

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Linda Melton  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 5:40 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It has been proven that fracking by the oil industry can pollute our underground water resources and the process also uses huge amounts of water. What is the oil industry doing to save 20 - 25 % of our water and keep it clean??

What compensation are they giving to the communities they are ripping off??

This project is a bad idea. In my county we are cutting back 35% and our rates keep going up. People need to change their habits and build more catchment systems and runoff retrieval at the local levels. Give tax credits to individuals and local municipalities instead of spending billions on a project that benefits a few.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Melton  
432 Monterey Dr  
Aptos, CA 95003-4810

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Joel Bradfield  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 5:41 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Maybe I say yes if big AG and big Oil paid their share in taxes and stopped hiding money off shore!

It was very nice to have had a drink and time with you all those years ago in SFO. Thank you for doing the right thing in this matter.

Respectfully,

Joel Bradfield

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joel Bradfield  
435 Beverly Dr  
Arcata, CA 95521-6541

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Bruce Allen <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 4:40 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water is a precious commodity in this time of drought.

Do NOT provide water for fracking and do NOT spend billions to support agribusiness.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce Allen  
660 N Granados Ave  
Solana Beach, CA 92075-1219

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Susan Rainier  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 4:39 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We cannot afford this from a monetary point of view and we cannot afford it from a life - safety point of view as the public needs the water to live.

We can live without oil. We cannot live without water.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Rainier  
Davis  
Davis, CA 95618-4457

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jim Stewart <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 4:39 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

IF BIG AG NEEDS THESE (after exhausting all the cost-effective solutions, THEY SHOULD PAY THE FULL COSTS.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jim Stewart  
1216 S Westlake Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90006-4118

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sarah Tanya Heaston  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 4:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

What would help is for Big AG and Oil and other businesses ramping up their water conservation to fit the strong push in most of our CA counties. We, the people are doing our part because we live here. Isn't it time the the businesses have to conserve too?

Need to write more policy and laws? How about some that target businesses for water waste in a State that relies on everyone and every business to do their part for water conservation.)

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Tanya Heaston  
1724 Beech St  
Chico, CA 95928-6635

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ceilia Marx <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 4:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

⌈ We need to keep California's water for it's people, and wildlife, and NEVER ALLOW OUR PRECIOUS WATER TO BE USED OR AFFECTED BY FRACKING, or to be diverted for large corporate agribusiness interests. ⌋

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ceilia Marx  
PO Box 371027  
Montara, CA 94037-1027

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carolyn Frazee  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:34 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This proposal is an environmental disaster! As. North coast resident I am fed up with water projects which fuel overdevelopment in the south and drain our nortepn ecosystems.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Frazee  
499 Redmond Rd  
Eureka, CA 95503-9592



---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lorna Paisley  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:33 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I thought you were the peoples' governor.

You need to get on the conservation bandwagon.

How much water do you think you can take from the north before that becomes an issue?

So much water gets wasted by big Ag and by the general public.

Man up and do the right thing

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorna Paisley  
6952 Balboa Blvd  
Lake Balboa, CA 91406-4557

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Shari Horne  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:34 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

i live in Laguna Woods and we need the water. I have heard the Colorado River is very polluted and since we have to buy in all of our water it must be mixed with Colorado water to make it drinkable. We have 2 desal plants being built, but that is not enough for all of us.

We are not big agriculture, but Orange County needs water too. We have no groundwater or aquifers. i hope the tunnels do go through, we are counting on it.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shari Horne  
2354 Via Mariposa W Unit 3c  
Laguna Woods, CA 92637-2294

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of P. Giuffrida <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:33 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

THIS is not rocket science Gov. Brown.....HELLO, our state, California, is in the worst DROUGHT ever! You have done good things for the educational system and other things.

But apparently you don't, won't or cannot get it.....WATER SHORTAGE, WATER DROUGHT. No rain, no snow, no melting waters from the mountains, underground water supplies low, very low.

Enough is enough.....FRACKING is the way wrong to go. I do believe you know that as you use to be an environmentalist at one time. Please be that again!

