

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Celia Kutcher  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 11:08 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

The proposed tunnels were already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Two better ways to distribute California's increasingly over-subscribed water:

- Metering of Big Agriculture's water use, with concomitant adjustment of fees so that Big Ag pays its fair share for its fair share of water.
- Overhauling California's outdated and unfair water laws to reflect our actual precipitation budget--and the probability that climate change is in the process of decreasing that budget drastically.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Celia Kutcher  
34681 Calle Los Robles  
Capistrano Beach, CA 92624-1524

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Caleen Sisk <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 11:08 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

And by far more important than all that cost....it will destroy the largest estuary in the Western Hemisphere. It will have immeasurable impacts to the Sacramento River fish and salmon. The Winnemem Wintu Tribe strongly opposes this destructive water plan...California should be feeding the world SALMON as we are one of only 4 USA states on the Pacific Coast who can and have an obligation to do.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Caleen Sisk  
14840 Bear Mountain Rd  
Redding, CA 96003-7823

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of janus matthes  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:39 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

"Robbing Peter to pay Paul" is not a workable plan. We have serious drought in the northern part of the state which will only get worse with more water diversion. Southern and Central California need to de-silt their reservoirs (20-30% more holding capacity) and provide for more ground water catchment. Local management is always the preferred option. Big ag has gone from seasonal crops to permanent crops and this is making matters far worse. As we all are growing more and more of our own food, it is time for these big ag interests to do their part in wise water use.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. janus matthes  
Wagon Rd  
Sebastopol, CA 95472

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Barbara Allen  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:38 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The Natural Resources Defense Council and the Pacific Institute's recent report revealed that with better water conservation and recycling efforts California could more than close the gap between consumption and what our natural water sources provide. Two main efforts involving agriculture that they recommended were:

Improving agricultural use. Water for crops is the bulk of California's water use and where most of the savings can be found. These include shifting from flood irrigation to drip and sprinklers, better irrigation scheduling, and applying less water to crops in the drought-tolerant stages of growth.

More reuse. Water put to use once can be reused again for things like irrigation or industrial processes. The analysis found potential savings of 1.2 to 1.8 million acre-feet per year by expanding these practices, especially along coastal regions where waste water is often drained into the ocean.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Allen  
2566 33rd Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94116-2954

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kellie Karkanen  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:09 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Since there has been no responsible action to protect our water from being wasted in fracking operations, there is no way I can support this project. We, the people, are working on conservation efforts every day, but Big Business gets rewarded for being wasteful in the worst drought in 500 years? This is beyond unacceptable. Taking water from another part of the state because certain industries can't manage resources responsibly basically amounts to theft. Here's an idea: END FRACKING IF YOU THINK YOU NEED MORE WATER. Maybe, if you think you're out of water, you should think long and hard about the fact that fracking is destroying the ecological viability of your region. Scientists and numerous citizens have been warning about this situation for quite some time. Consider this a wake-up call. Stealing water from other living things because you've squandered it is not a viable option and it never was.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kellie Karkanen  
256 Castle Glen Rd  
Walnut Creek, CA 94595-2603

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Janet Johnson  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 24, 2014 11:15 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 24, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

At a time when the region of extreme drought is expanding in California, when voters and taxpayers are being asked to scale back our water use, we are appalled that the biggest water wasters are being given a free pass to continue their irresponsible and profligate use of this precious resource.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Johnson  
5804 Alameda Ave  
Richmond, CA 94804-4823

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Miriam Ellis <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 24, 2014 2:01 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 24, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it and will be diverting precious water in the midst of a serious drought. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

As climate change exacerbates, we will be struggling more and more to find the necessary supplies of water for all our population. Every source of this necessity of life should be fairly distributed to every appropriate consumer, not j be manipulated for the benefit of a handful of mega-industries. Once again, here is an example of a proposal aimed at profiting a small segment of the citizenry-- those with the most power.

