

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Helen Babcock  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:38 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

HAVE THE KOCH BROTHER'S MACHINE MADE YOU DAFFY, MR. GOVERNOR?

SEPARATE THE WHEAT FROM THE CHAFF. WE KNOW THAT AGRICULTURE IS IMPORTANT TO CA ECONOMY, BUT YOU ARE BEING COURTED BY BIG BUSINESS AND NOT THE PEOPLE. AGAIN WE ARE BEING LAYED OUT TO DRY...LITERALLY, DUE THE CORPORATE STRANGLEHOLD ON OUR STATE AND NATIONAL GOVERNMENT.

OBSERVE THAT THE CITIZENS OF SANTA BARBARA REJECTED THE PROPOSED TUNNELS. SOUNDS LIKE JUSTIFICATION FOR FRACKING TO ME. ONCE YOU BUILD TUNNELS THE LOBBYISTS WILL SAY THAT YOU DUG TUNNELS FOR WATER, WHY NOT WATER. STUPID IDEA AND YOU KNOW IT.

LEAVE OUR NATURAL WATERWAYS (WHAT'S LEFT OF THEM) SYSTEMS DEVELOP WATER TO THE WASTEFUL FARMERS. WE SHOULD NOT BE GROWING RICE HERE.

TAKE THAT OFF THE LIST OF FOODS WE NEED. IT IS BASICALLY A PROCESSED FOOD AND USED FOR PROCESS FOODS.

CORPORATIONS, MY FRIEND ARE NOT PEOPLE.....WE ARE THE PEOPLE. CORPORATIONS DO NOT PAY THEIR FAIR TAX. WE ARE SUBSIDIZING THE AGRIBUSINESS AND NOT THE SMALL INDEPENDENT FARMER. DON'T YOU GET IT?

THE CITIZENS ARE REQUIRED TO REDUCE THEIR USE OF WATER. HELLO? I'VE LIVED IN CA ALL MY LIFE AND I WAS TAUGHT ABOUT CONSERVATION BY MY QUAINST STEP FATHER, WHO PRACTICED WATER SAVING BEFORE IT WAS A TREND.

THOSE WHO LIVE HERE HAVE TO SACRIFICE. I BELIEVE NO LAWN IS A STARTER. GOOD FOR LOCAL BUSINESS. I HAVE ALWAYS CONSERVED BUT I WILL BE PUNISHED WITH HIGHER RATES, AS THE METER IS NOT PAYING ATTENTION. I KNOW TELL PEOPLE TO WATER WISELY AND GET RID OF THEIR LAWN.

I'M SO TIRED OF THIS CORPORATE HARRASSMENT!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Babcock  
95 S Pacific Ave  
Ventura, CA 93001-3454

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Helene Robertson  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:45 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers

HEY...IT'S HARD ENOUGH FOR US HOMEOWNERS TO DEAL WITH THE DROUGHT.  
DON'T SWIPE AN ENTIRE RIVER TO GIVE TO BIG AGRI AND OIL! WE NEED A BREAK. DUMP THE TUNNEL IDEA!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Helene Robertson  
38 Austin Ave  
San Anselmo, CA 94960-2908

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Paula Cosio  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:45 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I would expect you to protect the ecology and the economy of California, for citizens, not corporations. We are already in a severe drought, and this proposal would not help the most vulnerable small farmers or taxpayer. In addition, I am concerned that the diverted water would be used for harmful fracking operations.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Paula Cosio  
13058 Thoroughbred Way  
Whittier, CA 90601-1428

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of KRIS CORDOVA  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:45 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Oil companies want to use it for fracking. Our water supply is too low to start this kind of a project.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. KRIS CORDOVA  
25768 Kellogg St  
Loma Linda, CA 92354-3923

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Fran Larson  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:44 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Fracking for profit and not for the health of the planet is not wise and is un-necessary. We need to tend to water and energy needs here at home.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fran Larson  
1060 Terra Nova Blvd Apt 112a  
Pacifica, CA 94044-4366

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Helga Gendell  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:43 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Redirecting a river and robbing the entire state of precious water resources for the enrichment of oil companies to increase fracking, and the disastrous consequences that include more earthquakes and poisoned water, is an insult to our taxpayers. Allowing certain large agricultural firms to enrich themselves while robbing taxpayers and small farmers of the water they need is criminal as well.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Helga Gendell  
220 Waterview St  
Playa Del Rey, CA 90293-8049

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Claudia Gibson  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:34 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The north can't keep propping up the south. For eons people have migrated to better resources.

