

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Robert Lord
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:16 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The project is only viable with the maximum water flow, which they won't get, and the current cost estimate. If the cost overruns are even a fraction of what there were on the Brown's (Jerry and Willie) bridge the water flows less than maximum the project will be a financial disaster on top of the given environmental disaster.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Lord
1508 Casa De Ponselle
San Jose, CA 95118-1924

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Windy Budd
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:16 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We, the People, don't want this project to go forward!

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Windy Budd
4744 China Camp Rd
Chico, CA 95928-8843

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Bruce Burns
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:16 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

If agribusiness wants more water they should pay for it by financing their own off drainage impoundments to collect water in the winter so that they can use it in the summer to irrigate their crops. They should pay for their own water collection and irrigation projects.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Bruce Burns
PO Box 1380
Valley Center, CA 92082-1380

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Beate Nilsen
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:15 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost \$20-\$70 billion??? of taxpayer dollars, at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil (fracking) industry. Dirty Energy already gets subsidies and is practically Not Taxed!!! 5 million gallons of water per fracked well is an insidious and dangerous (irradiated chemical pools of wastewater) gamble on our ecosystem, already in place it seems, altho you said you were on the side of clean energy.

The proposed tunnels have already been Rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have NOT been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. This is a big PRESENT to corporations at the (serious) expense to OUR pockets. Big N-O.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beate Nilsen
25136 Malibu Rd
Malibu, CA 90265-4639

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rus Postel <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:15 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We know that CA agriculture is primarily 'industrial agriculture', operated by CEO's whose first and most important goal is to maximize profits. Hence their desire to minimize cost of production, and one way is keep water available at low cost. However this goal is at odds with the reality of water availability in CA. Rather than cave-in to these very powerful ag corporations, please advise them to keep improving their efficiency and conservation in water use and urge them to reduce plantings that use more water. We also know now that feedlots and central valley dairies are huge water users. These corporate users should not be subsidized with cheap water, but rather if they can't earn a high enough ROI, move to a more accommodative location, like where grass will grow!

And to subsidize water for fracking! Really? With what we know now about fracking, the technology is not perfected, and contamination of groundwater supplies is common, and the water used in fracking becomes contaminated and unusable. At a time when the governor is asking residents to conserve, offering these oil companies water we don't have is absurd. I suggest that we keep our oil in the ground until the technology is improved and we won't have to worry about water contamination and air pollution. Besides the price of oil will just go up over time, and I am sure we will regret having extracted the resource just to burn it up to go a few more miles now (and contribute to further global climate change)... it'll be worth so much more to us in the future, and so will our clean air and available water.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rus Postel
Sweetwater Circle
HB, CA 92646-1639

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of diane miller
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:40 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It amazes me that we even have to put forth a petition like this one !

Water in California is becoming a most precious resource. We need to protect it not allow corp greed to pollute it !!
Please do the right thing !

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. diane miller
1444 11th St Apt 3
Santa Monica, CA 90401-2918

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Aiesha Jones
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:40 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

At a time when we barely have enough to drink we shouldn't use our precious water for fracking. We need to figure out better ways for clean energy. Knowing how dangerous fracking is the governor should be ashamed of himself for even acknowledging this plan and allowing it to try to push past us. Sounds very dishonest and like he is being bought. I will vote and petition to be sure this does not pass.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Miss Aiesha Jones
1201 Glen Cove Pkwy Apt 805
Vallejo, CA 94591-7175

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of celia scott <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:39 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It is time to do REAL water conservation, and stop shunting rivers around. How about some water meters in the City of Sacramento, the state capitol??? How about a law mandating water meters throughout California.

WAKE UP!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. celia scott
1520 Escalona Dr
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-3312

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Richard Wyman
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:39 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am sitting within sight of Mt Shasta and have been allotted 0 water this year yet you want to spend billions for tunnels to water farms hundreds of miles away? To send almonds to the world? My sheep are eating brown weeds. Water for California and US consumer's food needs should come first. Those who export their crops should be paying the cost of drought, not the little guys like me wanting to feed our neighbors.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Wyman
21965 Leaf Ave
Corning, CA 96021-9018

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Barbara Whipperman
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:38 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. History is watching you!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Whipperman
6111 Bernhard Ave
Richmond, CA 94805-1211

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kaleo Welborn
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:37 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

They take and take and take!! This country is founded on the idea of "We the people" but we have moved so far away from what our forefathers signed into action. It is now all for the corporation and they and our govt. make WE the people pay and pay and pay!!

