
From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Al Mannon <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 2:10 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I am concerned that the cost of this project will not be shared equitably by the beneficiaries of the project. Those that will receive the water should pay the cost. Those promoting the project claim it is environmentally sound. Shipping enormous amounts of water away from the Delta sounds more like a huge environmental risk, not a benefit.

Even if this project can be shown to be environmentally sound, given the fragile state of the economy, it seems to me that this is not a good time to embark on such expensive projects.

I understand that similar projects have provided little or no benefit to the general public.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Al Mannon
2930 Driftwood Pl
Stockton, CA 95219-8024

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Mike and Gwen McKenzie
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 2:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. [An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale Corporate Agriculture Welfare Queens who depend on taxpayer financed subsidies to export high value (to them) nut crops to China. They are taking California's limited water and basically shipping it overseas for self enrichment. Allowing this to go forward is tantamount to cutting ones own throat, period. Sop the insanity, Please!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike and Gwen McKenzie
6597 Cane Ln
Valley Springs, CA 95252-9547

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sue Bordelon
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 2:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

As a small farmer, environmental engineer, past city planner and concerned citizen of the this state, I know how clean water is quickly becoming the next oil, the next resource that will determine where we live, what we eat, and who is going to make money from it.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sue Bordelon
6316 Wells Ave
Loomis, CA 95650-9302

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Deborah Janzen
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 2:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

[This project has the potential to be devastating to farming and wild life in the Delta. Find a difference solution, asap.]

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Janzen
805 S Rose St
Lodi, CA 95240-4624

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Margaret Harrell
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 2:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

[As a person of faith and a grandmother, I strongly appose this project.

We are called to be husbands of creation, so we should support what benefits the many, not the few.] This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Margaret Harrell
514-24th St.
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254-2617

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Barry Katz <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 1:40 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Obviously, cost is not a consideration because it is the consumer and taxpayers who will pay for it, not the primary benefactors.

It's very obvious that corporate interests control our State. But in drought-stricken California, this is tantamount to complete disregard for the public welfare. At least pretend to care about people by stopping this expensive project that will only be beneficial to only the oil and agriculture corporate interests. It is a shame it has gotten this far to date. Cut it out!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Barry Katz
904 N Spaulding Ave Apt 2
West Hollywood, CA 90046-6349

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of john bidleman
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 1:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please stop the incorporation of more government. This is the hijacking of taxpayers taxes. Please represent the people who elected you.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. john bidleman
4380 Daywalt Rd
Sebastopol, CA 95472-6011

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Tom Nicholson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 1:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

[I don't care how many voters they have in the southland! How about a more sensible conservation plan where the pain is felt equally across the citizenry.

Unlimited water in Sacramento? Cotton subsidies that are excessive for a surplus crop that doesn't put healthy food on anyone's plate. We've been down this road. I like and generally admire the Governor, but how can this possibly fly alongside his desire for high-speed rail? We need to keep in mind that infrastructure upgrades are crucial for our state's future, but these projects must be prioritized for greatest impact on base needs of the majority.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Nicholson
1254 Quiet Cir
Concord, CA 94521-4840

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sherry Meddick
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 1:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am opposed to diverting ONE DROP of water from the Delta.

I am opposed to diverting water from N. Cal in order to give the water to frackers and giant agro.

I am sick and tired of being required to support measures that help corporations but harm ME.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sherry Meddick
PO Box 771
Silverado, CA 92676-0771

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ellen Barron
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 1:10 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Whoring for big Ag and big oil is a crime against Californians. Stop taking dirty money.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ellen Barron
55 Quail Dr
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-9756

From: vic <vrosasco@clearwire.net>
Sent: Monday, June 30, 2014 6:23 AM
To: bdcg.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: pipes

To BDCP

Since the inception of the central valley water project I have watched the Delata decline, and this new segment will accelerate it. Too much water is removed from the Delta now and making it easier to remove more in the future is not going to help no matter how you try to spin it off as helping out the estuary. The plan to set aside 100,000 acres for wildlife habitat is simply a ruse to get the flow that keeps out salt water in that end of the delta and if nobody is farming this ground, nobody is complaining. Sure you say that science will drive the decisions on how much water to divert, but the same science is available now and is ignored. It is fact that no more than 3m. acre ft. of water should be removed on a normal rainfall year but this year over 6.5m.ac.ft. have been shipped south. If completed this project will only make this easier.