Please do not carry out the tunnel project for the Sacramento River.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Miriam Ellis  
115 Crestview Ter  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-3329

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Christie Childs  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 24, 2014 2:33 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 24, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Redirecting the Sacramento River will also have environmental impacts that will not be reversible and will domino into bigger problems in future. Short-sighted projects like these tunnels will only serve to enrich a handful of individuals, at the expense of a huge ecosystem and the life it provides your residents.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christie Childs  
1129b Madrone Way  
Arcata, CA 95521-3043



---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Charming Evelyn  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 24, 2014 6:03 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 24, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

There are other concerns, why isn't the money being invested in local water infrastructure improvements at city level?

Why isn't more money invested in education on water conservation practices?

Why are we supporting Big Ag, when they are getting subsidies and the cheapest water available?

Is this water going towards fracking?

The tunnels will fail us in the event of an earthquake, there is no reliability for SoCal with the tunnels.

STOP THE TUNNELS!! This is not the legacy you should leave behind Gov Brown.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Charming Evelyn  
520 S Mariposa Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90020-2859

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Keith Miller <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 24, 2014 6:08 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 24, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Quit Acting Like A GOP Governor And Beating Up The Little Taxpayer. You Have Spent Enough. Make Big Ag And Oil Frackers Pay For Your Folly.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Keith Miller  
3141 Frances Ave  
La Crescenta, CA 91214-1311

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Laura Leonelli  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 24, 2014 9:35 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 24, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I am not a scientist, but even I realize that siphoning off fresh water from the Delta will cause salty water from Suisun and San Francisco Bays to encroach. The project is too big, too costly, and does not contain incentives for conservation.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

On top of this, the oil giants who fleece this state by not paying a severance tax also want taxpayer-subsidized water to extract natural gas through 'hydraulic' fracturing. The limited water supplies in this state can't supply our large population, and agribusiness, and fracking.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. I urge you to consider policies that encourage re-use and reclamation of water that is already available.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Leonelli  
5048 11th Ave  
Sacramento, CA 95820-2216

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Max Phillips  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 1:36 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I am seriously concerned that I, a Los Angeles resident, would take on a good deal of the burden along with my fellow Angelenos of funding tunnels to divert the Sacramento River to the Central Valley. I will not really benefit from the project, and neither will my fellow citizens; at least, not in a manner that comes close to the benefit that large agribusiness expects to receive. These businesses receive water for relatively very little money and yet expect to be subsidized even more.

I am also very concerned that oil corporations would benefit from this water for the purpose of fracking. Fracking should be banned in California. Clean water is more important than corporate profits. Californians shouldn't have to tolerate water that can catch on fire so that corporations can have money to burn.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Max Phillips  
548 S Spring St Apt B5  
Los Angeles, CA 90013-2307

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lynne Moore  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 1:36 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We're in a drought. We need water for people and wildlife, not to give preference to corporations.

Oil companies should be forbidden to frack in California: Fracking toxifies our water and air, and also increases the risk of earth quakes.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Thanks for your time and attention. Please respond and let me know how you'll act in the best interests of the people of California.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynne Moore  
16025 Grevillea Ave  
Lawndale, CA 90260-2541

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Miatech  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 3:06 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Governor Brown, you disappoint me greatly. The drilling of tunnels to bring water from Northern Ca to the Central Valley only serves the needs of the controlling oligarchy. They do not serve the people, only the needs of the rich.

Show some cahones and do the right thing and forget this tunnel project, as well as fracking. Both ideas threaten California's water supply for years to come. Democrats hold the majority. Let's use it to get California on the right track not onto the agenda of the right wing.

Respectfully, Dr. John Miatech

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. John Miatech  
5960 Anderson Rd  
Forestville, CA 95436-9592

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jennifer Haley  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 3:06 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project is the typical example of short-term thinking so a few people can make big money and the expense of the public. I don't believe whatever "trickles down" to us is going to be worth squandering our precious water resources, which are running low anyway.

I'm extremely disappointed with Jerry Brown for not coming out against fracking and other ecological disasters like this, and I'm going to take this very much into consideration when it comes to voting for him again--and my local politicians. Jennifer

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jennifer Haley  
4423 Ellenwood Dr  
Los Angeles, CA 90041-3216

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Linda Cartier  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 5:07 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. It is simply not realistic or sustainable to grow water-intensive crops like almonds, for example, in what would otherwise be desert. And I do not want our scarce water to be used for fracking, which could contaminate the soil and water for generations.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Cartier  
1200 Park Ave Apt 241  
Chico, CA 95928-6157



---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kathleen Buddington  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 7:38 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Why would a northern Californian want water to go down South. I might be more understanding of our Southern neighbors, but when we are on strict water ration to the point of our lawns & plants drying up here in Santa Cruz & no restrictions placed in Southern California.