It's common sense. Desalinate the sea water.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Claudia Gibson  
Cascade dr  
Fairfax, CA 94930



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Karen Cappa  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:43 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Our water table is lower than ever before and people need water to survive. The people of California are doing our best to cut back on our water usage by taking fewer showers, allowing our gardens to be less than abundant and making sure we stay conscious of every drop of water we use. Now big agriculture wants to take all of our water and waste it more and more on unsustainable practices growing mono crops which are not good for the earth or our water supply. PLUS the Oil and Gas companies want to waste billions of gallons on Hydraulic Fracking which all of us know is wrong, wasteful and is killing communities all over the country.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

You used to be a liberal person who was for the people and now you, as many politicians before you are being bought by big money, big corporations and big agribusiness. This is not the Jerry Brown I remember from the 70's. PLEASE go back to your roots of being for the common person in this state and say NO to this project, Hydraulic Fracking and other wasteful water killing plans and give our state and the people who live here sustainable practices so we can continue to thrive.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Cappa  
581 Santa Alicia Dr  
Rohnert Park, CA 94928-5002

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of mel byrd <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:41 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Water is the new gold of the 21st century. I have lived through 2 previous droughts in CA. Only the northern portion of the state had to ration; so cal just kept watering their driveways.

Water should not be wasted. It should be metered and rationed THOUGH OUT THE STATE. Not just the northern portion because all of our water is shipped south to water driveways and artificial lawns.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. mel byrd  
1637 black bart  
south lake tahoe, CA 96150

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carla Duke <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:12 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water policy should take into consideration all Californians' needs as well as protecting the environment!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carla Duke  
6685 Girvin Dr  
Oakland, CA 94611-1629

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ken Kennett  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:12 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. No, new ways to use and waste water, conservation is demanded....

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Kennett  
7657 Winnetka Ave  
Winnetka, CA 91306-2677

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ellen Robertson  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:12 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I live in eastern Madera County and drive through Hwy 99 communities on a weekly basis. Last winter, during what was obviously a serious drought year, hundreds of acres between Chowchilla and Merced were planted in new almond orchards. Some of the trees appear to be planted too close together to be practical, and I assumed they were grapes or pomegranates until the leaves came out. I feel little sympathy for any growers who are contributing to the water crisis by planting under these conditions.

I feel no sympathy for the big water companies whose angry signs can be seen all over the Central Valley. They are trying to guilt-trip the state into funding orchards in what is virtually a desert environment and has never supported non-irrigated agriculture.

Neither does the valley need more housing or other high water use projects.

We have limited water resources in this state and those resources are already stretched too thin to be supportable. California can look into desalinization and other water reclamation possibilities to provide for cities, agriculture, fishing and recreational business without continuing to destroy the delta and drain the rivers of what little we have.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ellen Robertson  
40464 Road 810  
Raymond, CA 93653-9793

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Soulin Heath  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:13 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I think the tax payer moneys for any water project would be better spent on upgrading other existing systems having specific requirements within the allocations portion of the law for increasing earthquake resistance of the major supply water systems to municipal water districts that supply mostly to residential area systems because one big earthquake has the probability to simultaneously leave many residential systems crippled or totally unusable and they would have to be repaired to supply water to the large population centers of California.

Along with that, for the major water supply systems to those critical municipal systems there should at least be a supply of useable repair parts that will actually fit and be ready to use on short notice that are strategically located throughout the State and also an able readied workforce strategy having allocated and accessible equipment available for the emergency water supply repairs to be done in a rapid response condition.

I know that if there is already a system like what I mentioned above I think it reasonable to say that it is both out of date and inadequate and therefore should be modified or renewed entirely and then be supported enough so that it is a robust system.

Instead of the Tunnel Project which is another name for what we called the "Peripheral Canal" in 1982 (which California voters decided against only with differences to how it is constructed).

The Tunnel Project's stated primary purpose is still mostly the same as the "Peripheral Canal" was in 1982.