THANK YOU.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. P. Giuffrida  
150 Las Tunas Dr  
Arcadia, CA 91007-8530

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of MANUEL VASQUEZ  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:33 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

When Big Agriculture can comply with EPA standards and use bio-de-grade-able friendly by products instead of cancer causing Chemicals that kill humans and bees. Not a drop of water to Big Agriculture, Retire employee of the DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, CITY OF LOS ANGELES.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. MANUEL VASQUEZ  
7401 Clementine Dr  
Eastvale, CA 92880-9069

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Shirley Vernale  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:33 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water needs to be sent to individuals, small scale farmers and communities , NOT THE AGRICULTUUNREAL GIANTS WHO DONT KNOW ABOUT WATER CONSERVATION!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Miss Shirley Vernale  
12308 Gilmore Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90066-6227

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of George Irwin  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:31 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

The tunnel is another example of corporate welfare, where tax money is used to subsidize, in this case, oil and agricultural interests. The projects are ultimately unsustainable and should be allowed to falter and die if they can't provide for their futures without bailouts from taxpayers.

An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. The next logical step is to institute water metering so that these industrial users are required to operate within the economic constraints that the rest of us are subject to.

The BDCP tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective. They provide little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Irwin  
827 La Para Ave  
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2648

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Joe Futterer  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:31 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

AND we don't need Fracking. Are you crazy ???  
If Big AG needs more water, have them build and pay for DeSalinization plants.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joe Futterer  
122 Pueblo Ln  
Topanga, CA 90290-4460

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of L. Hughes <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:30 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Furthermore, water from N. California has already gone to S. California. We have more of our water than is reasonable, especially considering the extensive agricultural production in the counties around the Sacramento valley. The 99 corridor and the IFive corridor should be fed by reservoir and desalination plus careful control of irrigation systems. Israel does both very well.

Finally, canals to S. California in a long term drought don't make sense unless they are transferring desalinated water. For that, regional canals are a better option. Agriculture is essential in both N. and Central Ca. Moving water out of stressed river supplies instead of making fresh water from rising oceans is not a good plan.

Develop desalination instead! It is infrastructure and also generates jobs. Don't steal water. I do NOT agree that rain water collection is sufficient (we are in a drought). We need a long term robust solution...desalinate! It is well proven elsewhere.

Linda Hughes

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. L. Hughes  
noen  
none, CA 95616



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Peter White  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:30 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This is unconscionable.

Why continue to loot nature and public resources, in an ongoing series of environmental fiscally driven disasters for the benefit of profit and a few privateers?

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter White  
Albion Ridge  
Albion, CA 95410

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Marvin and Joan Silver  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:30 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

If this money is to be used to benefit Big Industry I say NO. As retirees we find our water bills are constantly getting higher - streets around us are showing the lack of watering our yards resulting in yellowing and dying front lawns. It certainly isn't a good thing to drive thru and see so many homes in a previously lovely neighborhood falling into disrepair because of the high costs of watering. We're all trying to conserve water to help with this problem, but for Big Industry to benefit in any way while we are not is just not right.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Marvin and Joan Silver  
3005 Trousdale Dr  
Burlingame, CA 94010-5710

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jeannine Jacobs  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:30 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Farmers, who use 80% of water resources should be mandated to construct water harvesting (collection) ponds (sand, clay or vinyl lined, with sun reflection methods) and proper terracing for topsoil conservation. The use of organic fertilizers also conserves water, due to it's porous structure. They need to be informed of sustainable crops (like hemp, flax and drought tolerant crops), or alternative regions which would provide more water (for almonds, pistachios, etc.). They can also draw upon treated water from water treatment plants for tertiary applications.

Shale fracturing ("fracking") is well documented to have many detrimental effects on the existing water resources, due to chemical contamination by water, soil and air---during and after their process of blasting and extraction and storage of waste. We can NOT afford, financially nor environmentally, a risk to our already limited water supplies and habitats. Renewables are at hand and tax incentives should be extended for this industry. If it weren't for our subsidies to Oil & Gas companies, they would not be able to compete in a fair market. We do not need to placate them any further with public money for private businesses!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeannine Jacobs  
545 Croyden Ln  
Cambria, CA 93428-2407

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Beverly Franco  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:28 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Its up to the ordinary citizens to help clean up this earth. Not the fossil fuel industries. That also means, we have to address our addictions to those fuels, both oil, gas and coal and the damage both extracting and using creates for our health and the earth's health.

It affects ALL.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Franco  
332 E Graves Ave  
Monterey Park, CA 91755-4106

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jeff Lamppert  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:28 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Conservation and appropriate water use for the location and rainfall amount received should be pursued.