Also, why should big corporations who put in fields along Rte 68 & 5, such as pistachio trees, cotton etc., receive water plus every other goody from the feds & the State? No more! Stop this madness that only aids corporations & forgets the citizens of California. Time to march on the Sacramento & Washington.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Buddington  
172 Suburbia Ave  
Santa Cruz, CA 95062-1250

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Denise Louie  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 7:38 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The two underlying problems are California's unsustainable population numbers/ growth rates and plus our habits of using fresh water as if it is meant to serve only ourselves. We need smart leadership to change public attitudes toward better stewardship of our land and natural resources. Our population numbers are already too big, because we have continued to seriously disrupt and degrade our water, air, land and habitat for species other than our own. We need strong leadership for conservation in this biological hotspot that is California.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Louie  
11 Malta Dr  
San Francisco, CA 94131-2815

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ralph McIntosh  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:07 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

As having worked in a public water agency for nearly 40 years as well as being a former policy setter for the SDCWA I find it ludicrous that the cost has risen so high when there are alternatives available to keep the cost down. However what is most appalling to me is the fact that we are being asked to foot the bill for big business like the large agriculture who export product for massive profit and oil companies for fracking. Common sense should tell you (what common sense there is in Sacramento) that the fracking alone takes way too much of this precious resource. But once again big money wants more money from the taxpayers so they can profit while the rest of us suffer. Enough is enough!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ralph McIntosh  
1070 Ramona St  
Ramona, CA 92065-3222

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carlin Black  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:08 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Fracking is disastrous to all water supplies, Starving it of water is the best way to control it.

There are sustainable agriculture methods for efficient water use.

Asking Californians to pay for corporate agriculture to have more to waste is just not acceptable.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Carlin Black  
5881 Castano Dr  
San Jose, CA 95129-3062

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rose Stein <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:08 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I would like to add that these types of projects should only be done to benefit the local, organic, sustainable farmers whose first priority is to nurture the land and the people - starting with local and moving outward. I know i am not the most eloquent with my words, but you I believe you understand what I am saying.

Thanks

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rose Stein  
2057 Camel Ln Apt 1  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596-5935

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rick Nixon <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:08 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

FROM "MOON BEAM" TO "PILE-O-TURDS" IN ONE LIFE TIME. IT IS NOT TOO LATE TO CHANGE THAT. IT IS IMPORTANT TO SUPPORT COMMERCE, BUT IT IS ALSO IMPORTANT TO MAKE SURE THAT COMMERCE DOESN'T BUTT-FUCK THE CITIZENS OF CALIFORNIA.

IT IS NOT TOO LATE TO START DOING THAT AND LOSE THE "PILE-O-TURDS" REPUTATION THAT YOU HAVE DEVELOPED LATELY.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Nixon  
104 Pine St  
McCloud, CA 96057

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Mark Feldman  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:08 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will COST BILLIONS OF TAXPAYER DOLLARS at a time when our STATE OF CA CANNOT AFFORD IT. An entire river SHOULDN'T be redirected for the sake of large-scale, UNMETERED agriculture and the oil industry.

THIS IS ANOTHER OBVIOUS BLATANT ABUSE OF AGRICULTURE & OIL INDUSTRY CORPORATE POWER AND POLITICAL FAVORS WITH LOBBYING INFLUENCE AND CORRUPTION ONCE AGAIN. CONTROLLING ANOTHER GOVT AGENCY ALONG WITH WRITING THE RULES FOR THEIR GREEDY SELFISH INTERESTS

The proposed tunnels have already been REJECTED by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County HAVE NOT been cost effective and have PROVIDED LITTLE BENEFIT TO TAXPAYERS. .

