
From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Helen Babcock
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:38 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

HAVE THE KOCH BROTHER'S MACHINE MADE YOU DAFFY, MR. GOVERNOR?

SEPARATE THE WHEAT FROM THE CHAFF. WE KNOW THAT AGRICULTURE IS IMPORTANT TO CA ECONOMY, BUT YOU ARE BEING COURTED BY BIG BUSINESS AND NOT THE PEOPLE. AGAIN WE ARE BEING LAYED OUT TO DRY...LITERALLY, DUE THE CORPORATE STRANGLEHOLD ON OUR STATE AND NATIONAL GOVERNMENT.

OBSERVE THAT THE CITIZENS OF SANTA BARBARA REJECTED THE PROPOSED TUNNELS. SOUNDS LIKE JUSTIFICATION FOR FRACKING TO ME. ONCE YOU BUILD TUNNELS THE LOBBYISTS WILL SAY THAT YOU DUG TUNNELS FOR WATER, WHY NOT WATER. STUPID IDEA AND YOU KNOW IT.

LEAVE OUR NATURAL WATERWAYS (WHAT'S LEFT OF THEM) SYSTEMS DEVELOP WATER TO THE WASTEFUL FARMERS. WE SHOULD NOT BE GROWING RICE HERE.

TAKE THAT OFF THE LIST OF FOODS WE NEED. IT IS BASICALLY A PROCESSED FOOD AND USED FOR PROCESSED FOODS.

CORPORATIONS, MY FRIEND ARE NOT PEOPLE.....WE ARE THE PEOPLE. CORPORATIONS DO NOT PAY THEIR FAIR TAX. WE ARE SUBSIDIZING THE AGRIBUSINESS AND NOT THE SMALL INDEPENDENT FARMER. DON'T YOU GET IT?

THE CITIZENS ARE REQUIRED TO REDUCE THEIR USE OF WATER. HELLO? I'VE LIVED IN CA ALL MY LIFE AND I WAS TAUGHT ABOUT CONSERVATION BY MY QUAINST STEP FATHER, WHO PRACTICED WATER SAVING BEFORE IT WAS A TREND.

THOSE WHO LIVE HERE HAVE TO SACRIFICE. I BELIEVE NO LAWNS IS A STARTER. GOOD FOR LOCAL BUSINESS. I HAVE ALWAYS CONSERVED BUT I WILL BE PUNISHED WITH HIGHER RATES, AS THE METER IS NOT PAYING ATTENTION. I KNOW TELL PEOPLE TO WATER WISELY AND GET RID OF THEIR LAWNS.

I'M SO TIRED OF THIS CORPORATE HARRASSMENT!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helen Babcock
95 S Pacific Ave
Ventura, CA 93001-3454

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Helene Robertson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:45 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers

HEY...IT'S HARD ENOUGH FOR US HOMEOWNERS TO DEAL WITH THE DROUGHT.
DON'T SWIPE AN ENTIRE RIVER TO GIVE TO BIG AGRI AND OIL! WE NEED A BREAK. DUMP THE TUNNEL IDEA!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Helene Robertson
38 Austin Ave
San Anselmo, CA 94960-2908

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Paula Cosio
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:45 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I would expect you to protect the ecology and the economy of California, for citizens, not corporations. We are already in a severe drought, and this proposal would not help the most vulnerable small farmers or taxpayer. In addition, I am concerned that the diverted water would be used for harmful fracking operations.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Paula Cosio
13058 Thoroughbred Way
Whittier, CA 90601-1428

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of KRIS CORDOVA
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:45 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Oil companies want to use it for fracking. Our water supply is too low to start this kind of a project.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. KRIS CORDOVA
25768 Kellogg St
Loma Linda, CA 92354-3923

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Fran Larson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:44 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Fracking for profit and not for the health of the planet is not wise and is un-necessary. We need to tend to water and energy needs here at home.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Fran Larson
1060 Terra Nova Blvd Apt 112a
Pacifica, CA 94044-4366