1) Diverting a river! How much will that cost?? And will those who will benefit the most pay for this?? Yes, I do mean the corporations who will gain millions and billions as the tax-paying public pay for it.

NO, Gov. Brown you used to be for the people. You used to be a politician the people could be proud of but this is a disaster waiting to happen on several fronts.

2) We all know that water is fast becoming the oil of this decade - and will we give this life giving resource to the people to drink and live or do we give it to corporations who will use it to frack and ruin whole environments or agibusinesses who will sell their crops abroad??

3) The cost is just TOO HIGH!! Serve the good of the people of this state and NOT Corporate interests. People over Profits!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kaleo Welborn
Central Ave.
Brea, CA 92821

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lenora Lowe
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:37 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Another problem is growing the wrong crops like rice for our water starved area. The population is more important than the massive greedy industries mentioned above.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lenora Lowe
659 Jacon Way
Pacific Palisades, CA 90272-2828

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Diana Wilcoxen
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:36 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We have so little clean water & it needs to be conserved for drinking, & the threat of fire fighting. Water intensive crops must be discouraged, not enabled at a huge cost. Hydraulic fracturing is a monumental waste of water and risk of contaminating our precious supply of drinking water, when there are renewable alternative energy supplies available. I really can not understand why something so dangerous to our water supply is being allowed. How can a blind eye be turned on this issue, when our reservoirs are close to empty?

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diana Wilcoxen
2669 Triangle Rd
Mariposa, CA 95338-9737

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Janet Moran
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 2:11 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am especially unsympathetic to the oil companies because the fracking storage wells and the voids created by the removal of oil and earth create earthquakes and this is earthquake prone California. We have sunshine. Go solar. We don't have an abundance of water, which fracking requires lots of and then contaminates it. Please leave the river as it is.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janet Moran
22491 De Berry St Apt 23
Grand Terrace, CA 92313-5461

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sandra Stanley
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 1:40 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

They (Big Ag and Big Oil) are the worst polluters on the planet and should not get water at our expense; Big Oil to make more profits for fracking operations which would pollute our state's already precious water resources and Big Ag to continue abusive factory farm practices!!!

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

PLEASE, PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THIS - STOP THE TUNNELS AND STOP THE CORPORATE BOONDOGGLE NOW! THANK YOU....

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Stanley
316 Ely Blvd S
Petaluma, CA 94954-3810

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kylene Miller
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 1:10 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

[Stop the wholesale disintegration of our environment to cave to big business, both agri- and oil. It is quite apparent that small local agribusiness is best fiscally and physically. It's only big money and small minds that will continue to allow profit to be the only determining factor in public projects. Side with the future and stop this project funded by the dying Goliath(s). .

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kylene Miller
PO Box 5184
Hercules, CA 94547-5184

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Janet Tache
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 1:10 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

FOR HEAVEN SAKES, METER THE AG INDUSTRY---LIKE THE REST OF US. AND PLEASE STOP DOING WHAT BIG OIL WANTS. PLEASE STOP THE FRACKING!! IN A STATE LIKE OURS, TO WASTE WATER THAT WAY, TO POLLUTE IT, TO REDIRECT IT, TO RISK QUAKES WITH FRACKING---NONE OF IT MAKES SENSE.

WHY CAN'T CALIFORNIA SPEND THE TUNNEL MONEY TO DEVELOP THE SOLAR AND WIND GRIDS. GOOD JOBS. GOOD ENERGY. LIKE GERMANY. LIKE CHINA.

THE TUNNEL PLAN IS SO BAD AND SO UNEXPECTED FROM YOU THAT OUR WHOLE FAMILY IS SHOCKED!!

The proposed tunnels have ALREADY BEEN REJECTED BY VOTERS in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

SO PLEASE DO NOT GO AHEAD WITH THE TUNNEL PROJECT. CALIFORNIA VOTERS DO NOT WANT IT.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Janet Tache
13644 Buttercup Ct
Penn Valley, CA 95946-9394

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Dale Heckman
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 12:40 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Dear Jerry B., as one of your longtime supporters, I feel dumbfounded that you even suppose I might favor the water-diversion-by-tunnel idea. I can't believe it is YOUR idea; you know better. It would become another "Owens Valley" or "ChinaTown" story for a later, much poorer generation of Californians. It smells like a crass proposal from Westlands Water District.