We don't always need more, less people, less water needed. How about some population reduction suggestions for the masses.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Lamppert  
PO Box 5787  
Tahoe City, CA 96145-5787

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Michael McLaughlin  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:27 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Please end attempts to remove vitally needed water from its normal watersheds in Northern CA.

Due to excessive exploitation salmonids and other anadromous fish are becoming seriously in danger of extinction. Water tables fall, and streams dry, making the forested areas of CA far more likely to be burnt down. Entire ecosystems are at risk due to this benighted water transport, and living becomes difficult for indigenous species, indigenous peoples, rural people, and even urban communities formed where water is normally to be found.

Stealing water from the areas dependent upon it for thousands to millions of years is a grave mistake.

Do not attempt to create artificially large urban human communities or grow intense water-dependent crops in areas where these cannot exist as local ecosystems, but instead, allow local climates to determine what arises. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael McLaughlin  
1011 H St  
Eureka, CA 95501-1842

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Barbara Fowler  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:27 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

My ancestors were among the earliest from the East into California, were farmers and ranchers as well as founder's of Firebaugh's Ferry, helpers in saving redwood forests, and truly caring about the State.

If you let big companies and the wealthy run the State government, it will be a disgrace to your father's memory and a tragedy for democracy.

If government is now in control of the wealthy corporations and individuals in America, you should be the first to tell us that

situation outright. And then lead the people in successful debate of

THAT issue which is more important than all else. But continuing to exploit the State for speed, power, and more profit to the already wealthy, is wrong and not what we elected you for.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Barbara Fowler  
272 W 7th St  
Claremont, CA 91711-4309

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Randy Pilgrim  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:26 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

What we actually need in California is a new source of fresh water, not more ways to use the water we don't have and aren't getting.

I urge you to be foresighted, and build desalination plants now, it is the only NEW source of fresh water for the state.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randy Pilgrim  
845 Castor St  
Foster City, CA 94404-2715



---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Suzan Markaryan  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:27 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It's time to see leaders, governors, senators who are acting for the betterment of everybody, not just for the wealthy ones.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Suzan Markaryan  
6700 Varna Ave  
Van Nuys, CA 91401-1219

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of gary matteson  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:26 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

DON'T FRACK WITH SCARCE CALIFORNIA WATER - GO SOLAR AND WIND!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. gary matteson  
3863 Division St  
Los Angeles, CA 90065-4247

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of S. Graham <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:26 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

How is this any different than the plans You opposed back in the 70's if the state had started reclaiming water back then we wouldn't be in a crisis

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. S. Graham  
PO Box 1032  
Santa Cruz, CA 95061-1032

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Linda Fitzgibbon  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:24 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

There are other less damaging efforts to the environment that can be made. We need to find ways to live within our means and save water upstream. If we are in the midst of a major long term drought, there may not be the water to run through the tunnels!

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Fitzgibbon  
1315 Caceres Ct  
Davis, CA 95618-6702

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kenneth Vernon  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:21 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water is for everybody not for the benefit of corporation farms and the wealthy Plutocrats.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kenneth Vernon  
8228 Flowerfield Rd  
Phelan, CA 92371-8514

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Tim Isom <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:22 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Profits before people. Seems like a sad sad song that keeps getting played over and over again. Please don't let campaign contributors be the "speech" that drowns out the needs of citizens who don't have millions to contribute.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tim Isom  
738 45th Ave  
San Francisco, CA 94121-3222

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Leah Higgins  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:21 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

If anything our money should be invested in clean energy, not worsening the CA drought to help the oil industry. The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leah Higgins  
676 Ehrhorn Ave  
Mountain View, CA 94041-2127

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Gina Cuclis <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:21 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Furthermore, it's time to expect Central Valley agriculture to implement low water use irrigation systems. No more excuses.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gina Cuclis  
1212 Alberca Rd  
Sonoma, CA 95476-3910



---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Siegfried Othmer  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:21 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

The priority should be on restoring the health and quality of the Delta environment, not on building the tunnels. First let us do what is necessary to restore the Delta to environmental health. At that point there will be more clarity on whether redirection of water flow to the South is in our collective interest.

The only way the tunnels make economic sense is if the flow of water to the South is actually increased. At the present time, it is not clear whether the Delta can withstand those increased draw-downs.

However, once the tunnels exist, they will create their own mandate for being kept full. This puts the priorities entirely backwards. The tunnel project appears to be a way for water users to trump any claims to the environmental integrity of the Delta.

