

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Don Dussault
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:37 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

***Fracking wastes enormous amounts of water that can never be reclaimed due to the poisons it uses.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Don Dussault
1809 King St
Santa Rosa, CA 95404-2918

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ricco Bonelli
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:37 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Are you people really fucking serious? Let's stop this crazy train.

California needs a new direction, and this isn't the solution. We should be striving for a zero impact society where we leave no carbon footprint and natural resources are replenished instead of being squandered in the name of profits!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ricco Bonelli
333 Palos Verdes Blvd
Redondo Beach, CA 90277-6301

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ric Lombardo
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:37 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Having the corporate recipients to pay their fair share is only just, and if that issue is not addressed properly, then the answer is not no, but HELL NO!

Furthermore, when was the decision made to betray the California voter, constituent ratepayer and taxpayer by hoping you would get this imbroglio pushed through behind our back?

Another slip up like this, and the voters will retaliate by voting in Neel Kashkari. Then our problem will really begin when a Goldman Sachs devotee gets control of our state resources.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ric Lombardo
PO Box 2462
Yucca Valley, CA 92286-2462

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Barbara Warner
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:36 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

California's history has been shaped, at least in part, by its water resources. The Byzantine network of water deals and infrastructure----much of it a triumph of engineering and influence over rational water consumption---has us redirecting, pumping, and depleting our natural river networks for the sake of over-development and agriculture on a massive scale. California cannot be successful if that success is unsustainable, draining a critical resource to generate profit. Moreover, the water is potentially available for use in fracking, demonstrably one of the most detrimental practices to ground water and the environment to date. We cannot afford this approach in any sense of the word.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Warner
3236 Kenora Dr
Spring Valley, CA 91977-2825

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Reeves
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when we and our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. What will become of the toxic waste produced from the oil industry, will it truly just go away or find it's way into our aquifers?

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. Is this not enough to prove the folly of this project?

We can ill afford lowering the amounts of water to our delta and bay, if we do it will mean devastation to many critical habitats.

By covering the aqueduct we can save evaporation by having it condense and drip from special covers made to do that. It is done in other places. We can build structures to condense fog and have it drip to tanks. There are therefor other tacts we might take. Please consider these alternatives before dooming uor rivers and all they provide for.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Reeves
939 Pepperwood Ln
Petaluma, CA 94952-2190

L # 906

- ☐ Unused
- ☒ Duplicate of 909
- ☐ Out of Scope
- ☐ Other: _____

(replace original)

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of april barcnas
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:08 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. Do not send our precious water that is life to many over to the oil corporations for drilling and to big agribusiness. We cannot get that water back or even recycle it after they are through with it.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. april barcnas
106 Northlite Cir
Sacramento, CA 95831-2125

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Richard Placone
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:08 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

STOP THE EGO BUILDING TUNNELS, GOVERNOR. THAT IS ALL THIS PROJECT IS A SCHEME FOR BUILDING YOUR EGO. YOU ARE DOING TWO THINGS WRONG AS GOVERNOR - 1) PUSHING FOR THESE TUNNELS, AND 2) PUSHING FOR THE HSR FIASCO THAT VIOLATES THE VOTER APPROVED BOND ISSUE AS CURRENTLY CONSTITUTED. BUILD YOUR LEGACY BY RESTORING CALIFORNIA'S EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM TO BEING THE ENVY OF THE WORLD AS IT WAS UNDER YOUR FATHER'S ADMINISTRATIONS..

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Placone
601 Chimalus Dr
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2710

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kelly Hammargren
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:07 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Looking at the science, our future is drought with an occasional wet year. We can not waste water on agriculture crops that are not sustainable in a dry climate. We cannot sacrifice water to be contaminated with chemicals.

Fracking needs to stop immediately.

Renewable energy is the answer to our energy needs and this answer is ready for us to pursue right now.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kelly Hammargren
1709 Bancroft Way
Berkeley, CA 94703-1709

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carol Kravetz
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 12:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I thought this was a done deal and the Governor was NOT going ahead with it. Was it a fake to the right when we thought he was going left (and not in a political sense)???

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Kravetz
7972 Norton Ave Apt 6
West Hollywood, CA 90046-5238

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Claman
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 12:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It's time for California to end the "Water Wars" that big biz always seems to win!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Claman
347 W Bissell Ave
Richmond, CA 94801-3428

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ed Cornejo <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 9:36 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. This project will cost \$B of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. It will also result in increased fracking (and contamination of groundwater supplies).