There can not be enough protections for the environment, or non-agricultural stakeholders put into the law that could not be undone by powerful and moneyed interests who don't care about anything other than their own extractive ways of making the highest profit which is not necessarily bad but when it is at a cost of tax payer's and the environment who shoulder the large majority of the burdens that go along with it now and in the future, I think the taxpayer's money would be better spent on something else other than the Tunnel Project; something like what I stated previously in this comment would be the wiser.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Soulin Heath  
Jenkins Rd



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Martha Deaton  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:11 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Moreover, when it comes to the health of people and the environment, money is not a tradeoff.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha Deaton  
2080 Camel Ln  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596-5960



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lynn Bailey <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:11 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

While I was born and raised in the Bay area, I have lived the last 30 plus years in Bakersfield and am very familiar with agriculture in the valley. The west side was always lacking water and it was not the brightest idea to plant crops that are water intensive. Although I have compassion for the small farmers plight I do not have that same feeling for large agribusinesses and oil corporations. I believe that these tunnels will seriously erode the fragile Eco-system of the Delta and are unwise. California needs so many things (improved roads, a bullet train, better public transportation, schools, improvement of the bridges and the repair of the Lake Isabella Dam), what we don't need are these tunnels.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Bailey  
13909 Las Entradas  
Bakersfield, CA 93314-4208

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Crystal A Mourad  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:11 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

How many times do we have to say NO WAY. Much of the water will go to fracking, rendering the water useless for all time. Water for life is a necessity not a luxury item. We have said NO to the Twin Tunnels and to fracking. No manipulation or suppression of the facts will change our stance. This our state and our country. This our future and the future of our families and friends. NO!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Crystal A Mourad  
650 Manzanita Ave Apt 106  
Chico, CA 95926-1339

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rick Kardash  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:11 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Big agriculture in California already gets 80% of the water and wastes much of that. Require big ag to quit flooding fields and using sprinklers to irrigate, have them convert to drip systems and see how much water they save.

Please remove your support of the twin tunnel project. It will ruin Northern California to support the biggest water wasters in our state!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Kardash  
PO Box 852  
Soulsbyville, CA 95372-0852

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Albert Sun <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:10 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Also, stop letting bottled water companies deplete our water reserves and sell the water out of state for huge profit.

Fracking will come back to haunt us by contaminating the land on which we grow our food. If we can't find safe long term solutions to problems that arise like, (i.e. nuclear waste) in the name of progress and profit, then maybe we should slow down the need to progress. A perfect example of irresponsible government is how the cell phone companies lobbying efforts delayed no cell phone use while driving for so long. In the present climate, profit always trumps common sense.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Albert Sun  
1049 Norwood Ave  
Oakland, CA 94610-1835

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Macks Swagustin  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:10 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Redirecting a portion of the river will disrupt the ecosystem in the area; moving the habitat of hundreds of species of plants and animals is not worth the damage when there are obviously simpler, and more feasible solutions.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Macks Swagustin  
1240 Sunrise Dr  
Gilroy, CA 95020-9610

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Brien Brennan  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I side with evolutionary processes. A sane water system used to exist before Euro-Americans showed up. It provided abundant habitat for billions of life forms, and fed a human population matched to the land's carrying capacity. I'd rather live with a lot fewer humans and a lot more salmon. Take down the dams and get your fucking engineering out of our lands and waterways.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brien Brennan  
7200 S Fork Dr  
Red Bluff, CA 96080-9591

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of James Pomeroy  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It is time to move forward into the reality of our world. Water, that most precious commodity, cannot be given to big business. The threat of its use in fracking is untenable and unacceptable. The environment must be protected, maintained, and respected, even as the health of the state's population is considered.

Striking the balance is key. We cannot tilt the balance in favor of corporations, lest we threaten the lives of all.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Pomeroy  
3250 Avenida Del Presidente Apt 10  
San Clemente, CA 92672-4521

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Colleen Rourkw  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It is disgusting that California would even consider polluting its precious and diminishing fresh water for fracking.

Several animals are already at risk of dying due to the dismissed water supply as well.

Have some respect for nature and you constituents, do not approve this tunnel.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Colleen Rourkw  
24431 Stonehill Dr  
Dana Point, CA 92629-1776



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jessica Evans  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:08 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project seems poorly thought out. It is insanely expensive and it seems that eventually the only way to cover the costs will be for farmers to sell the water to oil companies and urban residents. In addition, the project will lower water tables and cause serious saltwater intrusions.

