-132
34.16	Chapter 16. Socioeconomics	34-133
34.17	Chapter 17. Aesthetics and Visual Resources.....	34-137
34.17.1	Printed References	34-137

34.17.2	Personal Communications	34-141
34.18	Chapter 18. Cultural Resources	34-141
34.18.1	Printed References	34-141
34.18.2	Personal Communications	34-147
34.19	Chapter 19. Transportation	34-147
34.20	Chapter 20. Public Services and Utilities	34-151
34.21	Chapter 21. Energy	34-153
34.22	Chapter 22. Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases	34-154
34.22.1	Printed References	34-154
34.22.2	Personal Communications	34-158
34.23	Chapter 23. Noise	34-159
34.23.1	Printed References	34-159
34.23.2	Personal Communications	34-162
34.24	Chapter 24. Hazards and Hazardous Materials	34-162
34.24.1	Printed References	34-162
34.24.2	Personal Communications	34-165
34.25	Chapter 25. Public Health	34-165
34.26	Chapter 26. Mineral Resources	34-173
34.27	Chapter 27. Paleontological Resources	34-176
34.27.1	Printed References	34-176
34.28	Chapter 28. Environmental Justice	34-178
34.29	Chapter 29. Climate Change	34-181
34.30	Chapter 30. Growth Inducement and Other Indirect Effects	34-184
34.30.1	Printed References	34-184
34.30.2	Personal Communications	34-187
34.31	Chapter 31. Other CEQA/NEPA Required Sections	34-187
34.32	Chapter 32. Public Involvement, Consultation, and Coordination	34-187
Chapter 35	Glossary.....	35-1

Index

Appendices

1A	Primer on California Water Delivery Systems and the Delta
1B	Water Storage
1C	Demand Management Measures
1D	Final Scoping Report
1E	Water Transfers in California: Types, Recent History, and General Regulatory Setting
1F	Supplemental Information for USACE Permitting Requirements
3A	Identification of Water Conveyance Alternatives, Conservation Measure 1

3B	Environmental Commitments, AMMs, and CMs
3C	Construction Assumptions for Water Conveyance Facilities
3D	Defining Existing Conditions, No Action Alternative, No Project Alternative, and Cumulative Impact Conditions
3E	Potential Seismic and Climate Change Risks to SWP/CVP Water Supplies
3F	Intake Location Analysis
3G	Background on the Process of Developing the BDCP Conservation Measures
3H	Intermediate Forebay Location Analysis
3I	BDCP Compliance with the 2009 Delta Reform Act
3J	Alternative 4A (Proposed Project) Compliance with the 2009 Delta Reform Act
4A	Summary of Survey Data Collection Efforts by Department of Water Resources to Obtain Information Regarding Baseline Conditions in Areas That Could Be Affected by BDCP
5A	BDCP/California WaterFix FEIR/FEIS Modeling Technical Appendix
5B	Responses to Reduced South of Delta Water Supplies
5C	Historical Background of Cross-Delta Water Transfers and Potential Source Regions
5D	Water Transfer Analysis Methodology and Results
5E	Supplemental Modeling Related to the State Water Resources Control Board
5F	Comparison of FEIRS Alternatives 2D, 4A, and 5A Modeling Results to RDEIR/SDEIS Modeling Results
5G	Comparison of FEIRS Alternative 4A Modeling Results to the California Water Fix Section BA Proposed Action Modeling Results
6A	BDCP/California WaterFix Coordination with Flood Management Requirements
7A	Groundwater Model Documentation
8A	Water Quality Criteria and Objectives
8B	Summary of Data Availability Used in Environmental Setting
8C	Screening Analysis
8D	Source Water Fingerprinting Results
8E	Bromide
8F	Boron
8G	Chloride
8H	Electrical Conductivity
8I	Mercury
8J	Nitrate
8K	Organic Carbon

8L	Pesticides
8M	Selenium
8N	Trace Metals
8O	San Francisco Bay Analysis
8P	Velocity Probability of Exceedance Curves
10A	Soil Associations
10B	Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Suitability Ratings
10C	Soil Chemical and Physical Properties and Land Use Suitability
11A	Covered Fish Species Descriptions
11B	Non-Covered Fish and Aquatic Species Descriptions
11C	CALSIM II Model Results Utilized in the Fish Analysis
11D	Sacramento River Water Quality Model and Reclamation Temperature Model Results Utilized in the Fish Analysis
11E	Sensitivity Analysis to Confirm RDEIR/SDEIS Determinations for Fish and Aquatic Species Using Updated Model Outputs for Alternatives 2D, 4A, and 5A
11F	Substantive BDCP Revisions
11G	Supplemental Modeling Results at ELT for Alternative 4 at H1 and H2
12A	Special-Status Species with Potential to Occur in the Study Area
12B	Common and Scientific Names of Terrestrial Species
12C	2009 to 2011 Bay Delta Conservation Plan EIR/EIS Environmental Data Report
12D	Feasibility Assessment of Conservation Measures Offsetting Water Conveyance Facilities Construction Impacts on Terrestrial Biological Resources
12E	Detailed Accounting of Direct Effects of Alternatives on Natural Communities and Covered Species
14A	Individual Crop Effects as a Result of BDCP Water Conveyance Facility Construction
14B	Delta Agricultural Stewardship Strategies
15A	Privately Owned Recreation Facilities, by County
15B	Delta Recreation
15C	Additional Recreation Figures
16A	Regional Economic Impacts of Water Conveyance Facility Construction
16B	Community Characterization Photographs
17A	Candidate KOP Sensitivity Matrix Ratings
17B	Photo Simulation Data Sources and Assumptions
17C	Scenic Quality Rating Summaries

17D	Permanent Impacts after Construction is Complete
17E	Permanent Features
17F	Surge Tower Shadow Data Sources and Assumptions
18A	Archaeological Resources Sensitivity Assessment
18B	Identified Resources Potentially Affected by the BDCP Alternatives
19A	Bay Delta Conservation Plan Construction Traffic Impact Analysis
20A	Details of Public Services and Utilities Supporting the Plan Area
22A	Air Quality Analysis Methodology
22B	Air Quality Assumptions
22C	Health Risk Assessment
22D	DWR Climate Action Plan
22E	General Conformity Determination
23A	Noise Contours—Construction
23B	Noise Contours—Operations
24A	Draft Phase 1 Initial Site Assessment
24B	2010 Initial Site Assessment
26A	Natural Gas Wells
28A	Census Data
29A	Effects of Sea-Level Rise on Delta Tidal Flows and Salinity
29B	Climate Change Effects on Hydrology in the Study Area Used for CALSIM Modeling Analysis
29C	Climate Change and the Effects of Reservoir Operations on Water Temperatures in the Study Area
29D	Climate Change Analysis and Discussion of Future Uncertainty
30A	Population Density in Hydrologic Regions
30B	Water Contractor Profiles
30C	Summary of Secondary Effects of Growth
31A	BDCP Later CM Activity Environmental Checklist
31B	Mitigation Measure WQ-7e. CCWD Settlement Agreement
32A	Public Involvement Informational Materials
32B	Draft EIR/EIS Public Review Summary Report
32C	RDEIR/SDEIS Public Review Summary Report

Volume II. Responses to Comments on the Draft EIR/EIS and RDEIR/SDEIS

Part 1 Master Responses

Part 2 Responses to Comments

Part 3 References

Appendix A-1 Public Comments on the 2013 Draft EIR/EIS

Appendix A-2 Public Comments on the 2015 RDEIR/SDEIS

Tables

ES-1	Conservation Component Naming Convention Example	ES-16
ES-2	Screening Criteria for Water Conveyance Alternative Alignment Concepts	ES-20
ES-3	BDCP Alternatives in the Final EIR/EIS.....	ES-25
ES-4	Water Conveyance Facilities Components of BDCP Alternatives.....	ES-28
ES-5	Comparison of Alternative 4 and Alternative 4A.....	ES-31
ES-6	Comparison of Environmental Commitments under Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	ES-33
ES-7	Comparison of Alternative 4, 2D, 4A, 5A.....	ES-35
ES-8	Summary of BDCP/California WaterFix EIR/EIS Impacts and Mitigation Measures.....	ES-57
1-1	Summary of Agencies and Review, Approval, or Other Responsibilities, in Addition to Those under CEQA and NEPA.....	1-33
1-2	Correlated CEQA and NEPA Terminology.....	1-44
3-1	Action Alternatives Evaluated in the Draft EIR/EIS.....	3-17
3-2	BDCP Covered Activities	3-20
3-3	Summary of Proposed BDCP Conservation Measures	3-22
3-4	Implementation Schedule for Natural Community Protection and Restoration Conservation Measures (acres)	3-25
3-5	Water Conveyance Facilities Components of Each Alternative	3-31
3-6	Comparison of Operational Rules under Operational Scenarios and Alternatives	3-42
3-7	New and Existing Water Operations Flow Criteria and Relationship to Assumptions in CALSIM II Modeling.....	3-45
3-8	Comparison of Alternative 4 and Alternative 4A.....	3-53
3-9	Environmental Commitments under Alternative 4A.....	3-55
3-10	Environmental Commitments under Alternative 2D.....	3-56
3-11	Environmental Commitments under Alternative 5A.....	3-57
3-12	Terrestrial Biology Resource Restoration and Protection Principles for Implementing Environmental Commitments.....	3-60
3-13	Summary of Physical Characteristics under Alternatives 1A, 2A, and 6A	3-70
3-13a	BDCP Covered Species.....	3-75
3-14	Summary of Physical Characteristics under Alternatives 1B, 2B, and 6B.....	3-78
3-15	Summary of Physical Characteristics under Alternatives 1C, 2C, and 6C.....	3-82
3-16	Summary of Physical Characteristics under Alternative 3.....	3-88
3-17	Summary of Physical Characteristics under Alternative 4.....	3-91

3-18	Summary of Physical Characteristics under Alternative 5.....	3-96
3-19	Summary of Physical Characteristics under Alternatives 7 and 8	3-102
3-20	Summary of Physical Characteristics under Alternative 9.....	3-107
3-21	Comparison of Alternatives 4, 2A, and 2D.....	3-113
3-22	Comparison of Alternatives 4, 5, and 5A.....	3-115
3-23	Summary of the Avoidance and Minimization Measures	3-216
3-24	North Delta Bypass Flow Criteria: Post-Pulse Water Operations	3-233
3-25	Allowable Post-Pulse North Delta Diversions in Different Months for a Range of Sacramento River Flows at Freeport (cfs)	3-235
3-26	Old and Middle River Flow Criteria – Scenario B.....	3-239
3-27	San Joaquin Inflow Relationship to Old and Middle River Flow Criteria	3-240
3-28	Head of Old River Operable Barrier Operations Criteria if San Joaquin River Flows at Vernalis are Equal to or Less Than 10,000 cfs	3-240
3-29	Old and Middle River Flow Criteria – Scenario H	3-256
3-30	San Joaquin Inflow Relationship to Old and Middle River Flow Criteria	3-257
3-31	Head of Old River Operable Barrier Operations Criteria if San Joaquin River Flows at Vernalis are Equal to or Less than 10,000 cfs.....	3-257
3-32	March-May Average Outflow Criteria for “High Outflow” Outcome of Spring Outflow Decision Tree	3-259
3-33	Potential Outcomes for Delta Outflow under Scenario H Operations (Alternative 4)	3-261
3-34	Alternative 4A CALSIM II Criteria and Modeling Assumptions	3-264
3-35	Ongoing Real-Time Decision Making Groups	3-277
3-36	Salvage Density Triggers for Old and Middle River Real-Time Flow Adjustments January 1 to June 15 ^a	3-280
4-1	Interim Implementation Actions: Restoration Projects with Potential to Contribute to Meeting Habitat Conservation Measures or Environmental Commitments	4-16
4-2	Overview of BDCP EIR/EIS Modeling Tools.....	4-24
5-1	Distribution of State Precipitation and Water Demand (thousand acre-feet)	5-5
5-2	Cross-Delta Transfer History, 1995–2012.....	5-53
5-3	Effects on SWP/CVP Water Supply Availability from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative as Compared to Existing Conditions	5-60
5-13	Effects on Water Supply from the Plan, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis.....	5-199
5-4	Water Supply Summary Table	5-215
5-5	Water Supply Summary Table.....	5-216

5-6	Water Supply Summary Table	5-217
5-7	Water Supply Summary Table	5-218
5-8	Water Supply Summary Table	5-219
5-9	Water Supply Summary Table	5-220
5-10	Water Supply Summary Table for Existing Conditions, No Action Alternative (ELT), Alternative 2D (ELT), Alternative 4A (ELT), and Alternative 5A (ELT)	5-221
5-11	Water Supply Summary Table for No Action Alternative (ELT), Alternative 2D (ELT), Alternative 4A (ELT), and Alternative 5A (ELT)	5-222
5-12	Water Supply Summary Table for Alternative 2D (ELT), Alternative 4A (ELT), and Alternative 5A (ELT)	5-223
6-1	Summary of Sacramento and San Joaquin River and Tributary Dams	6-15
6-8	Effects on Surface Water Resources from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative as compared to the Existing Conditions	6-54
6-15	Effects on Water Supply from the Programs, Projects, and Policies Considered for Cumulative Analysis.....	6-204
6-9	Surface Water Summary Table for Existing Conditions, No Action Alternative (ELT), Alternative 2D (ELT), Alternative 4A (ELT), and Alternative 5A (ELT)	227
6-10	Surface Water Summary Table for No Action Alternative (ELT), Alternative 2D (ELT), Alternative 4A (ELT), and Alternative 5A (ELT)	228
6-10	Surface Water Summary Table for No Action Alternative (ELT), Alternative 2D (ELT), Alternative 4A (ELT), and Alternative 5A (ELT) (Continued)	229
6-11	Surface Water Summary Table for Alternative 2D (ELT), Alternative 4A (ELT), and Alternative 5A (ELT)	230
6-11	Surface Water Summary Table for Alternative 2D (ELT), Alternative 4A (ELT), and Alternative 5A (ELT) (Continued).....	231
6-12	Surface Water Summary Table for Existing Conditions, Alternative 2D (ELT), Alternative 4A (ELT), and Alternative 5A (ELT) - Number of years where storage is within 10 TAF of the flood curve	232
6-13	Surface Water Summary Table for No Action Alternative (ELT), Alternative 2D (ELT), Alternative 4A (ELT), and Alternative 5A (ELT) - Number of years where storage is within 10 TAF of the flood curve - Differences from Existing Condition.....	233
6-14	Surface Water Summary Table for Alternative 2D (ELT), Alternative 4A (ELT), and Alternative 5A (ELT) - Number of years where storage is within 10 TAF of the flood curve - Differences from No Action Alternative (ELT)	234
7-1	Freshwater Aquifers of the Southern Sacramento Valley Groundwater Basin	7-7
7-2	Freshwater Aquifers of the Northern San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin	7-8
7-3	Delta and Suisun Marsh Groundwater Basin and Subbasin Wells Summary	7-10

7-4	Delta Region Groundwater Management Plans.....	7-30
7-5	Adjudicated Groundwater Basins in Southern California.....	7-31
7-6	Effects on Groundwater Resources from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative as Compared to Existing Conditions	7-47
7-7	Long-Term State Water Project and Central Valley Project Deliveries to Hydrologic Regions Located South of the Delta	7-59
7-8	Long-Term State Water Project and Central Valley Project Deliveries to Hydrologic Regions Located South of the Delta at Early Long-Term	7-123
7-9	Long-Term State Water Project and Central Valley Project Deliveries to Hydrologic Regions Located South of the Delta at Early Long-Term	7-131
7-10	Long-Term State Water Project and Central Valley Project Deliveries to Hydrologic Regions Located South of the Delta at Early Long-Term	7-138
7-11	Effects on Groundwater Resources from the Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	7-141
8-1	Designated Beneficial Uses for Water Bodies in the Study Area.....	8-16
8-2	Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Listed Pollutants and Sources in the Delta.....	8-25
8-3	Summary of Completed and Ongoing Total Maximum Daily Loads in the Bay-Delta and Sacramento and San Joaquin River Portions of the Study Area	8-26
8-4	Clean Water Act Section 303(d) Listed Water Bodies in Regions of the Study Area Served by SWP South-of-Delta Exports	8-27
8-5	Receptors Affected by Water Quality—Characterized by the Designated Beneficial Uses of the Study Area	8-31
8-6	Locations Selected to Represent Existing Water Quality in the Delta.....	8-33
8-7	Ammonia Concentrations at Selected North- and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-40
8-8	Boron Concentrations at Selected North- and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-42
8-9	Chloride Concentrations at Selected North of Delta and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-46
8-9a	Summary of Compliance with Delta Chloride Objectives (1995–2014)	8-47
8-10	Sum of All Polychlorinated Biphenyls at the Mouths of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers, Water Years 2001–2006	8-50
8-11	Temporal Distribution of Low Dissolved Oxygen Impairment.....	8-53
8-12	Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations at Selected North- and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-54
8-13	Electrical Conductivity Concentrations at Selected North- and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-58

8-13a	Summary of Compliance with Delta Electrical Conductivity Objectives (1995–2014)	8-59
8-14	Mercury and Methylmercury Surface Water Concentrations at Tributary Inputs and the Delta’s Major Outputs	8-70
8-15	Mercury and Methylmercury Sediment Concentrations for Tributary Inputs, the Delta, and Suisun Bay	8-72
8-16	Mercury Concentrations in Largemouth Bass Fillets for Tributary Inputs	8-73
8-17	Nitrate/Nitrite Concentrations at Selected North- and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-79
8-18	Ortho-Phosphorus Concentrations at Selected North- and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-80
8-19	Total Phosphorus Concentrations at Selected North- and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-81
8-20	Total Organic Carbon Concentrations at Delta Intakes and Major Tributaries	8-84
8-21	Dissolved Organic Carbon Concentrations at Selected North- and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-85
8-22	Total Organic Carbon Concentrations at Selected North- and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-85
8-23	Diazinon Concentrations, by Water Body Category	8-92
8-24	Chlorpyrifos Concentrations, by Water Body Category	8-92
8-25	Pesticide Concentrations at the Banks and Barker Slough Pumping Plants, Water Years 2001–2006	8-93
8-26	Pesticide Concentrations at the Mouths of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers, Water Years 2001–2006	8-94
8-27	Sum of All Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons at the Mouths of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers, Water Years 2001–2006	8-98
8-28	Selenium Concentrations in Surface Water in the Study Area	8-101
8-29	Selenium Concentrations in Surface Water Reported by CALFED Bay-Delta Program	8-102
8-30	Selenium Concentrations in Delta and Suisun Bay Sediment	8-104
8-31	Selenium Concentrations in Biota in or near the Delta	8-105
8-32	Selenium Concentrations in Largemouth Bass	8-105
8-32a	Draft Water Quality Criteria for Selenium	8-107
8-33	Median Metal Concentrations for Selected Sites, May 1988–September 1993	8-113
8-34	Metals Concentrations at the Harvey O. Banks and Barker Slough Pumping Plants, Water Years 2001–2006	8-113

8-35	Metals Concentrations at the Mouths of the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers, Water Years 2001–2006	8-114
8-36	Metals Concentrations at Selected North- and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-115
8-37	Turbidity Concentrations at Selected North- and South-of-Delta Stations, Water Years 2001–2006	8-119
8-38	Summary of Methodologies Used for Water Quality Impact Analyses.....	8-158
8-39	Applicable Federal Criteria, State Objectives, and Other Relevant Effects Thresholds for Ammonia (mg N/L)	8-161
8-40	Assumptions on Status of Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant Nitrification Upgrades under Assessment Scenarios.....	8-162
8-41	Applicable Federal Criteria, State Objectives, and other Relevant Effects Thresholds for Boron	8-162
8-42	Historical Boron Concentrations in the Five Delta Source Waters.....	8-163
8-43	Source Water Concentrations for Dissolved Bromide (µg/L)	8-167
8-44	Applicable Federal Criteria, State Objectives, and Other Relevant Effects Thresholds for Chloride (mg/L unless specified)	8-170
8-45	Historical Chloride (Dissolved) Concentrations in the Five Delta Source Waters	8-171
8-46	Applicable State Objectives and Other Relevant Effects Thresholds for Electrical Conductivity (µmhos/cm[at 25°C] unless specified)	8-173
8-47	Applicable Federal Criteria, State Objectives, and Other Relevant Effects Thresholds for Mercury and Methylmercury in Water	8-179
8-48	Historical Mercury Concentrations in the Five Delta Source Waters for the Period 1999–2008.....	8-180
8-49	Historical Methylmercury Concentrations in the Five Delta Source Waters for the Period 2000–2008	8-181
8-50	Applicable Federal Criteria, State Objectives, and other Relevant Effects Thresholds for Nitrate (mg N/L)	8-182
8-51	Nitrate Concentrations in the Source Waters to the Delta	8-183
8-52	Monthly Average Dissolved Organic Carbon Utilized in DSM2 Modeling for Sacramento and San Joaquin River Source Waters (mg/L)	8-184
8-53	Summary of Dissolved Ortho-Phosphate Concentrations (mg/L-P) in Delta Source Waters	8-188
8-54	Applicable Federal Criteria, State Standards/Objectives, and Other Relevant Effects Thresholds for Selenium	8-189
8-55	Selected Benchmarks for Assessment of Selenium in Whole-body Fish, Bird Eggs, and Fish Fillets	8-190

8-56	Historical Selenium Concentrations in the Six Delta Source Waters for the Period 1996–2014	8-191
8-57	Measured and Modeled Selenium Concentrations (milligrams per kilogram, dry-weight basis) in Whole-Body Fish at or near Source Water Locations to the Delta.....	8-192
8-58	Water Quality Criteria and Objectives for Trace Metals (µg/L).....	8-193
8-59	Hardness-Based Dissolved Freshwater Aquatic Life Criteria by Primary Source Water (µg/L)	8-194
8-60	Biotic Ligand Model-Based Criteria for Dissolved Copper (µg/L)	8-195
8-60a	Average Residence Time for Subregions of the Plan Area by Season and Alternative	8-198
8-61	Water Quality Constituents for which Detailed Assessments are Performed	8-208
8-62	Water Quality Assessment Scenarios	8-209
8-63	Scenario Comparisons Performed for Impact Assessment Purposes.....	8-210
8-64	Estimated Ammonia-N (mg/L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative and Alternative 1A	8-269
8-65	Estimated Ammonia-N (mg/L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative and Alternative 2A	8-362
8-66	Estimated Ammonia-N (mg/L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative and Alternative 3	8-426
8-67	Estimated Ammonia-N (mg-L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative and Alternative 4 Operational Scenarios H1, H2, H3, and H4	8-485
8-68	Estimated Ammonia-N (mg-L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative and Alternative 5	8-587
8-69	Estimated Ammonia-N (mg-L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative and Alternative 6A	8-646
8-70	Estimated Ammonia-N (mg-L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative and Alternative 7	8-710
8-71	Estimated Ammonia-N (mg-L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative and Alternative 8	8-770

8-72	Estimated Ammonia-N (mg/L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative and Alternative 9	8-829
8-73	Estimated Ammonia (mg/L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative Early Long-Term (ELT) and Alternative 4A	8-918
8-74	Estimated Ammonia (mg/L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative Early Long-Term (ELT) and Alternative 2D	8-987
8-75	Estimated Ammonia (mg/L as N) Concentrations in the Sacramento River Downstream of the Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant for the No Action Alternative Early Long-Term Timeframe (ELT) and Alternative 5A.....	8-1046
8-76	Effects on Water Quality from the Programs, Projects, and Policies Considered for Cumulative Analysis.....	8-1103
9-1	Mapped Peaty Mud	9-5
9-2	Mapped Alluvium	9-6
9-3	Mapped Levee and Channel Deposits	9-8
9-4	Mapped Dune Sand Deposits	9-9
9-5	Mapped Older Alluvium	9-10
9-6	Largest Earthquakes Having Affected the San Francisco Bay Region.....	9-13
9-7	Characteristics of Major Seismic Sources in San Francisco Bay Region	9-15
9-8	Characteristics of Thrust Faults in the Delta and Suisun Marsh.....	9-16
9-9	Estimated Fault Rupture Offsets for Concord and Pittsburgh Hills Faults.....	9-19
9-10	Controlling Seismic Sources in 2005	9-21
9-11	Calculated Mean Peak Ground Motions at Selected Sites for Various Return Periods (for Stiff Soil Site, $V_{s100ft} = 1,000$ ft/sec).....	9-22
9-12	Comparison of Ground Motions Calculated in the Seismic Study to Estimated 2008 USGS Mapped Values	9-23
9-13	Effects on Geology and Seismicity from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative	9-54
9-14	Expected Earthquake Ground Motions at Locations of Selected Major Facilities during Construction (2020)—Alternative 1A.....	9-56
9-15	Surficial Geology Underlying Alternative 1A/Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment by Segments	9-60
9-16	Geology Underlying Borrow/Spoils and Reusable Tunnel Material Storage Areas— Alternative 1A.....	9-63

9-17	Expected Earthquake Ground Motions at Locations of Selected Major Facilities in the Early Long-Term (2025)—Alternative 1A	9-72
9-18	Expected Earthquake Ground Motions at Locations of Selected Major Facilities during Construction (2020)—Alternative 1B	9-91
9-19	Geology of Alternative 1B/East Alignment by Segments	9-97
9-20	Geology of Alternative 1B Borrow/Spoils and Reusable Tunnel Material Areas by Segments	9-99
9-21	Expected Earthquake Ground Motions at Locations of Selected Major Facilities in the Early Long-Term (2025)—Alternative 1B	9-108
9-22	Expected Earthquake Ground Motions at Locations of Selected Major Facilities during Construction (2020)—Alternative 1C	9-127
9-23	Geology of Alternative 1C/West Alignment by Segments.....	9-132
9-24	Geology of Alternative 1C Borrow/Spoils and Reusable Tunnel Material Areas by Segments	9-135
9-25	Expected Earthquake Ground Motions at Locations of Selected Major Facilities in the Early Long-Term (2025)—Alternative 1C	9-144
9-26	Surficial Geology Underlying Alternative 4/Modified Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment by Segments	9-196
9-27	Geology Underlying Borrow and Reusable Tunnel Material Storage Areas—Alternative 4	9-199
9-28	Expected Earthquake Ground Motions at Locations of Selected Major Facilities during Construction (2020)—Alternative 9	9-273
9-29	Geology of Key Facilities—Alternative 9	9-275
9-30	Expected Earthquake Ground Motions at Locations of Selected Major Facilities in the Early Long-Term (2025)—Alternative 9.....	9-279
9-31	Effects on Geology and Seismicity from Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	9-322
10-1	Shrink-Swell Soil Classes Based on Linear Extensibility Percentage	10-7
10-2	Guidance for Estimating Corrosion Risk to Uncoated Steel	10-10
10-3	Soil Classification for Risk of Corrosion to Concrete.....	10-11
10-4	Effects on Soils from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative	10-29
10-5	Approximate Topsoil Lost as a Result of Excavation, Overcovering, and Inundation Associated with the Proposed Water Conveyance Facility (Alternatives 1A, 2A, 6A)	10-34
10-6	Topsoil Lost as a Result of Excavation, Overcovering, and Inundation Associated with the Proposed Water Conveyance Facility (Alternatives 1B, 2B, 6B).....	10-50

10-7	Topsoil Lost as a Result of Excavation, Overcovering, and Inundation Associated with the Proposed Water Conveyance Facility (Alternatives 1C, 2C, 6C).....	10-61
10-8	Topsoil Lost as a Result of Excavation, Overcovering, and Inundation Associated with the Proposed Water Conveyance Facility (Alternatives 4 and 4A).....	10-96
10-9	Effects on Soils from Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	10-171
11-1A-SUM1	Number and Location of Intakes and Associated Temporary and Permanent Impacts of Construction Activities on Aquatic Habitat by Alternative	11-12
11-1A-SUM2	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-18
11-2A-SUM1	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-36
11-3-SUM1	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-48
11-4-SUM1	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-55
11-5-SUM1	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-59
11-6A-SUM1	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-65
11-7-SUM1	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-75
11-8-SUM1	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-82
11-9-SUM1	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-87
11-4A-SUM1	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-92
11-2D-SUM1	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-98
11-5A-SUM1	Results of Entrainment and Flow-Related Effects on Fish.....	11-103
11-1	Native and Introduced Fish Species Observed in the Yolo Bypass from 1997 to 2010	11-107
11-2	SWP/CVP Export Service Area Delivery Reservoirs	11-121
11-3	Key Questions and Possible Investigative Approaches to Address Fall Outflow Management as Part of the Collaborative Adaptive Management Team Fall Outflow Workplan	11-132
11-4	Key Questions and Possible Investigative Approaches to Address South Delta Salmonid Survival as Part of the Collaborative Adaptive Management Team OMR/Entrainment Workplan	11-149
11-5	Key Questions and Possible Investigative Approaches to Address Entrainment Management as Part of the Collaborative Adaptive Management Team OMR/Entrainment Workplan	11-179
11-6	Main Construction Elements of BDCP Conservation Measures with Potential to Affect Aquatic Environments.....	11-200
11-7	Life Stages of Covered Species Present in the North, East and South Delta Subregions during the In-Water Construction Window (June 1–October 31)	11-203

11-8	Dimensions of Potential North Delta Intakes and Associated Construction Footprints	11-204
11-9	Effects Associated with Construction of Intakes	11-205
11-10	Summary of Pipeline Maintenance Considerations	11-207
11-11	Estimated Distances and Areas of Waterbodies Subject to Pile Driving Noise Levels Exceeding Interim Injury and Behavioral Thresholds, and Proposed Timing and Duration of Pile Driving Activities for Facilities or Structures in or Adjacent to Sensitive Rearing and Migration Corridors of the Covered Species	11-214
11-12	Species Present during Cofferdam Installation	11-216
11-13	Potential for Construction Activities to Affect Water Quality	11-217
11-14	Main Assumptions, Benefits, and Limitations of Methods Used to Analyze Entrainment	11-223
11-15	Methods Used to Analyze Entrainment Effects, by Entrainment Location, Species, and Life Stage	11-226
11-16	Description of Methods Used to Assess the Effects of Flow and Related Parameters and the Benefits and Limitations of Each Method	11-228
11-17	Summary of Methods Used to Assess the Effects of Flow and Related Parameters for Each Region and Species Life Stage	11-234
11-18	Application of Methods for Each Species and Life Stage	11-238
11-19	Attributes Evaluated Using the Habitat Suitability Index	11-248
11-20	Shasta Reservoir Geometry	11-252
11-21	Plan Sea Level and Associated Rate for Existing Conditions, ELT and LLT	11-256
11-22	Effects on Covered Fish Species from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the NAA_LL	11-263
11-1A-1	Estimated Distances and Areas of Waterbodies Subject to Pile Driving Noise Levels Exceeding Interim Injury and Behavioral Thresholds, and Proposed Timing and Duration of Proposed Pile Driving Activities for Facilities or Structures in or Adjacent to Sensitive Rearing and Migration Corridors of the Covered Species (Alternative 2D)	11-295
11-1A-2	Life Stages of Covered Species Present in the North. East and South Delta Subregions during the In-Water Construction Window (June 1–October 31)	11-297
11-1A-3	Differences in Proportional Entrainment of Delta Smelt at SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities	11-307
11-1A-4	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Delta Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 1A and Baseline Scenarios	11-309
11-1A-5	Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares), Averaged by Water Year Type, with and without Restoration (100% Occupancy Use Assumed) under Alternative 1A	11-313

11-1A-6	Modeled Prod-Acres under Current Conditions and by the NAA with BDCP Tidal Habitat Restoration	11-329
11-1A-7	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the South Delta Facilities under Alternative 1A and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-343
11-1A-8	Longfin Smelt Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios.....	11-343
11-1A-9	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Longfin Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 1A and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-344
11-1A-10	Estimated Differences between Scenarios for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Otter Trawl.....	11-348
11-1A-11	Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 1A.....	11-371
11-1A-12	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Alternative 1A Model Scenarios	11-372
11-1A-13	Maximum Water Temperature Thresholds for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-373
11-1A-14	Number of Days per Month Required to Trigger Each Level of Concern for Water Temperature Exceedances in the Sacramento River for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS (NMFS pers. comm.) and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis	11-374
11-1A-15	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-374
11-1A-16	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-375
11-1A-17	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-376
11-1A-18	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-376
11-1A-19	Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Intake Facilities (Five Intakes)	11-384
11-1A-20	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 1A	11-386

11-1A-21	Monthly Average Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville Originating in the Sacramento River during the December through February Adult Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Migration Period.....	11-387
11-1A-22	Annual Percentage of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Juveniles Entrained Onto the Yolo Bypass Under Existing Conditions and with Notching of Fremont Weir	11-396
11-1A-22a	Collections of Striped Bass and Listed Fish by Fyke Trapping during April–May for the Adult Striped Bass Monitoring Project at Knights Landing, Sacramento River, 2008–2012	11-401
11-1A-22b	Collections of Striped Bass and Listed Fish by Gill-Netting during April–May for the Adult Striped Bass Monitoring Project in the Lower Sacramento River and San Joaquin River, 2008–2009	11-401
11-1A-23	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 1A.....	11-409
11-1A-24	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Alternative 1A Model Scenarios	11-411
11-1A-25	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-412
11-1A-26	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-413
11-1A-27	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-414
11-1A-28	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-414
11-1A-29	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-415
11-1A-30	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-415
11-1A-31	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, September through January	11-416
11-1A-32	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, September through January	11-417