I also believe the process for promoting this project is totally corrupt, I am incensed that a supposedly public project is being advertised using taxpayer funds. The Dept. of Water Recources has ben turned into a talking head for the water groups promoting this project which is a perfect case of the fox designing the henhouse. Your estimate of project cost is ridiculously low to sell the project to the public and inconclusive of how much taxpayers have to pay for the wildlife mitigation cost, which if fact should be paid by the benefactors of this, not the public, This project only benifits a small public segment, it is designed to benefit large corporate farming interests and water brokers. So I will do everything in my power to stop this example of corrupt California politics that benefits a special interest while throwing the general public under the bus.

Sincerely,

Victor Rosasco
1708 Woodsbro Rd.
Stockton Ca. 95206
209-479-4500

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ananda Patterson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:37 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It's time to focus on sustainable water development in California -- increasing capture and storage capacity, reducing runoff and waste, and implementing more robust conservation measures. Building tunnels to ship massive quantities of water that we don't have doesn't make sense.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ananda Patterson
320 Napa St
Rodeo, CA 94572-1330

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jea Bressie <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2014 5:59 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 20, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Stop the water waste of fracking and then let's talk Agibusiness is also a big drain on waning water supplies .No more wasting please .

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jea Bressie
720 Beaver St Apt E
Santa Rosa, CA 95404-3747

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Judith Kahle
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2014 3:29 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 20, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We are in the worst drought in centuries. Northern California cannot afford to lose its water to southern California big agriculture and oil industry. This is OUR state, OUR water, OUR wildlife that depends upon water to live.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judith Kahle
343 Wyoming St
Fairfield, CA 94533-5146

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Gonzo Rock
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Friday, June 20, 2014 2:58 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 20, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Consider the environmental consequences of diverting massive amounts of water like this. Aren't we killing our planet hard enough already?

We should instead be capturing our rain water that just flows out to sea in Los Angeles storm drains... it should instead percolate back down into the water table.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gonzo Rock
800 Main St
Venice, CA 90291-3218

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Nancy Parker
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:57 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

There are viable alternatives to meeting the water needs of our state.

Please do not succumb to pressure or ill-advised logic leading to the approval of tunnels. Environmental coalitions of many stripes are ready to help plan a successful alternative to tunnels.

Thank you for your consideration.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Parker
1512 MLK
Berkeley, CA 94709

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carolyn Scarr
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:38 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We in California do not want the peripheral canal whether above ground or in a tunnel. We do not want to ship more water to greedy misusers of the state's water to grow cash crops that use too much water and to frack for oil -- which is damaging to ground water.

No tunnel, not shipping water south.

Californians know "water is for fighting". We will fight.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carolyn Scarr
1340 Ada St
Berkeley, CA 94702-1102

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Elaine Belle <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:51 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

WITH THE SHORTAGE OF WATTER WE NEED TO STOP WASTEFUL USE OF WATER.

There are other ways to get our energy needs met. And Big Ag needs to find other ways to make use of the water they have. Not be so wasteful with it. We need to pause and really asses the possibilities not plunge into this This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elaine Belle
415 Wellesley Ave
Mill Valley, CA 94941-3713

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Martin Iseri <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:51 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I also object to the name of the plan. Since when does water removal help conserve the flora and fauna of the region? If large-scale agricultural enterprises and the oil industry need water, let them invest in Pacific Ocean water desalinization (and let them fund it).

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Martin Iseri
4267 Bannister Rd
Fair Oaks, CA 95628-6916

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of carole glosenger
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:48 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. Farms need to adapt to changing climate and grow products that use less water.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Also, I resent sending water to communities in Southern California that don't conserve water. We in Northern CA have been conserving water for many years while in LA people are watering lush gardens and maintaining swimming pools. Water conservation should be based on gallons per household not just a small reduction from previous over use.