I agree that the current system is unsustainable but we need to go back to the drawing board and craft a thoughtful, well-considered plan that will actually create a long-term sustainable water system. Any new water project should not create subsidized water such that large agricultural interests and the oil industry can increase their water use. We need to focus on REDUCING water use and creating an ecologically and economically sustainable system, NOT a system that will promote expansion, promote more water use, cost taxpayers huge amounts in the event of default by the bondholders, etc etc. This project simply isn't the right fit.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jessica Evans  
921 Seaside St  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-4227

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of theresa Brady  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:08 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Existing projects that bring water to central and southern california use excessive energy and therefore cause global warming. We need to reduce consumption, not increase water transfers. Farms and residences alike need to follow water conservatio methods.

If you would like more details, I would be glad to discuss them with you.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. theresa Brady  
21844 Corvo Way  
Topanga, CA 90290-4347

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ann Rennacker  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:51 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

These proposed tunnels will destroy fisheries, ruin the entire Sacramento Delta, kill the Mc Cloud river area that Native Americans need to survive, cost billions which we cannot afford, and will not solve California's water shortages. The water diversion only benefits the oil industry and desert agri-business. Fracking water is being poisoned and causing earthquakes, and adding to climate change problems.

The people who live along the Sacramento River will be ruined and they oppose this horrible project as well as environmentalist, fishermen and Northern California farmers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ann Rennacker  
31200 Sherwood Rd  
Fort Bragg, CA 95437-4124

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Connie Day <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:50 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

NO Fracking and NO tunnels!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Connie Day  
1650 Maring Way  
Sacramento, CA 95835-1740

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kitty Meekins  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:50 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

These tunnels are tantamount to selling out this part of the state for the Southern part that is overbuilt and wanting too much water. Terrible idea for the land and for you as Governor.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kitty Meekins  
500 1/2 Sacramento St  
Nevada City, CA 95959-3010

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Earl Chancellor  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:49 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

Why do we want to provide large green pastures for the rich to play golf while we condemn entire species of fish to extinction.

Lets not send more water and money south. Lets take care of the North State first.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Earl Chancellor  
640-645 Iris Rd  
Mcarthur, CA 96056-8626

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rod Lamkey  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:48 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am ALSO concerned that private corporations will reap benefits at taxpayers' expense. Surely they must foot the bill for what benefits them!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rod Lamkey  
2310 Roosevelt Ave Apt 4  
Berkeley, CA 94703-1748

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jay Schneider  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:48 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The above two paragraphs were written for me. I know that you know that. Now I would like to speak as an earth science professional. I am California Professional Geologist #8787, and Qualified SWPPP Developer #20608. I have years of experience in private industry with groundwater and surface water.

It makes no sense either economically or scientifically to keep diverting waters of the state - a public resource - to private industries, namely agriculture, to continue to grow crops such as almonds, pistachios, and alfalfa, in a desert. These businesses should be encouraged to switch to crops which present less of a burden on public resources. Yes, the weather is mild year-round in California, but that doesn't mean it's Florida. Florida is subtropical. The Central Valley is arid and semi-arid. The people of California - and their elected officials - have somehow got to realize that we can't continue to grow whatever we want here.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jay Schneider  
831 E Orangewood Ave Apt C220  
Anaheim, CA 92802-4478



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Milton Bosch  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:47 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

The idea of a huge water give-away to westside southern San Joaquin Valley corporate Agriculture and water-intensive, water-wasteful Big Oil frackers, simply infuriates me. We're facing the collapse of our native salmon, steelhead and trout fisheries with creeks and streams already going dry, and the San Joaquin River is practically a stagnant, shallow ditch already...and summer just started today. It's a known fact that historically, California goes through SEVERE droughts, some lasting 400 to 500 years! Yet we build a huge, cancerous megalopolis in the desert that continues to grow as water supplies shrink. People come FIRST when it comes to water, not businesses, and after that, FISH and WILDLIFE come second.

We cannot continue to feed this cancerous desert dwelling and farming and not expect it to kill the body of California, and the precious salmon with it.