11-1A-33	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-423
11-1A-34	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 63°F Threshold, May through August.....	11-423
11-1A-35	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, May through August	11-424
11-1A-36	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 1A	11-429
11-1A-37	Monthly Average Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville Originating in the Sacramento River during the March through June Adult Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Migration Period.....	11-430
11-1A-38	Annual Percentage of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Juveniles Entrained Onto the Yolo Bypass Under Existing Conditions and with Notching of Fremont Weir	11-436
11-1A-39	Juvenile Fall-Run and Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 1A.....	11-444
11-1A-40	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-446
11-1A-41	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-447
11-1A-42	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-447
11-1A-43	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-448
11-1A-44	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through February Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-449
11-1A-45	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 56°F Threshold, October through April.....	11-450
11-1A-46	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at Gridley, October through April.....	11-451

11-1A-47	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-452
11-1A-48	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 56°F Threshold, November through April.....	11-453
11-1A-49	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, November through April	11-454
11-1A-50	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-455
11-1A-51	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-455
11-1A-52	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 1A.....	11-472
11-1A-53	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville Originating in the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and Mokelumne River during the Adult Fall-Run and Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Migration Period for Alternative 1A	11-473
11-1A-54	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 1A	11-474
11-1A-55	Annual Percentage of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Juveniles Entrained Onto the Yolo Bypass Under Existing Conditions and with Notching of Fremont Weir	11-485
11-1A-56	Annual Percentage of Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Juveniles Entrained Onto the Yolo Bypass Under Existing Conditions and with Notching of Fremont Weir	11-486
11-1A-57	Juvenile Steelhead Annual Entrainment at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 1	11-494
11-1A-58	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-496
11-1A-59	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-496
11-1A-60	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, January through April	11-497
11-1A-61	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water	

	Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, January through April	11-498
11-1A-62	Minimum Monthly Instream Flow (cfs) for Alternative 1A Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period.....	11-504
11-1A-63	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 65°F Threshold, May through October.....	11-506
11-1A-64	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 65°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, May through October.....	11-507
11-1A-65a	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville Originating in the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and Mokelumne River during the Adult Central Valley Steelhead Migration Period for Alternative 1A	11-517
11-1A-65	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-544
11-1A-66	Increase in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (acres and percent) in Yolo Bypass from Existing Biological Conditions to Alternative 1A by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs	11-545
11-1A-67	Difference (Percent Difference) in Percentage of Days or Months during February to June in Which Temperature Would Be below 45°F or above 75°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Feather River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River.....	11-547
11-1A-68	Potential Underwater Noise Impact Areas in Each Year of Pile Driving Activities as a Percentage of the Total Amount of Subtidal Aquatic Habitat in the Delta	11-563
11-1A-69	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-571
11-1A-70	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-572
11-1A-71	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 64°F Threshold, May through September	11-573
11-1A-72	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water	

	Temperature Exceedances above 64°F in the Feather River at Gridley, May through September	11-574
11-1A-73	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above the 61°F and 68°F Thresholds Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-600
11-1A-74	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 61°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-601
11-1A-75	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 1A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 68°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-602
11-1A-76	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months between February and May in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 Cubic Feet per Second (cfs) in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough and 31,000 cfs at Verona.....	11-607
11-1A-77	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second (cfs) in April and May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years.....	11-608
11-1A-78	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-629
11-1A-79	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-630
11-1A-80	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-633
11-1A-81	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-634
11-1A-82	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-634
11-1A-83	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-635
11-1A-84	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-Over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-635
11-1A-85	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-636

11-1A-86	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures Greater than 71.6°F in at Least One Day or Month	11-637
11-1A-87	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-658
11-1A-88	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-659
11-1A-89	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-662
11-1A-90	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-662
11-1A-91	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-663
11-1A-92	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-663
11-1A-93	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-664
11-1A-94	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-664
11-1A-95	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F and 77°F in at Least One Month.....	11-665
11-1A-96	Percentage of Particles Originating in the Sacramento River at Sacramento That Were Entrained by the South Delta Export Facilities, Delta Island Consumptive Use, the North Bay Aqueduct Barker Slough Pumping Plant, or the Proposed North Delta Intakes, by Alternative, with Differences From Existing Conditions and the No Action Alternative (NAA or NAA_ELT Scenarios)	11-679
11-1A-97	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures Are outside the 59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing.....	11-685
11-1A-98	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures Are outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning	11-689

11-1A-99	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months in Which April–August Water Temperatures Fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-693
11-1A-100	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures Are outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning	11-697
11-1A-101	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months in Which Year–Round Water Temperatures Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence.....	11-702
11-1A-102	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold Range for the Initiation of Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning	11-708
11-1A-103	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water Temperatures Are outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning	11-712
11-1A-104	Striped Bass Townet Survey Survival Index, Based on Equation from Kimmerer et al. (2009).....	11-715
11-1A-105	Striped Bass Townet Survey Abundance Index, Based on Equation from Kimmerer et al. (2009).....	11-717
11-1A-106	Striped Bass Fall Midwater Trawl Survey Abundance Index, Based on Equation from Kimmerer et al. (2009).....	11-719
11-1A-107	Striped Bass Bay Midwater Trawl Survey Abundance Index, Based on Equation from Kimmerer et al. (2009).....	11-721
11-1A-108	Striped Bass Bay Otter Trawl Survey Abundance Index, Based on Equation from Kimmerer et al. (2009).....	11-723
11-1A-109	American Shad Fall Midwater Trawl Survey Abundance Index, Based on Equation from Kimmerer et al. (2009).....	11-727
11-1A-110	American Shad Bay Midwater Trawl Survey Abundance Index, Based on Equation from Kimmerer et al. (2009).....	11-729
11-1A-111	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing.....	11-733
11-1A-112	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months in Which Year–Round Water Temperatures Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-735
11-1A-113	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months in Which Year–Round Water Temperatures Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Range for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival.....	11-740

11-1A-114	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months in Which Year-Round Water Temperatures Are outside the 65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence.....	11-744
11-1A-115	Bay Shrimp Bay Otter Trawl Survey Abundance Index, Based on Equation from Kimmerer et al. (2009).....	11-749
11-1A-116	Shasta Reservoir Geometry	11-758
11-1A-117	Evaluation of Coldwater Habitat Impacts for Shasta Reservoir Using Three Different Carryover Storage Thresholds (Years with Carryover Storage Less than Threshold, out of 82 Years)	11-761
11-1A-118	Evaluation of Coldwater Habitat Effects (Years with Carryover Storage Less than Threshold) for CALSIM-Simulated Baselines and Alternatives for 1922–2003	11-764
11-1A-119	Mean Delta Outflow (Thousand Acre-Feet) for Alternative 1A in Relation to the No Action Alternative.....	11-767
11-1A-120	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 1A in Relation to Existing Conditions.....	11-771
11-1A-121	Difference in Exceedance Quotients for Mercury in Fish Tissue for BDCP Alternatives Compared to No Action (LLT).....	11-774
11-1A-122	Summary of Annual Average Selenium Concentrations in Whole-body Green Sturgeon for Existing Conditions, No Action Alternative _LLT and BDCP Alternatives	11-777
11-1A-123	Comparison of Annual Average Selenium Concentrations in Whole-body Green Sturgeon to Toxicity Thresholds Sturgeon for Existing Conditions, No Action Alternative _LLT and BDCP Alternatives.....	11-779
11-2A-1	Differences in Proportional Entrainment of Delta Smelt at SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities	11-860
11-2A-2	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Delta Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 2A and Baseline Scenarios	11-861
11-2A-3	Differences in Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares) between Alternative 2A and Existing Biological Conditions Scenarios, with Habitat Restoration, Averaged by Prior Water Year Type	11-863
11-2A-4	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the South Delta Facilities under Alternative 2A and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-867
11-2A-5	Longfin Smelt Entrainment Index (March–June) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios	11-867
11-2A-6	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Longfin Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 2A and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-868
11-2A-7	Estimated Differences between Scenarios for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Otter Trawl.....	11-869

11-2A-8	Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2A	11-873
11-2A-9	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-874
11-2A-10	Maximum Water Temperature Thresholds for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-875
11-2A-11	Number of Days per Month Required to Trigger Each Level of Concern for Water Temperature Exceedances in the Sacramento River for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS (NMFS pers. comm.) and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis	11-875
11-2A-12	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-875
11-2A-13	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-876
11-2A-14	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-877
11-2A-15	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-877
11-2A-17	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 2A	11-884
11-2A-18	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, the San Joaquin River, and the Mokelumne River during the Adult Chinook Migration Period for Alternative 2A	11-885
11-2A-19	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2A.....	11-892
11-2A-20	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-894
11-2A-21	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-894
11-2A-22	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-895
11-2A-23	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-896

11-2A-24	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-897
11-2A-25	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-898
11-2A-26	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-898
11-2A-27	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-899
11-2A-28	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios.....	11-900
11-2A-29	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, September through January	11-901
11-2A-30	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, September through January	11-902
11-2A-31	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-907
11-2A-32	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 63°F Threshold, May through August.....	11-908
11-2A-33	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, May through August	11-909
11-2A-34	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 2A	11-914
11-2A-35	Juvenile Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2A	11-921
11-2A-36	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-924
11-2A-37	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-924

11-2A-38	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-925
11-2A-39	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-926
11-2A-40	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through February Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-926
11-2A-41	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 56°F Threshold, October through April.....	11-928
11-2A-42	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at Gridley, October through April.....	11-929
11-2A-43	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-930
11-2A-44	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 56°F Threshold, November through April.....	11-931
11-2A-45	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, November through April	11-932
11-2A-46	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-933
11-2A-47	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-933
11-2A-48	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 2A.....	11-950
11-2A-49	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 2A	11-951
11-2A-50	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River during the Adult Chinook Migration Period for Alternative 2A.....	11-953
11-2A-51	Juvenile Steelhead Annual Entrainment at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2A.....	11-963

11-2A-52	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-965
11-2A-53	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Alternative 2A Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-966
11-2A-54	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, January through April	11-967
11-2A-55	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, January through April	11-968
11-2A-56	Difference (cfs) and Percent Difference in Minimum Monthly Mean Flow in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period.....	11-974
11-2A-57	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 65°F Threshold, May through October	11-976
11-2A-58	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 65°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, May through October.....	11-977
11-2A-59	Summary of Finger Printing Analysis of the Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River during the Steelhead Migration Period for Alternative 2A.....	11-989
11-2A-60	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Yolo Bypass Days of Inundation Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2A.....	11-1003
11-2A-61	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (per Capita Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2A.....	11-1003
11-2A-62	Adult Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Salvage Density Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2A.....	11-1004
11-2A-63	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-1006
11-2A-64	Increase in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (Acres and Percent) in Yolo Bypass from Existing Biological Conditions to Alternative 2A by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs	11-1007

11-2A-65	Difference (Percent Difference) in Percentage of Days or Months during February to June in Which Temperature Would Be below 45°F or above 75°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Feather River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River.....	11-1009
11-2A-66	Juvenile Green Sturgeon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 2A	11-1017
11-2A-67	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-1018
11-2A-68	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-1019
11-2A-69	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 64°F Threshold, May through September	11-1020
11-2A-70	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 64°F in the Feather River at Gridley, May through September.....	11-1021
11-2A-71	Juvenile White Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Sacramento Valley Water Year-Types and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios.....	11-1033
11-2A-72	Differences between Baselines and Alternative 2A in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above the 61°F and 68°F Thresholds Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-1034
11-2A-73	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 61°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-1035
11-2A-74	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 68°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-1036
11-2A-75	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months between February and May in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 cfs in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough and 31,000 cfs at Verona	11-1041
11-2A-76	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second in April and May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years.....	11-1042
11-2A-77	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 2A.....	11-1048

11-2A-78	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-1049
11-2A-79	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-1050
11-2A-80	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-1052
11-2A-81	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-1053
11-2A-82	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-1053
11-2A-83	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-1054
11-2A-84	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-1054
11-2A-85	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-1055
11-2A-86	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F in at Least One Day or Month	11-1056
11-2A-87	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 2A.....	11-1064
11-2A-88	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-1065
11-2A-89	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure.....	11-1067
11-2A-90	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-1069
11-2A-91	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-1070
11-2A-92	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-1070

11-2A-93	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-1071
11-2A-94	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-1071
11-2A-95	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-1072
11-2A-96	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F and 77°F in at Least One Month.....	11-1073
11-2A-97	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing	11-1084
11-2A-98	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning.....	11-1087
11-2A-99	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–August in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-1090
11-2A-100	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning	11-1093
11-2A-101	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold Range for the Initiation of Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning	11-1096
11-2A-102	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning	11-1098
11-2A-103	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing.....	11-1102
11-2A-104	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay	

	Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-1103
11-2A-105	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence	11-1108
11-2A-106	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Range for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival	11-1111
11-2A-107	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence.....	11-1114
11-2A-108	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 2A in Relation to the No Action Alternative.....	11-1124
11-2A-109	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 2A in Relation to Existing Conditions.....	11-1124
11-3-1	Differences in Proportional Entrainment Index of Delta Smelt at SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities.....	11-1198
11-3-2	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Delta Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 3 and Baseline Scenarios	11-1199
11-3-3	Differences in Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares) between Alternative 3 and Existing Biological Conditions Scenarios, with Habitat Restoration, Averaged by Prior Water Year Type	11-1202
11-3-4	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the South Delta Facilities under Alternative 3 and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-1205
11-3-5	Longfin Smelt Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 3 ...	11-1206
11-3-6	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Longfin Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 3 and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-1206
11-3-7	Estimated Differences between Scenarios for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Otter Trawl.....	11-1208
11-3-8	Juvenile Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 3.....	11-1213
11-3-9	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-1214
11-3-10	Maximum Water Temperature Thresholds for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-1215

11-3-11	Number of Days per Month Required to Trigger Each Level of Concern for Water Temperature Exceedances in the Sacramento River for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis (NMFS pers. comm.).....	11-1216
11-3-12	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 3 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-1216
11-3-13	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-1217
11-3-14	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1218
11-3-15	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1218
11-3-16	Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion Intakes for Alternative 3 (Two Intakes)	11-1225
11-3-17	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 3.....	11-1225
11-3-18	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, the San Joaquin River, and the Mokelumne River during the Adult Chinook Migration Period for Alternative 3	11-1226
11-3-19	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 3	11-1231
11-3-20	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-1233
11-3-21	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1233
11-3-22	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1234
11-3-23	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-1234
11-3-24	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-1235
11-3-25	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-1240
11-3-26	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 3.....	11-1243

11-3-27	Juvenile Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 3.....	11-1250
11-3-28	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1252
11-3-29	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1253
11-3-30	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1253
11-3-31	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1254
11-3-32	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through February Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-1255
11-3-33	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1256
11-3-34	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-1256
11-3-35	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1257
11-3-36	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 3	11-1272
11-3-37	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 3.....	11-1273
11-3-38	Juvenile Steelhead Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 3.....	11-1283
11-3-39	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-1284
11-3-40	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-1285
11-3-41	Minimum Monthly Instream Flow (cfs) for Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period	11-1291
11-3-42	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Yolo Bypass Days of Inundation Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 3	11-1310

11-3-43	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Per Capita Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 3	11-1310
11-3-44	Adult Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Salvage Density Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 3	11-1310
11-3-45	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-1313
11-3-46	Increase in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (Acres and Percent) in Yolo Bypass from Existing Biological Conditions to Alternative 3 by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs	11-1313
11-3-47	Juvenile Green Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 3	11-1320
11-3-48	Juvenile White Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Sacramento Valley Water Year-Types and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 3	11-1329
11-3-49	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 cfs in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough and 31,000 cfs at Verona.....	11-1334
11-3-50	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second in April and May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years.....	11-1335
11-3-51	Pacific Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 3.....	11-1340
11-3-52	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-1342
11-3-53	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-1345
11-3-54	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-1345
11-3-55	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-1346
11-3-56	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-1346

11-3-57	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-1347
11-3-58	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-1347
11-3-59	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities - for Alternative 3	11-1357
11-3-60	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-1359
11-3-61	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-1361
11-3-62	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-1362
11-3-63	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-1362
11-3-64	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-1363
11-3-65	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-1363
11-3-66	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-1364
11-3-67	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 3 in Relation to the No Action Alternative.....	11-1408
11-3-68	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 3 in Relation to Existing Conditions.....	11-1408
11-4-1	Proportional Entrainment Index of Delta Smelt at SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3).....	11-1414
11-4-2	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Delta Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 4 (Scenario H3) and Baseline Scenarios	11-1415
11-4-3	Differences in Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares) between Alternative 4 (Scenarios H3, H1, and H4) and Existing Biological Conditions Scenarios, with and without Habitat Restoration, Averaged by Prior Water Year Type	11-1419

11-4-4	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the South Delta Facilities under Alternative 4 (Scenario H3) and Baseline Scenarios	11-1423
11-4-5	Longfin Smelt Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3)	11-1424
11-4-6	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 4 (Scenario H3) and Baseline Scenarios	11-1425
11-4-7	Differences in Mean Monthly Delta Outflow (cfs) between NAA and Alternative 4 Scenarios H1, H2, H3, and H4, by Water Year Type, for Winter-Spring (December–June)	11-1426
11-4-8	Estimated Differences Between Alternative 4 (Scenario H3) and Baseline for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Otter Trawl Based on the X2-Relative Abundance Regression of Kimmerer et al. (2009).....	11-1428
11-4-9	Differences in Mean Monthly Delta Outflow (cfs) between Existing Conditions and Alternative 4 Scenarios H1, H2, H3, and H4, by Water Year Type, for Winter-Spring (December–June)	11-1429
11-4-10	Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3)	11-1434
11-4-11	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion (NDD) Intakes (Three Intakes for Alternative 4)	11-1435
11-4-12	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3).....	11-1436
11-4-13	Maximum Water Temperature Thresholds for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-1436
11-4-14	Number of Days per Month Required to Trigger Each Level of Concern for Water Temperature Exceedances in the Sacramento River for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis	11-1437
11-4-15	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-1437
11-4-16	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-1438
11-4-17	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-1439

11-4-18	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1439
11-4-19	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for H1 and H4 Scenarios.....	11-1440
11-4-20	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-1440
11-4-21	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-1441
11-4-22	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion Intakes (Three Intakes for Alternative 4).....	11-1451
11-4-23	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 4 (Scenarios H3, H1, and H4).....	11-1451
11-4-24	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River during the Adult Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Migration Period for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3)	11-1452
11-4-25	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3).....	11-1461
11-4-26	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion (NDD) Intakes for Alternative 4 (Three Intakes).....	11-1462
11-4-27	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3).....	11-1463
11-4-28	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-1463
11-4-29	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-1465
11-4-30	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1466
11-4-31	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1466
11-4-32	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-1467

11-4-33	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3).....	11-1468
11-4-34	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, September through January.....	11-1469
11-4-35	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, September through January.....	11-1470
11-4-36	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for H1 and H4 Scenarios.....	11-1471
11-4-37	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-1472
11-4-38	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-1473
11-4-39	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for H1 and H4 Scenarios.....	11-1474
11-4-40	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, September through January	11-1475
11-4-41	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, September through April.....	11-1476
11-4-42	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Alternative 4 (Model Scenario H3)	11-1485
11-4-43	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 63°F Threshold, May through August.....	11-1486
11-4-44	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, May through August.....	11-1487
11-4-45	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for H1 and H4 Scenarios.....	11-1488
11-4-46	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in	

	the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 63°F Threshold, May through August.....	11-1489
11-4-47	Differences between Baseline and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, May through August	11-1490
11-4-48	Differences (Percentage Differences) in the Percentage of Years Exceeding NMFS Suggested Minimum Flows in the Feather River High-Flow Channel (at Thermalito) ...	11-1498
11-4-49	Differences (Percentage Differences) in the Percentage of Years Exceeding NMFS Suggested Minimum Flows in the Feather River High-Flow Channel (at Thermalito) for H1 and H4 Model Scenarios	11-1500
11-4-50	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the proposed North Delta Diversion intakes for Alternative 4 (Three Intakes).....	11-1502
11-4-51	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 4 (Scenarios H3, H1 and H4).....	11-1503
11-4-52	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento during the Adult Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Migration Period for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3)	11-1503
11-4-53	Juvenile Fall-Run and Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3).....	11-1513
11-4-54	Fall-Run and Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Juvenile Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion (NDD) Intakes for Alternative 4 (Three Intakes).....	11-1514
11-4-55	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1515
11-4-56	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1516
11-4-57	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1516
11-4-58	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1517
11-4-59	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through February Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-1518
11-4-60	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 56°F Threshold, October through April.....	11-1519

11-4-61	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at Gridley, October through April	11-1520
11-4-62	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1521
11-4-63	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-1521
11-4-64	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 56°F Threshold, November through April.....	11-1522
11-4-65	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, November through April	11-1523
11-4-66	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1524
11-4-67	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-1525
11-4-68	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-1526
11-4-69	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 56°F Threshold, October through April	11-1528
11-4-70	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at Gridley, October through April	11-1530
11-4-71	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 56°F Threshold, November through April.....	11-1532
11-4-72	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, November through April.....	11-1533
11-4-73	Fall-Run and Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Juvenile Predation Loss at the proposed North Delta Diversion intakes for Alternative 4 (Three Intakes).....	11-1576

11-4-74	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 4 (Scenarios H3, H1 and H4)	11-1577
11-4-75	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, the San Joaquin River, and the Mokelumne River during the Adult Fall-Run and Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Migration Period for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3)	11-1578
11-4-76	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 4 (Scenarios H3, H1, and H4).....	11-1579
11-4-77	Juvenile Steelhead Annual Entrainment at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3).....	11-1598
11-4-78	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-1600
11-4-79	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-1601
11-4-80	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, January through April.....	11-1602
11-4-81	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, January through April	11-1603
11-4-82	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, January through April.....	11-1606
11-4-83	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at above Thermalito Afterbay, January through April	11-1607
11-4-84	Minimum Monthly Instream Flow (cfs) for Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period	11-1621
11-4-85	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 65°F Threshold, May through October	11-1624
11-4-86	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 65°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, May through October	11-1625
11-4-87	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water	

	Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 65°F Threshold, May through October.....	11-1628
11-4-88	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 65°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, May through October.....	11-1629
11-4-89	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, the San Joaquin River, and the Mokelumne River during the Adult Steelhead Migration Period for Alternative 4	11-1655
11-4-90	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Yolo Bypass days of inundation method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3) (See BDCP Appendix 5.B, <i>Entrainment</i> , Section 5.B.6.1.7.1).....	11-1677
11-4-91	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Per Capita Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3) (See BDCP Appendix 5.B, <i>Entrainment</i> , Section 5.B.6.1.7.1).....	11-1678
11-4-92	Adult Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (salvage density method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3) (See BDCP Appendix 5.B, <i>Entrainment</i> , Section 5.B.6.1.7.1).....	11-1678
11-4-93	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-1681
11-4-94	Increase in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (acres and percent) in Yolo Bypass from Existing Biological Conditions to Alternative 4 by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs	11-1681
11-4-95	Differences (and Percent Change) in Daily Average (December–June) Lower Sutter Bypass Inundation (acres)	11-1682
11-4-96	Difference (Percent Difference) in Percentage of Days or Months during February to June in Which Temperature Would Be below 45°F or above 75°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Feather River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-1684
11-4-97	Difference (Percent Difference) in Percentage of Days or Months during February to June in Which Temperature Would Be below 45°F or above 75°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Feather River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-1686
11-4-98	Juvenile Green Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3).....	11-1695

11-4-99	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-1696
11-4-100	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-1697
11-4-101	Differences between Baselines and H3 in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 64°F Threshold, May through September	11-1698
11-4-102	Differences between Baselines and H3 in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 64°F in the Feather River at Gridley, May through September	11-1699
11-4-103	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-1700
11-4-104	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-1701
11-4-105	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 64°F Threshold, May through September	11-1702
11-4-106	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 64°F in the Feather River at Gridley, May through September	11-1703
11-4-107	Differences between Baselines and H3 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above the 61°F and 68°F Thresholds Are Within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-1723
11-4-108	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 61°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-1724
11-4-109	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 68°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-1725
11-4-110	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above the 61°F and 68°F Thresholds Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-1726
11-4-111	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 61°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-1727

11-4-112	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 68°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-1728
11-4-113	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 cfs in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough and 31,000 cfs at Verona.....	11-1737
11-4-114	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second (cfs) in April and May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years.....	11-1738
11-4-115	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3).....	11-1745
11-4-116	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-1746
11-4-117	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-1747
11-4-118	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts in the Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay.....	11-1747
11-4-119	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-1751
11-4-120	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-1751
11-4-121	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-1752
11-4-122	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-1752
11-4-123	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-1753
11-4-124	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-1753
11-4-125	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures Greater than 71.6°F in at Least One Day or Month	11-1754

11-4-126	Percent Difference between Baselines and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-1755
11-4-127	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-1769
11-4-128	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-1770
11-4-129	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-1771
11-4-130	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-1774
11-4-131	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-1774
11-4-132	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-1775
11-4-133	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-1775
11-4-134	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-1776
11-4-135	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-1776
11-4-136	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F and 77°F in at Least One Month.....	11-1777
11-4-137	Percent Difference between Baselines and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-1778
11-4-138	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing	11-1792
11-4-139	Difference and Percent Difference between the H3 Model Scenario and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the	

	59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing.....	11-1792
11-4-140	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning.....	11-1796
11-4-141	Difference and Percent Difference between the H3 Model Scenario and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning	11-1797
11-4-142	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–August in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-1801
11-4-143	Difference and Percent Difference between the H3 Model Scenario and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-1801
11-4-144	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Be outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning.....	11-1805
11-4-145	Difference and Percent Difference between the H3 Model Scenario and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Be outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning	11-1806
11-4-146	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold for the Initiation of Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning.....	11-1810
11-4-147	Difference and Percent Difference between the H3 Model Scenario and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning	11-1810
11-4-148	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Be outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning	11-1814
11-4-149	Difference and Percent Difference between the H3 Model Scenario and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water	

	Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Fall outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning	11-1814
11-4-150	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing.....	11-1819
11-4-151	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-1820
11-4-152	Difference and Percent Difference between the H3 Model Scenario and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing	11-1821
11-4-153	Difference and Percent Difference between the H3 Model Scenario and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-1822
11-4-154	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence	11-1830
11-4-155	Difference and Percent Difference between the H3 Model Scenario and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence.....	11-1831
11-4-156	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival.....	11-1836
11-4-157	Difference and Percent Difference between the H3 Model Scenario and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival	11-1837
11-4-158	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence.....	11-1842
11-4-159	Difference and Percent Difference between the H3 Model Scenario and H1 and H4 Model Scenarios in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the	

	65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence	11-1842
11-4-160	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 4 in Relation to the No Action Alternative.....	11-1854
11-4-161	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 4 in Relation to Existing Conditions.....	11-1854
11-5-1	Proportional Entrainment Index of Delta Smelt at SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities.....	11-1858
11-5-2	Differences in Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares) between Alternative 5 and Baseline Scenarios, with and without Habitat Restoration, Averaged by Prior Water Year Type	11-1860
11-5-3	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Delta Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 5 and Baseline Scenarios	11-1861
11-5-4	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the South Delta Facilities under Alternative 5 and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-1865
11-5-5	Longfin Smelt Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5 ...	11-1866
11-5-6	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Longfin Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 5 and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-1866
11-5-7	Average Difference (Number of Particle Tracking Runs) in Simulated Entrainment of Larval Longfin Smelt at the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 5 and Baseline Scenarios	11-1867
11-5-8	Estimated Differences between Scenarios for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Otter Trawl.....	11-1868
11-5-9	Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5	11-1873
11-5-10	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-1874
11-5-11	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-1874
11-5-12	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1875
11-5-13	Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion Intake (One Intake).....	11-1879
11-5-14	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 5.....	11-1880

11-5-15	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and Mokelumne River during the Adult Chinook Migration Period for Alternative 5	11-1881
11-5-16	Juvenile Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5.....	11-1888
11-5-17	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-1888
11-5-18	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-1889
11-5-19	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1889
11-5-20	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-1890
11-5-21	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-1891
11-5-22	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-1895
11-5-23	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Baseline and Alternative 5 Scenarios, by Year Type	11-1899
11-5-24	Juvenile Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5.....	11-1906
11-5-25	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-1907
11-5-26	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1907
11-5-27	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-1908
11-5-28	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-1908
11-5-29	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through February Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-1909
11-5-30	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-1910

11-5-31	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Perioda	11-1910
11-5-32	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-1911
11-5-33	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Baseline and Alternative 5 Scenarios	11-1926
11-5-34	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon under Baseline and Alternative 5 Scenarios.....	11-1927
11-5-35	Juvenile Steelhead Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5.....	11-1936
11-5-36	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-1938
11-5-37	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-1938
11-5-38	Minimum Monthly Instream Flow (cfs) for Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period	11-1945
11-5-39	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Yolo Bypass Days of Inundation Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5	11-1964
11-5-40	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (per Capita Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5	11-1965
11-5-41	Adult Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Salvage Density Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5	11-1965
11-5-42	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-1967
11-5-43	Change in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (Acres and Percent) in Yolo Bypass under Alternative 5 by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs	11-1968
11-5-44	Juvenile Green Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5	11-1976
11-5-45	Juvenile White Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Sacramento Valley Water Year-Types and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5	11-1986

11-5-46	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 Cubic Feet per Second (cfs) in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough, and 31,000 cfs at Verona	11-1990
11-5-47	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second (cfs) in April and in May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years	11-1991
11-5-48	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 5	11-1997
11-5-49	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-1998
11-5-50	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-2001
11-5-51	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-2002
11-5-52	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-2002
11-5-53	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-2003
11-5-54	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-2003
11-5-55	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2004
11-5-56	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 5	11-2015
11-5-57	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-2016
11-5-58	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-2018
11-5-59	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-2019

11-5-60	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-2019
11-5-61	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-2020
11-5-62	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-2021
11-5-63	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2021
11-5-64	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing	11-2034
11-5-65	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning.....	11-2037
11-5-66	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–August in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-2040
11-5-67	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning	11-2043
11-5-68	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold Range for the Initiation of Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning	11-2045
11-5-69	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning	11-2048
11-5-70	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing.....	11-2052
11-5-71	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay	

	Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-2053
11-5-72	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence	11-2058
11-5-73	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Range for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival	11-2062
11-5-74	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence.....	11-2065
11-5-75	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 5 in Relation to the No Action Alternative.....	11-2074
11-5-76	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 5 in Relation to Existing Conditions.....	11-2074
11-6A-1	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Delta Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 6A and Baseline Scenarios ...	11-2078
11-6A-2	Differences in Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares) between Alternative 6A and Existing Conditions Scenarios, with Habitat Restoration, Averaged by Prior Water Year Type	11-2079
11-6A-3	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Longfin Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 6A and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-2083
11-6A-4	Estimated Differences between Scenarios for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Otter Trawl.....	11-2084
11-6A-5	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2089
11-6A-6	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2089
11-6A-7	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2090
11-6A-8	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 6A	11-2095
11-6A-9	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River during the Adult Chinook Migration Period for Alternative 6A.....	11-2095

11-6A-10	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2102
11-6A-11	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-2103
11-6A-12	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-2103
11-6A-13	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2104
11-6A-14	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2104
11-6A-15	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2108
11-6A-16	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 6A	11-2112
11-6A-17	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River during the Adult Chinook Migration Period for Alternative 6A	11-2113
11-6A-18	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-2122
11-6A-19	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-2122
11-6A-20	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-2123
11-6A-21	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-2123
11-6A-22	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through February Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2124
11-6A-23	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-2125
11-6A-24	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2125
11-6A-25	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-2126

11-6A-26	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 6A.....	11-2140
11-6A-27	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 6A	11-2141
11-6A-28	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento, San Joaquin and Mokelumne Rivers during the Adult Chinook Migration Period for Alternative 6A.....	11-2151
11-6A-29	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-2155
11-6A-30	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2156
11-6A-31	Minimum Monthly Instream Flow (cfs) for Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period	11-2162
11-6A-32	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-2185
11-6A-33	Increase in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (acres and percent) in Yolo Bypass from Existing Conditions to Alternative 6A by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-2185
11-6A-34	Juvenile Green Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 6A.....	11-2193
11-6A-35	Juvenile White Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Sacramento Valley Water Year Types and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 6A.....	11-2203
11-6A-36	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 cfs in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough and 31,000 cfs at Verona.....	11-2208
11-6A-37	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second (cfs) in April and May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years.....	11-2209
11-6A-38	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—for Alternative 6A.....	11-2214
11-6A-39	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-2215
11-6A-40	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-2217

11-6A-41	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-2218
11-6A-42	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-2218
11-6A-43	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-2219
11-6A-44	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-2220
11-6A-45	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2220
11-6A-46	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Stanislaus River at the Confluence with the San Joaquin River.....	11-2221
11-6A-47	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-2233
11-6A-48	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-2235
11-6A-49	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-2236
11-6A-50	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-2236
11-6A-51	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-2237
11-6A-52	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-2237
11-6A-53	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2238
11-6A-54	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Stanislaus River at the Confluence with the San Joaquin River.....	11-2238