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Helga Gendell
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:43 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Redirecting a river and robbing the entire state of precious water resources for the enrichment of oil companies to increase fracking, and the disastrous consequences that include more earthquakes and poisoned water, is an insult to our taxpayers. Allowing certain large agricultural firms to enrich themselves while robbing taxpayers and small farmers of the water they need is criminal as well.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Helga Gendell
220 Waterview St
Playa Del Rey, CA 90293-8049

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Claudia Gibson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:34 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The north can't keep propping up the south. For eons people have migrated to better resources.
It's common sense. Desalinate the sea water.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Claudia Gibson
Cascade dr
Fairfax, CA 94930

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Karen Cappa
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:43 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Our water table is lower than ever before and people need water to survive. The people of California are doing our best to cut back on our water usage by taking fewer showers, allowing our gardens to be less than abundant and making sure we stay conscious of every drop of water we use. Now big agriculture wants to take all of our water and waste it more and more on unsustainable practices growing mono crops which are not good for the earth or our water supply. PLUS the Oil and Gas companies want to waste billions of gallons on Hydraulic Fracking which all of us know is wrong, wasteful and is killing communities all over the country.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

You used to be a liberal person who was for the people and now you, as many politicians before you are being bought by big money, big corporations and big agribusiness. This is not the Jerry Brown I remember from the 70's. PLEASE go back to your roots of being for the common person in this state and say NO to this project, Hydraulic Fracking and other wasteful water killing plans and give our state and the people who live here sustainable practices so we can continue to thrive.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karen Cappa
581 Santa Alicia Dr
Rohnert Park, CA 94928-5002

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of mel byrd <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:41 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Water is the new gold of the 21st century. I have lived through 2 previous droughts in CA. Only the northern portion of the state had to ration; so cal just kept watering their driveways.

Water should not be wasted. It should be metered and rationed THOUGH OUT THE STATE. Not just the northern portion because all of our water is shipped south to water driveways and artificial lawns.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. mel byrd
1637 black bart
south lake tahoe, CA 96150

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carla Duke <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:12 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmeasured agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water policy should take into consideration all Californians' needs as well as protecting the environment!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carla Duke
6685 Girvin Dr
Oakland, CA 94611-1629

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ken Kennett
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:12 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. No, new ways to use and waste water, conservation is demanded....

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ken Kennett
7657 Winnetka Ave
Winnetka, CA 91306-2677

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ellen Robertson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:12 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I live in eastern Madera County and drive through Hwy 99 communities on a weekly basis. Last winter, during what was obviously a serious drought year, hundreds of acres between Chowchilla and Merced were planted in new almond orchards. Some of the trees appear to be planted too close together to be practical, and I assumed they were grapes or pomegranates until the leaves came out. I feel little sympathy for any growers who are contributing to the water crisis by planting under these conditions.

I feel no sympathy for the big water companies whose angry signs can be seen all over the Central Valley. They are trying to guilt-trip the state into funding orchards in what is virtually a desert environment and has never supported non-irrigated agriculture.

Neither does the valley need more housing or other high water use projects.

We have limited water resources in this state and those resources are already stretched too thin to be supportable. California can look into desalinization and other water reclamation possibilities to provide for cities, agriculture, fishing and recreational business without continuing to destroy the delta and drain the rivers of what little we have.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ellen Robertson
40464 Road 810
Raymond, CA 93653-9793

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Soulin Heath
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:13 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I think the tax payer moneys for any water project would be better spent on upgrading other existing systems having specific requirements within the allocations portion of the law for increasing earthquake resistance of the major supply water systems to municipal water districts that supply mostly to residential area systems because one big earthquake has the probability to simultaneously leave many residential systems crippled or totally unusable and they would have to be repaired to supply water to the large population centers of California.

Along with that, for the major water supply systems to those critical municipal systems there should at least be a supply of useable repair parts that will actually fit and be ready to use on short notice that are strategically located throughout the State and also an able readied workforce strategy having allocated and accessible equipment available for the emergency water supply repairs to be done in a rapid response condition.

I know that if there is already a system like what I mentioned above I think it reasonable to say that it is both out of date and inadequate and therefore should be modified or renewed entirely and then be supported enough so that it is a robust system.