Carole Glosenger

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. carole glosenger
117 Beulah St
San Francisco, CA 94117-2717

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Judy Kinter <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:55 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

What, by the way, happened to the desalination plants? I know they were built and functioning at one time. Why aren't we using them?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Judy Kinter
2207 Mulberry St
Chico, CA 95928-6760

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jan Saxton <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:47 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The Sacramento Delta is already in danger of salt water intrusion due to overuse of water and diversion to other areas. It is sheer insanity to risk the most productive, fertile farmland in the state by draining more water from the delta.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jan Saxton
3860a Anzar Rd
Aromas, CA 95004-9625

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carole Ehrhardt
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:54 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Already Sacramento has shipped a huge amount of Northern California water to the Central Valley and Southern California. Here in Northern California, we are suffering from lack of water and farmers in the Central Valley are farming where there is no water and farms should never have been built. This is desert and the rest of us should not be expected to subsidize their growth. Also so many farms have been added that ones who were there before the Aquaduct do not have enough water for their crops. This has to stop. Add this to the current fracking craze and a good portion of California will end up with no water or poisoned water.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. The Nature Conservancy and others have made improvements to the Sacramento River and we need this river. To ship its water south is wrong!

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The citizens of California do not want you to subsidize more areas and/or the greedy oil companies while we pay for it. You have done a decent job of balancing our budget. Let's put some money back into education so we can be better prepared for our future, not into more Central Valley (AKA desert) areas and the oil companies.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carole Ehrhardt
PO Box 243
Pebble Beach, CA 93953-0243

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Mary Losh <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:47 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Governor Brown, my husband and I have always supported you through our almost 30 years of living here in California.

We need to end ALL of the giveaways, tax loop holes and special favors to Big Oil, Big Agra, Big Pharma and all monopolized corporations and conglomerates and the rich in general. The money that would generate could be used for many things like education and renewable energy.

The time is now to sieze history's moment and do whatever we can to turn our climate, economy and future around so that life as we know it will still be a reality for future generations. If we do not act now we are just as responsible as the Big Business forces that threaten our Nation and indeed the Earth.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Mary Losh
760 Arliss St
Riverside, CA 92507-1101

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sidney Hollister
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:54 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

A similar tunnel boondoggle was rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We live in a semi-desert state but continue to support growing crops that are water intensive. I realize this is a complex matter but we should end the growing of cotton and alfalfa in this state and help farmers find other crops. Also, almonds and other nuts require water the year round. They bring the state, and especially agribusiness, millions of dollars but are clearly not sustainable in California.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sidney Hollister
465 Chestnut St
San Francisco, CA 94133-2348

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Colin Ramsay
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:53 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Diversion projects are environmentally disastrous, on top of the economic burdens. Corporate agriculture does not pay a fair price for the water and they are wasteful with what they get. I drive through this area and see them spraying water into the air on hot summer afternoons, when evaporation and wind diversion are at their peaks. If corporate farmers want more water, let them pay a fair price for what they now get and learn to use their current supply more judiciously.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Colin Ramsay
253 Florence Ave
Sebastopol, CA 95472-3736

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of karinajoy McAbee
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:38 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This is outrageous! What are you thinking?? Protect our water for people, salmon and family farms, not big ag and especially not oil/gas companies.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. karinajoy McAbee
1517 Casteel Dr
Willits, CA 95490-8304

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sage Johnson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:51 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

WHAT HAS HAPPENED TO YOU GOVERNOR BROWN?

YES FRACKING IS A GOOD THING. AND NOW TUNNELS DIVERTING PRECIOUS WATER.