11-6A-55	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 6 in Relation to the No Action Alternative.....	11-2287
11-6A-56	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 6 in Relation to Existing Conditions.....	11-2287
11-7-1	Proportional Entrainment Index of Delta Smelt at SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 7.....	11-2361
11-7-2	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Delta Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 7 and Baseline Scenarios	11-2362
11-7-3	Differences in Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares) between Alternative 7 and Existing Biological Conditions Scenarios, with Habitat Restoration, Averaged by Prior Water Year Type	11-2364
11-7-4	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the South Delta Facilities under Alternative 7 and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-2367
11-7-5	Longfin Smelt Entrainment Index (March–June) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios	11-2368
11-7-6	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 7 and Baseline Scenarios	11-2369
11-7-7	Estimated Differences Between Scenarios for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Otter Trawl.....	11-2370
11-7-8	Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 7	11-2375
11-7-9	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2376
11-7-10	Maximum Water Temperature Thresholds for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-2377
11-7-11	Number of Days per Month Required to Trigger Each Level of Concern for Water Temperature Exceedances in the Sacramento River for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS (NMFS pers. comm.) and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-2377
11-7-12	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-2377
11-7-13	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-2378
11-7-14	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-2379

11-7-15	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2379
11-7-16	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion (NDD) Intakes (Three Intakes for Alternative 7)	11-2385
11-7-17	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 7.....	11-2385
11-7-18	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, Mokelumne River, and San Joaquin River during the Adult Chinook Salmon Migration Period for Alternative 7	11-2386
11-7-19	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 7	11-2393
11-7-20	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2394
11-7-21	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-2394
11-7-22	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-2395
11-7-23	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2396
11-7-24	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2396
11-7-25	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2397
11-7-26	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2398
11-7-27	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, September through January	11-2399
11-7-28	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, September through January.....	11-2400

11-7-29	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2405
11-7-30	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 63°F Threshold, May through August.....	11-2406
11-7-31	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, May through August	11-2407
11-7-32	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 7.....	11-2412
11-7-33	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River during the Adult Chinook Salmon Migration Period for Alternative 7	11-2416
11-7-34	Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 7	11-2420
11-7-35	Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 7	11-2421
11-7-36	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2422
11-7-37	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2423
11-7-38	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2424
11-7-39	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2424
11-7-40	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through February Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2425
11-7-41	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 56°F Threshold, October through April.....	11-2426
11-7-42	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at Gridley, October through April	11-2427

11-7-43	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2428
11-7-44	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 56°F Threshold, November through April.....	11-2429
11-7-45	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, November through April	11-2430
11-7-46	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2431
11-7-47	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2431
11-7-48	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 7	11-2450
11-7-49	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 7.....	11-2451
11-7-50	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, Mokelumne River, and San Joaquin River during the Adult Chinook Salmon Migration Period for Alternative 7	11-2458
11-7-51	Juvenile Steelhead Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative.....	11-2462
11-7-52	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-2464
11-7-53	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2464
11-7-54	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, January through April	11-2466
11-7-55	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, January through April	11-2467
11-7-56	Minimum Monthly Instream Flow (cfs) for Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period	11-2473
11-7-57	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water	

	Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 65°F Threshold, May through October	11-2475
11-7-58	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 65°F in the Feather River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, May through October	11-2476
11-7-59	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, Mokelumne River, and San Joaquin River during the Adult Chinook Salmon Migration Period for Alternative 7	11-2486
11-7-60	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Yolo Bypass Inundation Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 7	11-2499
11-7-61	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (per Capita Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 7	11-2500
11-7-62	Adult Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Salvage Density Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 7	11-2500
11-7-63	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-2502
11-7-64	Increase in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (Acres and Percentage) in Yolo Bypass from Existing Biological Conditions to Alternative 7 by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs	11-2503
11-7-65	Difference (Percent Difference) in Percentage of Days or Months during February to June in Which Temperature Would Be below 45°F or above 75°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Feather River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2505
11-7-66	Juvenile Green Sturgeon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 7	11-2513
11-7-67	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-2514
11-7-68	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-2515
11-7-69	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 64°F Threshold, May through September	11-2516

11-7-70	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 64°F in the Feather River at Gridley, May through September	11-2517
11-7-71	Juvenile White Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Sacramento Valley Water Year-Types and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios.....	11-2529
11-7-72	Differences between Baselines and Alternative 7 in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above the 61°F and 68°F Thresholds Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-2530
11-7-73	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 61°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-2531
11-7-74	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 7 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 68°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-2532
11-7-75	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 cfs in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough and 31,000 cfs at Verona.....	11-2537
11-7-76	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second in April and May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years.....	11-2538
11-7-77	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 7	11-2544
11-7-78	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-2545
11-7-79	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-2546
11-7-80	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-2548
11-7-81	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-2548
11-7-82	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-2549
11-7-83	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-2549

11-7-84	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-2550
11-7-85	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2550
11-7-86	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Stanislaus River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2551
11-7-87	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures Greater than 71.6°F in at Least One Day or Month	11-2552
11-7-88	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 7	11-2563
11-7-89	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-2564
11-7-90	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-2566
11-7-91	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-2568
11-7-92	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-2569
11-7-93	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-2569
11-7-94	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-2570
11-7-95	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-2570
11-7-96	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2571
11-7-97	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Stanislaus River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2571

11-7-98	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F and 77°F in at Least One Month.....	11-2572
11-7-99	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing	11-2584
11-7-100	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning.....	11-2587
11-7-101	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–August in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-2591
11-7-102	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning	11-2594
11-7-103	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold Range for the Initiation of Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning	11-2597
11-7-104	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning	11-2600
11-7-105	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing.....	11-2605
11-7-106	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-2606
11-7-107	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence	11-2612
11-7-108	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Range for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival	11-2616

11-7-109	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence.....	11-2620
11-7-110	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 7 in Relation to the No Action Alternative.....	11-2630
11-7-111	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 7 in Relation to Existing Conditions.....	11-2630
11-8-1	Proportional Entrainment Index of Delta Smelt at SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 8.....	11-2634
11-8-2	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Delta Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 8 and Baseline Scenarios	11-2635
11-8-3	Differences in Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares) between Alternative 8 and Existing Biological Conditions Scenarios, with Habitat Restoration, Averaged by Prior Water Year Type	11-2637
11-8-4	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the South Delta Facilities under Alternative 8 and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-2640
11-8-5	Longfin Smelt Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 8 ...	11-2641
11-8-6	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 8 and Baseline Scenarios	11-2641
11-8-7	Estimated Differences Between Scenarios for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Otter Trawl.....	11-2643
11-8-8	Juvenile Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 8.....	11-2647
11-8-9	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2649
11-8-10	Maximum Water Temperature Thresholds for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-2649
11-8-11	Number of Days per Month Required to Trigger Each Level of Concern for Water Temperature Exceedances in the Sacramento River for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS (NMFS pers. comm.) and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis	11-2650
11-8-12	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-2650
11-8-13	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-2651

11-8-14	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2652
11-8-15	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2652
11-8-16	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 8.....	11-2659
11-8-17	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and Mokelumne during the Adult Chinook Migration Period for Alternative 8	11-2662
11-8-18	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 8	11-2667
11-8-19	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2668
11-8-20	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-2669
11-8-21	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-2670
11-8-22	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2671
11-8-23	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2671
11-8-24	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2672
11-8-25	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2672
11-8-26	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, September through January	11-2673
11-8-27	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, September through January.....	11-2674
11-8-28	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2679

11-8-29	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 63°F Threshold, May through August.....	11-2679
11-8-30	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, May through August.....	11-2680
11-8-31	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 8.....	11-2686
11-8-32	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River during the Adult Chinook Migration Period for Alternative 8....	11-2686
11-8-33	Juvenile Fall-Run and Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 8	11-2694
11-8-34	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2696
11-8-35	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2697
11-8-36	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2697
11-8-37	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2698
11-8-38	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through February Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2699
11-8-39	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 56°F Threshold, October through April.....	11-2700
11-8-40	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at Gridley, October through April	11-2701
11-8-41	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2702
11-8-42	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period.....	11-2702

11-8-43	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 56°F Threshold, November through April.....	11-2703
11-8-44	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, November through April	11-2704
11-8-45	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2705
11-8-46	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 8	11-2722
11-8-47	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and Mokelumne River during the Adult Chinook Migration Period for Alternative 8	11-2724
11-8-48	Juvenile Steelhead Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 8.....	11-2735
11-8-49	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-2736
11-8-50	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2736
11-8-51	May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios.....	11-2737
11-8-52	September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2737
11-8-53	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, January through April	11-2738
11-8-54	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, January through April	11-2739
11-8-55	Minimum Monthly Instream Flow (cfs) for Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period	11-2746
11-8-56	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 65°F Threshold, May through October	11-2748

11-8-57	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 65°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, May through October	11-2749
11-8-58	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Yolo Bypass Days of Inundation Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 8	11-2771
11-8-59	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (per Capita Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 8	11-2771
11-8-60	Adult Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Salvage Density Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 8	11-2772
11-8-61	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-2774
11-8-62	Increase in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (Acres and Percent) in Yolo Bypass from Existing Biological Conditions to Alternative 8 by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs	11-2775
11-8-63	Difference (Percent Difference) in Percentage of Days or Months during February to June in Which Temperature Would Be below 45°F or above 75°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Feather River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2777
11-8-64	Juvenile Green Sturgeon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 8.....	11-2786
11-8-65	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-2787
11-8-66	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-2788
11-8-67	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 64°F Threshold, May through September	11-2789
11-8-68	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 64°F in the Feather River at Gridley, May through September	11-2790
11-8-69	Juvenile White Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Sacramento Valley Water Year Types and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios.....	11-2801

11-8-70	Differences between Baselines and Alternative 8 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above the 61°F and 68°F Thresholds Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-2802
11-8-71	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 61°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-2803
11-8-72	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 8 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 68°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-2804
11-8-73	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months between February and May in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 cfs in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough and 31,000 cfs at Verona	11-2809
11-8-74	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second in April and May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years.....	11-2810
11-8-75	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 8	11-2816
11-8-76	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-2817
11-8-77	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-2818
11-8-78	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-2821
11-8-79	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-2821
11-8-80	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-2822
11-8-81	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-2822
11-8-82	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-2823
11-8-83	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2823

11-8-84	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Stanislaus River at the Confluence with the San Joaquin River.....	11-2824
11-8-85	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures Greater than 71.6°F in at Least One Day or Month	11-2825
11-8-86	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 8	11-2836
11-8-87	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-2837
11-8-88	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-2838
11-8-89	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-2841
11-8-90	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-2842
11-8-91	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-2842
11-8-92	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-2843
11-8-93	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-2844
11-8-94	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-2844
11-8-95	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Stanislaus River at the Confluence with the San Joaquin River.....	11-2845
11-8-96	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F and 77°F in at Least One Month.....	11-2846
11-8-97	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing	11-2859

11-8-98	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning.....	11-2862
11-8-99	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–August in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-2865
11-8-100	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning	11-2868
11-8-101	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold Range for the Initiation of Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning	11-2872
11-8-102	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are Outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning.....	11-2875
11-8-103	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing.....	11-2878
11-8-104	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-2880
11-8-105	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence	11-2886
11-8-106	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay at Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Range for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival	11-2891
11-8-107	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence.....	11-2895
11-8-108	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 8 in Relation to the No Action Alternative.....	11-2905

11-8-109	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 8 in Relation to Existing Conditions.....	11-2905
11-9-1	In-Water and Near-Water Construction Activities under Alternative 9	11-2908
11-9-2	Average Percentage (and Difference) of Particles Representing Larval Delta Smelt Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 9 and Baseline Scenarios	11-2915
11-9-3	Differences in Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares) between Alternative 9 and Existing Biological Conditions Scenarios, with Habitat Restoration, Averaged by Prior Water Year Type	11-2917
11-9-4	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the South Delta Facilities under Alternative 9 and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-2921
11-9-5	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the North Bay Aqueduct under Alternative 9 and Baseline Scenarios	11-2922
11-9-6	Estimated Differences between Scenarios for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Otter Trawl.....	11-2923
11-9-7	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2931
11-9-8	Maximum Water Temperature Thresholds for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-2932
11-9-9	Number of Days per Month Required to Trigger Each Level of Concern for Water Temperature Exceedances in the Sacramento River for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS (NMFS pers. comm.) and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-2932
11-9-10	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-2932
11-9-11	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-2933
11-9-12	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2934
11-9-13	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2934
11-9-14	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 9.....	11-2939
11-9-15	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, Mokelumne River, and San Joaquin River during the Adult Chinook Migration Period for Alternative 9	11-2940

11-9-16	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2946
11-9-17	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-2947
11-9-18	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-2948
11-9-19	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-2949
11-9-20	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2949
11-9-21	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2950
11-9-22	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2950
11-9-23	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, September through January	11-2951
11-9-24	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, September through January.....	11-2952
11-9-25	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-2956
11-9-26	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 63°F Threshold, May through August.....	11-2957
11-9-27	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, May through August	11-2958
11-9-28	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 9.....	11-2962
11-9-29	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-2969

11-9-30	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2970
11-9-31	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2970
11-9-32	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-2971
11-9-33	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through February Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2972
11-9-34	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 56°F Threshold, October through April.....	11-2973
11-9-35	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at Gridley, October through April	11-2974
11-9-36	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2975
11-9-37	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 56°F Threshold, November through April.....	11-2976
11-9-38	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, November through April	11-2977
11-9-39	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-2978
11-9-40	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-2978
11-9-41	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 9	11-2995
11-9-42	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 9.....	11-2996
11-9-43	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-3007

11-9-44	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3007
11-9-45	May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios.....	11-3008
11-9-46	September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-3008
11-9-47	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, January through April	11-3009
11-9-48	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, January through April	11-3010
11-9-49	Minimum Monthly Instream Flow (cfs) for Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period	11-3016
11-9-50	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 65°F Threshold, May through October	11-3018
11-9-51	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 65°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, May through October	11-3019
11-9-52	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-3041
11-9-53	Increase in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (acres and percent) in Yolo Bypass from Existing Biological Conditions to Alternative 9 by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs	11-3042
11-9-54	Difference (Percent Difference) in Percentage of Days or Months during February to June in Which Temperature Would Be below 45°F or above 75°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Feather River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-3044
11-9-55	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3054
11-9-56	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3055

11-9-57	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 64°F Threshold, May through September	11-3056
11-9-58	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 64°F in the Feather River at Gridley, May through September	11-3057
11-9-59	Differences between Baselines and Alternative 9 Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above the 61°F and 68°F Thresholds Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3069
11-9-60	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 61°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3070
11-9-61	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 9 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 68°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3071
11-9-62	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 cfs in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough and 31,000 cfs at Verona.....	11-3076
11-9-63	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second (cfs) in April and May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years.....	11-3077
11-9-64	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-3084
11-9-65	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-3085
11-9-66	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-3087
11-9-67	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-3087
11-9-68	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-3088
11-9-69	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-3088

11-9-70	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-3089
11-9-71	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-3089
11-9-72	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F in at Least One Day or Month	11-3090
11-9-73	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-3101
11-9-74	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-3103
11-9-75	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-3106
11-9-76	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-3106
11-9-77	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-3107
11-9-78	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-3107
11-9-79	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-3108
11-9-80	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-3108
11-9-81	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F and 77°F in at Least One Month.....	11-3109
11-9-82	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing	11-3121
11-9-83	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are	

	outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning.....	11-3123
11-9-84	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–August in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-3126
11-9-85	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning	11-3129
11-9-86	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold Range for the Initiation of Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning	11-3132
11-9-87	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning	11-3135
11-9-88	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing.....	11-3139
11-9-89	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-3141
11-9-90	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence	11-3146
11-9-91	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay at Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Range for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival	11-3150
11-9-92	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence.....	11-3153
11-9-93	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 9 in Relation to the No Action Alternative.....	11-3163
11-9-94	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 9 in Relation to Existing Conditions.....	11-3163

11-4A-1	Estimated Distances and Areas of Waterbodies Subject to Pile Driving Noise Levels Exceeding Interim Injury and Behavioral Thresholds, and Proposed Timing and Duration of Proposed Pile Driving Activities for Facilities or Structures in or Adjacent to Sensitive Rearing and Migration Corridors of the Covered Species (Alternative 4A)	11-3185
11-4A-2	Proportional Entrainment Index of Delta Smelt at SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT)	11-3193
11-4A-3	Differences in Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index between Alternative 4A (Scenarios H3_ELT and H4_ELT) and Existing Biological Conditions Scenarios, Averaged by Prior Water Year Type	11-3198
11-4A-4	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the South Delta Facilities under Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT) and Baseline Scenarios	11-3204
11-4A-5	Longfin Smelt Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT).....	11-3205
11-4A-6	Differences in Mean Monthly Delta Outflow (cfs) between NAA_ELT and Alternative 4A Scenarios H3_ELT and H4_ELT, by Water Year Type, for Winter-Spring (December–June)	11-3207
11-4A-7	Estimated Differences Between Alternative 4A (Scenarios H3_ELT and H4_ELT) and Baseline for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Midwater Trawl Based on the X2-Relative Abundance Regression of Kimmerer et al. (2009).....	11-3209
11-4A-8	Differences in Mean Monthly Delta Outflow (cfs) between Existing Conditions and Alternative 4A Scenarios H3_ELT and H4_ELT, by Water Year Type, for Winter-Spring (December–June)	11-3210
11-4A-9	Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT).....	11-3218
11-4A-10	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion (NDD) Intakes (Three Intakes for Alternative 4A).....	11-3219
11-4A-11	Difference and Percent Difference in Mean May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT).....	11-3220
11-4A-12	Maximum Water Temperature Thresholds for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-3221
11-4A-13	Number of Days per Month Required to Trigger Each Level of Concern for Water Temperature Exceedances in the Sacramento River for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS (NMFS pers. comm.) and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-3221

11-4A-14	Differences between H3_ELT and NAA_ELT in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3222
11-4A-15	Differences between H3_ELT and NAA_ELT in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3223
11-4A-16	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-3224
11-4A-17	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-3225
11-4A-18	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for H4_ELT Scenario	11-3225
11-4A-19	Differences between H4_ELT and NAA_ELT in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3226
11-4A-20	Differences between H4_ELT and NAA_ELT in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3227
11-4A-21	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 4A (Scenarios H3_ELT and H4_ELT)	11-3237
11-4A-22	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River during the Adult Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Migration Period for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT)	11-3238
11-4A-23	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT)	11-3249
11-4A-24	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion (NDD) Intakes for Alternative 4A (Three Intakes)	11-3250
11-4A-25	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Scenario H3_ELT and Two Baseline Scenarios	11-3251
11-4A-26	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-3252
11-4A-27	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-3253
11-4A-28	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-3254

11-4A-29	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-3254
11-4A-30	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3255
11-4A-31	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Alternative 4 (Scenario H3).....	11-3256
11-4A-32	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, September through January	11-3257
11-4A-33	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, September through January.....	11-3258
11-4A-34	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Baseline and H4_ELT Scenarios	11-3259
11-4A-35	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-3259
11-4A-36	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-3260
11-4A-37	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for H4 Scenarios	11-3261
11-4A-38	Differences between Baselines and H4_ELT Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, September through January	11-3262
11-4A-39	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT Scenario in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, September through April.....	11-3263
11-4A-40	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Alternative 4A (Model Scenario H3_ELT)	11-3269
11-4A-41	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 63°F Threshold, May through August.....	11-3270

11-4A-42	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, May through August	11-3271
11-4A-43	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Baseline and H4_ELT Scenarios	11-3272
11-4A-44	Differences between Baseline and H4 Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 63°F Threshold, May through August	11-3273
11-4A-45	Differences between Baseline and H4 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, May through August	11-3274
11-4A-46	Differences (Percentage Differences) in the Percentage of Years Exceeding NMFS Suggested Minimum Flows in the Feather River High-Flow Channel (at Thermalito) ...	11-3280
11-4A-47	Differences (Percentage Differences) in the Percentage of Years Exceeding NMFS Suggested Minimum Flows in the Feather River High-Flow Channel (at Thermalito) between Baseline and H4 Model Scenarios	11-3282
11-4A-48	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 4A (Scenarios H3_ELT and H4_ELT)	11-3284
11-4A-49	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento during the Adult Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Migration Period for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT)	11-3285
11-4A-50	Juvenile Fall-Run and Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT)	11-3294
11-4A-51	Fall-Run and Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Juvenile Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion (NDD) Intakes for Alternative 4A (Three Intakes)	11-3295
11-4A-52	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-3297
11-4A-53	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-3297
11-4A-54	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-3298
11-4A-55	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-3299
11-4A-56	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through February Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3299

11-4A-57	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 56°F Threshold, October through April	11-3301
11-4A-58	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at Gridley, October through April	11-3302
11-4A-59	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-3303
11-4A-60	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3304
11-4A-61	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 56°F Threshold, November through April.....	11-3305
11-4A-62	Differences between Baseline and H3 Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, November through April	11-3306
11-4A-63	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-3307
11-4A-64	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-3308
11-4A-65	Differences between Baseline and H4_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-3309
11-4A-66	Differences between Baselines and H4_ELT Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 56°F Threshold, October through April	11-3311
11-4A-67	Differences between Baselines and H4_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at Gridley, October through April	11-3313
11-4A-68	Differences between Baseline and H4_ELT Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 56°F Threshold, November through April.....	11-3314
11-4A-69	Differences between Baseline H4_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, November through April	11-3315

11-4A-70	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 4A (Scenarios H3_ELT and H4_ELT)	11-3345
11-4A-71	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and Mokelumne River during the Adult Fall-Run and Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Migration Period for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT).....	11-3346
11-4A-72	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 4A (Scenarios H3_ELT and H4_ELT).....	11-3347
11-4A-73	Juvenile Steelhead Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT)	11-3366
11-4A-74	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-3368
11-4A-75	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3369
11-4A-76	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, January through April.....	11-3370
11-4A-77	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, January through April	11-3371
11-4A-78	Differences between Baselines and H4_ELT Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, January through April.....	11-3374
11-4A-79	Differences between Baselines and H4_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at above Thermalito Afterbay, January through April	11-3375
11-4A-80	Minimum Monthly Instream Flow (cfs) for Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period	11-3384
11-4A-81	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 65°F Threshold, May through October	11-3386
11-4A-82	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 65°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, May through October	11-3387

11-4A-83	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and Mokelumne River during the Adult Steelhead Migration Period for Alternative 4A.....	11-3407
11-4A-84	Differences Between Model Scenarios in Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Per Capita Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT) (See BDCP Appendix 5.B, <i>Entrainment</i> , Section 5.B.6.1.7.1).....	11-3426
11-4A-85	Differences Between Model Scenarios in Adult Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (salvage density method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT) (See BDCP Appendix 5.B, <i>Entrainment</i> , Section 5.B.6.1.7.1).....	11-3426
11-4A-86	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-3429
11-4A-87	Change in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (HUs and percent) in Yolo Bypass from Existing Biological Conditions to Alternative 4A by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-3430
11-4A-88	Differences (and Percent Change) in Daily Average (December–June) Lower Sutter Bypass Inundation (acres)	11-3430
11-4A-89	Difference (Percent Difference) in Percent of Days or Months during February to June in Which Temperature Would Be below 45°F or above 75°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Feather River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-3432
11-4A-90	Difference (Percent Difference) in Percent of Days or Months during February to June in Which Temperature Would Be below 45°F or above 75°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Feather River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-3434
11-4A-91	Potential Underwater Noise Impact Areas in Each Year of Pile Driving Activities as a Percentage of the Total Amount of Subtidal Aquatic Habitat in the Delta (Alternative 4A)	11-3446
11-4A-92	Juvenile Green Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT).....	11-3448
11-4A-93	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3449
11-4A-94	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3450

11-4A-95	Differences between Baselines and H3_ELT in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 64°F Threshold, May through September	11-3451
11-4A-96	Differences between Baselines and H3_ELT in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 64°F in the Feather River at Gridley, May through September	11-3452
11-4A-97	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H1 and H4_ELT Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3453
11-4A-98	Differences between Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3454
11-4A-99	Differences between Baselines and H4_ELT Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 64°F Threshold, May through September	11-3455
11-4A-100	Differences between Baselines and H1 and H4_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 64°F in the Feather River at Gridley, May through September	11-3456
11-4A-101	Differences between Baselines and H3_ELT in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above the 61°F and 68°F Thresholds Are Within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3476
11-4A-102	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 61°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3477
11-4A-103	Differences between Baseline and H3_ELT Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 68°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3478
11-4A-104	Differences between Baselines and H4_ELT Scenario in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above the 61°F and 68°F Thresholds are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3479
11-4A-105	Differences between Baselines and H4_ELT in Total Degree-Days (°F-days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 61°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3480
11-4A-106	Differences between Baselines and H4_ELT in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 68°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3481

11-4A-107	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 cfs in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough and 31,000 cfs at Verona.....	11-3487
11-4A-108	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second (cfs) in April and May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years.....	11-3488
11-4A-109	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 4A (Scenario H3_ELT).....	11-3497
11-4A-110	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-3498
11-4A-111	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-3499
11-4A-112	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts in the Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay.....	11-3499
11-4A-113	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-3502
11-4A-114	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-3502
11-4A-115	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-3503
11-4A-116	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-3503
11-4A-117	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-3504
11-4A-118	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-3504
11-4A-119	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures Greater than 71.6°F in at Least One Day or Month.....	11-3505
11-4A-120	Percent Difference between Baselines and H4_ELT Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-3506
11-4A-121	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-3519

11-4A-122	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-3520
11-4A-123	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-3521
11-4A-124	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-3523
11-4A-125	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-3523
11-4A-126	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-3524
11-4A-127	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-3524
11-4A-128	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam.....	11-3525
11-4A-129	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-3525
11-4A-130	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F and 77°F in at Least One Month.....	11-3526
11-4A-131	Percent Difference between Baselines and H4_ELT Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-3527
11-4A-132	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay are outside the 59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing	11-3541
11-4A-133	Difference and Percent Difference between the Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in the Percentage of Months during April–June in which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay are Outside the 59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing.....	11-3542
11-4A-134	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning.....	11-3545

11-4A-135	Difference and Percent Difference between the Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning	11-3546
11-4A-136	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–August in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-3550
11-4A-137	Difference and Percent Difference between the Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-3551
11-4A-138	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Be outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning.....	11-3555
11-4A-139	Difference and Percent Difference between the Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Be outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning	11-3556
11-4A-140	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold for the Initiation of Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning.....	11-3560
11-4A-141	Difference and Percent Difference between the Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning	11-3561
11-4A-142	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Be outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning	11-3565
11-4A-143	Difference and Percent Difference between the Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Fall outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning.....	11-3566
11-4A-144	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing.....	11-3571
11-4A-145	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay	

	Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-3573
11-4A-146	Difference and Percent Difference between the Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing	11-3574
11-4A-147	Difference and Percent Difference between the Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-3575
11-4A-148	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence	11-3582
11-4A-149	Difference and Percent Difference between the Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence	11-3584
11-4A-150	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival.....	11-3589
11-4A-151	Difference and Percent Difference between the Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival	11-3590
11-4A-152	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence.....	11-3595
11-4A-153	Difference and Percent Difference between the Baseline Scenarios and H4_ELT in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence	11-3596
11-4A-154	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 4A in Relation to the No Action Alternative.....	11-3607
11-2D-1	Differences in Proportional Entrainment of Delta Smelt at SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities	11-3611
11-2D-2	Differences in Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares) between Alternative 2D (A2D_ELT) and Existing Conditions/NAA_ELT Scenarios, Averaged by Prior Water Year Type	11-3613

11-2D-3	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the South Delta Facilities under Alternative 2D and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-3616
11-2D-4	Longfin Smelt Entrainment Index (March–June) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios	11-3617
11-2D-5	Estimated Differences between Scenarios for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Otter Trawl	11-3618
11-2D-6	Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2D	11-3622
11-2D-7	Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion (NDD) Intakes (Five Intakes for Alternative 2D)	11-3623
11-2D-8	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-3624
11-2D-9	Maximum Water Temperature Thresholds for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-3625
11-2D-10	Number of Days per Month Required to Trigger Each Level of Concern for Water Temperature Exceedances in the Sacramento River for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS (NMFS pers. comm.) and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis	11-3625
11-2D-11	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-3625
11-2D-12	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3626
11-2D-13	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-3627
11-2D-14	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-3627
11-2D-15	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 2D	11-3635
11-2D-16	Percentage (%) of Water at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River, San Joaquin River, and Mokelumne River during the Adult Salmonid Migration Period for Alternative 2D.....	11-3636
11-2D-17	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2D.....	11-3642
11-2D-18	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-3643

11-2D-19	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-3644
11-2D-20	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-3645
11-2D-21	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-3646
11-2D-22	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-3646
11-2D-23	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3647
11-2D-24	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-3648
11-2D-25	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, September through January	11-3649
11-2D-26	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, September through January	11-3650
11-2D-27	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-3655
11-2D-28	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 63°F Threshold, May through August.....	11-3656
11-2D-29	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, May through August	11-3657
11-2D-30	Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion (NDD) Intakes (Five Intakes for Alternative 2D)	11-3662
11-2D-31	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 2D	11-3662
11-2D-32	Juvenile Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2D	11-3669

11-2D-33	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-3671
11-2D-34	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-3671
11-2D-35	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-3672
11-2D-36	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-3673
11-2D-37	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through February Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3674
11-2D-38	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 56°F Threshold, October through April.....	11-3675
11-2D-39	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at Gridley, October through April.....	11-3676
11-2D-40	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-3677
11-2D-41	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 56°F Threshold, November through April.....	11-3678
11-2D-42	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, November through April.....	11-3679
11-2D-43	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3680
11-2D-44	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-3680
11-2D-45	Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion (NDD) Intakes (Five Intakes for Alternative 2D)	11-3697
11-2D-46	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 2D.....	11-3698

11-2D-47	Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion (NDD) Intakes (Five Intakes for Alternative 2D)	11-3699
11-2D-48	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 2D	11-3699
11-2D-49	Juvenile Steelhead Annual Entrainment at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2D	11-3709
11-2D-50	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-3710
11-2D-51	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Alternative 2D Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3711
11-2D-52	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, January through April	11-3712
11-2D-53	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, January through April	11-3713
11-2D-54	Difference (cfs) and Percent Difference in Minimum Monthly Mean Flow in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period.....	11-3719
11-2D-55	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 65°F Threshold, May through October	11-3722
11-2D-56	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 65°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, May through October.....	11-3723
11-2D-57	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Indexa (per Capita Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2D.....	11-3745
11-2D-58	Adult Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Salvage Density Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 2D.....	11-3746
11-2D-59	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-3748
11-2D-60	Increase in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (HUs and Percent) in Yolo Bypass from Existing Biological Conditions to Alternative 2D by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs	11-3748

11-2D-61	Difference (Percent Difference) in Percentage of Days or Months during February to June in Which Temperature Would Be below 45°F or above 75°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Feather River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-3751
11-2D-62	Juvenile Green Sturgeon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 2D	11-3759
11-2D-63	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-3760
11-2D-64	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3761
11-2D-65	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 64°F Threshold, May through September	11-3762
11-2D-66	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 64°F in the Feather River at Gridley, May through September.....	11-3763
11-2D-67	Juvenile White Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Sacramento Valley Water Year Types and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios.....	11-3776
11-2D-68	Differences between Baselines and Alternative 2D in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above the 61°F and 68°F Thresholds Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3777
11-2D-69	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 61°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3778
11-2D-70	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 2D Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 68°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-3779
11-2D-71	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months between February and May in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 cfs in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough and 31,000 cfs at Verona	11-3784
11-2D-72	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second in April and May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years.....	11-3785
11-2D-73	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 2D.....	11-3790

11-2D-74	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-3791
11-2D-75	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-3792
11-2D-76	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-3795
11-2D-77	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-3795
11-2D-78	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-3796
11-2D-79	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-3796
11-2D-80	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-3797
11-2D-81	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-3797
11-2D-82	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F in at Least One Day or Month	11-3798
11-2D-83	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-3806
11-2D-84	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-3808
11-2D-85	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-3810
11-2D-86	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-3810
11-2D-87	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-3811

11-2D-88	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-3811
11-2D-89	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-3812
11-2D-90	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-3812
11-2D-91	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F and 77°F in at Least One Month.....	11-3814
11-2D-92	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay are outside the 59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing	11-3825
11-2D-93	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning.....	11-3828
11-2D-94	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–August in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-3830
11-2D-95	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Be outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning.....	11-3834
11-2D-96	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold for the Initiation of Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning.....	11-3837
11-2D-97	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Be outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning	11-3840
11-2D-98	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing.....	11-3844

11-2D-99	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-3846
11-2D-100	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence	11-3852
11-2D-101	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival.....	11-3857
11-2D-102	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence.....	11-3861
11-2D-103	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 2D in Relation to the No Action Alternative	11-3868
11-2D-104	Difference in Exceedance Quotients for Mercury in Fish Tissue for Alternatives 2D, 4A, and 5A Compared to No Action (ELT).....	11-3870
11-2D-105	Annual Average Selenium Concentrations in Whole-Body Green Sturgeon.....	11-3871
11-2D-106	Comparison of Annual Average Selenium Concentrations in Whole-Body Green Sturgeon to Toxicity Thresholds for Existing Conditions, the No Action Alternative (ELT), and Alternatives 2D, 4A, and 5A ELT	11-3871
11-5A-1	Estimated Distances and Areas of Waterbodies Subject to Pile Driving Noise Levels Exceeding Interim Injury and Behavioral Thresholds, and Proposed Timing and Duration of Proposed Pile Driving Activities for Facilities or Structures in or Adjacent to Sensitive Rearing and Migration Corridors of the Covered Species (Alternative 5A)	11-3874
11-5A-2	Differences in Proportional Entrainment of Delta Smelt at SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities	11-3876
11-5A-3	Differences in Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares) between Alternative 5A and Existing Conditions/NAA_ELT Scenarios, Averaged by Prior Water Year Type	11-3878
11-5A-4	Percentage of Particles (and Difference) Representing Longfin Smelt Larvae Entrained by the South Delta Facilities under Alternative 5A and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-3881
11-5A-5	Longfin Smelt Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5A.....	11-3882
11-5A-6	Estimated Differences between Scenarios for Longfin Smelt Relative Abundance in the Fall Midwater Trawl or Bay Otter Trawl.....	11-3883