Governor, drive south on I-5 past Coalinga where anti-government billboards demand even more irrigation water for "farms." See there the hillsides of orchards with their high water demands. (You will NOT see from I-5 Kesterson sump, where the hillside runoff of an unnatural excess water has leached toxic selenium, causing horrible malformation of new chicks of migrating waterfowl.) This is Westlands Water District turf. South a bit farther, you will see fields of cotton, a known water-guzzling crop, and then, mixed with oil pumps. I have read (in McClatchy papers) that Westlands has, at some times past, sold some of "its" water to the L.A. METRO. WATER DISTRICT!!

Yet, bigosh, these latecomers to the irrigation net send lobbyists to Sacramento to demand (not request) more water from the Sacramento Delta. (The San Joaquin has not yet become a river again.) The hillsides of Westlands should be re-purchased by governments and allowed to return to their normal arid condition. Selling them was an honest mistake before people knew about the leaching of selenium.

A "tunnels" project would cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when neither our state nor Northern Calif. can afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Dale Heckman
2045 Humboldt Ave
Davis, CA 95616-3000

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Bruce and Wendla Duncan
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 12:10 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Not to mention, this project would be the death of the Delta and negatively impact wildlife in general and salmon in particular.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce and Wendla Duncan
7248 Saffron Way
Citrus Heights, CA 95621-7359

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of mike pribula
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 12:10 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This proposed redesign of Mother Nature ultimately helps no one, at great cost to ordinary taxpayers, and to the environment, at once undermining the pillars of society, and the source of life itself, our planet free of man-made pollution and contamination, leading to our own destruction. Only those who would directly benefit from this misallocation, should be paying the costs, the full costs. It is clearly unwise to subsidize the theft of natural resources from one part of the state to send them to another part. Local areas need to rely on what they have available locally; transportation costs have never been zero, nor will they ever be.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. mike pribula
2645 Warburton Ave
Santa Clara, CA 95051-2426

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jackie Lanum
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 11:40 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please put water conservation practices into effect for all Californians including the agriculture and oil business, We need the water more than their products!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jackie Lanum
354 S Padre Juan Ave
Ojai, CA 93023-2232

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Norene Bailey
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 11:11 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

If the plan is to use some of this water for fracking, this is insanity. I DO NOT want my tax dollars going to support this most destructive, dangerous and polluting process.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Norene Bailey
250 Edgrace Ln
Santa Cruz, CA 95062-1666

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Leigh Clark <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:11 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Back off on the tunnels, Governor! Put the people ahead of corporate profits.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Leigh Clark
16349 Los Alimos St
Granada Hills, CA 91344-6858

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Joyce Adams
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:10 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

[Not one drop to big oil or agricorps, nor to water lawns in Beverly Hills. That is our water - Northern CA's.]

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joyce Adams
13610 Eastlake Dr
Clearlake, CA 95422-9697

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Maura Schapper
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:10 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

ALSO (FRACKING IS HORRIBLE FOR OUR ENVIRONMENT!!!!)

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maura Schapper
133 E Ellis St
Stockton, CA 95204-4511

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Pamela R Perls
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 9:43 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am an attorney and have studied environmental law. Those studies have given me a broader perspective regarding California's water use.

The tunnels you propose will not solve the issues of waste, contamination of local drinking water, failure to regulate underground water, pumping, and fracking. In fact, the siphoning off of millions of gallons of Delta water will exacerbate those problems.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela R Perls
3378 Springhill Rd
Lafayette, CA 94549-2520

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lelia Bogard
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 8:13 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

67 billion? Really?? While we the people foot the bill? Hell NO. Do not pollute our precious water resources for big oil corporations and big agribusinesses. NO H2O for fracking or pistachios!!

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been REJECTED by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lelia Bogard
PO Box 24
Coarsegold, CA 93614-0024

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lily Marie <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 7:43 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

[This water project will only be a short term solution, we need to address the real problems-- over population and over consumption of natural resources.]

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lily Marie
10995 Garden Ln.
Rough & Ready, CA 95975

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Nancy Caponi
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 6:13 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It is far more important to preserve the delicate river ecosystems and the wildlife and plants they sustain. Please do not divert the water to greedy corporate agribusiness and dangerous extraction industries.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Caponi
225 W Figueroa St
Santa Barbara, CA 93101-3602

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Deborah Williams
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 6:13 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. I feel especially strongly that we should not be giving away water for the purpose of fracking, which pollutes groundwater and poisons our communities, while California is suffering from the worst drought in its history. This is not in the best interests of our citizens.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Deborah Williams
6444 El Camino Del Teatro
La Jolla, CA 92037-6335

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Linda Lewin
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 6:12 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

Send More of Our Water to Big Ag and Big Oil?
Just say NO to the \$67 billion twin tunnel project!
We Get the Bill!