WAKE UP! BIG BUSINESS WILL BE (SOON) OF THE PAST. WHAT SIDE WILL YOU BE ON?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sage Johnson
1730 Kearny St
San Francisco, CA 94133-2468

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Barbara McCarthy
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:46 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Since the profits go elsewhere, the reapers of the profits should shoulder ALL the costs and endanger NONE of the rest of the population!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara McCarthy
9 Commodore Dr Apt A404
Emeryville, CA 94608-1633

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Diane Rooney
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:08 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Stop the tunnels. The water should not be used for water-wasteful agriculture and even worse for the oil industry. Our citizens NEED water. Also our nature. And our fishing industry. Diverting unlimited water to these water-intensive is bad for California and bad for the planet.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diane Rooney
6420 Schmidt Ln Apt C311
El Cerrito, CA 94530-1964

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Marsha Franker
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:44 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

There was an article in the Times a few days ago re: shipping California alfalfa to China. Alfalfa needs huge amounts of water. Are you going to subsidize corporate alfalfa growers with OUR water so they can continue to make profits off the public's resource?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marsha Franker
2485 Walgrove Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90066-4134

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of carol gerratana
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:44 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Water for 'fracking' in a drought or really at any time is wrong. The oil industry only pollutes!! Agriculture on this scale that isn't even to feed people here in California but for export is also wrong.
Taxpayers should not pay for their profits.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. carol gerratana
61638 La Jolla Dr
Joshua Tree, CA 92252-2444

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Arthur Chan
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:43 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

People's dependance on their lawns bothers me. Why not use non-thirsty native plants instead?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Arthur Chan
3727 Northridge Dr
Concord, CA 94518-1646

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Eloy Ruiz <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:43 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

All for the tunnel project.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eloy Ruiz
4300 Tretorn Ave
Bakersfield, CA 93313-2423

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Douglas Sherman
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:07 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I recall the disaster of selenium contamination at Kesterson Bay Refuge due to the inappropriate introduction of agriculture to the west side of the San Joaquin Valley. It was a boondoggle then and it's a boondoggle now. Only more so given that climate change will reduce overall rainfall.

The tunnels are a bad idea. Conservation and appropriate sustainable agriculture are the right way forward.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Douglas Sherman
PO Box 26530
San Francisco, CA 94126-6530

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Donna Hickman
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:42 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Its time to stop spending unnecessary money on stupid schemes to make the rich richer. We have many struggling families now in CA due to the high taxes on everything, the cost of utilities, food etc. It's time to get rid of Governor Brown and his corrupt buddies and get some people in office who are "for the people" not for corrupt politicians.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Hickman
PO Box 390444
Anza, CA 92539-0444

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Daniel Courtice
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:42 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I can't believe it's even a consideration to ruin the North State to send water to the south. Let them put a lid on their building, farming crops not suitable for arid climates and fracking.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Courtice
29 Temperance Way
Chico, CA 95928-9431

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Russo <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:06 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Fracking should not be happening. Growing products for export and asking the taxpayers to fund a water project for it is a slap in the face.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Russo
9403 Vista Del Valle
Desert Hot Springs, CA 92240-1760

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Joel Ziegler <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:06 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Move on ground water recharge first. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Ground water recharge is the most vital link in preparing for the future, move on this issue now!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joel Ziegler
4500 Fickle Hill Rd
Arcata, CA 95521-9010

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sharon Lucas
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:40 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Millions of gallons are wasted as not only home owners but cities water water water and it goes down the drain. Stop the overwatering, don't destroy the Delta please.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sharon Lucas
630 Baden Ave Apt 105
South San Francisco, CA 94080-3569

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of E. H. Ryba <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:06 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

What the hell is wrong with you?? Citizens are being told to conserve water. Water bills are going up for citizens. And YOU are just GIVING huge amounts of water to agriculture and to the most profitable industry in the history of the earth - the oil industry? Are you insane? You obviously don't want to keep your job. When word of this gets around, your number is up!

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. E. H. Ryba
11426 Segrell Way
Culver City, CA 90230-5358

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of MaryKay Rodarte
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:06 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We can put together many small savings to help get us thru this drought. First of all stop using potable water for any kind of well stimulation or fracking. Make sure all farm land is being irrigated in the most efficient manner possible. Have 25% of farm land idle this year. Have urban dwellers cut their use by 15%. We will make it. Spend the money on creating desalination plants up and down the coast for cities to use instead of stealing water from conservation efforts.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. MaryKay Rodarte
8355 Rattlesnake Rd
Phelan, CA 92371-6430