11-5A-7	Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5A.....	11-3887
11-5A-8	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-3888
11-5A-9	Maximum Water Temperature Thresholds for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis.....	11-3889
11-5A-10	Number of Days per Month when Three Different Water Temperature Exceedances Trigger Different Levels of Concern for Covered Salmonids and Sturgeon Provided by NMFS and Used in the BDCP Effects Analysis	11-3889
11-5A-11	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-3890
11-5A-12	Differences and Percent Differences between Alternative 5A and Baseline Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-3891
11-5A-13	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-3892
11-5A-14	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Winter-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-3892
11-5A-15	Chinook Salmon Predation Loss at the Proposed North Delta Diversion Intake (One Intake for Alternative 5A)	11-3899
11-5A-16	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Winter-Run Chinook Salmon under Alternative 5A and Baseline Scenarios; and Differences between Alternative 5A and Baseline Scenarios.....	11-3899
11-5A-17	Percentage (%) of Flows and Differences at Collinsville that Originated in the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River during the Adult Salmonid Period for Alternative 5A and Baseline Scenarios, and Percent Differences between Alternative 5A and Baseline Scenarios	11-3900
11-5A-18	Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5A.....	11-3906
11-5A-19	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Shasta Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-3906
11-5A-20	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April.....	11-3907

11-5A-21	Differences between Alternative 5A and Baseline Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Sacramento River at Red Bluff, October through April	11-3908
11-5A-22	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-3909
11-5A-23	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Spring-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-3909
11-5A-24	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in Clear Creek below Whiskeytown Reservoir during the September through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3910
11-5A-25	Difference and Percent Difference in September Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-3911
11-5A-26	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, September through January	11-3912
11-5A-27	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, September through January	11-3913
11-5A-28	Difference and Percent Difference in May Water Storage Volume (thousand acre-feet) in Oroville Reservoir for Model Scenarios	11-3919
11-5A-29	Differences between Alternative 5A and Baseline Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 63°F Threshold, May through August	11-3919
11-5A-30	Differences between Alternative 5A and Baseline Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, May through August	11-3920
11-5A-31	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Spring-Run Chinook Salmon under Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios, by Year Type	11-3925
11-5A-32	Juvenile Fall-Run and Late Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5A	11-3932
11-5A-33	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model)	11-3933
11-5A-34	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-3934

11-5A-35	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Sacramento River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-3934
11-5A-36	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT).....	11-3935
11-5A-37	Differences Alternative 5A and Baseline Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 56°F Threshold, October through April.....	11-3937
11-5A-38	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River at Gridley, October through April.....	11-3938
11-5A-39	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the Feather River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-3939
11-5A-40	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 56°F Threshold, November through April.....	11-3940
11-5A-41	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, November through April.....	11-3941
11-5A-42	Difference and Percent Difference in Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow in the American River at Nimbus Dam during the October through January Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3942
11-5A-43	Difference and Percent Difference in Percent Mortality of Fall-Run Chinook Salmon Eggs in the American River (Egg Mortality Model).....	11-3942
11-5A-44	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Fall-Run Chinook Salmon under Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios	11-3961
11-5A-45	Through-Delta Survival (%) of Emigrating Juvenile Late Fall–Run Chinook Salmon under Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios.....	11-3962
11-5A-46	Juvenile Steelhead Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5A	11-3972
11-5A-47	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Years with “Good” Conditions for Steelhead Habitat Metrics in the Upper Sacramento River (from SacEFT)	11-3973
11-5A-48	Comparisons of Greatest Monthly Reduction (Percent Change) in Instream Flow under Alternative 5A Model Scenarios in Clear Creek during the January–April Steelhead Spawning and Egg Incubation Period	11-3974
11-5A-49	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water	

	Temperatures in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 56°F Threshold, January through April	11-3975
11-5A-50	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 56°F in the Feather River above Thermalito Afterbay, January through April	11-3976
11-5A-51	Difference (cfs) and Percent Difference in Minimum Monthly Mean Flow in Clear Creek during the Year-Round Juvenile Steelhead Rearing Period.....	11-3983
11-5A-52	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge Exceed the 65°F Threshold, May through October	11-3984
11-5A-53	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 65°F in the American River at the Watt Avenue Bridge, May through October.....	11-3985
11-5A-54	Juvenile Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (per Capita Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5A.....	11-4008
11-5A-55	Adult Sacramento Splittail Entrainment Index (Salvage Density Method) at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities and Differences between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5A.....	11-4008
11-5A-56	Differences in Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-4010
11-5A-57	Increase in Splittail Weighted Habitat Area (HUs and Percent) in Yolo Bypass from Existing Biological Conditions to Alternative 5A by Water Year Type from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs.....	11-4011
11-5A-58	Difference (Percent Difference) in Percentage of Days or Months during February to June in Which Temperature Would Be below 45°F or above 75°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City and Feather River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-4013
11-5A-59	Juvenile Green Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities—Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5	11-4020
11-5A-60	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in the Number of Years in Which Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F Are within Each Level of Concern, Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September.....	11-4021
11-5A-61	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 63°F in the Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, May through September	11-4022

11-5A-62	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Percentage of Months during the 82-Year CALSIM Modeling Period during Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River at Gridley Exceed the 64°F Threshold, May through September	11-4023
11-5A-63	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Total Degree-Months (°F-Months) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 64°F in the Feather River at Gridley, May through September.....	11-4024
11-5A-64	Juvenile White Sturgeon Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Sacramento Valley Water Year-Types and Differences (Absolute and Percentage) between Model Scenarios for Alternative 5A	11-4037
11-5A-65	Differences and Percent Differences between Alternative 5A and Baseline Scenarios in the Number of Years by Level of Concern that are Based on Water Temperature Exceedances above the 61°F and 68°F Thresholds in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June	11-4038
11-5A-66	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 61°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-4039
11-5A-67	Differences between Baseline and Alternative 5A Scenarios in Total Degree-Days (°F-Days) by Month and Water Year Type for Water Temperature Exceedances above 68°F in the Sacramento River at Hamilton City, March through June.....	11-4040
11-5A-68	Difference and Percent Difference in Number of Months between February and May in Which Flow Rates Exceed 17,700 and 5,300 cfs in the Sacramento River at Wilkins Slough and 31,000 cfs at Verona	11-4045
11-5A-69	Difference and Percent Difference in Percentage of Months in Which Average Delta Outflow is Predicted to Exceed 15,000, 20,000, and 25,000 Cubic Feet per Second in April and May of Wet and Above-Normal Water Years.....	11-4046
11-5A-70	Lamprey Annual Entrainment Index at the SWP and CVP Salvage Facilities for Alternative 5	11-4051
11-5A-71	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of Pacific Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-4053
11-5A-72	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-4054
11-5A-73	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-4056
11-5A-74	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-4057
11-5A-75	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-4057

11-5A-76	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-4058
11-5A-77	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-4058
11-5A-78	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-4059
11-5A-79	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in Pacific Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures in the Feather River Greater than 71.6°F in at Least One Day or Month	11-4060
11-5A-80	Differences between Model Scenarios in Dewatering Risk of River Lamprey Redd Cohorts	11-4068
11-5A-81	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Egg Cohort Temperature Exposure	11-4069
11-5A-82	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Keswick.....	11-4071
11-5A-83	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Sacramento River at Red Bluff.....	11-4071
11-5A-84	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Trinity River at Lewiston	11-4072
11-5A-85	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, Feather River at Thermalito Afterbay	11-4072
11-5A-86	Percent Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at Nimbus Dam	11-4073
11-5A-87	Relative Difference between Model Scenarios in the Number of River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Month-over-Month Flow Reductions, American River at the Confluence with the Sacramento River	11-4073
11-5A-88	Differences (Percent Differences) between Model Scenarios in River Lamprey Ammocoete Cohorts Exposed to Temperatures Greater than 71.6°F and 77°F in at Least One Month	11-4075
11-5A-89	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay are outside the 59°F to 68°F Water Temperature Range for Striped Bass Spawning, Embryo Incubation, and Initial Rearing	11-4086

11-5A-90	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 60°F to 70°F Water Temperature Range for American Shad Adult Migration and Spawning.....	11-4089
11-58-91	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–August in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay fall below the 68°F Water Temperature Threshold for Threadfin Shad Spawning	11-4092
11-5A-92	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Be outside the 59°F to 75°F Water Temperature Range for Largemouth Bass Spawning.....	11-4095
11-5A-93	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during March–June in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Fall below the 60.8°F Water Temperature Threshold for the Initiation of Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Spawning.....	11-4098
11-5A-94	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–May in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Would Be outside the 59°F to 64°F Water Temperature Range for Hardhead Spawning	11-4101
11-5A-95	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months during April–November in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 88°F Water Temperature Threshold for Juvenile Largemouth Bass Rearing.....	11-4106
11-5A-96	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Adult Largemouth Bass Survival	11-4107
11-5A-97	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed 72°F and 75°F Water Temperature Thresholds for Sacramento Tule Perch Occurrence	11-4113
11-5A-98	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Exceed the 86°F Water Temperature Threshold for Sacramento-San Joaquin Roach Survival.....	11-4117
11-5A-99	Difference and Percent Difference in the Percentage of Months Year-Round in Which Water Temperatures in the Feather River below Thermalito Afterbay Are outside the 65°F to 82.4°F Water Temperature Range for Juvenile and Adult Hardhead Occurrence.....	11-4121
11-5A-100	Mean Delta Outflow (thousand acre-feet) for Alternative 5A in Relation to the No Action Alternative.....	11-4129

11-23	Projects Considered for Cumulative Effects Analysis on Covered Fish Species.....	11-4135
11-24	Effects on Fish from the Programs, Projects, and Policies Considered for Cumulative Analysis.....	11-4146
12-ES-1	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Natural Communities and Cultivated Lands in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area (acres)	12-9
12-ES-2	Natural Communities Protection and Restoration Included in the BDCP	12-11
12-ES-2A	Environmental Commitments under Alternatives 2D, 4A, and 5A.....	12-12
12-ES-3	Fill of Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States from Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities (CM1) (acres)	12-13
12-ES-4	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Invertebrate Habitat in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area (acres)	12-16
12-ES-5	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Amphibian and Reptile Habitat in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area (acres)	12-19
12-ES-6	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Bird Habitat in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area (acres)	12-22
12-ES-7	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Mammal Habitat in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area (acres)	12-25
12-ES-8	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Vernal Pool Plant Species in the Terrestrial Biological Resources Study Area (acres and occurrences)	12-29
12-ES-9	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Alkali Seasonal Wetland Plant Species in the Terrestrial Biological Study Area (acres and occurrences)	12-30
12-ES-10	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Grassland Plant Species in the Terrestrial Biological Study Area (acres and occurrences).....	12-31
12-ES-11	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Valley/Foothill Riparian Plant Species in the Terrestrial Biological Study Area (acres and occurrences)	12-32
12-ES-12	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Tidal Wetland Plant Species in the Terrestrial Biological Study Area (acres and occurrences).....	12-33
12-ES-13	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Inland Dune Plant Species in the Terrestrial Biological Study Area (occurrences)	12-34
12-ES-14	Direct Effects of Alternatives on Nontidal Wetland Plant Species in the Terrestrial Biological Study Area (occurrences)	12-35
12-1	Area (in acres) of Natural Community Types in the Terrestrial Biology Study Area	12-41
12-2	Covered Special-Status Species Supported by the Natural Communities, Cultivated Lands and Developed Lands of the Study Area	12-58
12-3	Noncovered Special-Status Species Supported by the Natural Communities, Cultivated Lands and Developed Lands of the Study Area.....	12-62
12-4	Designated Critical Habitat within the Study Area for Wildlife and Plant Species.....	12-69

12-5	Bat Species Identified from Acoustic Monitoring at 20 Locations in 10 Habitat Types.....	12-93
12-6	Wetlands and Other Waters of the United States	12-154
12-7	Programs, Projects, and Policies Included In No Action Alternative for the Terrestrial Biological Resources Analysis.....	12-162
12-1A-1	Changes in Tidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-171
12-1A-2	Changes in Tidal Brackish Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-180
12-1A-3	Changes in Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-185
12-1A-4	Changes in Valley/Foothill Riparian Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-194
12-1A-5	Changes in Nontidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-203
12-1A-6	Changes in Nontidal Freshwater Perennial Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1A (acres).....	12-211
12-1A-7	Changes in Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-219
12-1A-8	Changes in Vernal Pool Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-226
12-1A-9	Changes in Managed Wetland Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-234
12-1A-10	Changes in Other Natural Seasonal Wetland Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-243
12-1A-11	Changes in Grassland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1A (acres).....	12-247
12-1A-12	Changes in Vernal Pool Crustacean Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-258
12-1A-13	Estimated Effects on Wetted Vernal Pool Crustacean Habitat under Alternative 1A (acres)	12-261
12-1A-14	Changes in Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-267
12-1A-15	Changes in Other Nonlisted Vernal Pool Invertebrate Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-276
12-1A-16	Estimated Effects on Wetted Nonlisted Vernal Pool Invertebrate Habitat under Alternative 1A (acres)	12-278
12-1A-17	Changes in Sacramento and Antioch Dunes Anthicid Beetle Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-285

12-1A-18	Changes in Delta Green Ground Beetle Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-288
12-1A-19	Changes in Callippe Silverspot Butterfly Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-292
12-1A-20	Changes in California Red-Legged Frog Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-295
12-1A-21	Changes in California Tiger Salamander Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-303
12-1A-22	Changes in Giant Garter Snake Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A	12-313
12-1A-23	Changes in Western Pond Turtle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A	12-327
12-1A-24	Changes in Special-Status Reptile Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-338
12-1A-25	Changes in California Black Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-344
12-1A-26	Changes in California Clapper Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-358
12-1A-27	Changes in California Least Tern Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-369
12-1A-28	Changes in Greater Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-381
12-1A-29	Total Amount of Permanently Affected Greater Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat	12-383
12-1A-30	Greater Sandhill Crane Habitat Affected By General Construction and Pile Driving Noise Under Alternative 1A (acres)	12-394
12-1A-31	Changes in Lesser Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-400
12-1A-32	Total Amount of Lesser Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat Affected by Habitat Value	12-403
12-1A-33	Changes in Least Bell's Vireo and Yellow Warbler Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-419
12-1A-34	Changes in Suisun Song Sparrow Saltmarsh Common Yellowthroat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-433
12-1A-35	Changes in Swainson's Hawk Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-445
12-1A-36	Acres of Impacted Swainson's Hawk Foraging Habitat by Value Classes	12-446
12-1A-37	Changes in Tricolored Blackbird Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-461
12-1A-38	Tricolored Blackbird Foraging Habitat Value Classes	12-468
12-1A-39	Changes in Western Burrowing Owl Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-479

12-1A-40	Changes in Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-491
12-1A-41	Changes in White-Tailed Kite Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-505
12-1A-42	Changes in Yellow-Breasted Chat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-522
12-1A-43	Changes in Cooper’s Hawk and Osprey Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-535
12-1A-44	Changes in Golden Eagle and Ferruginous Hawk Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-548
12-1A-45	Changes in Cormorant, Heron and Egret Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-558
12-1A-46	Changes in Short-Eared Owl and Northern Harrier Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-572
12-1A-47	Changes in Mountain Plover Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-586
12-1A-48	Changes in Black Tern Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres).....	12-595
12-1A-49	Changes in California Horned Lark and Grasshopper Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres).....	12-604
12-1A-50	Changes in Least Bittern and White-Faced Ibis Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-615
12-1A-51	Changes in Loggerhead Shrike Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-626
12-1A-52	Changes in Modesto Song Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres).....	12-639
12-1A-53	Changes in Bank Swallow Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-651
12-1A-54	Changes in Yellow-Headed Blackbird Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres).....	12-655
12-1A-55	Changes in Riparian Brush Rabbit Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-671
12-1A-56	Changes in Riparian Woodrat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-681
12-1A-57	Changes in Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-690
12-1A-58	Changes in Suisun Shrew Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-700
12-1A-59	Changes in San Joaquin Kit Fox Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-707

12-1A-60	Changes in San Joaquin Pocket Mouse Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A (acres)	12-715
12-1A-61	Changes in Special-Status Bat Roosting and Foraging Habitat Associated with Alternative 1A	12-723
12-1A-62	Summary of Impacts on Vernal Pool Plants under Alternative 1A	12-736
12-1A-63	Summary of Impacts on Seasonal Alkali Wetland Plants under Alternative 1A	12-741
12-1A-64	Summary of Impacts on Grassland Plants under Alternative 1A	12-747
12-1A-65	Summary of Impacts on Valley/Foothill Riparian Plants under Alternative 1A	12-751
12-1A-66	Summary of Impacts on Tidal Wetland Plants under Alternative 1A	12-756
12-1A-67	Summary of Impacts on Inland Dune Plants under Alternative 1A	12-762
12-1A-68	Summary of Impacts on Nontidal Wetland Plants under Alternative 1A	12-763
12-1A-69	Estimated Fill of Waters of the United States Associated with the Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities under Alternative 1A (acres)	12-766
12-1A-70	Summary of Temporary Disturbance in Natural Communities under Alternative 1A	12-792
12-1B-1	Changes in Tidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-801
12-1B-2	Changes in Tidal Brackish Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-810
12-1B-3	Changes in Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-815
12-1B-4	Changes in Valley/Foothill Riparian Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-824
12-1B-5	Changes in Nontidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-833
12-1B-6	Changes in Nontidal Freshwater Perennial Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-841
12-1B-7	Changes in Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-849
12-1B-8	Changes in Vernal Pool Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-856
12-1B-9	Changes in Managed Wetland Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-864
12-1B-10	Changes in Other Natural Seasonal Wetland Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-873
12-1B-11	Changes in Grassland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-877
12-1B-12	Changes in Vernal Pool Crustacean Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-888

12-1B-13	Estimated Effects on Wetted Vernal Pool Crustacean Habitat under Alternative 1B (acres)	12-891
12-1B-14	Changes in Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-897
12-1B-15	Changes in Nonlisted Vernal Pool Invertebrate Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-907
12-1B-16	Estimated Effects on Wetted Vernal Pool Crustacean Habitat under Alternative 1B (acres)	12-909
12-1B-17	Changes in Sacramento Anthicid Beetle and Antioch Dunes Anthicid Beetle Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-916
12-1B-18	Changes in Delta Green Ground Beetle Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-919
12-1B-19	Changes in Callippe Silverspot Butterfly Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-923
12-1B-20	Changes in California Red-Legged Frog Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-926
12-1B-21	Changes in California Tiger Salamander Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-934
12-1B-22	Changes in Giant Garter Snake Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B	12-944
12-1B-23	Changes in Western Pond Turtle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B	12-960
12-1B-24	Changes in Special-Status Reptile Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-971
12-1B-25	Changes in California Black Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-977
12-1B-26	Changes to California Clapper Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-991
12-1B-27	Changes in California Least Tern Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1002
12-1B-28	Changes in Greater Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1014
12-1B-29	Total Amount of Affected Greater Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat	12-1016
12-1B-30	Greater Sandhill Crane Habitat Affected by General Construction and Pile Driving Noise Under Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1028
12-1B-31	Changes in Lesser Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1035
12-1B-32	Total Amount of Affected Lesser Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat	12-1038
12-1B-33	Changes in Least Bell's Vireo and Yellow Warbler Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1054

12-1B-34	Changes in Suisun Song Sparrow Saltmarsh Common Yellowthroat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1068
12-1B-35	Changes in Swainson's Hawk Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1081
12-1B-36	Acres of Impacted Swainson's Hawk Foraging Habitat by Value Classes	12-1082
12-1B-37	Changes to Tricolored Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1097
12-1B-38	Tricolored Blackbird Foraging Habitat Value Classes	12-1103
12-1B-39	Changes in Western Burrowing Owl Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1115
12-1B-40	Changes in Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1128
12-1B-41	Changes in White-Tailed Kite Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1142
12-1B-42	Changes in Yellow-Breasted Chat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1159
12-1B-43	Changes in Cooper's Hawk and Osprey Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1173
12-1B-44	Changes in Golden Eagle and Ferruginous Hawk Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1185
12-1B-45	Changes in Cormorant, Heron and Egret Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1195
12-1B-46	Changes in Short-Eared Owl and Northern Harrier Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1209
12-1B-47	Changes in Mountain Plover Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1223
12-1B-48	Changes in Black Tern Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1232
12-1B-49	Changes in California Horned Lark and Grasshopper Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1241
12-1B-50	Changes in Least Bittern and White-Faced Ibis Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1252
12-1B-51	Changes in Loggerhead Shrike Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1263
12-1B-52	Changes in Modesto Song Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1276
12-1B-53	Changes in Bank Swallow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1288
12-1B-54	Changes in Yellow-Headed Blackbird Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B	12-1292

12-1B-55	Changes in Riparian Brush Rabbit Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1308
12-1B-56	Changes in Riparian Woodrat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1318
12-1B-57	Changes in Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1327
12-1B-58	Changes in Suisun Shrew Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres).....	12-1337
12-1B-59	Changes in San Joaquin Kit Fox Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1344
12-1B-60	Changes in San Joaquin Pocket Mouse Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1352
12-1B-61	Changes in Special-Status Bat Roosting and Foraging Habitat Associated with Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1359
12-1B-62	Summary of Impacts on Vernal Pool Plants under Alternative 1B	12-1372
12-1B-63	Summary of Impacts on Alkali Seasonal Wetland Plants under Alternative 1B	12-1377
12-1B-64	Summary of Impacts on Grassland Plants under Alternative 1B.....	12-1383
12-1B-65	Summary of Impacts on Valley/Foothill Riparian Plants under Alternative 1B.....	12-1387
12-1B-66	Summary of Impacts on Tidal Wetland Plants under Alternative 1B	12-1391
12-1B-67	Summary of Impacts on Inland Dune Plants under Alternative 1B	12-1398
12-1B-68	Summary of Impacts on Nontidal Wetland Plants under Alternative 1B	12-1399
12-1B-69	Estimated Fill of Waters of the United States Associated with the Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities under Alternative 1B (acres)	12-1402
12-1B-70	Summary of Temporary Disturbance in Natural Communities under Alternative 1B....	12-1428
12-1C-1	Changes in Tidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1437
12-1C-2	Changes in Tidal Brackish Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1446
12-1C-3	Changes in Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1451
12-1C-4	Changes in Valley/Foothill Riparian Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1460
12-1C-5	Changes in Nontidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1469
12-1C-6	Changes in Nontidal Freshwater Perennial Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1C (acres).....	12-1477
12-1C-7	Changes in Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1485

12-1C-8	Changes in Vernal Pool Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1493
12-1C-9	Changes in Managed Wetland Associated with Alternative 1C (acres).....	12-1500
12-1C-10	Changes in Other Natural Seasonal Wetland Associated with Alternative 1C (acres) ...	12-1509
12-1C-11	Changes in Grassland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1514
12-1C-12	Changes in Vernal Pool Crustacean Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1525
12-1C-13	Estimated Effects on Wetted Vernal Pool Crustacean Habitat under Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1528
12-1C-14	Changes in Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1535
12-1C-15	Changes in Nonlisted Vernal Pool Invertebrate Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1544
12-1C-16	Estimated Effects on Wetted Vernal Pools Associated with Alternative 1C (acres).....	12-1547
12-1C-17	Changes in Sacramento and Antioch Dunes Anthicid Beetle Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1554
12-1C-18	Changes in Delta Green Ground Beetle Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1557
12-1C-19	Changes in Callippe Silverspot Butterfly Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1561
12-1C-20	Changes in California Red-Legged Frog Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1564
12-1C-21	Changes in California Tiger Salamander Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1573
12-1C-22	Changes in Giant Garter Snake Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C	12-1583
12-1C-23	Changes in Western Pond Turtle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C	12-1597
12-1C-24	Changes in Special-Status Reptile Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1608
12-1C-25	Changes in California Black Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1615
12-1C-26	Changes to California Clapper Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1629
12-1C-27	Changes in California Least Tern Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1640
12-1C-28	Changes in Greater Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1652
12-1C-29	Total Amount of Affected Greater Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat	12-1654

12-1C-30	Greater Sandhill Crane Habitat Affected by General Construction and Pile Driving Noise Under Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1664
12-1C-31	Changes in Lesser Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1670
12-1C-32	Total Amount of Affected Lesser Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat	12-1672
12-1C-33	Changes in Least Bell's Vireo and Yellow Warbler Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1688
12-1C-34	Changes in Suisun Song Sparrow Saltmarsh Common Yellowthroat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1702
12-1C-35	Changes in Swainson's Hawk Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1715
12-1C-36	Acres of Impacted Swainson's Hawk Foraging Habitat by Value Classes	12-1716
12-1C-37	Changes in Tricolored Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1731
12-1C-38	Tricolored Blackbird Foraging Habitat Value Classes	12-1737
12-1C-39	Changes in Western Burrowing Owl Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1749
12-1C-40	Changes in Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1762
12-1C-41	Changes in White-Tailed Kite Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1776
12-1C-42	Changes in Yellow-Breasted Chat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1793
12-1C-43	Changes in Cooper's Hawk and Osprey Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1806
12-1C-44	Changes in Golden Eagle and Ferruginous Hawk Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1819
12-1C-45	Changes in Cormorant, Heron and Egret Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1829
12-1C-46	Changes in Short-Eared Owl and Northern Harrier Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1843
12-1C-47	Changes in Mountain Plover Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1857
12-1C-48	Changes in Black Tern Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1867
12-1C-49	Changes in California Horned Lark and Grasshopper Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1876
12-1C-50	Changes in Least Bittern and White-Faced Ibis Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1886

12-1C-51	Changes in Loggerhead Shrike Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1897
12-1C-52	Changes in Modesto Song Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1910
12-1C-53	Changes in Bank Swallow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1922
12-1C-54	Changes in Yellow-Headed Blackbird Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C	12-1926
12-1C-55	Changes in Riparian Brush Rabbit Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1941
12-1C-56	Changes in Riparian Woodrat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1951
12-1C-57	Changes in Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1960
12-1C-58	Changes in Suisun Shrew Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1970
12-1C-59	Changes in San Joaquin Kit Fox Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1977
12-1C-60	Changes in San Joaquin Pocket Mouse Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C (acres)	12-1985
12-1C-61	Changes in Special-Status Bat Roosting and Foraging Habitat Associated with Alternative 1C	12-1993
12-1C-62	Summary of Impacts on Vernal Pool Plants under Alternative 1C	12-2006
12-1C-63	Summary of Impacts on Seasonal Alkali Wetland Plants under Alternative 1C	12-2013
12-1C-64	Summary of Impacts on Grassland Plants under Alternative 1C	12-2018
12-1C-65	Summary of Impacts on Valley/Foothill Riparian Plants under Alternative 1C	12-2022
12-1C-66	Summary of Impacts on Tidal Wetland Plants under Alternative 1C	12-2027
12-1C-67	Summary of Impacts on Inland Dune Plants under Alternative 1C	12-2033
12-1C-68	Summary of Impacts on Nontidal Wetland Plants under Alternative 1C	12-2034
12-1C-69	Estimated Fill of Waters of the United States Associated with the Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities under Alternative 1C (acres)	12-2037
12-1C-70	Summary of Temporary Disturbance in Natural Communities under Alternative 1C	12-2063
12-2A-1	Alternative 2A Near-Term Effects of Water Conveyance Facilities (CM1) on Natural Communities (acres)	12-2073
12-2A-2	Alternative 2A Effects on Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Relative to Alternative 1A (acres)	12-2074
12-2A-3	Alternative 2A Late Long-term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM2, CM4, CM5) that Affect Most Natural Communities (acres)	12-2075

12-2A-4	Alternative 2A Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM7, CM8, CM10, CM18) that Affect Only Grassland and Cultivated Lands (acres)	12-2076
12-2B-1	Alternative 2B Near-Term Effects of Water Conveyance Facilities (CM1) on Natural Communities (acres).....	12-2079
12-2B-2	Alternative 2B Effects on Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Relative to Alternative 1B (acres)	12-2080
12-2B-3	Alternative 2B Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM2, CM4, CM5) that Affect Most Natural Communities (acres)	12-2082
12-2B-4	Alternative 2B Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM7, CM8, CM10, CM18) that Affect Only Grassland and Cultivated Lands (acres)	12-2083
12-2C-1	Alternative 2C Near-Term Effects of Water Conveyance Facilities (CM1) on Natural Communities (acres).....	12-2087
12-2C-2	Alternative 2C Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM2, CM4, CM5) that Affect Most Natural Communities (acres)	12-2089
12-2C-3	Alternative 2C Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM7, CM8, CM10, CM18) that Affect Only Grassland and Cultivated Lands (acres)	12-2090
12-3-1	Alternative 3 Near-Term Effects of Water Conveyance Facilities (CM1) on Natural Communities (acres).....	12-2093
12-3-2	Alternative 3 Effects on Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Relative to Alternative 1A (acres)	12-2094
12-3-3	Alternative 3 Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM2, CM4, CM5) that Affect Most Natural Communities (acres)	12-2095
12-3-4	Alternative 3 Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM7, CM8, CM10, CM18) that Affect Only Grassland and Cultivated Land (acres)	12-2096
12-4-1	Changes in Tidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2100
12-4-2	Changes in Tidal Brackish Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2109
12-4-3	Changes in Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2114
12-4-4	Changes in Valley/Foothill Riparian Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2123
12-4-5	Changes in Nontidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2132
12-4-6	Changes in Nontidal Freshwater Perennial Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2140
12-4-7	Changes in Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2148

12-4-8	Changes in Vernal Pool Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2156
12-4-9	Changes in Managed Wetland Associated with Alternative 4 (acres).....	12-2164
12-4-10	Changes in Other Natural Seasonal Wetland Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2173
12-4-11	Changes in Grassland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2177
12-4-12	Changes in Vernal Pool Crustacean Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2188
12-4-13	Estimated Effects on Wetted Vernal Pool Crustacean Habitat under Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2191
12-4-14	Changes in Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2198
12-4-15	Changes in Nonlisted Vernal Pool Invertebrate Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2207
12-4-16	Estimated Effects on Wetted Nonlisted Vernal Pool Species Habitat under Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2210
12-4-17	Changes in Sacramento and Antioch Dunes Anthicid Beetles' Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2217
12-4-18	Changes in Delta Green Ground Beetle Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2220
12-4-19	Changes in Callippe Silverspot Butterfly Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2224
12-4-20	Changes in California Red-Legged Frog Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2227
12-4-21	Changes in California Tiger Salamander Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2236
12-4-22	Changes in Giant Garter Snake Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4	12-2246
12-4-23	Changes in Western Pond Turtle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4	12-2260
12-4-24	Changes in Special-Status Reptile Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2271
12-4-25	Changes in California Black Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2278
12-4-26	Changes in California Clapper Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2292
12-4-27	Changes in California Least Tern Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2304
12-4-28	Changes in Greater Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2316
12-4-29	Value of Greater Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat affected by Alternative 4.....	12-2318

12-4-30	Greater Sandhill Crane Habitat Affected by General Construction and Pile Driving Noise Under Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2329
12-4-31	Changes in Lesser Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2335
12-4-32	Value of Lesser Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat Affected By Alternative 4.....	12-2337
12-4-33	Changes in Least Bell's Vireo and Yellow Warbler Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2354
12-4-34	Changes in Suisun Song Sparrow Saltmarsh Common Yellowthroat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2368
12-4-35	Changes in Swainson's Hawk Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2381
12-4-36	Acres of Impacted Foraging Habitat by Value Classes for Swainson's Hawk	12-2382
12-4-37	Changes to Tricolored Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2397
12-4-38	Tricolored Blackbird Foraging Habitat Value Classes	12-2402
12-4-39	Changes in Western Burrowing Owl Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2415
12-4-40	Changes in Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2428
12-4-41	Changes in White-Tailed Kite Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2442
12-4-42	Changes in Yellow-Breasted Chat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2459
12-4-43	Changes in Cooper's Hawk and Osprey Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2473
12-4-44	Changes in Golden Eagle and Ferruginous Hawk Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2486
12-4-45	Changes in Cormorant, Heron and Egret Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2496
12-4-46	Changes in Short-Eared Owl and Northern Harrier Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2510
12-4-47	Changes in Mountain Plover Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2524
12-4-48	Changes in Black Tern Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres).....	12-2534
12-4-49	Changes in California Horned Lark and Grasshopper Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2543
12-4-50	Changes in Least Bittern and White-Faced Ibis Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2554

12-4-51	Changes in Loggerhead Shrike Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2565
12-4-52	Changes in Modesto Song Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2578
12-4-53	Changes in Bank Swallow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2590
12-4-54	Changes in Yellow-Headed Blackbird Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4	12-2595
12-4-55	Changes in Riparian Brush Rabbit Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2610
12-4-56	Changes in Riparian Woodrat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2620
12-4-57	Changes in Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2629
12-4-58	Changes in Suisun Shrew Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2639
12-4-59	Changes in San Joaquin Kit Fox Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2646
12-4-60	Changes in San Joaquin Pocket Mouse Habitat Associated with Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2654
12-4-61	Changes in Special-Status Bat Roosting and Foraging Habitat Associated with Alternative 4	12-2662
12-4-62	Summary of Impacts on Vernal Pool Plant Species under Alternative 4	12-2675
12-4-63	Summary of Impacts on Seasonal Alkali Wetland Plant Species under Alternative 4	12-2680
12-4-64	Summary of Impacts on Grassland Plant Species under Alternative 4	12-2686
12-4-65	Summary of Impacts on Valley/Foothill Riparian Plant Species under Alternative 4	12-2690
12-4-66	Summary of Impacts on Tidal Wetland Plant Species under Alternative 4	12-2695
12-4-67	Summary of Impacts on Inland Dune Plants under Alternative 4	12-2701
12-4-68	Summary of Impacts on Nontidal Wetland Plant Species under Alternative 4	12-2702
12-4-69	Estimated Fill of Waters of the United States Associated with the Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities under Alternative 4 (acres)	12-2706
12-4-70	Summary of Temporary Disturbance in Natural Communities under Alternative 4	12-2733
12-5-1	Alternative 5 Near-Term Effects of Water Conveyance Facilities (CM1) on Natural Communities (acres)	12-2743
12-5-2	Alternative 5 Effects on Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Relative to Alternative 1A (acres)	12-2744
12-5-3	Alternative 5 Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM2, CM4, CM5) that Affect Most Natural Communities (acres)	12-2745