In the midst of one of the worst droughts on record, Governor Brown is pushing a massive twin tunnel project to send more water to corporate agriculture and oil companies in the Central Valley-- all at our expense.

A few powerful agricultural interests and oil companies on the west side of the San Joaquin Valley are demanding more water for themselves on the backs of Californians. Oil companies want more water for fracking, which contaminates fresh water with toxic chemicals. Big ag wants to continue growing water-intensive crops like pistachios and almonds in the desert, mostly to export. These companies support the tunnels as long as they are guaranteed massive amounts of water.

These tunnels could cost us over \$67 billion and would force higher water bills through much of California. At a time when Californians are becoming more efficient and using less water, big ag and big oil are doing the opposite. And beyond the extraordinary expense, the twin tunnels would siphon necessary funding away from real, necessary water solutions, like investment in local water, groundwater cleanup and stormwater capture.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Lewin
6815 Geary Blvd
San Francisco, CA 94121-1695

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Possum W <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 5:43 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I, like most other Californians, am doing my best to conserve water during what is most likely the beginning of an extended drought, El Ninos and La Ninas aside. I, like most other Californians and as I should, have made some fundamental personal changes in my relation to water. I did this to help save the ecosystem of the Delta. I did not do this so megafarms can grow almonds and other inappropriate crops in the desert, or so Los Angelans can have green lawns and swimming pools or, horrors, to be used for hydraulic fracturing.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Possum W
513 Bush St Apt 34
San Francisco, CA 94108-3626

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Brian Clark <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 5:43 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. If they want it, they can buy it, so we the people of California can make money off our water. The companies can pay the state billions, not us paying for them. They don't cut us any slack on food or gas.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

(Stop giving the people's resources away to corporations who profit from it at our cost.)

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Clark
PO Box 150327
San Rafael, CA 94915-0327

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Richard Gorman
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 5:13 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

[I am concerned that the water that is redirected will go to water-intensive industries that do not benefit the population of the Central Valley. Instead of a long-term conservation plan that will reduce water usage over the long term, this plan will only encourage the expansion of water-intensive agriculture and water-polluting petrochemical activities. Instead, I would support a plan that encourages water conservation practices in agriculture and alternative energy development to supplant the petroleum industry.

Only by favoring better conservation techniques in agriculture, construction, land development and petroleum exploration can we fix the Valley's long-term water shortage. This expensive tunnel project does not attack the root causes of our water shortage and will not benefit the wider community in the Valley.]

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Gorman
218 17th St
Bakersfield, CA 93301-4914

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sheila Teisher
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 3:43 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Moreover, even one drop of water used or wasted in the course of fracking should not be allowed much less subsidized by our governments local, state or federal.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheila Teisher
977 Via Del Monte
Palos Verdes Estates, CA 90274-1615

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carolyn Wheeler
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 3:42 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I am an organic gardener scrimping on water to raise our vegetables and when I hear about fracking & huge water tunnels I have to say I get mad. There is no provision for the people of this state who simply want to grow their own food without toxic chemicals! Please, NO HUGE WATER TUNNELS!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carolyn Wheeler
40452 Ditmus Ct
Fremont, CA 94538-3558

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Linda Morgan
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 3:42 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars, and more importantly, huge amounts of water at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

[Building tunnels will not create more water. As time goes on, we are going to have even less. We need to spend our money getting more good out of the water we do have, and that doesn't mean sending it to the people who will get the richest if they get it.]

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Morgan
10 Cherrywood Ct
San Pablo, CA 94806-3767

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of David Schwab
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:41 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Again, here's an example of a corporate takeover of precious natural resources, which should be very carefully allocated to the ALL, not the few. I don't want water being diverted to be used by wasteful fracking and agribusiness operations.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Schwab
837 E Calaveras St
Altadena, CA 91001-2408

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Karen Mayer
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:41 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

Any river has its own ecosystems along its banks. Redirecting a river would destroy all these ecosystems and the wildlife in them PLUS riverbanks would be unstable and more subject to erosion.