To plan to build a huge water infrastructure that may be useless in the near future, at HUGE taxpayer expense, just to benefit DESERT FARMING by Big Ag and Big Oil is the most outrageous water-redistribution proposal ever designed by mankind. Most Californians strongly oppose it, and WE certainly do not want to PAY FOR IT.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Milton Bosch  
3432 Crestview Way  
Napa, CA 94558-5328

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Stephen Weitz  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:46 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Farmers and Golf courses should not come before Fishermen. Save water for salmon and other fish.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Stephen Weitz  
2757 Best Ave  
Oakland, CA 94619-3203

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Laura Thompson  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:45 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

In addition, 80% of our water goes to agriculture... much of it non-food crops. This does not make any sense. There needs to be a change in policy regarding this.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Laura Thompson  
PO Box 33520  
San Diego, CA 92163-3520

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of john mulhern  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:45 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I believe that the Central Valley should transition to more sustainable crops, ones that require less water. As for fracking, I think it should be opposed for many reasons, but water use is one of the biggest.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. john mulhern  
1555 Merrill St  
Santa Cruz, CA 95062-4025

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jillana Laufer  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:43 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Governor Brown, I think you've done a fine job up until this point, but I will not condone this poisonous and water-hoarding "fracking" endeavor. Nor should you! WE ARE IN A HISTORIC DROUGHT. AND I am totally against "fracking" of any kind in our state, and quite frankly, if you continue to pursue this stupidity, I and hundreds of thousands of others will not vote for your re-election. I AM NOT WILLING TO GIVE OUR WATER TO OIL COMPANIES SO THEY CAN POISON OUR GROUNDWATER AND SOIL WITH THEIR FRACKING CHEMICALS.  
I AM DISMAYED THAT YOU THINK THAT'S OK. IT'S NOT OK.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jillana Laufer  
3940 Laurel Canyon Blvd # 804  
Studio City, CA 91604-3709

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of GARRINE PETERSEN  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:01 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

WE ARE IN A DROUGHT. WE DON'T NEED TO MAKE IT WORSE. TELL THE MONEY PEOPLE TO TIGHTEN THEIR BELTS AND LEAVE OUR WATER ALONE.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. GARRINE PETERSEN  
12130 Truesdale St  
Sun Valley, CA 91352-1333

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Larry Farwell  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

California already exports more water to China as almonds and alfalfa than all California's cities combined use. We don't need to provide any more water at public expense for private profit.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Larry Farwell  
2476 San Marcos Pass Rd  
Santa Barbara, CA 93105-9720

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jeanette Ertel  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:07 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am personally most concerned that this water will be redirected to help tracking practices which would not help the economy or the health of Californians. I hope you are not being misled about how this water is to be put to use.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jeanette Ertel  
1988 Martin Luther King Jr Way  
Berkeley, CA 94704-1665



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Elke Augustine  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:20 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I have to seriously question your wisdom here, as this only seems to benefit a few, rather than all the people of this state. And all the rest of the people would pay for this? Stop messing up our environment, so a few can profit.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elke Augustine  
2512 Horse Creek Rd  
Horse Creek, CA 96050-9017

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of chips Armstrong  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:20 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Just as you did with the original matter related to the Wind industry, which was perhaps a more understandable MISTAKE. You are about to make another devastating MISTAKE if you promote the tunnels. Have you any morality ? You can't claim to be misinformed this time, as you might argue about the Wind industry fiasco. Just what kind of cupidity justifies this carnage to California, to say nothing of any sort of legacy you will be proud to leave. Think very carefully.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. chips Armstrong  
67b Magnolia Ave  
Petaluma, CA 94952-2176

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Annette Cadosi Wilson  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:19 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I know what it is like to run out of water. I live in the San Francisco North Bay. We grow organic tomatoes here, a thirsty plant.

We've had to look for better ways to keep our 5 acres vital and use less water in the process. We've been successful; our well has not gone dry. Unless others conserve water in this way, the impact of a family farm is not going to make a big difference. The solution is not more water via a pipe-line but less water to those corporate farms.

They need to get on board.

We need food.

We need to conserve water.