12-5-4	Alternative 5 Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM7, CM8, CM10, CM18) that Affect Only Grassland and Cultivated Lands (acres)	12-2746
12-6A-1	Alternative 6A Near-Term Effects of Water Conveyance Facilities (CM1) on Natural Communities (acres).....	12-2750
12-6A-2	Alternative 6A Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM2, CM4, CM5) that Affect Most Natural Communities (acres)	12-2751
12-6A-3	Alternative 6A Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM7, CM8, CM10, CM18) that Affect Only Grassland and Cultivated Lands (acres)	12-2752
12-6B-1	Alternative 6B Near-Term Effects of Water Conveyance Facilities (CM1) on Natural Communities (acres).....	12-2756
12-6B-2	Alternative 6B Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM2, CM4, CM5) that Affect Most Natural Communities (acres)	12-2757
12-6B-3	Alternative 6B Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM7, CM8, CM10, CM18) that Affect Only Grassland and Cultivated Lands (acres)	12-2758
12-6C-1	Alternative 6C Near-Term Effects of Water Conveyance Facilities (CM1) on Natural Communities (acres).....	12-2762
12-6C-2	Alternative 6C Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM2, CM4, CM5) that Affect Most Natural Communities (acres)	12-2763
12-6C-3	Alternative 6C Late Long-Term Restoration Activities (CM7, CM8, CM10, CM18) that Affect Only Grassland and Cultivated Lands (acres)	12-2764
12-7-1	Alternative 7 Near-Term Effects of Water Conveyance Facilities (CM1) on Natural Communities (acres).....	12-2768
12-7-2	Alternative 7 Effects on Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Relative to Alternative 1A (acres).....	12-2769
12-7-3	Alternative 7 Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM2, CM4, CM5) that Affect Most Natural Communities (acres)	12-2771
12-7-4	Alternative 7 Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM7, CM8, CM10, CM18) that Affect Only Grassland and Cultivated Lands (acres)	12-2772
12-8-1	Alternative 8 Near-Term Effects of Water Conveyance Facilities (CM1) on Natural Communities (acres).....	12-2776
12-8-2	Alternative 8 Effects on Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Relative to Alternative 1A (acres)	12-2777
12-8-3	Alternative 8 Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM2, CM4, CM5) that Affect Most Natural Communities (acres)	12-2778
12-8-4	Alternative 8 Late Long-Term Effects of Restoration Activities (CM7, CM8, CM10, CM18) that Affect Only Grassland and Cultivated Lands (acres)	12-2779
12-9-1	Changes in Tidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2783

12-9-2	Changes in Tidal Brackish Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2793
12-9-3	Changes in Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2798
12-9-4	Changes in Valley/Foothill Riparian Natural Community Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2807
12-9-5	Changes in Nontidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2817
12-9-6	Changes in Nontidal Freshwater Perennial Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2825
12-9-7	Changes in Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2833
12-9-8	Changes in Vernal Pool Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2841
12-9-9	Changes in Managed Wetland Associated with Alternative 9 (acres).....	12-2848
12-9-10	Changes in Other Natural Seasonal Wetland Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2857
12-9-11	Changes in Grassland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2861
12-9-12	Changes in Vernal Pool Crustacean Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2873
12-9-13	Estimated Effects on Wetted Vernal Pool Crustacean Habitat under Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2875
12-9-14	Changes in Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2881
12-9-15	Changes in Nonlisted Vernal Pool Invertebrate Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2891
12-9-16	Estimated Effects on Wetted Nonlisted Vernal Pool Invertebrate Habitat under Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2893
12-9-17	Changes in Sacramento and Antioch Dunes Anthicid Beetles' Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2899
12-9-18	Changes in Delta Green Ground Beetle Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2903
12-9-19	Changes in Callippe Silverspot Butterfly Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2906
12-9-20	Changes in California Red-Legged Frog Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2909
12-9-21	Changes in California Tiger Salamander Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2918
12-9-22	Changes in Giant Garter Snake Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9	12-2928

12-9-23	Changes in Western Pond Turtle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9	12-2942
12-9-24	Changes in Special-Status Reptile Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2953
12-9-25	Changes in California Black Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2959
12-9-26	Changes to California Clapper Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2973
12-9-27	Changes in California Least Tern Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2984
12-9-28	Changes in Greater Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-2996
12-9-29	Total Amount of Greater Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat Affected under Alternative 9	12-2998
12-9-30	Greater Sandhill Crane Habitat Affected by General Construction and Pile Driving Noise Under Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3009
12-9-31	Changes in Lesser Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3015
12-9-32	Total Amount of Lesser Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat Affected under Alternative 9	12-3017
12-9-33	Changes in Least Bell's Vireo and Yellow Warbler Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3033
12-9-34	Changes in Suisun Song Sparrow Saltmarsh Common Yellowthroat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3047
12-9-35	Changes in Swainson's Hawk Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3060
12-9-36	Acres of Impacted Swainson's Hawk Foraging Habitat by Value Classes	12-3061
12-9-37	Changes in Tricolored Blackbird Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3076
12-9-38	Tricolored Blackbird Foraging Habitat Value Classes	12-3083
12-9-39	Changes in Western Burrowing Owl Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3094
12-9-40	Changes in Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3106
12-9-41	Changes in White-Tailed Kite Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3120
12-9-42	Changes in Yellow-Breasted Chat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3136
12-9-43	Changes in Cooper's Hawk and Osprey Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3150

12-9-44	Changes in Golden Eagle and Ferruginous Hawk Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3162
12-9-45	Changes in Cormorant, Heron and Egret Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3172
12-9-46	Changes in Short-Eared Owl and Northern Harrier Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3186
12-9-47	Changes in Mountain Plover Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3200
12-9-48	Changes in Black Tern Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres).....	12-3209
12-9-49	Changes in California Horned Lark and Grasshopper Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3218
12-9-50	Changes in Least Bittern and White-Faced Ibis Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3228
12-9-51	Changes in Loggerhead Shrike Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3239
12-9-52	Changes in Modesto Song Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3252
12-9-53	Changes in Bank Swallow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3264
12-9-54	Changes in Yellow-Headed Blackbird Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9	12-3268
12-9-55	Changes in Riparian Brush Rabbit Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3283
12-9-56	Changes in Riparian Woodrat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3293
12-9-57	Changes in Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3302
12-9-58	Changes in Suisun Shrew Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres).....	12-3312
12-9-59	Changes in San Joaquin Kit Fox Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3319
12-9-60	Changes in San Joaquin Pocket Mouse Habitat Associated with Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3327
12-9-61	Changes in Special-Status Bat Roosting and Foraging Habitat Associated with Alternative 9	12-3335
12-9-62	Summary of Impacts on Vernal Pool Plants under Alternative 9	12-3348
12-9-63	Summary of Impacts on Seasonal Alkali Wetland Plants under Alternative 9	12-3352
12-9-64	Summary of Impacts on Grassland Plants under Alternative 9	12-3357
12-9-65	Summary of Impacts on Valley/Foothill Riparian Plants under Alternative 9	12-3361

12-9-66	Summary of Impacts on Tidal Wetland Plants under Alternative 9	12-3365
12-9-67	Summary of Impacts on Inland Dune Plants under Alternative 9	12-3373
12-9-68	Summary of Impacts on Nontidal Wetland Plants under Alternative 9	12-3374
12-9-69	Estimated Fill of Waters of the United States Associated with the Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities under Alternative 9 (acres)	12-3377
12-9-70	Summary of Temporary Disturbance in Natural Communities under Alternative 9	12-3402
12-4A-1	Changes in Tidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3412
12-4A-2	Changes in Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3420
12-4A-3	Changes in Valley/Foothill Riparian Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3427
12-4A-4	Changes in Nontidal Perennial Aquatic Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3433
12-4A-5	Changes in Nontidal Freshwater Perennial Emergent Wetland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3438
12-4A-6	Changes in Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3444
12-4A-7	Changes in Vernal Pool Complex Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3450
12-4A-8	Changes in Managed Wetland Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3455
12-4A-9	Changes in Other Natural Seasonal Wetland Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3460
12-4A-10	Changes in Grassland Natural Community Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3464
12-4A-11	Changes in Vernal Pool Crustacean Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3472
12-4A-12	Estimated Effects on Wetted Vernal Pool Crustacean Habitat under Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3474
12-4A-13	Changes in Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3477
12-4A-14	Changes in Nonlisted Vernal Pool Invertebrate Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3482
12-4A-15	Estimated Effects on Wetted Vernal Pool Crustacean Habitat under Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3484
12-4A-16	Changes in Sacramento and Antioch Dunes Anthicid Beetles' Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3487

12-4A-17	Changes in Delta Green Ground Beetle Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3489
12-4A-18	Changes in Callippe Silverspot Butterfly Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3492
12-4A-19	Changes in California Red-Legged Frog Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3495
12-4A-20	Changes in California Tiger Salamander Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3500
12-4A-21	Changes in Giant Garter Snake Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A	12-3506
12-4A-22	Changes in Western Pond Turtle Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A	12-3512
12-4A-23	Changes in Special-Status Reptile Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3517
12-4A-24	Changes in California Black Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3522
12-4A-25	Changes in California Clapper Rail Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3532
12-4A-26	Changes in California Least Tern Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3534
12-4A-27	Changes in Greater Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3543
12-4A-28	Value of Greater Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat affected by Alternative 4A	12-3546
12-4A-29	Greater Sandhill Crane Habitat Affected by General Construction and Pile Driving Noise Under Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3552
12-4A-30	Changes in Lesser Sandhill Crane Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3558
12-4A-31	Value of Lesser Sandhill Crane Foraging Habitat Affected By Alternative 4A Water Conveyance Facilities	12-3560
12-4A-32	Changes in Least Bell's Vireo and Yellow Warbler Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3570
12-4A-33	Changes in Suisun Song Sparrow Saltmarsh Common Yellowthroat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3580
12-4A-34	Changes in Swainson's Hawk Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3582
12-4A-35	Acres of Impacted Foraging Habitat by Value Classes for Swainson's Hawk	12-3583
12-4A-36	Changes to Tricolored Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3590
12-4A-37	Tricolored Blackbird Foraging Habitat Value Classes	12-3593
12-4A-38	Changes in Western Burrowing Owl Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3599

12-4A-39	Changes in Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3605
12-4A-40	Changes in White-Tailed Kite Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3616
12-4A-41	Changes in Yellow-Breasted Chat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3625
12-4A-42	Changes in Cooper's Hawk and Osprey Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3634
12-4A-43	Changes in Golden Eagle and Ferruginous Hawk Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3642
12-4A-44	Changes in Cormorant, Heron and Egret Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3647
12-4A-45	Changes in Short-Eared Owl and Northern Harrier Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3656
12-4A-46	Changes in Mountain Plover Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3664
12-4A-47	Changes in Black Tern Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3668
12-4A-48	Changes in California Horned Lark and Grasshopper Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3670
12-4A-49	Changes in Least Bittern and White-Faced Ibis Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3675
12-4A-50	Changes in Loggerhead Shrike Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3683
12-4A-51	Changes in Modesto Song Sparrow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3689
12-4A-52	Changes in Bank Swallow Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3697
12-4A-53	Changes in Yellow-Headed Blackbird Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A	12-3700
12-4A-54	Changes in Riparian Brush Rabbit Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3709
12-4A-55	Changes in Riparian Woodrat Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3713
12-4A-56	Changes in Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3714
12-4A-57	Changes in Suisun Shrew Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3715
12-4A-58	Changes in San Joaquin Kit Fox Modeled Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3717

12-4A-59	Changes in San Joaquin Pocket Mouse Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3721
12-4A-60	Changes in Special-Status Bat Roosting and Foraging Habitat Associated with Alternative 4A.....	12-3726
12-4A-61	Summary of Impacts on Vernal Pool Plant Species under Alternative 4A	12-3736
12-4A-62	Summary of Impacts on Seasonal Alkali Wetland Plant Species under Alternative 4A.....	12-3741
12-4A-63	Summary of Impacts on Grassland Plant Species under Alternative 4A	12-3746
12-4A-64	Summary of Impacts on Valley/Foothill Riparian Plant Species under Alternative 4A.....	12-3748
12-4A-65	Summary of Impacts on Tidal Wetland Plant Species under Alternative 4A.....	12-3751
12-4A-66	Summary of Impacts on Inland Dune Plants under Alternative 4A.....	12-3754
12-4A-67	Summary of Impacts on Nontidal Wetland Plant Species under Alternative 4A	12-3755
12-4A-68	Estimated Fill of Waters of the United States Associated with the Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities under Alternative 4A	12-3758
12-4A-69	Summary of Temporary Disturbance in Natural Communities under Alternative 4A....	12-3780
12-2D-1	Alternative 2D Effects on Natural Communities Relative to Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3790
12-2D-2	Alternative 2D Effects on Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Relative to Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3791
12-5A-1	Alternative 5A Effects on Natural Communities Relative to Alternative 4A (acres).....	12-3795
12-5A-2	Alternative 5A Effects on Jurisdictional Wetlands and Waters Relative to Alternative 4A (acres)	12-3796
12-8	Effects on Terrestrial Biological Resources from Plans, Policies and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	12-3799
12-9	Summary Table of Conservation Plans that Overlap with BDCP	12-3821
12-10	Conservation Status of Approved Plans (acres).....	12-3822
12-11	Impacts from Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities under the Alternatives Relative to Total Area of Overlapping Conservation Plans.....	12-3823
12-12	Crosswalk of BDCP Natural Communities with those of Overlapping Conservation Plans	12-3827
12-13	Estimated Overlap in Acquisition Activities by Major Natural Community Type for ECCCHCP/NCCP.....	12-3828
12-14	Estimated Overlap in Acquisition Activities by Major Natural Community Type for San Joaquin County MSHCP and Open Space Plan.....	12-3829
12-15	Acres of Estimated Overlap in Acquisition Activities by Major Natural Community Type for Solano County MSHCP.....	12-3829

12-16	Acres of Estimated Overlap in Acquisition Activities by Major Natural Community Type for South Sacramento HCP	12-3829
12-17	Overlap by Major Natural Community Type for Yolo Natural Heritage Program	12-3830
12-18	Amount of Cultivated Land Preservation by BDCP in Each Overlap Area (Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment; Alternatives 1A, 2A, 6A)	12-3831
12-19	Amount of Cultivated Land Preservation by BDCP in Each Overlap Area (East Alignment; Alternatives 1B, 2B, and 6B)	12-3831
12-20	Amount of Cultivated Land Preservation by BDCP in Each Overlap Area (West Alignment; Alternatives 1C, 2C and 6C).....	12-3833
12-21	Amount of Cultivated Land Preservation by BDCP in Each Overlap Area (Through Separate Corridors Alignment; Alternative 9)	12-3833
12-22	Estimated Overlap in Restoration Activities by Major Natural Community Type for ECCCHCP/NCCP.....	12-3835
12-23	Estimated Overlap in Restoration Activities by Major Natural Community Type for San Joaquin County MSHCP and Open Space Plan.....	12-3835
12-24	Estimated Overlap in Restoration Activities by Major Natural Community Type for Solano County MSHCP	12-3836
12-25	Estimated Overlap in Restoration Activities by Major Natural Community Type for South Sacramento HCP.....	12-3836
12-26	SJCMSHCP Preserve Acreages by SJCMSHCP Zone with Overlap of BDCP	12-3839
12-27	SJCMSHCP Mitigation (acres) Owed from Existing Impacts by Habitat Type as of 2010	12-3839
12-28	Potential Occurrence of Other BDCP Conservation Measures in Overlapping Conservation Plans	12-3850
13-1	BDCP EIR/EIS Compliance with the 2009 Delta Reform Act	13-49
13-2	Effects on Land Use from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative	13-51
13-3	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 1A (acres)	13-56
13-4	Estimated Water Conveyance Conflicts with Existing Structures.....	13-61
13-5	Predominant Land Use Designations in the Conservation Zones (CZs).....	13-65
13-6	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 1B (acres)	13-74
13-7	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 1C (acres)	13-84
13-8	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 2A (acres)	13-94

13-9	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 2B (acres)	13-99
13-10	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 3 (acres)	13-108
13-11	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 4 (MPTO) (acres)	13-113
13-12	Estimated Water Conveyance Conflicts with Existing Structures.....	13-119
13-13	Predominant Land Use Designations in the Conservation Zones (CZs).....	13-122
13-14	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 5 (acres)	13-132
13-15	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 7 (acres)	13-147
13-16	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 9 (acres)	13-156
13-17	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 2D (acres).....	13-170
13-18	Water Conveyance Incompatibilities with Land Use Designations under Alternative 5A (acres)	13-177
13-19	Effects on Land Use from a Selection of Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	13-182
14-1	Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program Categories in Restoration Opportunity Areas	14-4
14-2	Crop Acreages in the Plan Area	14-8
14-3	Crop Yield by Type	14-11
14-4	Applied Irrigation Requirements of Crops Grown in the Study Area by Acre	14-14
14-5	Crop Type Root Depths (in feet).....	14-16
14-6	Crop Tolerance and Yield Potential of Selected Crops as Influenced by Irrigation Water Salinity (EC _w) or Soil Salinity (EC _e) ^{a, b}	14-18
14-7	Effects on Agricultural Resources from Selected Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative based on Geography and Relevance to Resource Area.....	14-31
14-8	Estimated Conversion of Important Farmland as a Result of Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities, by Alternative (acres)	14-36
14-9	Estimated Conversion of Williamson Act and Farmland Security Zone Farmland as a Result of Construction of Water Conveyance Facilities (acres)	14-39
14-10	Typical Crop Production Practices in Yolo Bypass	14-57
14-11	Typical Crop Production Practices in Yolo Bypass	14-133

14-12	Effects on Agriculture from the Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis.....	14-215
15-1	Boat Owners' Participation in Water- and Land-Based Recreation Activities in the Delta	15-4
15-2	Summary of Public and Private Delta Recreational Facilities by County.....	15-8
15-3	Estimates of Boating, Fishing, and Day Use in the Delta	15-21
15-4	Hunting Participation in the Delta at Select Public Hunting Locations	15-21
15-5	Annual Community-Based Delta Recreation Events	15-22
15-6	Summary of Actual Visitation to the Delta	15-23
15-7	Delta Boating-Related Recreation Participation Projections.....	15-24
15-8	Annual Attendance at Reservoirs in the Upstream of the Delta Region	15-31
15-9	Recreation Opportunity Thresholds for North-of-Delta and South-of-Delta Recreation Resources	15-60
15-10a	Summary of SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (years below end-of-September recreation threshold) for Existing Conditions and the No Action Alternative	15-67
15-10b	Summary of SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (years below end-of-September recreation threshold) for Existing Conditions and the No Action Alternative	15-67
15-11	Recreation Sites Potentially Affected by Construction of Alternative 1A	15-70
15-12a	Summary of Years with Reduced SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (End-of September Elevations below Recreation Thresholds) for BDCP Alternatives	15-89
15-12b	Summary of Years with Reduced SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (End-of September Elevations below Recreation Thresholds) for BDCP Alternatives	15-90
15-13	Recreation Sites Potentially Affected by Construction of Alternative 1B	15-113
15-14	Recreation Sites Potentially Affected during Construction of Alternative 1C.....	15-144
15-15	Recreation Sites Potentially Affected by Construction of Alternative 4.....	15-257
15-16	Recreation Sites Potentially Affected during Construction of Alternative 9	15-427
15-17	Waterways Affected by Construction and Maintenance of Alternative 9 Conveyance Facilities.....	15-458
15-18	Waterways where Recreation would be Affected by Operation and Maintenance of Alternative 9 Conveyance Facilities (Early Long-Term)	15-463
15-19	Summary of SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (years below end-of-September recreation threshold) for Existing Conditions and No Action Alternative (ELT)	15-466

15-20	Summary of SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (years below end-of-September recreation threshold) for Existing Conditions and the No Action Alternative (ELT)	15-466
15-21	Summary of Years with Reduced SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (End-of September Elevations below Recreation Thresholds) for Alternative 4A	15-477
15-22	Summary of Years with Reduced SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (End-of September Elevations below Recreation Thresholds) for Alternative 4A	15-478
15-23	Summary of Years with Reduced SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (End-of September Elevations below Recreation Thresholds) for Alternative 2D	15-491
15-24	Summary of Years with Reduced SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (End-of September Elevations below Recreation Thresholds) for Alternative 2D	15-492
15-25	Summary of Years with Reduced SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (End-of September Elevations below Recreation Thresholds) for Alternative 5A	15-505
15-26	Summary of Years with Reduced SWP and CVP Reservoir Recreation Opportunities (End-of September Elevations below Recreation Thresholds) for Alternative 5A	15-506
15-27	Recreation Effects of Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	15-510
16-1	Delta Counties and California Age Distribution, 2010	16-9
16-2	Delta Counties and California Population, 2000–2050	16-10
16-3	Delta Communities Population, 2000 and 2010.....	16-11
16-4	Housing Units in Delta Counties, Delta Communities, and California, 2000 and 2010	16-13
16-5	Housing Type Trends, by County and Incorporated Communities, 2000–2010.....	16-14
16-6	Housing Vacancy Rates, by County and Incorporated Communities, 2000–2010	16-15
16-7	Delta Counties and California Employment Trends, 2000–2012.....	16-16
16-8	Delta Counties Annual Employment and Shares by Industry, 2006–2011	16-17
16-9	Delta Counties and California Income and Poverty Levels, 2006-2010.....	16-18
16-10	Revenues and Expenditures by Delta Counties during Fiscal Years 2010-2011	16-19
16-11	Employment Conditions for Delta Region Recreation-Related Industries (2007)	16-23
16-12	Projected Direct Economic Contributions from Recreation in the Delta	16-24
16-13	Crop Yields, Prices, and Value per Acre in the Delta Counties, 2005–2007	16-26
16-14	Total Value of Production for Crops in the Delta	16-27
16-15	Typical Establishment Costs for Example Perennial Crops in the Delta	16-28
16-16	Land Rent, Labor Hours, and Custom Services for Example Crops in the Delta	16-29
16-17	Average Farm Sizes and Revenues in Delta Counties, 2002 and 2007	16-30

16-18	Crop Acreage and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta Region under the No Action Alternative	16-53
16-19	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 1A)	16-57
16-20	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 1A)	16-57
16-21	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Construction (Alternative 1A)	16-64
16-22	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income in the Delta Region during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 1A)	16-66
16-23	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 1A)	16-66
16-24	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 1A)	16-71
16-25	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 1B)	16-81
16-26	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 1B)	16-81
16-27	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Construction (Alternative 1B)	16-87
16-28	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 1B)	16-88
16-29	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 1B)	16-89
16-30	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 1B)	16-93
16-31	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 1C)	16-98
16-32	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income, during Construction (Alternative 1C)	16-99
16-33	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Construction (Alternative 1C)	16-104
16-34	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 1C)	16-105
16-35	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 1C)	16-106
16-36	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 1C)	16-110

16-37	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 3).....	16-146
16-38	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 3).....	16-147
16-39	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Construction (Alternative 3).....	16-152
16-40	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 3)	16-156
16-41	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 4).....	16-161
16-42	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 4)	16-162
16-43	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Construction (Alternative 4).....	16-169
16-44	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income in the Delta Region during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 4)	16-171
16-45	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 4).....	16-171
16-46	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 4)	16-176
16-47	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 5).....	16-186
16-48	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 5)	16-187
16-49	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Construction (Alternative 5).....	16-192
16-50	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta Region during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 5).....	16-196
16-51	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 7).....	16-232
16-52	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 7)	16-232
16-53	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Construction (Alternative 7).....	16-237
16-54	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 7)	16-242
16-55	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 9).....	16-258

16-56	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 9)	16-259
16-57	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Construction (Alternative 9).....	16-264
16-58	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 9)	16-265
16-59	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 9).....	16-266
16-60	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta Region during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 9).....	16-270
16-61	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 2D)	16-295
16-62	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 2D).....	16-296
16-63	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Construction (Alternative 2D)	16-301
16-64	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 2D)	16-305
16-65	Regional Economic Effects on Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 5A)	16-312
16-66	Regional Economic Effects on Agricultural Employment and Labor Income during Construction (Alternative 2D).....	16-313
16-67	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Construction (Alternative 5A)	16-318
16-68	Crop Acres and Value of Agricultural Production in the Delta during Operations and Maintenance (Alternative 5A)	16-322
16-69	Effects on Socioeconomics from Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis.....	16-329
17-1	Project's Overall Effect on Viewers	17-43
17-2	Effects on Aesthetics and Visual Resources from Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	17-349
18-1	Programs and Projects Occurring under the No Action Alternative	18-51
18-2	Effects on Cultural Resources from Projects, Plans and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis.....	18-238
19-1	Roadway Study Segments	19-3
19-2	Hourly Level of Service Thresholds for Roadway Type.....	19-9
19-3	Existing Levels of Service in the Study Area	19-10

19-4	Pavement Condition Index Rating Scale	19-15
19-5	Existing Pavement Conditions in the Study Area.....	19-17
19-6	Roadway and Rail Draw Bridges in the Study Area	19-27
19-7	Roadway Study Segment LOS and Pavement Thresholds	19-40
19-8	Level of Service for Pipeline/Tunnel Alternatives (1A, 2A, 3, 5, 6A, 7, and 8).....	19-44
19-9	Roadway Traffic Operations Mitigation Summary	19-57
19-10	Pavement Conditions for Pipeline/Tunnel Alternatives (1A, 2A, 3, 5, 6A, 7, and 8)	19-66
19-11	Emergency Routes in the Study Area, by County	19-72
19-12	Construction Impacts on Rail Traffic for Pipeline/Tunnel Alternatives (1A, 2A, 3A, 5, 6A, 7, and 8).....	19-74
19-13	Construction Impacts on Bus Routes for Pipeline/Tunnel Alternatives (1A, 2A, 3, 5, 6A, 7, and 8).....	19-75
19-14	Routine O&M Assumptions for Alternatives 1A-C, 2B-C, and 6A-C.....	19-77
19-15	Yearly Maintenance Assumptions for Alternatives 1A-C, 2B-C, 3, 4, 5, 6A-C, 7, and 8	19-78
19-16	O&M Employment.....	19-78
19-17	Level of Service for East Alignment Alternatives (1B, 2B, and 6B)	19-90
19-18	Pavement Condition for East Alignment Alternatives (1B, 2B, and 6B)	19-101
19-19	Construction Impacts on Rail Traffic for East Alignment Alternatives (1B, 2B, and 6B).....	19-108
19-20	Construction Impacts on Bus Routes for East Alignment Alternatives (1B, 2B, and 6B).....	19-109
19-21	Level of Service for West Alignment Alternatives (1C, 2C, and 6C).....	19-120
19-22	Pavement Conditions for West Alignment Alternatives (1C, 2C, and 6C)	19-131
19-23	Construction Impacts on Rail Traffic for West Alignment Alternatives (1C, 2C, and 6C).....	19-137
19-24	Construction Impacts on Bus Routes for West Alignment Alternatives (1C, 2C, and 6C).....	19-138
19-25	Level of Service for Modified Pipeline/Tunnel Alternative 4.....	19-208
19-26	Pavement Conditions for Modified Pipeline/Tunnel Alternative 4	19-224
19-27	Level of Service for Through Delta/Separate Corridors – Alternative 9	19-331
19-28	Pavement Conditions for Through Delta/Separate Corridors – Alternative 9	19-341
19-29	Construction Impacts on Rail Traffic for Through Delta/Separate Corridors – Alternative 9	19-347

19-30	Construction Impacts on Bus Routes for Through Delta/Separate Corridors – Alternative 9	19-347
19-31	Level of Service for Alternative 2D	19-372
19-32	Pavement Conditions for Alternative 2D.....	19-383
19-33	Level of Service for Alternative 5A	19-403
19-34	Pavement Conditions for Alternative 5A.....	19-413
19-35	Effects on Transportation from a Selection of Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	19-431
20-1	Effects on Public Services and Utilities from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative	20-37
20-2	Estimated Workforce during Peak Construction and Operation and Maintenance	20-40
20-3	Estimated Potable Water Supply for Construction by Alternative.....	20-47
20-4	Divertible Materials	20-51
20-5	Number and Type of Pipelines and Electrical Transmission Lines Crossing Action Alternative Alignments.....	20-53
20-6	Effects on Public Services and Utilities from Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	20-216
21-1	CVP Hydropower Generation Capacity of Facilities.....	21-4
21-2	CVP Pumping Capacity of Facilities.....	21-4
21-3	SWP Pump Load, SWP Hydro Generation (including Castaic), and SWP Water Deliveries	21-8
21-4	Hyatt-Thermalito Monthly Generation (gigawatt hours)	21-8
21-5	SWP Hydropower Generation Capacity of Facilities.....	21-9
21-6	SWP Pumping Capacity of Facilities.....	21-10
21-7a	CALSIM-II -Simulated Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Trinity Storage for Existing Conditions (thousand acre-feet)	21-15
21-7b	Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Estimated Trinity Reservoir Head for Existing Conditions (feet).....	21-16
21-7c	CALSIM-II Simulated Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Trinity Powerplant Flow for Existing Conditions (thousand acre-feet).....	21-17
21-7d	Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Estimated Trinity Powerplant Energy Generation for Existing Conditions (gigawatt hours)	21-17
21-7e	Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Estimated Trinity Powerplant Energy Generation for the No Action Alternative (LLT) (gigawatt hours)	21-18
21-8a	Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Estimated Carr Powerplant Energy Generation for Existing Conditions (gigawatt hours)	21-19

21-8b	Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Estimated Spring Creek Powerplant Energy Generation for Existing Conditions (gigawatt hours)	21-19
21-8c	Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Estimated Shasta Powerplant Energy Generation for Existing Conditions (gigawatt hours)	21-20
21-8d	Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Estimated Keswick Powerplant Energy Generation for Existing Conditions (gigawatt hours)	21-20
21-8e	Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Estimated Folsom Powerplant Energy Generation for Existing Conditions (gigawatt hours)	21-21
21-8f	Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Estimated Nimbus Powerplant Energy Generation for Existing Conditions (gigawatt hours)	21-21
21-8g	Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Estimated New Melones Powerplant Energy Generation for Existing Conditions (gigawatt hours)	21-22
21-8h	Monthly Cumulative Distributions of Estimated Combined Hyatt and Thermalito Power Plant Energy Generation for Existing Conditions (gigawatt hours).....	21-22
21-9a	Monthly and Annual Cumulative Distributions of CALSIM-II-Simulated CVP Delta Pumping (thousand acre-feet).....	21-24
21-9b	Monthly and Annual Cumulative Distributions of Estimated CVP Net Energy Use for Delta Export Pumping and Delivery (gigawatt hours).....	21-24
21-9c	Monthly and Annual Cumulative Distributions of CALSIM-II-Simulated SWP Delta Export Pumping (thousand acre-feet)	21-25
21-9d	Monthly and Annual Cumulative Distributions of Estimated SWP Net Energy Use for Delta Export Pumping and Delivery (gigawatt hours).....	21-25
21-10	Temporary Annual Electrical Use Estimates for Construction (megawatt hours).....	21-34
21-11	Gasoline and Diesel Estimates for Construction (million gallons)	21-35
21-12	Energy Losses for Flow of 500 cfs to 7,500 cfs in a 35-mile Tunnel, Estimated with the Darcy-Weisbach Pipe Formula	21-37
21-13	Summary of Annual Average Pumping and Net Energy Use for Action Alternatives.....	21-42
21-14	Effects related to Energy from the Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis.....	21-75
22-1	Lifetimes and Global Warming Potentials of Several Greenhouse Gases	22-10
22-2	Global, National, State, and Local GHG Emissions Inventories	22-12
22-3	Ambient Air Quality Monitoring Data for the SVAB, SJVAB, SFBAAB (2011–2013).....	22-13
22-4	Federal and State Attainment Status of the Study Area within the SVAB, SJVAB, and SFBAAB	22-15
22-5	National and California Ambient Air Quality Standards	22-17
22-6	Federal <i>de minimis</i> Threshold Levels for Criteria Pollutants in Nonattainment Areas (tons per year)	22-19