There are many measures that should be taken in south to manage water resources better. That will maintain urban demand stable even in the face of population growth. That in turn will clarify that the beneficiaries of the increased water draw from the Delta will be agriculture and gas production. Cost recovery should be so structured that the beneficiaries largely pay the cost. This means that the tunnel project should be combined with a tax on extraction of petroleum products and natural gas.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Siegfried Othmer  
6265 Canoga Ave Apt 53  
Woodland Hills, CA 91367-2405

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Virginia Rater  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:20 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Dear Governor Brown ~

RESPECTFULLY, YOU ARE WY OFF BASE SIR. DUE TO UNRELENTING CLIMATE CHANGE WE ARE IN A SEVERE DROUGHT WHICH IS NOT LIKELY TO CHANGE IN THE NEAR FUTURE. STOP GIVING WATER TO ANY BIG OIL BUSINESS. IT'S TIME FOR SOLAR & WIND ENERGY.

\* Btw, Don't think by pleading "water for big agriculture" is going to let you off the hook for supporting FRACKING.

NO SPECIAL WATER ALLOTMENTS TO BIG OIL ~ EVER. UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES.  
NONE.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Virginia Rater  
1111 1st St  
MB, CA 90266

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Greg Cahill <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:20 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Resist the power of corporate money at the expense of people.

Corporations are NOT people. I thought you were better than that - don't fall to the level of a Scalia or a Roberts.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Greg Cahill  
4234 La Salle Ave  
Culver City, CA 90232-3212

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Nancy Sues  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:45 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Escalating wars and ever decreasing water supplies should be enough to stop fracking and really focus on wind and solar power. Not to speak of the health horrors of industrial farming. All of the money in the world will not do a thing if we all as a society cannot eat healthy food and drink clean water. The extreme short sightedness of this proposal is appalling.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Sues  
26201 Golf Links Dr  
Pioneer, CA 95666-9136

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lani Ball <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:44 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The Sacramento River needs to stay exactly like it is. It, too, is already stressed from dams further upstream and this long-established drought we are experiencing. Do not divert its flow with these erroneously conceived tunnels, the expense and the building of which would be disastrous to the lives of the people and animals that live in their path of construction. I don't believe the concept would work, and there are far better ways to manage the delta water area. We don't want our precious water going to more fracking fields or Big Ag to use in the arid desert that is the western San Joaquin.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lani Ball  
1221 Fordham Dr  
Davis, CA 95616-0928

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Marilyn Schafer  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:08 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

My question always is: Who really benefits from this proposal and who are those that will suffer most? The answer is that the Central Valley benefits and Los Angeles with a huge population suffers a great deal.  
where is the fairness in this?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marilyn Schafer  
4045 W 58th Pl  
Los Angeles, CA 90043-3401

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Christopher Martin  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:08 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

I drove on CAL RT 4 a couple of days ago & in Stockton saw streets being watered, due to poorly aligned nozzles. Also, the watering was being done during the hottest part of the afternoon, when the water evaporates at it's quickest.

Those who want our water have to show they can be frugal with it.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Martin  
1812 Alameda Ave Apt C  
Alameda, CA 94501-4186

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Douglas Holmes  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:07 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Don't waste our money and don't indenture our children on "water" projects that don't make more water. Tunnels will be as empty as the lakes in drought years. Tunnels aren't needed in wet years. What are you thinking?

The state must start metering every water user. At the least, start measuring communities that don't meter their customers. If a community more water than their share of what's available before the end of each month, cut that community off for the rest of that month. The rest of us have rights too.

Don't waste our money on projects that cannot contribute water supply in drought years. If this doesn't make sense to you, watch me vote!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Douglas Holmes  
102 Boyd Ct  
Danville, CA 94526-2569



---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kathleen Griffy  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:37 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

For pity sakes start acting like a Democrat and get out of the Oil companies hip pockets. We are conserving water at our homes. Limiting how often we water our yards, flush the toilets, and installing water saving devices. Then we find that our government is wanting to divert a huge amount of water to Special Interests.

I know of people who live in the Central Valley who are limited to how many gallons they can use per day because they are on a community well system. If and that well fails they have no idea what they are going to do for water then. What happens to those people when the government diverts huge amounts of water to agri-business and the Oil business so they can continue to destroy by fracking.