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ed Cornejo
3488 Camino Largo
Carlsbad, CA 92009-8930

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Katherine Waid
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 9:38 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry!!!!!! That is INSANITY!!!!

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This entire idea is done!!! Central & Southern California simply.....& ultimately!.....have to learn to do with less!!!.....for there IS less!!!.....& will CONTINUE to be LESS!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Katherine Waid
PO Box 75
Mendocino, CA 95460-0075

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lorrie Emery
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:05 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Big Ag should not get more of California's water...in fact, what they do get should be more regulated.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorrie Emery
9865 Empire Grade
Bonny Doon, CA 95060-9620

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jorge Rebagliati
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:06 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We oppose the tunnels. There are better solutions that will benefit the whole State instead of a few special interests, which include the tracking companies we do not want anymore.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jorge Rebagliati
2144 Valdes Dr
Santa Rosa, CA 95403-2436

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of george jackson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:07 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I am sorry if you feel a need of another legacy project big enough to keep your name in the public eye for years to come, I really don't see I need to be paying large amounts of my tax money to something that is not correctly thought out or controlled from beginning to end.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. george jackson
3470 Franz Valley Rd
Santa Rosa, CA 95404-9659

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Cynthia Tuculet
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 12:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Stop working for the big oil and ag companys and start working for the people and our furture!

Sincerely,
Cynthia Tuculet

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cynthia Tuculet
195 16th Ave Apt 3
San Francisco, CA 94118-1055

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Steve Merrill
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 11:38 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This plan was rejected years ago under a different name. To call this a "conservation" project is laughable. Sending water to companies that will frack and pollute it is insane! Think about what is best for California in the long run. The south western part of the San Joaquin is historically a dry spot. It's only because what it is from water being sent there at some other expense. Just stop !

Thank you.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Steve Merrill
1832 Graham hill Rd
Santa Cruz, CA 95060

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Richard Crawford
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:07 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Jerry, what would Mary Jean Pew say?!!!!!!!!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Crawford
1273 S Rice Rd Spc 85
Ojai, CA 93023-3469

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rosemary Jones
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 4:06 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time Californians already pay the highest taxes in the country besides Hawaii, and our state cannot afford to further burden the economy. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. In California, water is priceless and scarce and must be conserved for human consumption.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rosemary Jones
106 Casentini St Apt F
Salinas, CA 93907-2212

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Liesha Boek
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 11:39 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Every time water is diverted, the community of origin and the destination community are adversely affected. I implore you to think carefully and deeply about the short and long term ramifications of this project.

Mark Twain is known for many quotes but the one I think of most often is, "Whiskey is for drinking and water is for fighting over."

There will always be conflicting interests when it comes to water. I hope that you and your colleagues keep the long term health and well being of our state and its many communities in mind when making this crucial decision.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Liesha Boek
3330 Deerwood Dr
Ukiah, CA 95482-7538

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Anthony
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2014 12:36 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 25, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

In this area there's no consideration of reclaimed water use for greenbelt areas. There is talk of a tax raise to pay for potable water usage on same.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Anthony
PO Box 506
San Jacinto, CA 92581-0506

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Drew Hamilton
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 11:39 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Large corporate agriculture is the welfare queen of all time. The only challenger for the welfare queen title is the oil industry.

What chutzpah for our Democratic governor to try to sneak this water theft scheme past the electorate.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Drew Hamilton
20 Bayview Rd
Castroville, CA 95012-9725

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Pamela Drake
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:39 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I have voted for you and hope I will be able to continue to support you. Water, global warming and the environment are my most important issues.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Drake
990 Miller Ave
Berkeley, CA 94708-1406

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of claire blondeau
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:37 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

here's a thought ' Do your job and support Californians for a change'

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. claire blondeau
44 charles st w
toronto., CA 90210

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jacob Moss
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:09 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

When the mighty dollar overrides common sense, you have chaos. Chaos = eminent destruction of a society. Do the civilized thing and make common sense sustainable choices. This is the way of the future and you know it. Do the right thing!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jacob Moss
2216 Gerber Ave
Sacramento, CA 95817-1325