22-7	Federal <i>de minimis</i> Threshold Levels for Criteria Pollutants in Maintenance Areas (tons per year)	22-20
22-8	Air District Thresholds of Significance	22-48
22-9	Federal <i>de minimis</i> Thresholds by Air Basin (tons per year)	22-49
22-10	Total Criteria Pollutant and GHG Emissions from Electricity Consumption during Operation of the No Action Alternative (tons/year)	22-54
22-11	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Construction and Net Project Operations, Alternative 1A (tons/year).....	22-57
22-12	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1A (pounds/day and tons/year)	22-58
22-13	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 1A (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-60
22-14	Alternative 1A PM10 and PM2.5 Concentration Results in SMAQMD.....	22-77
22-15	Alternative 1A PM10 and PM2.5 Concentration Results in YSAQMD	22-79
22-16	Alternative 1A PM10 and PM2.5 Concentration Results in BAAQMD.....	22-80
22-17	Alternative 1A PM10 and PM2.5 Concentration Results in SJVAPCD.....	22-81
22-18	Alternative 1A Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	22-83
22-19	Alternative 1A Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District.....	22-84
22-20	Alternative 1A Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.....	22-84
22-21	Alternative 1A Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	22-86
22-22	Valley Fever Hospitalizations (2002–2010)	22-87
22-23	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 1A in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SFNA, SJVAB, and SFBAAB (tons/year)	22-91
22-24	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1A (metric tons/year).....	22-95
22-25	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1A by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-95
22-26	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 1A (metric tons/year)	22-100
22-27	Equipment CO ₂ e Emissions from Operation and Maintenance of Alternative 1A by Air District (metric tons/year).....	22-101
22-28	Changes in Expected Renewable Energy Purchases 2011–2050 (Alternative 1A)	22-102
22-29	Summary of Conservation Measures and Potential Criteria Pollutant Emissions.....	22-106

22-30	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Construction and Net Project Operations, Alternative 1B (tons/year).....	22-111
22-31	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1B (pounds/day and tons/year).....	22-112
22-32	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 1B (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-114
22-33	Alternative 1B PM10 and PM2.5 Concentration Results in SMAQMD	22-121
22-34	Alternative 1B PM10 and PM2.5 Concentration Results in YSAQMD	22-122
22-35	Alternative 1B PM10 and PM2.5 Concentration Results in BAAQMD.....	22-122
22-36	Alternative 1B PM10 and PM2.5 Concentration Results in SJVAPCD.....	22-123
22-37	Alternative 1B Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	22-125
22-38	Alternative 1B Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District.....	22-126
22-39	Alternative 1B Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.....	22-127
22-40	Alternative 1B Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	22-127
22-41	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 1B in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SFNA, SJVAB, and SFBAAB (tons/year).....	22-131
22-42	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1B (metric tons/year).....	22-135
22-43	Total GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1B by Air District (metric tons/year).....	22-135
22-44	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 1B (metric tons/year).....	22-137
22-45	Equipment CO ₂ e Emissions from Operation and Maintenance of Alternative 1B by Air District (metric tons/year).....	22-137
22-46	Changes in Expected Renewable Energy Purchases 2011–2050 (Alternative 1B)	22-139
22-47	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Construction and Net Project Operations, Alternative 1C (tons/year).....	22-144
22-48	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1C (pounds/day and tons/year).....	22-146
22-49	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 1C (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-148
22-50	Alternative 1C PM10 and PM2.5 Concentration Results in SMAQMD	22-154
22-51	Alternative 1C PM10 and PM2.5 Concentration Results in YSAQMD	22-155

22-52	Alternative 1C PM10 and PM2.5 Concentration Results in BAAQMD.....	22-155
22-53	Alternative 1C Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	22-158
22-54	Alternative 1C Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District.....	22-158
22-55	Alternative 1C Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District.....	22-160
22-56	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 1C in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SFNA and SFBAAB (tons/year)	22-163
22-57	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1C (metric tons/year)	22-167
22-58	Total GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 1C by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-167
22-59	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased Pumping, Alternative 1C (metric tons/year).....	22-168
22-60	Equipment CO ₂ e Emissions from Operation and Maintenance of Alternative 1C by Air District (metric tons/year).....	22-169
22-61	Changes in Expected Renewable Energy Purchases 2011–2050 (Alternative 1C)	22-170
22-62	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Net Project Operations, Alternative 2A (tons/year).....	22-175
22-63	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2A within the SJVAPCD (tons/year).....	22-176
22-64	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 2A in SJVAPCD (tons per year)	22-177
22-65	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 2A in Nonattainment and Maintenance the SJVAB (tons/year).....	22-189
22-66	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2A (metric tons/year).....	22-193
22-67	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2A by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-193
22-68	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 2A (metric tons/year)	22-195
22-69	Equipment CO ₂ e Emissions from Operation and Maintenance of Alternative 2A in SJVAPCD (metric tons/year)	22-195
22-70	Changes in Expected Renewable Energy Purchases 2011–2050 (Alternative 2A)	22-197
22-71	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Net Project Operations, Alternative 2B (tons/year).....	22-202
22-72	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2B within the SJVAPCD (tons/year).....	22-203

22-73	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 2B in SJVAPCD (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-203
22-74	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 2B in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SJVAB (tons/year).....	22-215
22-75	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2B (metric tons/year).....	22-220
22-76	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2B by Air District (metric tons/year).....	22-220
22-77	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 2B (metric tons/year).....	22-221
22-78	Equipment CO ₂ e Emissions from Operation and Maintenance of Alternative 2B in SJVAPCD (metric tons/year)	22-221
22-79	Changes in Expected Renewable Energy Purchases 2011–2050 (Alternative 2B)	22-223
22-80	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Net Project Operations, Alternative 2C (tons/year)	22-228
22-81	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2C within the SJVAPCD (tons/year).....	22-229
22-82	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 2C in SJVAPCD (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-229
22-83	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 2C in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SJVAB (tons/year).....	22-240
22-84	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2C (metric tons/year)	22-244
22-85	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2C by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-244
22-86	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 2C (metric tons/year).....	22-245
22-87	Equipment CO ₂ e Emissions from Operation and Maintenance of Alternative 2C in SJVAPCD (metric tons/year)	22-246
22-88	Changes in Expected Renewable Energy Purchases 2011–2050 (Alternative 2C)	22-247
22-89	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Construction and Net Project Operations, Alternative 3 (tons/year)	22-253
22-90	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 3 (pounds/day and tons/year)	22-255
22-91	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 3 (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-257
22-92	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 3 in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SFNA, SJVAB, and SFBAAB (tons/year)	22-271
22-93	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 3 (metric tons/year)	22-275

22-94	Total CO ₂ e Emissions from Construction of Alternative 3 by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-276
22-95	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 3 (metric tons/year)	22-276
22-96	Equipment CO ₂ e Emissions from Operation and Maintenance of Alternative 3 by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-277
22-97	Changes in Expected Renewable Energy Purchases 2011–2050 (Alternative 3)	22-278
22-98	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Construction and Net Project Operations, Alternative 4 (tons/year)	22-284
22-99	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4 (pounds/day and tons/year)	22-286
22-100	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 4 (Scenarios H1 through H4) (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-288
22-101	Alternative 4 PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} Concentration Results in SMAQMD	22-304
22-102	Alternative 4 Mitigated PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} Concentration Results in SMAQMD	22-305
22-103	Alternative 4 PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} Concentration Results in YSAQMD	22-306
22-104	Alternative 4 PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} Concentration Results in BAAQMD	22-307
22-105	Alternative 4 PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} Concentration Results in SJVAPCD	22-308
22-106	Alternative 4 Health Hazards in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	22-310
22-107	Alternative 4 Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Yolo-Solano Air Quality Management District	22-311
22-108	Alternative 4 Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	22-311
22-109	Alternative 4 Health Hazards in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	22-312
22-110	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 4 in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SFNA, SJVAB, and SFBAAB (tons/year)	22-316
22-111	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4 (metric tons/year)	22-321
22-112	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 4 by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-321
22-113	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 4 (Scenarios H1 through H4) (metric tons/year)	22-327
22-114	Equipment CO ₂ e Emissions from Operation and Maintenance of Alternative 4 (Scenarios H1 through H4) by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-328
22-115	Changes in Expected Renewable Energy Purchases 2011–2050 (Alternative 4)	22-329

22-116	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Construction and Net Project Operations, Alternative 5 (tons/year)	22-337
22-117	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 5 (pounds/day and tons/year)	22-339
22-118	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 5 (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-341
22-119	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 5 in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SFNA, SJVAB, and SFBAAB (tons/year)	22-354
22-120	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 5 (metric tons/year)	22-358
22-121	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 5 by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-358
22-122	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 5 (metric tons/year)	22-359
22-123	Equipment CO ₂ e Emissions from Operation and Maintenance of Alternative 5 by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-360
22-124	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Net Project Operations, Alternative 6A (tons/year)	22-366
22-125	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 6A (metric tons/year)	22-381
22-126	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Net Project Operations, Alternative 6B (tons/year)	22-386
22-127	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 6B (metric tons/year)	22-401
22-128	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Net Project Operations, Alternative 6C (tons/year)	22-406
22-129	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 6C (metric tons/year)	22-420
22-130	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Construction and Net Project Operations, Alternative 7 (tons/year)	22-425
22-131	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 7 (pounds/day and tons/year)	22-427
22-132	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 7 (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-429
22-133	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 7 in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SFNA, SJVAB, and SFBAAB (tons/year)	22-443
22-134	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 7 (metric tons/year)	22-447

22-135	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 7 by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-447
22-136	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 7 (metric tons/year)	22-448
22-137	Equipment CO ₂ e Emissions from Operation and Maintenance of Alternative 7 by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-449
22-138	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Net Project Operations, Alternative 8 (tons/year)	22-454
22-139	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 8 (metric tons/year)	22-469
22-140	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Construction and Net Project Operations, Alternative 9 (tons/year)	22-475
22-141	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 9 (pounds/day and tons/year)	22-477
22-142	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 9 (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-479
22-143	Alternative 9 PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} Concentration Results in SMAQMD	22-484
22-144	Alternative 9 PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} Concentration Results in BAAQMD	22-485
22-145	Alternative 9 PM ₁₀ and PM _{2.5} Concentration Results in SJVAPCD	22-486
22-146	Alternative 9 Health Hazards in the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District	22-488
22-147	Alternative 9 Health Hazards from DPM Exposure in the Bay Area Air Quality Management District	22-489
22-148	Alternative 9 Health Hazards in the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District	22-490
22-149	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 9 in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SFNA, SJVAB, and SFBAAB (tons/year)	22-493
22-150	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 9 (metric tons/year)	22-497
22-151	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 9 by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-497
22-152	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 9 (metric tons/year)	22-499
22-153	Total Criteria Pollutant and GHG Emissions from Electricity Consumption during Operation of the No Action Alternative (ELT) (tons/year)	22-504
22-154	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Net Project Operations, Alternative 4A (tons/year)	22-505

22-155	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 4A (metric tons/year)	22-518
22-156	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Construction and Net Project Operations, Alternative 2D (tons/year)	22-524
22-157	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2D (pounds/day and tons/year)	22-526
22-158	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 2D (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-528
22-159	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 2D in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SFNA, SJVAB, and SFBAAB (tons/year)	22-543
22-160	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2D (metric tons/year).....	22-548
22-161	Total GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 2D by Air District (metric tons/year)	22-548
22-162	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 2D.....	22-549
22-163	Changes in Expected Renewable Energy Purchases 2011–2050 (Alternative 2D)	22-551
22-164	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Electricity Consumption: Construction and Net Project Operations, Alternative 5A (tons/year).....	22-556
22-165	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction of Alternative 5A (pounds/day and tons/year)	22-558
22-166	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Operation of Alternative 5A (pounds per day and tons per year)	22-560
22-167	Criteria Pollutant Emissions from Construction and Operation of Alternative 5A in Nonattainment and Maintenance Areas of the SFNA, SJVAB, and SFBAAB (tons/year)	22-576
22-168	GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 5A (metric tons/year).....	22-581
22-169	Total GHG Emissions from Construction of Alternative 5A by Air District (metric tons/year).....	22-581
22-170	GHG Emissions from Operation, Maintenance, and Increased SWP Pumping, Alternative 5A.....	22-582
22-171	Project-Level Determinations for Construction of the Water Conveyance Facilities (Impacts AQ-1 through AQ-4 and Impact AQ-20).....	22-592
23-1	Typical Sound Levels Measured in the Environment and Industry	23-3
23-2	Human Response to Continuous Vibration from Traffic	23-6
23-3	Maximum Vibration Levels for Preventing Damage.....	23-6
23-4	Human Response to Groundborne Noise.....	23-7

23-5	Typical Ambient Sound Levels as a Function of Population Density	23-8
23-6	Existing Highway Traffic Noise Levels in Yolo County.....	23-10
23-7	Summary of Federal Guidelines/Regulations for Residential Exterior Noise	23-13
23-8	Sutter County Noise Standards for Non-Transportation Sources	23-15
23-9	Sacramento County Noise Level Performance Standards	23-16
23-10	City of Rio Vista Existing Noise Level Performance Standards	23-17
23-11	Alameda County Existing Noise Level Standards.....	23-19
23-12	Commonly Used Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels	23-21
23-13	Vibration Source Levels for Pile Drivers.....	23-21
23-14	Existing Loudest-Hour Traffic Noise Levels.....	23-23
23-15	Noise Effects from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative.....	23-32
23-16	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction Activities.....	23-37
23-17	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction—Pile Driving and Construction Equipment for Intake Structures	23-38
23-18	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 1A.....	23-39
23-19	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Conveyance and Associated Facilities, Alternative 1A.....	23-40
23-20	Predicted Loudest-Hour Future Traffic Noise Levels on Commuter Roads and Haul Routes, Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment.....	23-41
23-20A	Land Use Zones Adjacent to Project Haul Routes Affected by Increases in Traffic Noise, Pipeline-Tunnel Conveyance Option	23-44
23-21	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction of Transmission Lines.....	23-45
23-22	Predicted Noise Levels from Earth-Moving at Offsite Borrow/Spoil Areas.....	23-47
23-23	Predicted Vibration Levels from Construction Activities—Impact Pile Driving at Intake Structures	23-50
23-24	Land Use Affected by Vibrations from Pile Driving during Construction of Intakes, Alternative 1A.....	23-51
23-25	Pump Specifications, Alternative 1A	23-53
23-26	Predicted Noise Levels from Intake and Intermediate Pumping Plant Operations, Alternative 1A.....	23-54
23-27	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 1A	23-55
23-28	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 1B.....	23-60

23-29	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Conveyance and Associated Facilities, Alternative 1B.....	23-61
23-30	Predicted Loudest-Hour Future Traffic Noise Levels on Commuter Roads and Haul Routes, East Alignment.....	23-62
23-30A	Land Use Zones Adjacent to Project Haul Routes Affected by Increases in Traffic Noise, East Conveyance Alignment Option	23-65
23-31	Land Use Affected by Vibrations from Pile Driving during Construction of Intakes, Alternative 1B	23-68
23-32	Pump Specifications, Alternative 1B.....	23-69
23-33	Predicted Noise Levels from Intake and Intermediate Plant Pump Operations, Alternative 1B	23-70
23-34	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 1B.....	23-71
23-35	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 1C.....	23-73
23-36	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Conveyance and Associated Facilities, Alternative 1C.....	23-75
23-37	Predicted Loudest-Hour Future Traffic Noise Levels on Commuter Roads and Haul Routes, West Alignment	23-76
23-37A	Land Use Zones Adjacent to Project Haul Routes Affected by Increases in Traffic Noise, West Conveyance Alignment Option.....	23-79
23-38	Land Use Affected by Vibrations from Pile Driving during Construction of Intakes, Alternative 1C	23-82
23-39	Pump Specifications—Alternative 1C.....	23-83
23-40	Predicted Noise Levels from Pump Operation, Intakes, Alternative 1C.....	23-84
23-41	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 1C.....	23-85
23-42	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 2A.....	23-87
23-43	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Conveyance and Associated Facilities, Alternative 2A.....	23-88
23-44	Land Use Affected by Vibrations from Pile Driving during Construction of Intakes, Alternative 2A.....	23-92
23-45	Pump Specifications, Alternative 2A	23-93
23-46	Predicted Noise Levels from Pump Operation, Intakes, Alternative 2A.....	23-94
23-47	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 2A	23-95
23-48	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 2B.....	23-97

23-49	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Conveyance and Associated Facilities, Alternative 2B.....	23-98
23-50	Land Use Affected by Vibrations from Pile Driving during Construction of Intakes, Alternative 2B	23-101
23-51	Pump Specifications—Alternative 2B.....	23-102
23-52	Predicted Noise Levels from Pump Operation, Intakes, Alternative 2B.....	23-103
23-53	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 2B.....	23-104
23-54	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 3	23-112
23-55	Land Use Affected by Vibrations from Pile Driving during Construction of Intakes, Alternative 3	23-115
23-56	Pump Specifications—Alternative 3	23-116
23-57	Predicted Noise Levels from Pump Operation, Intakes, Alternative 3	23-117
23-58	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 3	23-118
23-59	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction Activities.....	23-120
23-60	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction—Pile Driving and Construction Equipment for Intake Structures	23-121
23-61	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 4	23-122
23-62	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Conveyance and Associated Facilities, Alternative 4	23-123
23-63	Predicted Loudest-Hour Future Traffic Noise Levels on Commuter Roads and Haul Routes, Alternative 4	23-124
23-63A	Land Use Zones Adjacent to Project Haul Routes Affected by Increases in Traffic Noise, Modified Pipeline-Tunnel Conveyance Option.....	23-128
23-64	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction of Transmission Lines.....	23-129
23-65	Predicted Noise Levels from Earth-Moving at Offsite Borrow/Spoil Areas.....	23-131
23-66	Predicted Vibration Levels from Construction Activities—Impact Pile Driving at Intake Structures	23-134
23-67	Land Use Affected by Vibrations from Pile Driving during Construction of Intakes, Alternative 4	23-135
23-68	Pump Specifications, Alternative 4.....	23-137
23-69	Predicted Noise Levels from Pumping Plant Operation, Alternative 4	23-138
23-70	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 4.....	23-138
23-71	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 5	23-144
23-72	Land Use Affected by Vibrations from Pile Driving during Construction of Intakes, Alternative 5	23-147

23-73	Pump Specifications—Alternative 5	23-148
23-74	Predicted Noise Levels from Pump Operation, Intakes, Alternative 5	23-149
23-75	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 5	23-150
23-76	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 7	23-169
23-77	Land Use Affected by Vibrations from Pile Driving during Construction of Intakes, Alternative 7	23-173
23-78	Pump Specifications—Alternative 7	23-174
23-79	Predicted Noise Levels from Pump Operation, Intakes, Alternative 7	23-175
23-80	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 7	23-176
23-81	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction, Alternative 9	23-184
23-82	Predicted Loudest-Hour Future Traffic Noise Levels on Commuter Roads and Haul Routes, Through Delta/Separate Corridors	23-185
23-82A	Land Use Zones Adjacent to Project Haul Routes Affected by Increases in Traffic Noise, Separate Corridors Option	23-188
23-83	Pump Specifications—Alternative 9	23-190
23-84	Predicted Noise Levels from Pump Operation, Intakes—Alternative 9	23-190
23-85	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants—Alternative 9	23-191
23-86	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction Activities	23-200
23-87	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction—Pile Driving and Construction Equipment for Intake Structures	23-201
23-88	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 2D	23-202
23-89	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Conveyance and Associated Facilities, Alternative 2D	23-203
23-90	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction of Transmission Lines	23-204
23-91	Predicted Noise Levels from Earth-Moving at Offsite Borrow/Spoil Areas	23-206
23-92	Predicted Vibration Levels from Construction Activities—Impact Pile Driving at Intake Structures	23-209
23-93	Land Use Affected by Vibrations from Pile Driving during Construction of Intakes, Alternative 2D	23-210
23-94	Pump Specifications—Alternative 2D	23-212
23-95	Predicted Noise Levels from Pumping Plant Operation, Alternative 2D	23-213
23-96	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 2D	23-213
23-97	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction Activities	23-216

23-98	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction—Pile Driving and Construction Equipment for Intake Structures	23-217
23-99	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Intakes, Alternative 5A.....	23-218
23-100	Land Use Affected by Equipment Noise from Construction of Conveyance and Associated Facilities, Alternative 5A.....	23-219
23-101	Predicted Noise Levels from Construction of Transmission Lines.....	23-220
23-102	Predicted Noise Levels from Earth-Moving at Offsite Borrow/Spoil Areas.....	23-222
23-103	Predicted Vibration Levels from Construction Activities—Impact Pile Driving at Intake Structures	23-225
23-104	Land Use Affected by Vibrations from Pile Driving during Construction of Intakes, Alternative 5A.....	23-225
23-105	Pump Specifications—Alternative 5A.....	23-228
23-106	Predicted Noise Levels from Pumping Plant Operation, Alternative 5A	23-228
23-107	Land Use Affected by Noise from Operation of Pumping Plants, Alternative 5A	23-229
23-108	Noise Effects from the Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	23-231
24-1	Pesticides and Crop Associations in 1974 and 2008.....	24-3
24-2	Hazards and Hazardous Materials Effects from the Plans, Policies, and Programs under the No Action Alternative	24-40
24-3	Number and Type of Pipelines and Electrical Transmission Lines Crossing All Alignments.....	24-53
24-4	Number and Type of Designated Hazardous Materials Routes and Railroads Crossing Water Conveyance Facilities Alignments	24-56
24-5	Sites of Concern within 0.5 Mile of Conveyance Alignments.....	24-61
24-6	Distance between Airports within the Study Area and the Water Conveyance Facilities Alignments (miles)	24-65
24-7	Effects Related to Hazards and Hazardous Materials from the Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis.....	24-273
25-1	Constituents of Concern for Drinking Water Quality	25-4
25-2	Advisories for Consumption of Fish and Invertebrate Species/Guilds for Each Waterway	25-9
25-3	Pathogens	25-13
25-4	World Health Organization Guidance Values for the Relative Probability of Acute Health Effects during Recreational Exposure to Cyanobacteria and Microcystins	25-17
25-5	Seasonal Presence of Mosquito	25-21

25-6	Mosquitoes Known to Occur in the Delta and the Diseases They Commonly Carry	25-22
25-7	Confirmed West Nile Virus Cases in California 2011–2015	25-24
25-8	West Nile Virus Activity by County in Study Area, 2011–2015	25-25
25-9	Potential Range of New Permanent and Temporary Transmission Lines (miles)	25-45
25-10	Effects on Public Health from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative	25-54
25-11	Effects on Public Health from the Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	25-277
26-1	Comparison of 50-Year Demand to Permitted Aggregate Resources for Aggregate Study Areas as of January 1, 2011	26-4
26-2	Oil and Gas Wells within the Study Area, by County	26-5
26-3	Effects on Minerals from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative	26-23
26-4	Producing Natural Gas Wells Affected by the Action Alternatives	26-27
26-5	Natural Gas Fields Affected by Alternative	26-30
26-6	Natural Gas Wells in ROAs	26-33
26-7	Natural Gas Field Areas Underlying ROAs	26-35
26-8	Active Mines in ROAs	26-39
26-9	Effects on Minerals from the Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered in the Cumulative Analysis	26-148
27-1	Paleontological Sensitivity Ratings	27-7
27-2	Summary of Paleontological Resource Sensitivity for Geologic Units in the Plan Area	27-7
27-3	Paleontological Localities Attributed to the Modesto Formation and Undifferentiated Quaternary Sediments	27-9
27-4	Paleontological Localities Attributed to the Older Alluvium of the Riverbank, Montezuma, Tulare, and Tehama Formations	27-10
27-5	Paleontological Localities Attributed to Tertiary Marine Sediments of the Neroly, Markley, Domengine, and Meganos Formations	27-11
27-6	Paleontological Localities from Cretaceous Marine Sediments of the Great Valley Sequence	27-12
27-7	Units Considered for Borrow Material and Their Paleontological Sensitivity	27-13
27-8	Society of Vertebrate Paleontology’s Recommended Treatment for Paleontological Resources	27-18
27-9	Effects on Paleontological Resources from the Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative	27-21

27-10	Summary of Conveyance Construction Activities and Geologic Units Sensitive for Paleontological Resources That Could Be Disturbed along the Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment (Alternatives 1A, 2A, 3, 5, 6A, 7, and 8)	27-25
27-11	Amount of Excavated Material by Feature.....	27-26
27-12	Summary of Conveyance Construction Activities and Geologic Units Sensitive for Paleontological Resources that could be Disturbed along the Eastern Alignment (Alternatives 1B, 2B, and 6B)	27-37
27-13	Summary of BDCP Construction Activities and Geologic Units Sensitive for Paleontological Resources that could be Disturbed along the Western Alignment (Alternatives 1C, 2C, and 6C)	27-42
27-14	Summary of Conveyance Construction Activities and Geologic Units Sensitive for Paleontological Resources That Could Be Disturbed under Alternative 4	27-60
27-15	Summary of BDCP Construction Activities and Geologic Units Sensitive for Paleontological Resources that could be Disturbed under Alternative 9	27-89
27-16	Effects on Paleontological Resources from Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis	27-103
28-1	Plans, Policies, and Programs for the No Action Alternative that May Affect Minority and Low-income Populations	28-27
28-2	Comparison of Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment Alternatives and Effects on Employment	28-91
28-3	Intake Locations by BDCP Alternative	28-92
28-4	Environmental Justice Effects of Plans, Policies, and Programs Considered for Cumulative Analysis.....	28-142
29-1	Linkages between Resource Areas Addressed in this EIR/EIS and Climate Change	29-3
29-2	Sea Level Rise Projections and Ranges for San Francisco, California 2030, 2050, and 2100.....	29-10
29-3	Temperature, Precipitation, and Runoff Statistics for the Plan Area	29-12
29-4	Delta Exports and CVP/SWP Deliveries	29-17
29-5	Long-Term Average Exports	29-25
30-1	Average Annual Water Use for California Hydrologic Regions for 2001–2010	30-5
30-2	Urban Per Capita Water Use by Hydrologic Region: 2005 Baseline and 2020 Target.....	30-8
30-3	Average Annual Water Supplies for California Hydrologic Regions for 2001–2010.....	30-10
30-4	Average Regional Distribution of SWP and CVP South of Delta Deliveries for Existing Conditions and No Action Alternatives	30-13
30-5	Current and Projected Populations of Counties within the San Francisco Bay Hydrologic Region (in Thousands)	30-15

30-6	Current and Projected Populations of Counties within the Sacramento River Hydrologic Region (in thousands).....	30-19
30-7	Current and Projected Populations of Counties within the San Joaquin River Hydrologic Region (in thousands).....	30-23
30-8	Current and Projected Populations of Counties within the Central Coast Hydrologic Region (in thousands).....	30-25
30-9	Current and Projected Populations of Counties within the South Coast Hydrologic Region (in thousands).....	30-27
30-10	Current and Projected Populations of Counties within the Tulare Lake Hydrologic Region (in thousands).....	30-29
30-11	Current and Projected Populations of Counties within the South Lahontan Hydrologic Region (in thousands).....	30-31
30-12	Current and Projected Populations of Counties within the Colorado River Hydrologic Region (in thousands).....	30-33
30-13	Comparison of Average Annual Growth Rates Indicated by COG Population Forecasts: South Coast Region	30-35
30-14	Comparison of Average Annual Growth Rates Indicated by COG Population Forecasts: San Francisco Bay Region	30-36
30-15	Comparison of Average Annual Growth Rates Indicated by COG Population Forecasts: South Lahontan Region	30-37
30-16	Comparison of Average Annual Growth Rates indicated by COG Population Forecasts: Colorado River Region	30-38
30-17	Councils of Government in Hydrologic Regions Potentially Affected by the Proposed Project	30-40
30-18	Summary of Average Annual SWP and CVP Water Deliveries and Delta Exports (thousand acre-feet per year)	30-57
30-19	CALSIM Modeling Results for Average Annual CVP and SWP Water Supply for Alternatives (thousand acre-feet per year)	30-60
30-20	Regional Changes in South of Delta CVP and SWP Deliveries for Alternatives Compared to Existing Conditions (thousand acre-feet per year).....	30-61
30-21	Regional Changes in South of Delta CVP and SWP Deliveries for Alternatives Compared to No Action Alternatives (ELT or LLT)(thousand acre-feet per year).....	30-61
30-22	Projected Population Growth and Increases in Water Supply for California Hydrologic Regions	30-76
30-23	Changes in Average South of Delta CVP/SWP Deliveries from Existing Conditions (CEQA) for Each Alternative and Region (thousand acre-feet per year)	30-77
30-24	Percentage of Water Supply Obstacle to Growth Removed by Each Alternative in Comparison to Existing Conditions (CEQA)	30-78

30-25	Changes in Average South of Delta CVP/SWP Deliveries from No Action Alternative (NEPA) for Each Alternative and Region (thousand acre-feet per year)	30-79
30-26	Percentage of Water Supply Obstacle to Growth Removed by Each Alternative in Comparison to No Action Alternative (NEPA)	30-80
30-27	General Plan EIRs Reviewed for Secondary Effects of Growth.....	30-91
30-28	Nonattainment Status of Counties within Hydrologic Regions with Increased CVP/SWP Water Deliveries.....	30-93
30-29	Agencies with the Authority to Implement or Require Implementation of Measures to Avoid or Mitigate Growth-Related Impacts	30-104
31-1	Summary of Significant and Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	31-11
31-2	Summary of Combined Alternative 4A and Mitigation Measures Effects and CEQA/NEPA Conclusions	31-70
32-1	Locations and Dates of 2008 Scoping Meetings	32-2
32-2	Locations and Dates of 2009 Scoping Meetings	32-3
32-3	Summary of Comments Received During 2008 and 2009 Scoping Processes.....	32-4
32-4	Locations and Dates of 2014 Public Meetings.....	32-6
32-5	Summary of Comments Received During 2014 Draft EIR/EIS Public Review	32-7
32-6	Locations and Dates of 2015 Public Meetings.....	32-8
32-7	Summary of Comments Received During 2015 RDEIR/SDEIS Public Review	32-9

Figures

Mapbooks are bound separately for the chapters listed below.

Chapter 3	Description of Alternatives—Figures M3-1 through M3-5
Chapter 12	Terrestrial Biological Resources—Figures M12-1 through M12-5
Chapter 13	Land Use—Figures M13-1 through M13-5
Chapter 14	Agricultural Resources—Figures M14-1 through M14-10
Chapter 15	Recreation—Figures M15-1 through M15-5

Except where indicated, figures follow the chapter in which they are referenced.