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Mark Feldman  
137 Winchester Dr  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401-9137

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Anna Reynolds  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:08 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This project wants to be yet another Big Rich Industry grab of resources so they can waste them. NOT THIS TIME!  
Californians are going to protect and conserve water sources, because that is the ONLY option.  
Either that or lose 25 million people.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Anna Reynolds  
14328 Barr Ranch Rd  
Nevada City, CA 95959-9037



---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carlos Castillo  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:09 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry (I mean, really, Governor Brown? Have you abandoned the environment entirely? Did you only "care" before for political motivations, and now secure in your incumbency you show your true ties to the purse strings of big corporations? It's time to stand up for the state and the people in it, not the corporations who wish to own it.)

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Carlos Castillo  
121 Flower St  
Bakersfield, CA 93305-3418

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Clea Markman  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:38 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

As Californians become more efficient and use less water, big ag and big oil are doing the opposite. And beyond the extraordinary expense, the twin tunnels would siphon necessary funding away from real, necessary water solutions, like investment in local water, groundwater cleanup and stormwater capture.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Clea Markman  
5804 Abernathy Dr  
Los Angeles, CA 90045-1620

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Joseph Duran  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:38 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Indeed, we fought this battle in the defeat of the 1980s peripheral canal !!!

Now were fighting this battle again so that our precious water can be sent to huge oil companies that hords this water and then resell it at very inflated prices !!

This is as bad as the fracking debacle you have gotten our state into.

Despite the long history of fracking, its poisoning of ground water supplies which are extremely limited, and justifying it all in the name of jobs. Why you wish to destroy our water supply is beyond me !!

Pls reconsider and think about what were leaving to our children !!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Duran  
3924 Galbrath Dr  
North Highlands, CA 95660-3416

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Teresa Bacci  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:08 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Instead of wasting millions and millions of metric tons on dirty oil, gas, fracking etc... invest in clean solar and motion energy. Collect this free energy and feed it into the grid, fuel our vehicles, fuel our homes and business. Convert our agriculture to organic as it protects our water, air, soil, pollinators, eco systems, health and our economy. Organic is very profitable, and sustainable. Motion energy created by vehicles on roads, highways, freeways is free and just waiting to be captured and fed to the grid as is solar. Technology is here today, use it. Vehicles can be operated by wind resistance and solar technology available today, use it. Organic food produces more yields and profits, use and expand it. The environment protects our food supply and our climate, protect it. The people have spoken, we want clean energy, clean water, clean air, and clean soil, protection for the environment and stable climate. You have simple solutions, Just do it, make the change today! There are so many bright minds in California with the capability to make this a reality, use it today, and use the technology today, organic food, solar and motion energy, protection of the environment, clean air, clean water and clean soil. Just do it today!

Chipotle a sustainable organic restaurant trades at \$600 dollars a share, google uses solar energy and organic food, apple and sustainability and solar energy, all the organic farmers mom and pops feed millions of people, electric cars, solar and motion energy is here today to fuel our vehicles, homes, businesses, transportation...Just do it today!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Teresa Bacci  
Santa Barbara  
Santa Barbara, CA 93105

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Donna Perkins  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:09 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The money to fund this "Tunnel to Nowhere" should be used to increase development of local sources of NEW water, not diversion of existing water supply. Funding of infrastructure to capture rainwater, regenerate groundwater and protect local watersheds is making So. Cal. more and more independent of imported water, despite population growth.

WE DON'T NEED THIS.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Perkins  
5921 Whitsett Ave Apt 216  
Valley Village, CA 91607-4810

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of lilian alecia morgan  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:08 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

If you feel that you just MUST build a massive and destructive tunnel, PLEASE build it from the East Coast and Midwest where there is currently too much water. That would make sense and the big businesses that want it should pay for that, not California citizens, many of whom are still below Federal poverty level and paying dearly just to have enough water to drink and take a shower once in a while.

It is VERY WRONG to divert water that is needed and already being paid for by people for basic survival just to please the large corporations that support your political ambitions. If corporations feel that they are 'people' then they should PAY for water just like real people do!!!!