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Frances Hinckley
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 2:01 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 24, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Just say No! to this project. We can do better for the California public and the California economy.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Frances Hinckley
9 Pepper Ave
Corte Madera, CA 94925-1463

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kerin Gould
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 11:39 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

In this era of climate change, extreme weather, and specifically our drought, it is absurd to spend billions to pipe water to wasteful entities in the Southern California desert - those who insist on green lawns and golf courses and flood irrigation for farming - at the cost of more conservationist Northern California, where we have so much small organic farming, interest in gray-water systems, and other less wasteful programs and attitudes. Southern California should be looking to Las Vegas for smart water solutions, but should not be enabled to keep wasting water and edging the whole state toward a dust bowl. It's a terribly dangerous pattern of behavior to encourage.

Equally important is the effect on the environment, the damage to all the beings in and along the rivers. Linch-pin species would be decimated, having catastrophic effects on our waterways and watersheds. And the rights of Indigenous Peoples of California, which include water usage and ceremonial locations, would be grossly violated.

The tunnels are dead wrong from every angle, and we cannot afford to mess up our water any more than has already been done. Please focus on real conservation measures and stopping misuse of water - especially abuses by mega-agriculture and other industries!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kerin Gould
190 Artesia Rd
Elverta, CA 95626-9527

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lennie Orr <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 7:07 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, un-metered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am adamantly apposed to spending money on this project. Please stop spending money on this project. The damage done to our delta will be irrecoverable.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lennie Orr
1346 Pegan Cmn
Livermore, CA 94550-5065

From: Jessica May <jessicamay9@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 9:11 AM
To: BDCP.Comments@noaa.gov
Subject: Abandon the Bay Delta Conservation Plan

The "Bay Delta Conservation Plan" would hardly conserve water, rather transport it, damaging ecosystems and further exasperate California's drought problem. We need to protect our water sources and the ecosystems that drive them and practice better conservation methods-- not ram a pipe through California for a "quick fix" which would inevitably make the problem worse down the road.

Thanks you for listening

Jessica May

Jessica May
250 Mariah Pl
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Mel Perry <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:14 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

dear: moonbeam, you already have drained norcal's resevoirs twice, and now you want to send all of our water south, get a grip you idiot, we didn't elect your dumb ass, so you could rape northern california, for the benefit of socal, you remember what happened to your predecessor?, when he went over the deep end? you are probably going to cost the demos, the next election, because of your stupidity, people just aren't going to vote for the demo ticket, after they have been raped for eight years, you want to do california a favor (besides resigning) start building desalination plants in socal, so they can be self sufficient, and waste all they want to, REMEMBER YOU WERE ELECTED TO SERVE ALL OF CALIFORNIA, NOT JUST YOUR SPECIAL INTERESTS PALS

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mel Perry
PO Box 282467
San Francisco, CA 94128-2467

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Beverly Dir <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Farm Bureau's AG ALERT in 2004 quoted the U.S. Geological Survey, using tree ring reconstructions, writing, "Western drought is officially worse than Dust Bowl Years." "The drought could be the worst in 500 years, with the lowest flow in the Colorado River on record. The lowest five-year average of water flow was 8.84 million acre-feet in the years 1590-1594." "From 1999 through 2003, water flow has been 7.11 million acre-feet, comparable to or more severe than the largest-known drought in 500 years." "The water report did not surprise water managers."

Farmers and water managers have known for more than a decade that we are in a drought, yet vineyards, and almond and walnut orchardists continue to plant throughout north, south, east, and west San Joaquin Valley as recently as this past winter 2014. The orchardist put an ongoing drain on water supplies as opposed to planting crops the do no require such intensive water usage.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

No tunnels should be built to accomodate agriculture nor oil interests.

Sincerely,
Beverly Dir, MSW
8025 Ospital Road
Valley Springs, CA
95252

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

BDCP932

Ms. Beverly Dir
8025 Ospital Rd
Valley Springs, CA 95252-9043

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Janet Snyder
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

There is absolutely NO reason to spend taxpayer dollars to fund a project that helps Corporate Agriculture at the expense of other projects like funding for schools, libraries, helping small agriculture farmers and organic farmers. Even worse if this project makes more water available for Fracking (i.e. the oil industry). The average / small farmers will use the water more wisely than Big Agriculture does because they, the small farmers, are the better stewards (caretakers) of the land.