Follows Page

ES-1	Project Area
ES-2	Delta Region (Plan Area)
ES-3	Location of Conveyance Facility Alignment for Alternatives 4, 4A, 2D and 5A
ES-4	Comparison of Impacts on Water Supply
ES-5	Comparison of Impacts on Surface Water
ES-6	Comparison of Impacts on Groundwater
ES-7a–b	Comparison of Impacts on Water Quality
ES-8	Comparison of Impacts on Geology and Seismology
ES-9	Comparison of Impacts on Soils
ES-10	Comparison of Impacts on Fish and Aquatic Resources
ES-11	Comparison of Impacts on Terrestrial Biological Resources
ES-12	Comparison of Impacts on Land Use
ES-13	Comparison of Impacts on Agricultural Resources
ES-14	Comparison of Impacts on Recreation
ES-15	Comparison of Impacts on Socioeconomics
ES-16	Comparison of Impacts on Aesthetics and Visual Resources
ES-17	Comparison of Impacts on Cultural Resources
ES-18	Comparison of Impacts on Transportation
ES-19	Comparison of Impacts on Public Services and Utilities
ES-20	Comparison of Impacts on Energy
ES-21a–c	Comparison of Impacts on Air Quality
ES-22	Comparison of Noise Impacts
ES-23	Comparison of Impacts on Hazards and Hazardous Materials

ES-24	Comparison of Impacts on Public Health
ES-25	Comparison of Impacts on Minerals
ES-26	Comparison of Impacts on Paleontological Resources
ES-27	Comparison of Impacts on Environmental Justice
ES-28	Comparison of Impacts on Growth
1-1	The Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta
1-2	Major Components of the SWP and CVP
1-3	SWP and CVP Service Areas
1-4	Project Area
1-5	Upstream of the Delta Region—Sacramento River and Trinity System (CVP Facilities)
1-6	Upstream of the Delta Region—Feather River System (SWP Facilities)
1-7	Upstream of the Delta Region—American River System (CVP Facilities)
1-8	Upstream of the Delta Region—San Joaquin and Stanislaus River System (CVP Facilities)
1-9	Delta Region (Plan Area)
3-1	Conservation Zones and Restoration Opportunity Areas
3-2	Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment Overview (Alternatives 1A, 2A, 3, 5, 6A, 7, and 8)
3-3	Alternatives 1A and 2A Conveyance Schematic
3-4	East Alignment Overview (Alternatives 1B, 2B, and 6B)
3-5	Alternatives 1B and 2B Conveyance Schematic
3-6	West Alignment Overview (Alternatives 1C, 2C, and 6C)
3-7	Alternatives 1C and 2C Conveyance Schematic
3-7a	Modified Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment Overview (Alternative 2D)
3-7b	Alternative 2D Conveyance Schematic
3-8	Alternative 3 Conveyance Schematic
3-9	Modified Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment Overview (Alternative 4 and 4A)
3-10	Alternatives 4 and 4A Conveyance Schematic
3-11	Alternatives 7 and 8 Conveyance Schematic
3-12	Alternative 5 Conveyance Schematic
3-12a	Alternative 5A Conveyance Schematic
3-12b	Modified Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment Overview (Alternative 5A)
3-13	Alternative 6A Conveyance Schematic

3-14	Alternative 6B Conveyance Schematic	
3-15	Alternative 6C Conveyance Schematic	
3-16	Through Delta/Separate Corridors Overview (Alternative 9)	
3-17	Alternative 9 Fish Movement Corridor Schematic	
3-18	Alternative 9 Water Supply Corridor Schematic	
3-19	Conceptual Rendering of On-Bank Intake Facility	
3-19a	Conceptual Rendering of On-Bank Intake Facility for Alternative 4	
3-20	Conceptual Intake and Pumping Plant Site	
3-20a	Conceptual Intake Site for Alternative 4	
3-21	Tunnel 2 Configuration	
3-22	Canal—Typical Cross Section	
3-23	Conceptual Rendering of Culvert Siphon	
3-24	Conceptual Rendering of Canal Segment	
3-25	Proposed Locations of Electrical Transmission Lines	
4-1	Use of Modeling Tools and Results in Analysis of BDCP Alternatives	
5-0	Comparison of Impacts on Water Supply	5-2
5-1	Distribution of Precipitation across the State	
5-2	Delta Exports 1956–2009	
5-3	Sacramento–San Joaquin River Average Delta Monthly Outflow	
5-4	Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta Wet Year Average Monthly Outflow	
5-5	Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta Dry Year Average Monthly Outflow	
5-6	Trinity Lake End of September Storage	
5-7	Shasta Lake End of May Storage	
5-8	Shasta Lake End of September Storage	
5-9	Lake Oroville End of May Storage	
5-10	Lake Oroville End of September Storage	
5-11	Folsom Lake End of May Storage	
5-12	Folsom Lake End of September Storage	
5-13	SWP San Luis Reservoir End of May Storage	
5-14	SWP San Luis Reservoir End of September Storage	
5-15	CVP San Luis Reservoir End of May Storage	
5-16	CVP San Luis Reservoir End of September Storage	

5-17	North and South Delta Exports—Long-Term Average
5-18	North and South Delta Exports—Wet Year Average
5-19	North and South Delta Exports—Dry and Critical Year Average
5-20	Total Delta Exports
5-21	Total Delta Exports—Long-Term Average Monthly
5-22	Total Delta Exports—Wet Year Average Monthly
5-23	Total Delta Exports—Dry Year Average Monthly
5-24	SWP and CVP North Delta Exports—Average Monthly
5-25	SWP and CVP North Delta Exports—Wet Year Average Monthly
5-26	SWP and CVP North Delta Exports—Dry Year Average Monthly
5-27	SWP and CVP South Delta Exports—Average Monthly
5-28	SWP and CVP South Delta Exports—Wet Year Average Monthly
5-29	SWP and CVP South Delta Exports—Dry Year Average Monthly
5-30	Annual CVP North of Delta Agricultural Water Service Contract Deliveries
5-31	Annual CVP South of Delta Agricultural Water Service Contract Deliveries
5-32	Annual CVP North of Delta Municipal and Industrial Water Service Contract Deliveries
5-33	Annual CVP South of Delta Municipal and Industrial Water Service Contract Deliveries
5-34	Total Annual SWP South of Delta Deliveries Including Table A and Articles 21 and 56 Waters
5-35	Annual SWP Table A Deliveries with Article 56 Waters
5-36	Annual SWP Article 21 Deliveries
5-37	Sacramento/San Joaquin River Monthly Average Delta Outflow for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-38	Sacramento/San Joaquin River Monthly Average Wet Year Delta Outflow for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-39	Sacramento/San Joaquin River Dry Year Monthly Average Delta Outflow for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-40	Trinity Lake End of September Storage for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-41	Shasta Lake End of May Storage for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-42	Shasta Lake End of September Storage for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-43	Lake Oroville End of May Storage for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-44	Lake Oroville End of September Storage for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A

5-45	Folsom Lake End of May Storage for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-46	Folsom Lake End of September Storage for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-47	SWP San Luis Reservoir End of May Storage for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-48	SWP San Luis Reservoir End of September Storage for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-49	CVP San Luis Reservoir End of May Storage for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-50	CVP San Luis Reservoir End of September Storage for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-51	North and South Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A Long-Term Average
5-52	North and South Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A -Wet Year Average
5-53	North and South Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A –Dry and Critical Year Average
5-54	Total Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-55	Total Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A Long-Term Average Monthly
5-56	Total Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A Wet Year Average Monthly
5-57	Total Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A Dry Year Average Monthly
5-58	Annual CVP North of Delta Agricultural Water Service Contract Deliveries for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-59	Annual CVP South of Delta Agricultural Water Service Contract Deliveries for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-60	Annual CVP North of Delta Municipal and Industrial Water Service Contract Deliveries for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-61	Annual CVP South of Delta Municipal and Industrial Water Service Contract Deliveries for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-62	Total Annual SWP South of Delta Deliveries Including Table A and Articles 21 and 56 Waters for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-63	Annual SWP Table A Deliveries with Article 56 Waters for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-64	Annual SWP Article 21 Deliveries for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A
5-65	SWP and CVP North Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A Average Monthly
5-66	SWP and CVP North Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A Wet Year Average Monthly
5-67	SWP and CVP North Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A Dry Year Average Monthly
5-68	SWP and CVP South Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A Average Monthly

5-69	SWP and CVP South Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A Wet Year Average Monthly	
5-70	SWP and CVP South Delta Exports for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A Dry Year Average Monthly	
6-0	Comparison of Impacts on Surface Water.....	6-2
6-1	Hydrologic Regions and County Boundaries	
6-2	Sacramento River and San Joaquin Hydrologic Regions	
6-3	Delta Stream Gage Locations and Mean Annual Flows	
6-4	Major Hydraulic Control Structures in the Delta	
6-5	SPFC and Non-SPFC Levees	
6-6	Reported Delta Levee Problem Areas	
6-7	Effective Federal Emergency Management Agency Flood Zones	
6-8	Sacramento River at Bend Bridge, Average Wet Years	
6-9	Sacramento River Flow at Bend Bridge, Long-Term Average	
6-10	Sacramento River Flow at Freeport, Average Wet Years	
6-11	Sacramento River Flow at Freeport, Long-Term Average	
6-12	San Joaquin River Flow at Vernalis, Average Wet Years	
6-13	San Joaquin River Flow at Vernalis, Long-Term Average	
6-14	Sacramento River Flow downstream of North Delta Intakes, Average Wet Years	
6-15	Sacramento River Flow downstream of North Delta Intakes, Long-Term Average	
6-16	Trinity River Flow below Lewiston Dam, Average Wet Years	
6-17	Trinity River Flow below Lewiston Dam, Long-Term Average	
6-18	American River Flow below Nimbus Dam, Average Wet Years	
6-19	American River Flow below Nimbus Dam, Long-Term Average	
6-20	Feather River Flow at Thermalito Dam, Average Wet Years	
6-21	Feather River Flow at Thermalito Dam, Long-Term Average	
6-22	Flow Spills into Yolo Bypass at Fremont Weir, Average Wet Years	
6-23	Old and Middle River Flows, Long-Term Average	
6-24	Sacramento River at Bend Bridge for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Average Wet Years	
6-25	Sacramento River Flow at Bend Bridge for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Long-Term Average	
6-26	Sacramento River Flow at Freeport for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Average Wet Years	
6-27	Sacramento River Flow at Freeport for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Long-Term Average	

6-28	San Joaquin River Flow at Vernalis for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Average Wet Years	
6-29	San Joaquin River Flow at Vernalis for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Long-Term Average	
6-30	Sacramento River Flow downstream of North Delta Intakes for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Average Wet Years	
6-31	Sacramento River Flow downstream of North Delta Intakes for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Long-Term Average	
6-32	Trinity River Flow below Lewiston Dam for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Average Wet Years	
6-33	Trinity River Flow below Lewiston Dam for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Long-Term Average	
6-34	American River Flow below Nimbus Dam for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Average Wet Years	
6-35	American River Flow below Nimbus Dam for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Long-Term Average	
6-36	Feather River Flow at Thermalito Dam for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Average Wet Years	
6-37	Feather River Flow at Thermalito Dam for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Long-Term Average	
6-38	Flow Spills into Yolo Bypass at Fremont Weir for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Average Wet Years	
6-39	Old and Middle River Flows for Alternatives 2D, 4A and 5A, Long-Term Average	
7-0	Comparison of Impacts on Groundwater	7-2
7-1	California Groundwater Basins	
7-2	Groundwater Subbasins Underlying the Delta	
7-3	Groundwater Subbasins Underlying the Central Valley	
7-4	Groundwater Model Domains in the Central Valley	
7-5	Groundwater Model Domains in the Delta Region	
7-6	Typical Forecasted Peak Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Areas for the No Action Alternative as Compared to Existing Conditions	
7-7	Forecasted Groundwater Level Lowering from Construction Dewatering for Alternative 1A	
7-8	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the Delta during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition for Alternative 1A Compared to the No Action Alternative	

7-9	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the Delta during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition for Alternative 1A Compared to Existing Conditions
7-10	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 1A Compared to the No Action Alternative
7-11	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 1A Compared to Existing Conditions
7-12a	Forecasted Groundwater Level Lowering from Middle-Stage Construction Dewatering—Alternative 1B
7-12b	Forecasted Groundwater Level Lowering from Late-Stage Construction Dewatering—Alternative 1B
7-13	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the Delta during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition for Alternative 1B Compared to the No Action Alternative—Unlined Canal
7-14	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the Delta during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition for Alternative 1B Compared to the No Action Alternative—Lined Canal
7-15	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the Delta during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition for Alternative 1B Compared to Existing Conditions—Unlined Canal
7-16	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the Delta during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition for Alternative 1B Compared to Existing Conditions—Lined Canal
7-17	Forecasted Groundwater Level Lowering from Construction Dewatering—Alternative 1C
7-18	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the Delta during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition for Alternative 1C Compared to the No Action Alternative—Unlined Canal
7-19	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the Delta during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition for Alternative 1C Compared to the No Action Alternative—Lined Canal
7-20	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the Delta during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition for Alternative 1C Compared to Existing Conditions—Unlined Canal
7-21	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the Delta during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition for Alternative 1C Compared to Existing Conditions—Lined Canal

- 7-22 Forecasted Groundwater Level Lowering from Construction Dewatering for Alternative 2A
- 7-23 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 2A Compared to the No Action Alternative
- 7-24 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 2A Compared to Existing Conditions
- 7-25 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 3 Compared to the No Action Alternative
- 7-26 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 3 Compared to Existing Conditions
- 7-27 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 4 Compared to the No Action Alternative
- 7-28 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 4 Compared to Existing Conditions
- 7-29 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 5 Compared to the No Action Alternative
- 7-30 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 5 Compared to Existing Conditions
- 7-31 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 6A Compared to the No Action Alternative
- 7-32 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 6A Compared to Existing Conditions
- 7-33 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 8 Compared to the No Action Alternative
- 7-34 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 8 Compared to Existing Conditions
- 7-35 Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 9 Compared to the No Action Alternative

7-36	Forecasted Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Area during a Typical Peak Groundwater Level Change Condition in August for Alternative 9 Compared to Existing Conditions	
7-37	Typical Forecasted Peak Groundwater Level Changes in the San Joaquin and Tulare Export Service Areas for the No Action Alternative (ELT) as Compared to Existing Conditions	
8-0a–b	Comparison of Impacts on Water Quality	8-2
8-1	Land Cover Types in the Sacramento River and San Joaquin Hydrologic Regions	
8-2	Sacramento Valley Water Year Hydrologic Classifications for 1977–2008	
8-3	San Joaquin Valley Hydrologic Classifications for 1977–2008	
8-4	Maximum Salinity Intrusion into the Delta, 1921–1943	
8-5	Maximum Salinity Intrusion into the Delta, 1944–1990	
8-6	Land Cover Types with Major Point and Nonpoint Constituent Sources in the Plan Area	
8-7	Surface Water Quality Monitoring Locations in the Project Area	
8-8	Spatial Summary of Ammonia Data at Delta Stations (2001 –2006)	
8-9a–b	Temporal Summary of Ammonia Data at Delta Stations	
8-10	Temporal Summary of Ammonia Data at North of Delta and South of Delta Stations	
8-11	Lower San Joaquin River Subareas	
8-12	Spatial Summary of Boron Data at Delta Stations (2001–2006)	
8-13	Temporal Summary of Boron Data at Delta Stations	
8-14	Temporal Summary of Boron Data at North of Delta and South of Delta Stations	
8-15	Spatial Summary of Bromide Data at Delta Stations (2001–2006)	
8-16	Temporal Summary of Bromide Data at Delta Stations	
8-17	Spatial Summary of Chloride Data at Delta Stations (2001–2006)	
8-18a–b	Temporal Summary of Chloride Data at Delta Stations	
8-19	Temporal Summary of Chloride Data at North of Delta and South of Delta Stations	
8-20	United States Dioxin Emissions in 2006	
8-21	Spatial Summary of Dissolved Oxygen Data at Delta Stations (2001–2006)	
8-22	Temporal Summary of Dissolved Oxygen Data at Delta Stations	
8-23	Temporal Summary of Dissolved Oxygen Data at North of Delta and South of Delta Stations	
8-24	Spatial Summary of Salinity Data at Delta Stations (2001–2006)	
8-25a–b	Temporal Summary of Electrical Conductivity Data at Delta Stations	

8-26	Temporal Summary of Electrical Conductivity Data at North of Delta and South of Delta Stations
8-27	Conceptual Model of Mercury and Methylmercury Transport, Fate, and Cycling in the Delta Ecosystem
8-28	Mercury Concentrations in Largemouth Bass Fillets (1999–2000)
8-29	Spatial Summary of Nitrate/Nitrite Data at Delta Stations (2001–2006)
8-30a–b	Temporal Summary of Nitrate/Nitrite Data at Delta Stations
8-31	Temporal Summary of Nitrate/Nitrite Data at North of Delta and South of Delta Stations
8-32	Spatial Summary of Ortho-Phosphorus Data at Delta Stations (2001–2006)
8-33a–b	Temporal Summary of Ortho-Phosphorus Data at Delta Stations
8-34	Temporal Summary of Ortho-Phosphorus Data at North of Delta and South of Delta Stations
8-35	Spatial Summary of Total Phosphorus Data at Delta Stations (2001–2006)
8-36	Temporal Summary of Total Phosphorus Data at Delta Stations
8-37	Temporal Summary of Total Phosphorus Data at North of Delta and South of Delta Stations
8-38	Spatial Summary of Dissolved Organic Carbon Data at Delta Stations (2001–2006)
8-39	Temporal Summary of Dissolved Organic Carbon Data at Delta Stations
8-40	Temporal Summary of Dissolved Organic Carbon Data at North of Delta and South of Delta Stations
8-41	Spatial Summary of Total Organic Carbon Data at Delta Stations (2001–2006)
8-42	Temporal Summary of Total Organic Carbon Data at Delta Stations
8-43	Temporal Summary of Total Organic Carbon Data at North of Delta and South of Delta Stations
8-44	Spatial Summary of Dissolved Selenium Data (1999–2008)
8-45	Total Selenium Concentrations along Main Stem of San Joaquin River at Vernalis, 1994–2007
8-46	Average Annual Delta Sediment Budget Based on Water Years 1999–2002
8-47	Spatial Summary of Turbidity Data at Delta Stations (2001–2006)
8-48a–b	Temporal Summary of Turbidity Data at Delta Stations
8-49	Temporal Summary of Turbidity Data at North of Delta and South of Delta Stations
8-50	Linkages between the Hydrologic and Water Quality Models
8-51	Surface Water Quality Assessment Locations in the Delta

8-52	Monthly Ammonia Concentrations from the Three Major Delta Source Waters	
8-53a–b	Percent Change in Available Assimilative Capacity for Mercury (Based on 25 ng/L Ecological Risk Benchmark) with Respect to Existing Conditions for All Years	
8-54a–b	Percent Change in Available Assimilative Capacity for Mercury (Based on 25 ng/L Ecological Risk Benchmark) with Respect to No Action Alternative for All Years	
8-55a–b	Level of Concern Exceedance Quotients for Methylmercury Concentrations in 350 mm Largemouth Bass Fillets for All Years	
8-56	Monthly Dissolved Phosphorus Concentrations from the Three Major Delta Source Waters	
8-57	Linear Regression between Water Year Average Phosphorus-P Concentration and Water Year Average Flow in the San Joaquin River at Vernalis (1975–2005)	
8-58	Linear Regression between Water Year Average Phosphorus-P Concentration at the Sacramento River at Greene's Landing or Sacramento River at Hood and Water Year Average Flow in the Sacramento River at Freeport (1975–2004)	
8-59a–b	Percent Change in Available Assimilative Capacity for Selenium (Based on 2 µg/L Ecological Risk Benchmark) with Respect to Existing Conditions for All Years	
8-60a–b	Percent Change in Available Assimilative Capacity for Selenium (Based on 2 µg/L Ecological Risk Benchmark) with Respect to No Action Alternative for All Years	
8-61a–b	Level of Concern Exceedance Quotients for Selenium Concentrations in Whole-Body Fish for Drought Years	
8-62a–b	Level of Concern Exceedance Quotients for Selenium Concentrations in Bird Eggs (Invertebrate Diet) for Drought Years	
8-63a–b	Level of Concern Exceedance Quotients for Selenium Concentrations in Bird Eggs (Fish Diet) for Drought Years	
8-64a–b	Tissue Advisory Level Exceedance Quotients for Selenium Concentrations in Fish Fillets for Drought Years	
8-65a	Monthly average flow in the San Joaquin River at Stockton for May-October of Dry and Critical water year types for Existing Conditions, No Action Alternative, and Alternatives 1-9	
8-65b	Monthly average flow in the San Joaquin River at Stockton for May-October of Dry and Critical water year types for Existing Conditions, No Action Alternative, and Alternatives 4A, 2D, and 5A	
9-0	Comparison of Impacts on Geology and Seismology	9-2
9-1	Geomorphic Provinces of California	
9-2	Geologic Time Scale	
9-3	Geology of the Plan Area	
9-4a–g	Geologic Borehole Locations, Geologic Borehole Log Explanation, and Geologic Borehole Logs in the Vicinity of Proposed Tunnels	

9-5	Active Faults and Historical Seismicity of the Bay and Delta Region, 1800–2010	
9-6	Levee Seismic Vulnerability Groups	
9-7	Levees Near State Highway	
9-8a–b	Levees Near Access Roads	
10-0	Comparison of Impacts on Soils	10-2
10-1	Soil Associations in the Plan Area	
10-2	Soil Organic Matter Content in Near-Surface Soils	
10-3	Thickness of Organic Soils	
10-4	Soil Shrink-Swell Potential	
10-5	Water Erosion Hazard	
10-6	Wind Erosion Hazard	
10-7	Risk of Soil Corrosion to Uncoated Steel	
10-8	Risk of Soil Corrosion to Concrete	
10-9	Subsidence in the Delta	
11-1	Combined Number of Fish Salvaged Annually at CVP and SWP South Delta Export Facilities, 1991–2010	
11-2	Sheet Pile Impact Driving	
11-3	24-inch Steel Pipe Pile in Dewatered Cofferdam Impact Driving	
11-4	24-inch Steel Pipe Pile Impact Driving	
11-5	Calculated Sea Level Rise Curve for the Plan	
11-1A-1	Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Larval/Juvenile Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 1A, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression	
11-1A-2	Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Adult Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 1A, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression (USFWS 2008a, with adjustment from Kimmerer 2011)	
11-1A-3	Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares), Averaged by Water Year Type, with and without Restoration (100% occupancy assumed) under Alternative 1A	
11-1A-4	Clear Creek Flow Recommendations from Denton (1986) Instream Flow Incremental Methodology Study	
11-1A-5	Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types under Alternative 1A, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs	

11-1A-6	a) Shasta Reservoir Storage Volume (TAF) as a Function of Elevation (feet); b) Shasta Reservoir Surface Area (acres) as a Function of Elevation (feet)
11-1A-7	Measured Monthly Water Temperature Profiles in Shasta Reservoir during 1995
11-1A-8	Simulated Shasta Reservoir August Storage and Coldwater Habitat Volumes (<50 °F and <58 °F) for the No Action Baseline for Water Years 1922–2003 [Source: CALSIM and SRWQM results]
11-1A-9	Simulated Relationship between Shasta Storage and Coldwater Habitat Volume (TAF) for 1922–2003
11-1A-10	Comparison of CALSIM-Simulated Trinity Reservoir Carryover Storage Sequence for the Nine BDCP Alternatives and No Action Baseline for the Late Long-Term for 1922–2003
11-1A-11	Comparison of CALSIM-Simulated Shasta Reservoir Carryover Storage Sequence for the Nine BDCP Alternatives and No Action Baseline for the Late Long-Term for 1922–2003
11-1A-12	Comparison of CALSIM-Simulated Oroville Reservoir Carryover Storage Sequence for the Nine BDCP Alternatives and No Action Baseline for the Late Long-Term for 1922–2003
11-1A-13	Comparison of CALSIM-Simulated Folsom Reservoir Carryover Storage Sequence for the Nine BDCP Alternatives and No Action Baseline for the Late Long-Term for 1922–2003
11-1A-14	Comparison of CALSIM-Simulated New Melones Reservoir Carryover Storage Sequence for the Nine BDCP Alternatives and No Action Baseline for the Late Long-Term for 1922–2003
11-1A-15	Comparison of CALSIM-Simulated San Luis Reservoir Carryover Storage Sequence for the Nine BDCP Alternatives and No Action Baseline for the Late Long-Term for 1922–2003
11-2A-1	Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Larval/Juvenile Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 2A, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression
11-2A-2	Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Adult Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 2A, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression (USFWS 2008a, with adjustment from Kimmerer 2011)
11-2A-3	Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares), Averaged by Water Year Type, with and without Restoration (100% occupancy assumed) under Alternative 2A
11-2A-4	Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types under Alternative 2A, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs

- 11-3-1 Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Larval/Juvenile Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 3, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression
- 11-3-2 Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Adult Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 3, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression (USFWS 2008a, with adjustment from Kimmerer 2011)
- 11-3-3 Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares), Averaged by Water Year Type, with and without Restoration (100% occupancy assumed) under Alternative 3
- 11-3-4 Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types under Alternative 3, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs
- 11-4-1 Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Larval/Juvenile Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 4 (Scenarios H3, H1, and H4), Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression
- 11-4-2 Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Adult Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 4 (Scenarios H3, H1, and H4), Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression
- 11-4-3 Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares), Averaged by Water Year Type, with and without Restoration (100% occupancy assumed) under Alternative 4 (Scenarios H1, H3, and H4)
- 11-5-1 Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Larval/Juvenile Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 5, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression
- 11-5-2 Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Adult Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 5, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression
- 11-5-3 Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares), Averaged by Water Year Type, with and without Restoration (100% occupancy assumed) under Alternative 5
- 11-6A-1 Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares), Averaged by Water Year Type, with and without Restoration (100% occupancy assumed) under Alternative 6A
- 11-6A-2 Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types under Alternative 6A, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs
- 11-7-1 Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Larval/Juvenile Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 7, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression

11-7-2	Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Adult Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 7, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression
11-7-3	Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (Hectares), Averaged by Water Year Type, with and without Restoration (100% occupancy assumed) under Alternative 7
11-7-4	Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types under Alternative 7, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs
11-8-1	Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Larval/Juvenile Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 8, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression
11-8-2	Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Adult Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 8, Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression
11-8-3	Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares), Averaged by Water Year Type, with and without Restoration (100% occupancy assumed) under Alternative 8
11-8-4	Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types under Alternative 8, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs
11-9-1	Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Index (hectares), Averaged by Water Year Type, with and without Restoration (100% occupancy assumed) under Alternative 9
11-9-2	Frequencies of Inundation Events (for 82-Year Simulations) of Different Durations on the Yolo Bypass under Different Scenarios and Water Year Types under Alternative 9, February through June, from 15 2-D and Daily CALSIM II Modeling Runs
11-4A-1	Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Larval/Juvenile Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 4A (Scenarios H3_ELT and H4_ELT), Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression
11-4A-2	Average Annual Estimated Proportion of the Adult Delta Smelt Population Lost to Entrainment at the SWP/CVP South Delta Facilities for Alternative 4A (Scenarios H3_ELT and H4_ELT), Based on the Proportional Entrainment Regression
11-4A-3	Delta Smelt Fall Abiotic Habitat Index, Averaged By Water Year Type, without Restoration under Alternative 4A (Scenarios H3_ELT and H4_ELT)
12-1	Distribution of Natural Communities in the Study Area
12-2	Essential Habitat Connectivity
12-3	BDCP Plan Area in Relation to Other Conservation Plan Boundaries
12-4	BDCP Features in Relation to Overlapping Regional Conservation Plans

12-5	Vernal Pool Crustacean Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-6	Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-7	Other Vernal Pool Invertebrates Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-8	Sacramento Anthicid Beetle Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-9	Antioch Dunes Anthicid Beetle Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-10	Inland Dune Scrub Invertebrate Species Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-11	Delta Green Ground Beetle Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-12	Callippe Silverspot Butterfly Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-13	California Red-Legged Frog Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-14	California Tiger Salamander Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-15a	Giant Garter Snake Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-16	Western Pond Turtle Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-17	California Horned Lizard, San Joaquin Whipsnake, and Silvery Legless Lizard Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-18	California Black Rail Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-19	California Clapper Rail Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-20	California Least Tern Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-21	Greater Sandhill Crane Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-22	Lesser Sandhill Crane Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-23	Least Bell's Vireo Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-24	Yellow Warbler Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-25	Suisun Song Sparrow Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-26	Saltmarsh Common Yellowthroat Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-27	Swainson's Hawk Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-28	Tricolored Blackbird Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-29	Western Burrowing Owl Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-30	Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-31	White-Tailed Kite Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-32	Yellow-Breasted Chat Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-33	Cooper's Hawk and Osprey Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity
12-34	Ferruginous Hawk and Golden Eagle Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity

12-35	Cormorant, Heron and Egret Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-36	Northern Harrier and Short-Eared Owl Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-37	Redhead Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-38	Mountain Plover Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-39	Black Tern Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-40	Grasshopper Sparrow and California Horned Lark Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-41	Least Bittern and White-Faced Ibis Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-42	Loggerhead Shrike Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-43	Song Sparrow "Modesto" Population Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-44	Bank Swallow Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-45	Yellow-Headed Blackbird Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-46	Riparian Brush Rabbit Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-47	Riparian Woodrat Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-48	Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-49	San Joaquin Kit Fox Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-50	Suisun Shrew Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-51	Special-Status Bat Species Occurrences in Study Area Vicinity	
12-52	San Joaquin Pocket Mouse Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-53	American Badger Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-54	Vernal Pool Complex Botany Species Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-55	Alkali Seasonal Wetland Botany Species Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-56	Grassland Botany Species Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-57	Valley/Foothill Riparian Botany Species Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-58	Tidal Wetland Botany Species Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-59	Inland Dune Scrub Botany Species Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
12-60	Nontidal Wetland Botany Species Distribution and Habitat in Study Area Vicinity	
13-0	Comparison of Impacts on Land Use	13-2
13-1	Statutory Delta and Plan Area	

13-2	Generalized Land Use Designations within the Plan Area	
14-0	Comparison of Impacts on Agricultural Resources.....	14-2
14-1	Overview of Agricultural Type	
14-2	Lands under Williamson Act Contracts	
14-3	Important Farmland Overview	
15-0	Comparison of Impacts on Recreation	15-2
15-1	Recreation Facilities in the Plan Area	
15-2	Recreation Facilities in the Upstream of the Delta Region	
16-0	Comparison of Impacts on Socioeconomics.....	16-2
16-1	Steps in Analyzing Changes in Employment and Income as a Result of Constructing and Operating CM1	
17-0	Comparison of Impacts on Aesthetics and Visual Resources	17-2
17-1	Key Observation Points and Proposed Photosimulations	
17-2	Key Observation Point 1	
17-3	Key Observation Point 3	
17-4	Key Observation Point 4	
17-5	Key Observation Point 12	
17-6	Key Observation Point 16	
17-7	Key Observation Point 18	
17-8	Key Observation Point 19	
17-9	Key Observation Point 20	
17-10	Key Observation Point 26	
17-11	Key Observation Point 30	
17-12	Key Observation Point 38	
17-13	Key Observation Point 41	
17-14	Key Observation Point 42	
17-15	Key Observation Point 49	
17-16	Key Observation Point 54	
17-17	Key Observation Point 55	
17-18	Key Observation Point 56	
17-19	Key Observation Point 62	
17-20	Key Observation Point 65	
17-21	Key Observation Point 68	

17-22	Key Observation Point 69
17-23	Key Observation Point 72
17-24	Key Observation Point 73
17-25	Key Observation Point 74
17-26	Key Observation Point 76
17-27	Key Observation Point 84
17-28	Key Observation Point 86
17-29	Key Observation Point 86
17-30	Key Observation Point 89
17-31	Key Observation Point 98
17-32	Key Observation Point 98
17-33	Key Observation Point 101
17-34	Key Observation Point 103
17-35	Key Observation Point 106
17-36	Key Observation Point 107
17-37	Key Observation Point 115
17-38	Key Observation Point 119
17-39	Key Observation Point 120
17-40	Key Observation Point 124
17-41	Key Observation Point 136
17-42	Key Observation Point 140
17-43	Key Observation Point 141
17-44	Key Observation Point 152
17-45	Key Observation Point 154
17-46	Key Observation Point 158
17-47	Key Observation Point 162
17-48	Key Observation Point 165
17-49	Key Observation Point 168
17-50	Key Observation Point 173
17-51	Key Observation Point 174
17-52	Key Observation Point 176
17-53	Key Observation Point 177

17-54	Key Observation Point 179
17-55	Key Observation Point 180
17-56	Key Observation Point 181
17-57	Key Observation Point 183
17-58	Key Observation Point 184
17-59	Key Observation Point 189
17-60	Key Observation Point 192
17-61	Key Observation Point 197
17-62	Key Observation Point 198
17-63	Key Observation Point 208
17-64	Key Observation Point 209
17-65	Key Observation Point 212
17-66	Key Observation Point 217
17-67	Key Observation Point 221
17-68	Key Observation Point 222
17-69	Key Observation Point 228
17-70	Key Observation Point 235
17-71	Key Observation Point 236
17-72	Key Observation Point 241
17-73	Key Observation Point 254
17-74	Key Observation Point 255
17-75	Key Observation Point 258
17-76a	Existing and Simulated Views of Intake 3 East from SR 160 in January 2012 (Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 6A, 6B, 7, and 8)
17-76b	Existing and Simulated Views of Intake 3 East from SR 160 in July 2013 (Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 6A, 6B, 7, and 8)
17-77	Existing and Simulated Views of Intake 2 West from SR 160 (Alternatives 1C, 2C, and 6C)
17-78	Existing and Simulated Views of Intake 4 East from SR 160 (Alternatives 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 6A, and 6B)
17-79	Existing and Simulated Views of Intermediate Forebay from SR 160 (Alternatives 1A, 2A, 3, 5, 6A, 7, and 8)
17-80	Existing and Simulated Views of Launch/Retrieval Shaft Site near Isleton Road (Alternatives 1A, 2A, 3, 5, 6A, 7, and 8)

17-81	Existing and Simulated Views of the East Canal from I-5 at Lambert Road (Alternatives 1B, 2B, and 6B)	
17-82	Existing and Simulated Views of the East Canal from SR 12 (Alternatives 1B, 2B, and 6B)	
17-83	Existing and Simulated Views of the East Canal from SR 4 (Alternatives 1B, 2B, and 6B)	
17-84	Existing and Simulated Views of the West Canal from SR 4 (Alternatives 1C, 2C, and 6C)	
17-85	Existing and Simulated Views of Intake 2 East from South River Road (Alternatives 2D, 4, 4A, and 5A)	
17-86a	Existing and Simulated Views of Intake 3 East from SR 160 in January 2012 (Alternatives 2D, 4, and 4A)	
17-86b	Existing and Simulated Views of Intake 3 East from SR 160 in July 2013 (Alternatives 2D, 4, and 4A)	
17-87	Existing and Simulated Views of Intermediate Forebay from Twin Cities Road (Alternatives 2D, 4, 4A, and 5A)	
17-88	Existing and Simulated Views of the Delta Cross Canal Intake at Walnut Grove (Alternative 9)	
17-89	Existing and Simulated Views of the Operable Barrier Site on Threemile Slough at Brannan Island State Recreation Area (Alternative 9)	
17-90	Existing and Simulated Views of the Channel Modification at Hammer Island (Alternative 9)	
18-0	Comparison of Impacts on Cultural Resources.....	18-2
19-0	Comparison of Impacts on Transportation.....	19-2
19-1	Transportation Facilities in the Plan Area Vicinity	
19-2a–c	Roadway Segments	
19-3a–b	Level of Service Effects on Roadway Study Segments	
19-4a–b	Pavement Condition Effects on Roadway Study Segments	
20-0	Comparison of Impacts on Public Services and Utilities.....	20-2
20-1	Law Enforcement Facilities and Hospitals	
20-2	Fire Protection Entities and Facilities	
20-3	School Districts	
20-4	Solid Waste Facilities	
20-5	Conflict with the Hood Fire Station Associated with the Pipeline/Tunnel Alignment (Alternatives 1A, 2A, 6A, 7, and 8)	