PLEASE CONSIDER THE PEOPLE(HUMAN INDIVIDUALS) WHO YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO BE REPRESENTING, they just might be more important than big business in the long run.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. lilian alecia morgan  
PO Box 1118  
Felton, CA 95018-1118

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Debby Bradford  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:09 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

NO WATER SHOULD BE USED FOR FRACKING, EVER. WE IN CALIFORNIA HAVE LOTS OF SUN, WE NEED SOLAR WHICH DOES NOT WASTE OUR PRECIOUS WATER. GIVE US SOLAR ON EVERY BUSINESS, GOV. BUILDINGS, PUBLIC PLACES, AND ON OUR OWN PRIVATE HOMES. WHY ARE YOU WASTING WHAT LITTLE CLEAN WATER WE HAVE ON DESTRUCTIVE FRACKING? PROTECT OUR WATER.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Debby Bradford  
PO Box 495  
Hopland, CA 95449-0495

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kurt Gross <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:09 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Furthermore, it appears that except for some jobs, some of those I'm sure to numbers of undocumented migrant workers, none of these industries even benefit the general population of California State; Gov. Brown, isn't it your JOB to make sure what takes place in this state benefits the majority of Californians? (No-brainer?)

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kurt Gross  
PO Box 16898  
San Diego, CA 92176-6898



---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Cathy Goodrich  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:10 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We live in a desert!! Stop trying to make it something else. Do you see snow on those mountains?? NO YOU DON'T. STOP WASTING WATER and start serious Conservation Restrictions for everyone.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cathy Goodrich  
2840 Honolulu Ave  
Verdugo City, CA 91046-1002

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Eric&Kay Nelson  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:39 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We, Eric and Kay Nelson, strongly oppose this extremely expensive mistake for ALL of California. We have lived in California all our lives, and seen bone dry regions COMPLETELY UNSUITED FOR GROWING TREE CROPS, for example, have enormous amounts of precious water resourced poured onto them to force nuts and tree fruits to grow where COTTON once grew, taking TWO-THIRDS MORE WATER to produce a crop. Wrong, wrong, wrong! The aquifer in these and other areas is being depleted at alarming rate.

Governor, THERE IS ONLY SO MUCH WATER IN CALIFORNIA! This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please listen to your citizens! This misguided project for the benefit of oil companies and huge agriculture conglomerates is NOT WANTED BY THE CITIZENS OF CALIFORNIA.

Thank you for listening to us citizens and Acting Responsibly in the interest of the average Californian!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric&Kay Nelson  
137 Stinson Ave  
Vacaville, CA 95688-3831

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Irene Creighton  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:38 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Stop fracking around and reduce the need for so much water by reevaluating crops and choosing more robust crops that use less water, capture rain water and other sources that come for free, learn to repurpose what we have and let the waters stay where they are and not add this highly questionable billion dollar project.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Irene Creighton  
72630 Homestead Rd  
Palm Desert, CA 92260-6572

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jeff Hoffman  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:39 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This proposed project will decimate the Delta, an ecosystem that's already been greatly harmed by agribusiness removing vast amounts of water from it, be removing even more fresh water.

Moreover, this project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please cancel the plans for your proposed tunnels. Agribusiness needs to learn to live within limits by, among other things, not growing water-intensive crops in dry places like California and not growing anything in extremely dry areas like the western San Joaquin Valley.

We are not willing to sacrifice our native ecosystems for agribusiness!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Hoffman  
3030 Dohr St  
Berkeley, CA 94702-2714

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Pia Basudev  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:08 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Stop spending our tax dollars to support industries that are enjoying the lowest tax rates in history. This is the real welfare that is rampant in our nation, and is contributing to the on-going public opposition to government.

This project exemplifies the corruption of government from its by the people, for the people to by corporations, for corpotations. What's next? Corporatizing our air?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pia Basudev  
2742 San Juan Rd  
Sacramento, CA 95833-4413

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Oona McKnight  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:39 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We need to focus on promoting water conservation, not large-scale use, because it will only get more and more scarce in the future.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Oona McKnight  
2345 Alvarado Ave  
Santa Rosa, CA 95404-5309

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Cynthia Fernald  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:08 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We need to get real about living with less water in California, not spending gobs of taxpayer money to shuffle what water we've got around.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. Not a drop of our water should be allocated for fracking, which will taint our limited groundwater supplies with noxious chemicals.

The proposed tunnels will not solve our water problems; they'll just relocate it ... and cost a lot of money that would be better spent elsewhere.