There is no reason whatsoever to destroy so much for large agribusiness and any oil companies that could even use the water for fracking; a dangerous misuse and seriously robbing people of drinkable water.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Karen Mayer
4552 Mitchell Rd
Eureka, CA 95503-9776

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Paul Kep <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:41 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Put your efforts in to desalination. We have an entire ocean at our doorstep!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Kep
1234 main
Concord, CA 94520

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of christina Berte
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:40 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am concerned about the impact of water diversion on salmon and sturgeon populations, and the health of the Delta.

I am even more concerned about the water which will be permanently polluted by use in fracking. Fracking in our state must be stopped and one way is to deny the companies standing to profit by poisoning our public land and water--to deny them access to that water (OUR water) in the first place.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. christina Berte
477 North St
Oakland, CA 94609-1335

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of tony alfino <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:11 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

What a horrible legacy this will leave you, less clean water overall and greater costs. Your grandchildren will need to change their last names.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. tony alfino
6603 Bell Bluff Ave
San Diego, CA 92119-1147

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Perry Pieri <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:10 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It is time to stop this insanity. Not only because it burdens the taxpayer to benefit big agriculture and big oil, they have too many hand outs already. But it also robs the ecosystem in Sacramento of the water that we, human and other species, have grown to rely on for our needs.

The LA area should be left to dry out naturally and people can move to the water.]

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Perry Pieri
20011 N Highway 101
Willits, CA 95490-9693

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Newstead
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:11 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Replace lawns and water wasting flora in desert climates and keep our water in the rivers for nature. Conserve and live within your natural limits.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Newstead
PO Box 348
Navarro, CA 95463-0348

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of elizabeth kuiper
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:10 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We lost the fish in central California because of pumping and dams supported by the Corps of Engineers. Until those fisheries are restored, there should be no action on another potential devastation of resources and wildlife. Put energy instead into the high speed rail system and de-salinization plants.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. elizabeth kuiper
1126 Bidwell Ave
Chico, CA 95926-4707

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Pat Young <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 6:40 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

You are endangering the Delta by this project, no matter what your politicians say. This is against all that the endangered species act stands for. There is no way that removing more water from the system will improve water quality ! STOP !

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pat Young
61 Corey Rd
Aromas, CA 95004-9128

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ellen McRae
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 6:40 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

NO MORE giveaways to corporations! This is the same stupid rubbish as the peripheral canals in the 1960s--syphoning precious water for corporate leeches--fracking and colossal agribusiness.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ellen McRae
32691 Carreterra Dr
San Juan Capistrano, CA 92675-4303

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Barbara Epstein
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 6:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Turn off the spigot for fracking and wasteful factory growers who, for as long as we remember, use overhead watering in the middle of the day to irrigate their crops. They need to re-pay Californians for wasting our water.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Epstein
230 The Village Unit 305
Redondo Beach, CA 90277-2514

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Neal Mock <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 6:10 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

\$67 billion would be better invested in wise water conservation projects and research and development toward a cure for our addiction to finite petroleum products.

Free unmetered water for agribusiness discourages simple water conservation techniques and distorts markets. Corporate moochers should not be allowed to use public taxpayer dollars to line their pockets.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Neal Mock
11580 Brook Ln
Truckee, CA 96161-4925

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Beverly Dir <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 6:10 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Farm Bureau's AG ALERT in 2004 quoted the U.S. Geological Survey, using tree ring reconstructions, writing, "Western drought is officially worse than Dust Bowl Years." "The drought could be the worst in 500 years, with the lowest flow in the Colorado River on record. The lowest five-year average of water flow was 8.84 million acre-feet in the years 1590-1594." "From 1999 through 2003, water flow has been 7.11 million acre-feet, comparable to or more severe than the largest-known drought in 500 years." "The water report did not surprise water managers."

Farmers and water managers have known for more than a decade that we are in a drought, yet vineyards, and almond and walnut orchardists continue to plant throughout north, south, east, and west San Joaquin Valley as recently as this past winter 2014. The orchardist put an ongoing drain on water supplies as opposed to planting crops the do no require such intensive water usage.)

No tunnels should be built to accomodate agriculture nor oil interests.

Sincerely,
Beverly Dir, MSW
Jeffrey Hart
8025 Ospital Road
Valley Springs, CA
95252

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Dir
8025 Ospital Rd
Valley Springs, CA 95252-9043