20-6	Conflict with the Hood Fire Station Associated with the East Alignment (Alternatives 1B, 2B, and 6B)	
21-0	Comparison of Impacts on Energy.....	21-2
21-1	2010 SWP Power Resources	
21-2	SWP Forecast 2020 Power Resources	
21-3	CALSIM-II—Simulated Monthly North Delta Pumping Flow (cfs) for Alternative 1A—Water Years 1922–2003	
21-4	Relationship between Monthly North Delta Pumping Flow (cfs) and Monthly Pumping Energy (GWh) for Alternative 1A—Water Years 1922–2003	
22-0a–c	Comparison of Impacts on Air Quality.....	22-2
22-1	Air Basins in the Plan Area	
22-2	The Greenhouse Gas Effect	
22-3	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 1A, 1990–2050	
22-4	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 1A and REPP, 1990–2050	
22-5	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 1B, 1990–2050	
22-6	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 1B and REPP, 1990–2050	
22-7	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 1C, 1990–2050	
22-8	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 1C and REPP, 1990–2050	
22-9	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 2A, 1990–2050	
22-10	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 2A and REPP, 1990–2050	
22-11	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 2B, 1990–2050	
22-12	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 2B and REPP, 1990–2050	
22-13	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 2C, 1990–2050	
22-14	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 2C and REPP, 1990–2050	
22-15	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 3, 1990–2050	
22-16	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 3 and REPP, 1990–2050	
22-17	DWR Total Emissions 1990-2050 Including BDCP Alternative 4H	
22-18	DWR Total Emissions 1990-2050 Including BDCP Alternative 4H and Revised REPP	
22-19	DWR Total Emissions with Alternative 5, 1990–2050	
22-20	DWR Total Emissions 1990–2050 Including BDCP Alternative 4A	
22-21	DWR Total Emissions 1990–2050 Including BDCP Alternative 2D	
22-22	DWR Total Emissions 1990-2050 Including BDCP Alternative 2D and Revised REPP	
22-23	DWR Total Emissions 1990–2050 Including BDCP Alternative 5A	

23-0	Comparison of Noise Impacts.....	23-2
23-1	FRA and FTA Allowable Increase in Cumulative Noise Level	
23-2	Guidelines for Evaluating Compatibility of Land Uses	
24-0	Comparison of Impacts on Hazards and Hazardous Materials.....	24-2
24-1	Oil and Gas Processing Facilities	
24-2	Designated Hazardous Material Routes and Railroads	
24-3	Oil and Gas Pipelines	
24-4	Sites of Concern	
24-5	Oil and Gas Wells	
24-6	Electrical Transmission Lines	
24-7	Construction Fueling Stations	
24-8	Sensitive Receptors	
24-9	Airports and Private Airstrips	
24-10	Fire Hazard Severity Zones	
25-0	Comparison of Impacts on Public Health	25-2
25-1	Sediment Removal Process at Intakes	
25-2	Population Density and Transmission Lines	
25-3	Population Density and Aquatic and Wetland Communities	
26-0	Comparison of Impacts on Minerals.....	26-2
26-1	Active Mines in the Plan Area	
26-2	Oil and Gas Fields in the Plan Area Vicinity	
27-0	Comparison of Impacts on Paleontological Resources.....	27-2
27-1	North American Land Mammal Ages	
27-2	Surface Exposure of Geologic Units with Potential to Contain Fossils	
27-3	Depth to Top of Pleistocene Deposits	
28-0	Comparison of Impacts on Environmental Justice	28-2
28-1	Minority Populations in the Plan Area	
28-2	Low-Income Populations in the Plan Area	
29-1	Potential Changes in Inundation at Mean Sea Level with High Tide as a Consequence of 55-Inch Sea Level Rise	
29-2	Modeling Approach for Effects of Sea Level Rise in the Delta	
30-0	Comparison of Impacts on Growth	30-2
30-1	Water Uses and Water Supplies for each Hydrologic Region	

- 30-2 Changes in Construction Employment in Solano, Yolo, Sacramento, and San
Joaquin Counties (1990–2010)
- 30-3 Comparison of Average Annual SWP and CVP South of Delta Deliveries by
Alternative (taf/yr)

Acronyms and Abbreviations

°F	degrees Fahrenheit
µg	micrograms
µg/g	micrograms per gram
µg/L	micrograms per liter
µg/m ³	micrograms per cubic meter
µmhos/cm	micromhos/cm
µmol/L	micromoles per liter
µS/cm	microSiemens per centimeter
1978 Delta Plan	Water Quality Control Plan for the Delta and Suisun Marsh adopted in 1978
1983 CDFG Agreement	Agreement Concerning the Operation of the Oroville Division of the State Water Project for Management of Fish and Wildlife
1993 NMFS BiOp	National Marine Fisheries Service Sacramento River Winter-run Chinook Salmon Biological Opinion
1995 Bay-Delta Plan	San Francisco Bay/Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Water Quality Control Plan
2009 Plan	Northern Sacramento Valley Planning Area 2009 Triennial Air Quality Attainment Plan
A1A_LLT	Alternative 1A late long-term
A2A_LLT	Alternative 2A long long-term
A2D_ELT	Alternative 2D early long-term
A3_LLT	Alternative 3 late long-term
A4_LLT	Alternative 4 late long-term
A5_LLT	Alternative 5 late long-term
A5A_ELT	Alternative 5A early long-term
A6A_LLT	Alternative 6A late long-term
A7_LLT	Alternative 7 late long-term
A8_LLT	Alternative 8 late long-term
A9_LLT	Alternative 9 late long-term
AAs	Administering Agencies
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
AB	Assembly Bill
AB 1717	Suisun Marsh Preservation Act of 1977
AB 1807	Tanner Air Toxics Act
AB 2588	Air Toxics Hot Spots Information and Assessment Act of 1987
AB 32	Assembly Bill 32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006
AB 433	Marine Invasive Species Act of 2003
ABAG	Association of Bay Area Government
ACE	Altamont Commuter Express
ACEC	Area of Critical Environmental Concern
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

ACWD	Alameda County Water District
af	acre-feet
AF/yr	acre-feet per year
AFB	Air Force Base
Ag Vision	California Agricultural Vision
AGR	agricultural supply (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
AGR	agriculture (a source of water)
AIP	Alternative Intake Project
ALSP	Agricultural Lands Stewardship Plan
ALUC	Airport Land Use Commission
ALUCP	airport land use compatibility plan
AMBAG	Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments
AMM	avoidance and minimization measure
AMS	Agriculture Marketing Service
AOA	Air Operations Area
AP	Alquist-Priolo
AQMD	Air Quality Management District
AQMP	Air Quality Mitigation Plan
AQUA	aquaculture (a designated beneficial use of inland waters)
AR	atmospheric river
ARB	California Air Resources Board
ARPA	Archaeological Resources Protection Act
ASL	anticipated service life
AST	aboveground storage tanks
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
ATS	Active Treatment Systems
AVEK	Antelope Valley – East Kern Water Agency
BA	Biological Assessment
BAAQMD	Bay Area Air Quality Management District
BAARI	Bay Area Aquatic Resource Inventory
BANC	Balancing Authority of Northern California
Banks pumping plant	Harvey O. Banks Pumping Plant
BART	Bay Area Rapid Transit District
Basin Plan	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board’s Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento and San Joaquin River Basins
Bay	San Francisco Bay
Bay Area	San Francisco Bay Area
Bay Plan	San Francisco Bay Plan
Bay-Delta	San Francisco Bay/Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta
Bay-Delta Plan, Bay-Delta WQCP	San Francisco Bay/Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Estuary Water Quality Control Plan
BCC	birds of conservation concern
BCDC	Bay Conservation and Development Commission

BDAT	Bay-Delta and Tributaries Project
BDCP	Bay Delta Conservation Plan
BECT	Bay Delta Conservation Plan Environmental Coordination Team
BETP	built environment treatment plan
bgs	below ground surface
BIA	Bureau of Indian Affairs
BIOL	preservation of biological habitats of special significance (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
BiOp	Biological Opinion
BLM	biotic ligand model
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
Blueprint Report	The Great California Delta Trail Blueprint Report for Contra Costa and Solano Counties
BMP	best management practices
BMX	bicycle motocross
BNSF	Burlington Northern Santa Fe
BNSF Railway	Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway
BP	before present
BPBG	baseline plus background growth
BPBGPP	baseline plus background growth plus project
BPS	best performance standards
C&D	Construction and Demolition
CA	California Aqueduct
CAA	Clean Air Act
CAAA	Clean Air Act amendments
CAAQS	California ambient air quality standards
CAISMP	California Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan
CAISO	California Independent System Operator
CalARP	California Accidental Release Prevention
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
Cal/EPA	California Environmental Protection Agency
CALFED	CALFED Bay-Delta Program
CALFED ROD	CALFED Bay-Delta Program, Programmatic Record of Decision
CAL FIRE	California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection
Cal-OSHA	California Occupational Safety and Health Administration
CalRecycle	California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery
Caltrans	California Department of Transportation
CALVEG	U.S. Forest Service's California vegetation dataset
CAP	Climate Action Plan
CAMT	Collaborative Adaptive Management Team
CARB	California Air Resources Board
Carl Moyer Program	Carl Moyer Memorial Air Quality Standards Attainment Program
CASGEM	California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring

CAT	Climate Action Team
CBC	California Building Code
CCAA	California Clean Air Act
CCAs	Community Choice Aggregations
CCF	Clifton Court Forebay
CCP	Comprehensive Conservation Plan
CCR	California Code of Regulations
CCT	Central California Traction Company
CCWD	Contra Costa Water District
CDBW	California Department of Boating and Waterways
CDE	California Department of Education
CDEC	California Data Exchange Center
CDFA	California Department of Food and Agriculture
CDFG	California Department of Fish and Game
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife
CDO	Cease and Desist Order, Water Rights Order No. 2006-0006
CDPR	California Department of Pesticide Regulation
CDPs	Census-designated places
CDWA	Central Delta Water Agency
CE	cerebral encephalitis
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEHC	California Essential Habitat Connectivity
Central Valley Water Board	Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
CEQ	Council on Environmental Quality
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CER	Conceptual Engineering Reports
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CESA	California Endangered Species Act
CF	conversion factor
CFL	compact florescent lamps
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
cfs	cubic feet per second
CGP	Construction General Permit
CGS	California Geological Survey
CH	County Highway
CH ₄	methane
CHRIS	California Historical Resources Information System
CHS	concrete hydraulic structures
CHSRA	California High Speed Rail Authority
CHTR	collection handling, transport, and release
CIDH	cast-in-drilled-hole
CIP	Capital Improvement Program
CIP-	cast-in-place-
CIWMB	California Integrated Waste Management Board

cKOPs	candidate key observation points
CLUP	Comprehensive Land Use Plan
cm	centimeter
CM	Conservation Measure
CNDDB	California Natural Diversity Database
CNEL	Community Noise Equivalent Level
CNG	compressed natural gas
CNPS	California Native Plant Society
CNRA	California Natural Resource Agency
CO	carbon monoxide
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	carbon dioxide equivalent
COA	Coordinated Operations Agreement
CO-CAT	Coastal and Ocean Working Group of the California Climate Action Team
COG	Council of Governments
COLD	cold freshwater habitat (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
COMM	commercial and sport fishing (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
CPA	conservation planning area
CPT	Cone Penetration Test
CPUC	California Public Utilities Commission
CRHR	California Register of Historical Resources
CRPR	California Rare Plant Rank
CRSB	Coast Ranges—Sierran Block
CSD	Community Service District
CSAMP	Collaborative Science and Adaptive Management Program
CSMP	Construction Site Monitoring Program
CTR	California Toxics Rule
CUPA	Certified Unified Program Agency
CUWA	California Urban Water Agencies
CV	Central Valley
CVFMP	Central Valley Flood Management Program
CVFPB	Central Valley Flood Protection Board
CVFPP	Central Valley Flood Protection Plan
CVHM-D	Central Valley Hydrologic Model-Delta
CVJV	Central Valley Joint Venture
CVP	Central Valley Project
CVPIA	Central Valley Project Improvement Act
CVWD	Coachella Valley Water District
CWA	Clean Water Act
CWAP	California Water Action Plan
CWEMF	California Water and Environmental Modeling Forum
CWHR	California wildlife habitat relationships
CWT	coded wire tag
CZ	Conservation Zone

D/DBP	disinfection byproducts
D-1485	State Water Resources Control Board Water Rights Decision 1485
D-1641	State Water Resources Control Board Water Rights Decision 1641
D-893	State Water Resources Control Board Water Rights Decision 893
DAT	Data Assessment Team
dB	decibel
dBA	A-weighted decibel
DBCP	dibromochloropropane
DBEEP	Delta-Bay Enhanced Enforcement Program
DBPs	disinfection byproducts
DBW	California Department of Boating and Waterways
DCC	Delta Cross Channel
DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
Delta	Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta
Delta Protection Act	Johnston-Baker-Andal-Boatwright Delta Protection Act of 1992
Delta Reform Act	Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Reform Act of 2009
DES	diethylstilbestrol
DHCCP	Delta Habitat Conservation and Conveyance Program
DMC	Delta Mendota Canal
DMD	Dredge Material Disposal
DNL	daytime-nighttime noise level
DO	dissolved oxygen
DOC	dissolved organic carbon
DOC	California Department of Conservation
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOF	California Department of Finance
DOGGR	California Department of Conservation, Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources
DOI	U.S. Department of the Interior
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
DPC	Delta Protection Commission
DPFs	diesel particulate filters
DPH	California Department of Public Health
DPM	Delta Passage Model (applies only to Chapter 11, <i>Fish and Aquatic Resources</i>)
DPM	diesel particulate matter
DPR	California Department of Parks and Recreation
DPS	distinct population segment
DRERIP	Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan
DRMS	Delta Risk Management Strategy
dS	deciSiemens
dS/m	deciSiemens per meter
DSC	Delta Stewardship Council

DSM2	Delta Simulation Model 2
DSOD	Division of Safety of Dams
DSRAM	Delta Smelt Risk Assessment Matrix
DTSC	California Department of Toxic Substances Control
DWR	California Department of Water Resources
DWSC	Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel
DWSE	design water surface elevations
E/I	export/import
EACCS	East Alameda County Conservation Strategy
EBC	existing biological conditions
EBMUD	East Bay Municipal Utility District
EBRPD	East Bay Regional Park District
EC	electrical conductivity
ECAP	East County Area Plan
ECAs	essential connectivity areas
ECCCHCP/NCCP	East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservation Plan / Natural Community Conservation Plan
ECe	soil salinity
E-Clay	Corcoran Clay
ECw	water salinity
EDC	endocrine disrupting compounds
EDD	California Employment Development Department
EFH	essential fish habitat
EIR	environmental impact report
EIS	environmental impact statement
ELPH	Equivalent Level of Public Health Protection
ELT	early long-term
EM	Engineer Manual
EMF	electromagnetic field
EMT	emergency medical technician
ENSO	El Niño Southern Oscillation
Environmental Checklist	California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines, Appendix G
EO	Executive Order
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EPB	earth pressure balance
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act
ERIP	Emissions Reduction Incentive Program
ERP	Environmental Restoration Program
ERP DRERIP	Ecosystem Restoration Program Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan
ERPP	Ecosystem Restoration Program Plan
ESA	Endangered Species Act
ESO	evaluation starting operation

ESP	Economic Sustainability Plan
ESP	energy service provider
EST	estuarine habitat (a designated beneficial use of the Delta)
EST	eastside tributaries
EWA	Environmental Water Account
Export Service Areas	State Water Project and Central Valley Water Project Export Service Areas
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FAV	floating aquatic vegetation
FCCL	Fish Conservation and Culture Laboratory
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FFTT	Fish Facilities Technical Team
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FIFRA	federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act
FIRM	Flood Insurance Rate Map
FMMP	Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program
FMP	Farm Process Module
FMS	Flow Management Standard
FMWT	fall midwater trawl
FPA	Federal Power Act
FPCP	Fire Prevention and Control Plan
FPD	fire protection districts
fpm	feet per minute
FPPA	Federal Farmland Protection Policy Act
FR	Federal Register
FRA	Federal Railroad Administration
FRSH	freshwater replenishment (a designated beneficial use of inland waters)
FRWA	Freeport Regional Water Authority
FRWP	Freeport Regional Water Project
ft	foot, feet
ft/sec	feet per second
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
FWCA	Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act
FY	Fiscal Year
g	acceleration due to gravity
GAC	granular activated carbon
GAMA	Groundwater Ambient Monitoring and Assessment Program
General Permit	General Permit for Storm Water Discharges Associated with Construction and Land Disturbance Activities, State Water Board Order No. 2009-0009-DWQ
GHB	general head boundaries
GHG	greenhouse gas

GIS	geographic information system
GPS	global positioning system
GW	gigawatt
GWMP	groundwater management plan
GWP	global warming potential
GWR	groundwater recharge (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
GWR	gross vehicle weight rating (applies only in Chapter 22, <i>Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases</i>)
gypsum	calcium sulfate
HAAs	haloacetic acids
HABS	Historic American Building Surveys
HAER	Historic American Engineering Records
HALS	Historic American Landscape Surveys
HCM	Highway Capacity Manual
HCP	habitat conservation plan
HDLEVIP	Heavy-Duty Low-Emission Vehicle Incentive Programs
HFC	hydrofluorocarbons
Hg/kg	mercury per kilogram
HI	Hazard Index
HMMP	hazardous materials management plan
HMTA	Hazardous Materials Transportation Act
HOS	high outflow scenario
HOTT	Habitat and Operations Technical Team
HOV	high occupancy vehicle
hp	horsepower
HQ	hazard quotients
HRA	Health Risk Assessment
HRPTT	Habitat Restoration Program Technical Team
HSI	habitat suitability index
HSR	Historic Structures Reports
HUs	Habitat Units
I-	Interstate-
IAV	invasive aquatic vegetation
ID	inside diameter
IEP	Interagency Ecological Program
IEPR	Integrated Energy Policy Report
IES	Illuminating Engineering Society
IIPP	Injury and Illness Prevention Program
IMPLAN	Impact Analysis for Planning
in/sec	inches per second peak particle velocity
IND	industrial service supply (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
Intertie	California Aqueduct/Delta-Mendota Canal Intertie

IOS	Interactive Object-Oriented Simulation Model
IOU	investor-owned utilities
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPM	integrated pest management
IPO	Interim Plan of Operation
IRI	International Roughness Index
IRWD	Irvine Ranch Water District
IRWM	integrated regional water management
ISA	Initial Site Assessment
ISB	Independent Science Board
ISD	Ironhouse Sanitary District
ITP	incidental take permit
IWMA	Integrated Waste Management Act
IWMP	integrated waste management plan
IWOFF	Integrated Water Operations and Fisheries Forum
Jones Pumping Plant	C. W. “Bill” Jones Pumping Plant
JPA	Joint Powers Authority
JPOD	Joint Point of Diversion
ka	thousand years
KCWA	Kern County Water Agency
km	kilometers
KOP	key observation point
kV	kilovolt
kW	kilowatt
Kw	soil erodibility factor
kWh	kilowatt hour
kwWh/af	kilowatt hours per acre-foot
LADWP	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power
LAFCO	Local Agency Formation Commission
LCFS	low carbon fuel standard
LED	light emitting diode
LEDPA	Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative
LEL	lower explosive limit
LEP	linear extensibility percentage
LESA	Land Evaluation and Site Assessment
LFC	low-flow channel
LID	low impact development
LiDAR	light detection and ranging
LLT	late long-term
LMP	land management plan
LNG	liquefied natural gas

LOS	level of service
LOS	low outflow scenario
LPG	liquefied petroleum gas
LRA	Local Regulatory Authority
LRFD	load and resistance factor
LSE	Load Serving Entity
LT	long-term
LUCP	land use compatibility plan
LURMP	Land Use and Resources Management Plan
LWRM	limited warm freshwater habitat (a designated beneficial use of inland waters)
M	moment magnitude
M-	Marine Highway
M&I	municipal and industrial
MAF	million acre-feet
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MCE	maximum considered earthquake
Mcf	1,000 cubic feet
MCL	maximum contaminant level
MCLG	maximum contaminant level goal
MCY	million cubic yards
MDE	maximum design earthquake
MEI	maximum exposed individual
Mercury Basin Plan Amendments	Amendments to the Water Quality Control Plan for the Sacramento River and San Joaquin River Basins for the Control of Methylmercury and Total Mercury in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Estuary
mg Hg/kg	milligrams mercury per kilogram
mg/L	milligrams per liter
mg/m ³	milligrams per cubic meter
MGD	million gallons per day
MHHW	mean higher high water
MIAD	Mormon Island Auxiliary Dam
MID	Modesto Irrigation District
MIGR	migration of aquatic organisms (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
M _L	Richter magnitude
MLD	most likely descendant
MLLW	mean lower low water
mm	millimeter
MMI	Modified Mercalli Intensity
MMPA	Marine Mammal Protection Act
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MPN/100 ml	most probable number per 100 milliliters
MPO	metropolitan planning organization

MRZ	Mineral Resource Zone
MS	Municipal Separate
mS/cm	milliSiemens/cm
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System
MSA	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
MSCS	multi-species conservation strategy
MSDS	manufacturer of material safety data sheets
MSHA	Mine Safety and Health Administration
msl	mean sea level
MSP	Memorial State Park
MSW	municipal solid waste
MTC	Metropolitan Transportation Commission
MUN	municipal and domestic supply (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
MVA	megavolt ampere
MW	megawatt
MWDSC	Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
MWh	megawatt hours
MWQI	municipal water quality investigations
N	nitrogen
N ₂ O	nitrous oxide
NAA	No Action Alternative
NAA_ELT	No Action Alternative early long-term
NAA_LLT	No Action Alternative late long-term
NAAQS	national ambient air quality standards
NAC	noise abatement criteria
NAGPRA	Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act
NAHC	Native American Heritage Commission
NAIP	National Agriculture Imagery Program
NALs	Numeric Action Levels
NAS	National Academy of Sciences
NAV	navigation (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
NAVD 88	North American Vertical Datum of 1988
NAWMP	North American Waterfowl Management Plan
NAWS	National Agriculture Worker Survey
NBA	North Bay Aqueduct
NCCP	natural community conservation plan
NCCPA	Natural Community Conservation Planning Act
NDD	north Delta diversion
NDEA	N-Nitrosodiethylamine
NDMA	N-Nitrosodimethylamine
NDPA	N-Nitrosodi-n-propylamine
NDWA	North Delta Water Agency

NELs	Numeric Effluent Limitations
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NESHAP	National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants
NFIP	National Flood Insurance Program
ng/g	nanograms per gram
ng/L	nanograms per liter
NGA	Next Generation Attenuation
NGVD29	National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929
NH ₃	un-ionized ammonia
NH ₄ ⁺	ammonium ion
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NHS	National Highway System
nm	nanometers
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NMFS BiOp	National Marine Fisheries Service Biological Opinion on Long-Term Operation of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project
NO	nitric oxide
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NO ₃ ⁻	nitrate-N
NOA	Notice of Availability
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOD	north of Delta
NOD	Notice of Decision
NOI	Notice of Intent
NOP	Notice of Preparation
North Bay	North San Francisco Bay
NO _x	nitrogen oxides
NPBs	nonphysical barriers
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NPDES Municipal Permit	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Municipal Stormwater Permit
NPPA	Native Plant Protection Act of 1977
NPS	nonpoint source
NRA	National Recreation Area
NRC	National Research Council
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NSJCGBA	Northeastern San Joaquin County Groundwater Banking Authority
NT	near-term
NTR	National Toxics Rule
NTU	nephelometric turbidity units
NWR	National Wildlife Refuge
OAL	Office of Administrative Law

OBAN	Oncorhynchus Bayesian Analysis
OBE	operating basis earthquake
OCAP	Operations Criteria and Plan
OCI	Overall Condition Index
OE/AAA	Obstruction Evaluation/Airport Airspace Analysis
OEHHA	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
OFF	Operations and Fishery Forum
OHV	off-highway vehicle
OMR	Old and Middle River
OMR flow	upstream flows on the Old and Middle Rivers
ONC	Office of Noise Control
OPLMA	Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (H.R. 146)
OPR	Office of Planning and Research
OPR Advisory	A technical advisory titled <i>CEQA and Climate Change: Addressing Climate Change through CEQA</i>
OPSO	Office of Pipeline Safety Operations
ortho-P	ortho-phosphorus
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OSHPD	Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development
P	phosphorus
PA	programmatic agreement
PAHs	polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
PAL	Provisionally Accredited Levee
Pb	lead
PBDEs	polybrominated diphenyl ethers
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyls
PCC	Portland cement concrete
PCE	perchloroethylene
PCI	Pavement Condition Index
PCS	Pavement Condition Survey
PCTL	precast concrete tunnel lining
PCWA	Placer County Water Agency
PERP	Portable Equipment Registration Program
PFC	perfluorinated carbons
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric Company
pg/L	picograms per liter
PGA	peak ground acceleration
phosphate	soluble reactive phosphorous
Plan	Bay Delta Conservation Plan
Plan Area	Bay Delta Conservation Plan Area
PM	particulate matter
PM10	particulate matter 10 microns in diameter or less
PM2.5	particulate matter 2.5 microns in diameter or less

POC	particulate organic carbon
POD	pelagic organism decline
Porter-Cologne Act	Porter-Cologne Water Pollution Control Act
POTWs	publicly owned treatment works
POW	hydropower generation (a designated beneficial use of inland waters)
ppb	parts per billion
PPCP	pharmaceutical and personal care products
PPIC	Public Policy Institute of California
ppm	parts per million
ppt	parts per trillion
ppt	parts per thousand
PPV	peak particle velocity
PQI	Pavement Quality Index
PRC	Public Resources Code
PRDs	Permit Registration Documents
Primary Zone Study	Sacramento San Joaquin Delta Primary Zone Study
PRMMP	Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan
PRO	industrial process supply (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
Protection Plan	Suisun Marsh Protection Plan
PRS	paleontological resources specialist
PSHA	probabilistic seismic hazard analysis
PSR	project study report
PTM	particle tracking model
QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control
Qo	older alluvium
QSD	Qualified SWPPP Developer
QSP	Qualified SWPPP Practitioner
Qy	younger alluvium
RA	resource adequacy
RARE	rare, threatened, or endangered species (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
RBDD	Red Bluff Diversion Dam
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
REC-1	water contact recreation (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
REC-2	non-contact water recreation (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
Reclamation	Bureau of Reclamation
Reclamation Board	California Reclamation Board
Reform Act	Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Reform Act
Regional Water Board	Regional Water Quality Control Board
REL	reference exposure level
Reporting Rule	Greenhouse Gas Reporting Rule
REPP	Renewable Energy Procurement Plan

Resource Management Plan	Land Use and Resource Management Plan
RHA	Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899
Rio Vista ALUCP	Rio Vista Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan
RM	River Mile
RMP	Risk Management Plan (applies only in Chapter 24, <i>Hazards and Hazardous Materials</i>)
RMP	Regional Monitoring Program (applies only in Chapter 8, <i>Water Quality</i>)
RMPP	Risk Management and Prevention Program
RMS	root mean square
ROA	Restoration Opportunity Area
ROD	Record of Decision
ROD 2000	CALFED Bay Delta Program Record of Decision
ROG	reactive organic gases
ROW	right-of way
RPA	reasonable and prudent alternative
RPS	Renewable Portfolio Standard
RSPA	Research and Special Programs Administration
RTM	reusable tunnel material
RUSLE	revised universal soil loss equation
RV	recreational vehicle
RWCF	regional wastewater control facility
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
S _a	second spectral acceleration
SAC	Sacramento River
SACOG	Sacramento Area Council of Governments
Sacramento International CLUP	Sacramento International Airport Comprehensive Land Use Plan
SAFCA	Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency
SAL	inland saline water habitat (a designated beneficial use of inland waters)
San Francisco Bay Water Board	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
San Joaquin ALUCP	San Joaquin County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan
SANDAG	San Diego Association of Governments
SAP	sampling and analysis plan
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
SAV	submerged aquatic vegetation
SB	Senate Bill
SBA	South Bay Aqueduct
SCAG	Southern California Association of Governments
SCC	State Coastal Conservancy
SCM	supplementary cementitious materials
SCT	South County Transit
SCVWD	Santa Clara Valley Water District

SCWA	Solano County Water Agency
SD	structure design
SDC	seismic design criteria
SDIP	South Delta Improvements Program
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SDWSC	Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel
SECAT	Sacramento Emergency Clean Air Transportation
SEL	sound exposure level
Semitropic WSD	Semitropic Water Storage District
SF ₆	sulfur hexafluoride
SFBAAB	San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin
SFBCDC	San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission
SFD	Stockton Fire Department
SFEI	San Francisco Estuary Institute
SFHA	Special Flood Hazard Areas
SFNA	Sacramento Federal Nonattainment Area
Shasta-Trinity LRMP	Shasta-Trinity National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan
SHELL	shellfish harvesting (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SIC	standard industrial classification
SIL	significant impact level
SIP	Standards for Inland Surface Waters, Enclosed Bays, and Estuaries of California (applies only in Chapter 8, <i>Water Quality</i>)
SIP	state implementation plan (applies only in Chapter 22, <i>Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases</i>)
SJCMSHCP	San Joaquin County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan and Open Space Plan
SJCOG	San Joaquin Council of Governments
SJR	San Joaquin River
SJRA	San Joaquin River Agreement
SJRRP	San Joaquin River Restoration Program
SJVAB	San Joaquin Valley Air Basin
SJVAPCD	San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District
SKT	Spring Kodiak Trawl
SL	standard length
SLC	State Lands Commission
SLDMWA	San Luis & Delta Mendota Water Authority
SLE	St. Louis encephalitis
SLR	sea level rise
SMAQMD	Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District
SMARA	Surface Mining and Reclamation Act
SMARTS	Stormwater Multiple Application and Report Tracking System
SMGB	State Mining and Geology Board
SMMP	Selenium Monitoring and Management Plan

SMP	Suisun Marsh Plan
SMPA	Suisun Marsh Preservation Agreement
SMSCG	Suisun Marsh Salinity Control Gates
SMUD	Sacramento Municipal Utility District
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
SOD	south of Delta
Solano County MSHCP	Solano County Multispecies Habitat Conservation Plan
South Bay	South San Francisco Bay
SPCCP	Spill Prevention, Containment, and Countermeasure Plan
Special Projects	Delta Levees Special Flood Control Projects
SPFC	State Plan of Flood Control
SPT	Standard Penetration Test
SPT blow-counts	Standard Penetration Test sampler penetration blow-counts
SPWN	spawning, reproduction, and/or early development (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
SR	State Route
SRA	shaded riverine aquatic
SRCD	Suisun Resource Conservation District
SRDWSC	Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel
SRP	soluble reactive phosphorous
SRT	solids residence time
SRWQM	Sacramento River Water Quality Model
SRWTP	Sacramento Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant
SSHCP	South Sacramento Habitat Conservation Plan
SSJCPL	Stockton-San Joaquin County Public Library
SSQP	Sacramento Stormwater Quality Partnership
SSURGO	Soil Survey Geographic
State CEQA Guidelines	State California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines
State Water Board	State Water Resources Control Board
Steering Committee	BDCP Steering Committee
Strategic Plan	Delta Vision Strategic Plan
SUVA	specific ultraviolet absorbance at a wavelength of 254 nm
SVAB	Sacramento Valley Air Basin
SVP	Society of Vertebrate Paleontology
SWANCC ruling	<i>Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County v. United States Army Corps of Engineers</i> (121 S.Ct. 675, 2001)
SWG	Smelt Working Group
SWIS	Solid Waste Information System
SWMPs	Storm Water Management Plans
SWP	State Water Project
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
TACs	toxic air contaminants

TAF	thousand acre-feet
Task Force	Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force
TBM	tunnel boring machine
TBP	Temporary Barriers Project
TCD	temperature control device
TCDD	tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin
TCE	trichloroethylene
TCM	traffic control measure
TCP	traditional cultural property
TDR	transfer of development rights
TDS	total dissolved solids
TFCA	Transportation Fund for Clean Air
TFCF	Tracy Fish Collection Facility
THMs	trihalomethanes
TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
TMDL	Total Maximum Daily Load
TMP	traffic management plan
TN	total nitrogen
TNM	Traffic Noise Model Lookup program
TOC	total organic carbon
TP	total phosphorus
tpd	tons per day
Tracy Fish Facility	Tracy Fish Collection Facility
Trail Blueprint	Great California Delta Trail Blueprint Report for Contra Costa and Solano Counties
Travis LUCP	Travis Air Force Base Land Use Compatibility Plan
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976
TSS	total suspended solids
U.S.	United States
UBC	Uniform Building Code
UC	University of California
UC Davis	University of California, Davis
UCMP	University of California Museum of Paleontology
UMPS II	Uniform Minimum Protocols and Standards for Watercraft Interception Programs for Dreissenid Mussels in the Western United States
UPRR	Union Pacific Railroad
US	U.S. Highway
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USB	urban services boundary
USC	United States Code
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USDA Forest Service	U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

USFWS BiOp	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Formal Endangered Species Act Consultation on the Proposed Coordinated Operations of the Central Valley Project and State Water Project
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UST	underground storage tanks
UV	ultraviolet
UWMP	urban water management plan
VAMP	Vernalis Adaptive Management Plan
VdB	vibration decibels
VERA	Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement
VFD	variable frequency drive
VOC	volatile organic compounds
VRM	visual resource management
WAPA	Western Area Power Administration
WARM	warm freshwater habitat (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
Water Contracts	long-term water contracts
WBS	water budget subarea
WDL	Water Data Library
WDR	waste discharge requirement
WEE	Western equine encephalitis
WEG	wind erodibility group
WER	water-effect ratio
WGCEP	Working Group on California Earthquake Probabilities
WGNCEP	Working Group on Northern California Earthquake Potential
WILD	wildlife habitat (a designated beneficial use of water bodies)
Williamson Act	California Land Conservation Act
WMO	World Meteorological Organization
WQCP	Water Quality Control Plan
WRD	Water Replenishment District of Southern California
WRDA	Water Resources Development Act of 2007
WREM	Water Resources Engineering Memorandum
WTP	water treatment plant
WUA	weighted usable area
WWTP	wastewater treatment plant
YBFEP	Yolo Bypass Fishery Enhancement Plan
YNHP	Yolo Natural Heritage Program
YOY	young of year
YSAQMD	Yolo Solano Air Quality Management District