Please, reconsider. It is not the will of the people. We are conserving, the government needs to step up and do the same.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kathleen Griffy  
240 W El Sur St  
Monrovia, CA 91016-4731

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Tom Browne  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:37 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

When you can prove to me that agribusiness & big farmers are held accountable for the water they indiscriminately waste, then i'll start to consider such a drastic measure as a tunnel. While they clamor for more and more public subsidized water, the general public is told to keep cutting back. Why are we told to conserve water while big business is given a free pass?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Browne  
Filton Ct  
Fremont, CA 94536

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Agnes Puntch  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:36 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I live near the Delta and every day see the consequences of the drought, the creep of the brackish water, the changed composition of our shoreline. I am against the tunnel project and hope you will work to restore the Delta instead.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The issue is part of the larger project we must adopt to adapt to climate change; so much has been irrevocably destroyed, but if we move fast to hasten the transition to renewable energy resources and to plan wise changes to our agricultural use of the land, we might be able to mitigate some of the damage.

Please, Governor Brown and other decision makers for our state, put climate at the top of the list and take leadership on this issue.

Transition could involve many, many jobs and when the infrastructure is built (hopefully regionally controlled) we will benefit from stabilized energy prices, lesser cost from climate change and a better world, which I hope you will want as your legacy.

Finally, the need for the tunnels is questionable.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Agnes Puntch  
417 Garretson Ave  
Rodeo, CA 94572-1416

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Joan Jurancich  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 3:40 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Building the tunnels, or any sort of bypass around the Delta, will not result in more precipitation. There is NO available water from the Sacramento River for diversion at this time. Given the limited water supplies in California, even in wetter years, the diversion of water for irrigation of lands without safe drainage facilities in the western San Joaquin Valley is wasteful and an unreasonable use of water, in my opinion. Even more so is the use of fresh water supplies for hydraulic fracturing by the oil and natural gas industry; this use of water also endangers the potable groundwater needed for domestic and agricultural use by injecting polluted water deep into the ground under high pressure to release oil and gas.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan Jurancich  
3433 Mayfair Dr  
Sacramento, CA 95864-3805

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Diane Watters  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 3:39 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It's time for all of us to look to the care and conservation of our precious resources... or possibly to lose them forever. In particular, water is far too scarce, and far too important to our survival, to waste on such as Fracking or unsupportable and greedy ag-business that will primarily be exported. Please be responsible with our irreplaceable environment and resources.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Watters  
2370 Lawnview Ct  
Simi Valley, CA 93065-2537

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Claire Joaquin  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 3:40 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

These tunnels will hurt small farmers greatly. Governor, please do not go forward with this ridiculous, dangerous project. We are in a killer drought.

You want to

save water; easy fix: save millions of gallons of water by a temporary moratorium on hydro-fractionation. You aren't the guy to worry about political power players.

Give Big Ag a clear message: we embrace our small farmers, those hard-working folks we see at our local farmers' markets. Give us a democratic response and rethink our water dilemma: STOP the tunnel project.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Claire Joaquin  
6278 Bucktail Ln  
Pollock Pines, CA 95726-9013

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kirsten Barquist  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 3:40 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Fossil fuel extraction, especially by fracking techniques, must stop.

Water diversion projects must stop. We need to scale back our water and energy use; we must support agricultural practices that are appropriate and sustainable. The tunnel proposal is the antithesis of all of these goals.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Miss Kirsten Barquist  
979 Golf Course Dr  
Rohnert Park, CA 94928-1892

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Governor Don  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 3:39 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This is NOT conservation. This is environmental destruction and corporate thievery. Future Californians will forget all the good you have done, and remember you for selling us out to big business. You are too smart to go along with their false promises of jobs and recovery.

We can do better. People are still watering lawns in the desert, and factories are dumping waste water into the waterways, and we still have millions of rooftops without solar or wind turbines or even greenery.

Think about real solutions and then THINK HARDER to promote and implement them!

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Governor Don  
719 E Market St  
Stockton, CA 95202-3104



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Len Greenwood  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 3:10 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

(Stop corporate greed and take over of your rights. You want my vote, then act like it!)

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Len Greenwood  
1250 Hahman Dr  
Santa Rosa, CA 95405-6934

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Laura Stillwell  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 2:39 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

[ I am not totally opposed to water for ag use, depending on many factors. But I am completely & totally opposed to water being moved like this for fracking. There is no way that taxpayers should pay for that or that it should be allowed no matter who is paying for it. ]

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Laura Stillwell  
34850 Benton Rd  
Hemet, CA 92544-9474