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Dolores Negrete
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:05 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Governor Brown Jr.,
Enough abuse of power! Enough robbing citizens of what they need to get by.
You think OUR gardens, OUR pets, OUR families, INCLUDING CA's wildlife and ecosystems don't need much water, BUT these rich corporations need
it MORE??? How does depleting more of what little fiscal, social, and
biological needs, benefit US citizens in CA by giving these SOUL-LESS AND HEARTLESS corporations the lions share of
water, for Fracking, which has INCREASED the incidents of earthquakes to an already geologically unstable State???
Even States whose politicians have agreed to tracking that previously didn't experience earthquakes are getting them
now. Go to USGS if you don't want to believe me! I am TIRED and FED UP WITH spineless politicians who can be bought
and sold by major corporations and the uber-wealthy \$\$\$\$ at the expense and detriment of the citizens, the HUMAN
BEINGS who hired YOU to represent them, and their rights.
If you are not for the rights of individual men, women, children and infants in CA, then I don't care about your political
authority, and clearly you are NOT the same person that was elected Governor from the
1970's to 1980's!!! DNegrete

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be
redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa
Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local
water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dolores Negrete
622 Wall St
Los Angeles, CA 90014-2314

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Robert Borchert
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:04 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Protect our water supply further by banning fracturing, which injects nasty chemicals into our water for the sake of oil company profits. A clean water supply for the people of California is much more important than helping Oil Corporations make even bigger profits than they already are.. Isn't 175% and billions in profits enough for them?
What will we drink when all of our water is polluted by them?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Borchert
PO Box 365
Felton, CA 95018-0365

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Raymond Peterson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:40 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The oil/gas industry frackers use mega gallons of water mixed with undeclared chemicals in order to free gas from deep in the earth; we have no idea what the fate of the subterranean toxic waste will be, not to mention the earthquake potential in fracturing further our already highly fractured state.

None of this makes any sense.

The voters already rejected such proposed tunnels in 1982, isn't that clear enough? Further, similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We rest our case.

Ray Peterson
1140 Emily Ave
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
mnsquez@aol.com

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Raymond Peterson
1140 Emily Ave
Rohnert Park, CA 94928-1906

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of E. Huddleson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I live in a community where we were supposed to be getting recycled water for the landscaping. There are separate meters for landscape water. The last time I inquired, about a year ago, I was told there was no recycled water in use yet. The meters were installed 10 years ago! The water district has very recently started offering incentives for eliminating turf (grass) and replacing it with drought tolerant plants. I have never had grass, but my neighborhood keeps the gardeners busy mowing very green grass. To our credit, we do water at night.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. E. Huddleson
2295 Rambling Rose Dr
Camarillo, CA 93012-2532

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Fran Nease <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:04 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Give the water to the smaller farmers and get rid of big agribusiness.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fran Nease
1723 Vincente Rd
Concord, CA 94519-2723

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of D Cameron Sellar
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:46 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. It should especially not be sent to be used for fracking which pollutes our ground water, and creates other environmental problems.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. D Cameron Sellar
Tom Bell Rd.
Murphys, CA, CA 95247

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Nancy Eaken
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:38 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please stop the carnage to this earth, it's wildlife and our ability to have enough water to grow the crops we want to grow in California.

Redirecting the water will have detrimental effects to all, especially the wildlife. We need to stop all this nonsense, and protect our lands and it's inhabitants. There are far too many species of wildlife going extinct already. What is this saying about our decisions as humans, that we prefer letting corporate bullies in the oil industry have their way, for money, rather than protecting our rights, the earth and all wildlife?

In Earnest,
Nancy Eaken

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nancy Eaken
745 Christine Ave
Redding, CA 96003-3542

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Bradley Roon
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:45 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Screw the big Ag and especially the fucking frackers. They should be shot probably, and put out of humanity's misery. You are probably stupid enough to support this crap load because someone is kicking back to you. Intelligence says it is a pretty stupid idea. So non - intelligent reasons are the only motive to support this.

And i literally would feel somewhat relieved if people started blowing up fracking rigs before they drilled and destroyed the aquifers.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bradley Roon
12012 Elk Mountain Rd
Upper Lake, CA 95485-9244