That is the bottom line.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Annette Cadosi Wilson  
1421 W Dry Creek Rd  
Healdsburg, CA 95448-9131

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of alan mart <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:18 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This is totally unnecessary the Zeonon water system has allowed orange county to drink its own wastewater Fill their depleted aquifer and have the cleanest drinking water on the state .the central valley has dropped 100 feet in 100 years . All we need do is to reuse the 85% of California water the farmers get. Let this water percolate into the aquifer and there will be enough water for everyone and we won't need any new tunnels dams or delta work. The valley will rise every one has a well and the water can be used over and over again.This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. alan mart  
365 Aspen  
Bolinas, CA 94924

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Skillman  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:17 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This proposed project comes at a time when California should be spending time and effort adapting to the realities of climate change. A central reality of climate change for California is that water will only continue to be in shorter and shorter supply. We must adapt to climate change in California by moving away from intensive agriculture in the Central Valley. This project goes in the wrong direction for facing our dwindling water supply. A central reality of climate change in California and globally is that we need to move away from fossil fuels as a source of energy. This project goes in the wrong direction for getting off of fossil fuels.

This proposed project is fiscally irresponsible. If the state can't afford to fund, for example, better schools and Universities that serve everyone in the state, how can the state justify spending taxpayer dollars on the antiquated, impractical, large-scale, special interest industries of unmetered agriculture and oil mining?

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Skillman  
wrightwood  
wrightwood, CA 92397

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lewis Webb  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:17 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Why are you going to bleed northern California dry? Southern California has plenty of money, let them use their money to create desalinization plants instead of depleting northern California's natural resource.

Governor Brown, I respectfully ask you to reconsider this move as I believe this plan does not look after the best interests of all of the people of California. Are YOU sure you want to leave this as your legacy? There are enough people who want to divide this great state of California into separate states because the people of northern California feel like they do not receive equal representation. This is not about the number of people in each local, but rather the amount of money in each local.

Are you being bought and paid for? Please rethink this one.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Lewis Webb  
517 18th St  
Sacramento, CA 95811-1006

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Tess Husbands  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:16 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Draining waters from our ecosystems, damages the ecosystem and their species of biological diversity, like fish.

Science states clearly, we are "suicidal" when we damage ecosystems. Mankind only breathes because of the planet Earth created, seeded, evolved and planted or ecosystems. And, all ecosystems are all interlinked and integrated.

"In Wildness is the salvation of the Earth and the preservation of all life."

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Tess Husbands  
5521 Cloud Way  
San Diego, CA 92117-1309

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of K L <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:16 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I have read about the tunnels -- pro and con. I do not believe that the tunnel approach is the most cost effective approach. I do not want it to go forward. Further, unless we start making oil companies pay for their water use with extractions and fracking why should the tax payers pay for tunnels? When we start to tax off shore oil I will consider giving more water to oil. I live near agriculture and every day I see huge amounts of water wasted in field sprinklers. We need an INTEGRATED AND SHARED TOTAL STATE water and well regulation now and we need to STOP the out of date ancient water right agreements that distribute water unjustly and ineffectively for Californians. We are no longer some ignorant population of people where ancient "water rights" are sacred and a few lucky users get more because they were first. We need to pull together. Throw these old agreements out and start over with a master water plan, and let's be smart users in a global warming economy!! Also, we need to have mandatory conservation with penalties.

Gov'na, is this email wasted on deaf ears?

Best regards.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. K L  
8625 Rosecranz  
La, CA 92030



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Garcia  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:16 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Much of the water will be going to support the Oil and Gas industry, primarily .Fracking wells, which are injecting harmful chemicals into the ground, which permeate into the water table. We cannot allow this fracking process to continue wasting our valuable water supply!

John Garcia

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Garcia  
6771 Follette St  
Carlsbad, CA 92011-5052

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Betsy Blondin  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:14 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We should not be diverting ANY water to fracking! Thank you.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Betsy Blondin  
PO Box 7775  
San Francisco, CA 94120-7775

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Leslie Kneeland  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:12 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I will not have my tax dollars spent on sending precious water to Fracking Companies so they can waste it and poison our ground water wells !! No Way!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Kneeland  
2324 Gads Hill St  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401-4932

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Randall Morris  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:13 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

NO fracking at all and we need more money put into desalination plants to send water to inland reservoirs adding to the present natural water supply.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Randall Morris  
35011 Avenue E  
Yucaipa, CA 92399-4624