The last thing California needs in a severe drought is FRACKING and other ways that both Corporate Ag and Oil waste water and harm the environment.

It is my understanding that the proposed tunnels were rejected by voters in 1982 and similar projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please do NOT waste any taxpayer money on such projects, especially when there are so many other places the money could be spent that would have a favorable impact on many more California residents --- those of us who pay our full taxes and don't use loopholes to find ways to not pay our fair share of the tax burden like the Corporations do.

Thank you for considering my comments. And please use the money for the greater good of the many!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Snyder
17048 Baltar St
Van Nuys, CA 91406-1006

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Robert Howd
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars and endanger the entire Delta ecosystem. As a San Jose resident, much of the water I use is from the Delta, and I am willing to make the sacrifice (and already am) to conserve water to ensure that this tunnel project never needs to be built. Let us reuse and recycle all our water. This is the important issue, not spending billions of dollars to subsidize existing wasteful uses.

The proposed redirection of water from the Delta has already been rejected by voters in 1982. Similar projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. Water recycling has already been proven safe and effective in the huge reverse osmosis plant in Anaheim, and even cheaper and more effective membranes are now available. Let's multiply that approach to the rest of California!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Howd
1035 Belvedere Ln
San Jose, CA 95129-2902

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Gail Rose <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:34 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It's time NOW to protect our water supply, to protect the 99% of people who cannot afford and do not want this project which would be used for FRACKING. You are siding with the mega-agribusiness and oil corps again!! STOP RIGHT NOW.
THIS IS NO GOOD FOR ANYONE IN THE LONG - RUN!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Gail Rose
Lemona Ave.
North Hills, CA 91343-0000

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jonas Golland
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:08 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Methods of water use need updating in many parts of the world, to streamline localised practice, to move towards sustainability in all areas, to focus funds and efforts on preparing for future developments in this way. It seems obvious, in the political scope of anything on this scale, but maybe you haven't realised it.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jonas Golland
4455 Brookshire Cir
Santa Rosa, CA 95405-7849

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kathleen Bungarz
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:08 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. This is a Very BAD idea....Whatever happened to Jerry Brown, who didn't appear to be a "bought and paid for" politician in the "old days". You are dramatically disappointing in your greed for power and money these days....a slave to the unions, for their votes(I support unions-most-but not BART and some of the others in this state).....what legacy do you want to leave? A not well thought out and much too expensive rail system, a poor idea of twin tunnels, which most of the Calif. population does NOT support, a sloppy job on the Bay Bridge(although that cannot be attributed to your "reign", but your actions and some of your half assed policies reverberate in our state).

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Bungarz
PO Box 30227
Walnut Creek, CA 94598-9227

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Robyn Dean
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:40 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This is essentially privatizing our water, OUR water. Yes, it is a resource for ALL Californians.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Robyn Dean
4425 Tompkins Ave
Oakland, CA 94619-2822

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Barone
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Use the money to repair roads and infrastructure instead of raising gasoline taxes!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Barone
1329 9th Stree
Santa Monica, CA 90401

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Robert W. Garven Jr.
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

NO FRACKING PERIOD

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert W. Garven Jr.
324 Estrella St
Ventura, CA 93003-1604

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Denyse Frischmuth
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:38 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This tunnel project is unconscionable. To use our precious water for fracking purposes is a crime, and so is the water intensive cultivation of pistachios and almonds in the desert. It's time for us to adjust to the new reality of scarce water and change our practices.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Denyse Frischmuth
283 Grove Acre venue
Pacific Grove, CA 93950

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carla Falkner
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:36 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

PLEASE SAVE OUR LAST NATURAL WATER SOURCES!

We can't give up precious CA water to unsustainable businesses like pesticide/round-up using agribusinesses and out-dated, air-polluting oil businesses.

Our waters will continue to be polluted increasingly if they get the Sacramento River water!

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please do the RIGHT THING!
WE NEED YOU to reconsider!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carla Falkner
1332 4th St Ste C
Berkeley, CA 94710-1351

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Vicki Headley
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:36 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I don't really see how this benefits the oil companies, but it doesn't seem to benefit anyone - perhaps the contractors. If the yield increase is lower than the cost to build, then don't build. It will have a detrimental effect on the Delta - so it seems more like a lose, lose proposition. And it does seem like there might be other solutions that guarantee a return, not speculate on a return and even the speculated return is iffy.