Sincerely,  
Cynthia Fernald

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cynthia Fernald  
3177 Greenoak Ct  
San Mateo, CA 94403-3835

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of judy barton  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:14 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

If you want to build tunnels, start east and bring water to Calif.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. judy barton  
492 Mar Vista Dr  
Monterey, CA 93940-4316



---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jasmin Keaton  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:12 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

No to fracking! Cut them off at the water.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jasmin Keaton  
1072 Sierra Madre Dr  
Salinas, CA 93901-1046

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of David Kossack  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:14 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The existing aqueduct and water storage facilities should be covered to reduce evaporation and other strategies implemented to reduce the loss of water already diverted, including irresponsible use at the far end of existing pipes

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. David Kossack  
PO Box 268  
Davenport, CA 95017-0268

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Gerry Germany  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:14 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

It appears that all can agree that the consequences, benefits, and ramifications of this project are in complete disagreement. This alone should bring hesitancy to the rush for solutions.

Water is a precious resource that we have too often squandered. Let's get it right first and then proceed with solutions that encompass the needs of the many.

We all need to understand that Mother Nature is not, and will not be predictable. This is the constant that will challenge us today, and long into the future.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gerry Germany  
2288 Dunlin Way  
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420-5538

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Karen Rogers  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:11 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

When will the politically and economically motivated 'water grab' stop? We can't continue going in this direction, forever needing to dam and channel more and more water. There are limits to natural ecosystems, and when those are compromised, failure results.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

One answer here is to support the return to traditional and sustainable agricultural methods, that focus on natural soil fertility, rather than importing of water and chemicals.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Karen Rogers  
PO Box 1352  
Mount Shasta, CA 96067-1352

# **L # 895**

- ☐ Unused
- ☒ Duplicate of 894
- ☐ Out of Scope
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

(replace original)

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Mark Smith <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:05 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The price of water sold for industrial and agricultural uses does not come close to meeting the costs. The most prudent next step is to raise water prices to their actual scarcity level--including pricing for water sold to households. Then, amazing things will happen. Everyone will work, and invest, to limit their use of water.

Until then, building a project to supply more subsidized water makes no sense whatever.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Smith  
721 Old County Rd Apt K  
Belmont, CA 94002-2652

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of martha austin  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:11 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Please, please read the scientific information available on long-term effects of channeling water in this manner. Throughout the world, the devastation is permanent and of far greater concern than the short term benefits to a few.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. martha austin  
PO Box 536  
Garden Valley, CA 95633-0536

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Mary McKinney  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:10 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am so exasperated by business taking precedent over citizens.

Agriculture is understandable since it does feed the citizens, but we do not need more oil extraction. We need to invest in renewable energy.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary McKinney  
1904 Carzino Ct  
Concord, CA 94521-1513



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Karen Martin  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:10 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please think about the future and consider common sense solutions like separating our sewer and storm drain systems. (Much of that infrastructure is in need of repair anyhow.) Don't create a greater problem of pollution and scarcity by allowing fracking to continue. We all know the oil companies record of cleaning up after themselves. Let's spend our money on projects that benefit all of us, not just a few corporations.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Martin  
4091 Deborah St  
Simi Valley, CA 93063-2808

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Daniel Cain <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:10 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

You are a great governor in most respects, probably the best we have ever had.

But propping up big corporations at the expense of the rest of Californians is doing nothing but propping up the status quo of the very system which created this economic mess.. at the same time helping to destroy our environment, at the same time as letting mega corps in California continue to prevent better solutions.

You may be doing this to keep business in California for tax reasons..

but farmers are not going to move their farms and farmers cannot move the ocean.. if you give them water then make them pay for it.. not anyone else... if they profit off the agriculture then raise their taxes for exporting it.

We have few choices for a truly great governor... you are basically it.

Please do not tarnish your record of being progressive and representing the majority of voters by catering to any corporation that has only its self interests at heart.

California needs water..not polluted oceans and communities.. we need water, not crops being sold elsewhere with profits going to the ones who took their water from us when we needed it.

The status quo is broken... we need innovation. You are our only hope.

Otherwise.. great job.

D.C.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aqueduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Cain  
1402 N Sierra Way  
San Bernardino, CA 92405-4948