Instead of the Tunnel Project which is another name for what we called the "Peripheral Canal" in 1982 (which California voters decided against only with differences to how it is constructed).

The Tunnel Project's stated primary purpose is still mostly the same as the "Peripheral Canal" was in 1982.

There can not be enough protections for the environment, or non-agricultural stakeholders put into the law that could not be undone by powerful and moneyed interests who don't care about anything other than their own extractive ways of making the highest profit which is not necessarily bad but when it is at a cost of tax payer's and the environment who shoulder the large majority of the burdens that go along with it now and in the future, I think the taxpayer's money would be better spent on something else other than the Tunnel Project; something like what I stated previously in this comment would be the wiser.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Soulin Heath
Jenkins Rd

Redwood Valley, CA 95470

BDCP1113

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Martha Deaton
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:11 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Moreover, when it comes to the health of people and the environment, money is not a tradeoff.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha Deaton
2080 Camel Ln
Walnut Creek, CA 94596-5960

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lynn Bailey <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:11 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

While I was born and raised in the Bay area, I have lived the last 30 plus years in Bakersfield and am very familiar with agriculture in the valley. The west side was always lacking water and it was not the brightest idea to plant crops that are water intensive. Although I have compassion for the small farmers plight I do not have that same feeling for large agribusinesses and oil corporations . I believe that these tunnels will seriously erode the fragile Eco-system of the Delta and are unwise. California needs so many things (improved roads, a bullet train, better public transportation, schools, improvement of the bridges and the repair of the Lake Isabella Dam), what we don't need are these tunnels.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lynn Bailey
13909 Las Entradas
Bakersfield, CA 93314-4208

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Crystal A Mourad <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:11 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

How many times do we have to say NO WAY. Much of the water will go to fracking, rendering the water useless for all time. Water for life is a necessity not a luxury item. We have said NO to the Twin Tunnels and to fracking. No manipulation or suppression of the facts will change our stance. This our state and our country. This our future and the future of our families and friends. NO!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Crystal A Mourad
650 Manzanita Ave Apt 106
Chico, CA 95926-1339

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rick Kardash
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:11 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Big agriculture in California already gets 80% of the water and wastes much of that. Require big ag to quit flooding fields and using sprinklers to irrigate, have them convert to drip systems and see how much water they save.

Please remove your support of the twin tunnel project. It will ruin Northern California to support the biggest water wasters in our state!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rick Kardash
PO Box 852
Soulsbyville, CA 95372-0852

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Albert Sun <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:10 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Also, stop letting bottled water companies deplete our water reserves and sell the water out of state for huge profit.

Fracking will come back to haunt us by contaminating the land on which we grow our food. If we can't find safe long term solutions to problems that arise like, (i.e. nuclear waste) in the name of progress and profit, then maybe we should slow down the need to progress. A perfect example of irresponsible government is how the cell phone companies lobbying efforts delayed no cell phone use while driving for so long. In the present climate, profit always trumps common sense.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Albert Sun
1049 Norwood Ave
Oakland, CA 94610-1835

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Macks Swagustin
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:10 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Redirecting a portion of the river will disrupt the ecosystem in the area; moving the habitat of hundreds of species of plants and animals is not worth the damage when there are obviously simpler, and more feasible solutions.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Macks Swagustin
1240 Sunrise Dr
Gilroy, CA 95020-9610

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Brien Brennan
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I side with evolutionary processes. A sane water system used to exist before Euro-Americans showed up. It provided abundant habitat for billions of life forms, and fed a human population matched to the land's carrying capacity. I'd rather live with a lot fewer humans and a lot more salmon. Take down the dams and get your fucking engineering out of our lands and waterways.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brien Brennan
7200 S Fork Dr
Red Bluff, CA 96080-9591

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of James Pomeroy
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It is time to move forward into the reality of our world. Water, that most precious commodity, cannot be given to big business. The threat of its use in fracking is untenable and unacceptable. The environment must be protected, maintained, and respected, even as the health of the state's population is considered.

Striking the balance is key. We cannot tilt the balance in favor of corporations, lest we threaten the lives of all.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Pomeroy
3250 Avenida Del Presidente Apt 10
San Clemente, CA 92672-4521