My opinion - you don't get the permit UNTIL or UNLESS all these issues are addressed. No harm to the Delta, Guaranteed water supply to EVERYONE not just some, and the financial benefit out ways the cost in dollar and cents.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Vicki Headley
1955 Alford Ave
Los Altos, CA 94024-6901

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Anthony Phillipson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The water is needed for local cities and towns, for local farmers and for the health of our environment and wildlife, which are also essential to local populations.

Oil companies would use much of the water for fracking which puts toxins in the water to the detriment of local communities and ecosystems, while profits are taken by the companies. Big Ag. likewise will take the profits out of state, while California communities are deprived of water, and possibly find their water contains pesticides, and are sickened by pesticide spraying, or suffering both from drift from GMO pollen, and more Franken foods on the market.

An absolute NO to the tunnels!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Phillipson
53 Yosemite Rd
San Rafael, CA 94903-2276

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Daniel Taylor
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Why not use massive solar arrays to power desalinization plants and provide the water that way. Eventually we will need to do that anyway.

Why not start now.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Taylor
26230 Laramie St
Apple Valley, CA 92308-0550

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Gene Beley <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

The BDCP twin tunnel project will turn out to be the largest boondoggle in the history of the U.S. of A. and far too expensive for the water districts, farmers, and urban water users. Even if the water districts are right on the cost (\$25 billion), which I don't believe is even half correct, that would build more than 20 desal plants on the West Coast of California to provide guaranteed water even in drought years, versus no additional water after the tunnels are built, because the Delta is already over-subscribed.

Moreover, the twin tunnels will be the death of the Delta, because it doesn't pump more water through it, which it now needs, and would probably produce more salt in the Delta. Worse yet, taking away 140,000-160,000 acres of Delta farmers' lands is a crime and huge land grab for the benefit of who? Desert farmers to grow walnuts and pistachios? How stupid do you think the citizens are?

For an alternative, the BDCP should have better studied the Dr. Robert Pyke plan for the WEstern Delta Intake Concept (WDIC) that makes more sense, would be agreeable to Delta farmers, and takes the Delta water at Sherman Island AFTER it goes through the Delta, and best yet, would cost FAR LESS. Another good thing it would do minimal damage to all other aspects of the California Delta.

And please quit insulting our intelligence with propaganda scare tactics like there is an earthquake boogie man who is going to collapse all the levees. The Delta is not in the Bay Area earthquake prone zone and you know it.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gene Beley
6428 Embarcadero Dr
Stockton, CA 95219-3817

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Robert Rowen
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water is a scarce resource and it should be protected from abuses from big oil and big business. California would be better off going back to smaller family farms, producing organic food, and helping our environment along the way. Corporate America has a long history of abusing everything they touch, putting profit above all else, let's not have our water legacy be just another corporate rip-off.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Rowen
PO Box 1561
Cottonwood, CA 96022-1561

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Pamela Luiz RN
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:34 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The proposed tunnels will do nothing to resolve the state's water issues, in fact, they will only compound them. Use that money to build desalination plants in the south, have agribusiness utilize water conservation measures to irrigate their fields.

The tunnels are another boondoggle just like the peripheral canals.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Luiz RN
2324 Reindeer Ct
Antioch, CA 94531-8914

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Laurie Vann
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:34 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Furthermore desalinization seems more appropriate given that sea levels will be rising so taking sea water may well reduce the potential for flooding and doing it now will be cheaper than in the future!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Laurie Vann
10570 Pinot Way
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-3825

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Nick Huard <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:17 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I oppose any and all support to the natural gas industry in the form of cheap water for hydraulic fracturing. The environmental costs of this technique are well documented. There are better ways to generate electricity. I also oppose all water-intensive farming operations. We are in a bad drought which may or may not end soon. For the sake of our environment, public health and our local economies we need to reduce waste, grow food more locally, stop fracking. Just because large industries have a ton of money to throw around doesn't mean they should get to maintain their destructive practices. What's the point of living in CA if we can't enjoy our natural resources and eat real food?

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Nick Huard
2437 Peralta St Ste C
Oakland, CA 94607-1708