Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sandra Fink

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:12 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff.

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Why is sending our water south a good idea?? They should look into desalinization. Even the aquifer will give out eventually- ie. sink holes.

Until EVERYONE in Ca. has meters on their water, we shouldn't build anything like these tunnels. By metering everyone, we might get enough economy to decrease our water problems statewide. Not sending water south unless they get meters will speed up the process. I hope you have a meter on your house.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sandra Fink 1535 Diablo Vis Alamo, CA 94507-1004

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of James Goodwin

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:10 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This ill conceived project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars the state cannot afford it and pour precious water down the throats of the Fracking Industry with its unquenchable appetite for our most precious resource - water. It must not be done. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. James Goodwin 2062 Vine St Los Angeles, CA 90068-3928

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of A. Corbet <act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:09 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Fix the fish screens, and upgrade the existing tunnels.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. A. Corbet 201 Santa Clara Oakland, CA 94610

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of gloriana casey

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:07 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

*******DEAR.

Governor Brown:

I am having to write to you a lot lately, and why that should be is annoying. WATER--is a human right, and the COMMON GOOD is the only way for any society to function and grow or sustain in a logical and fair, and way!***

BIg Agriculture seems to be acting the bully---again---another tiring issue. YOU cannot let the CORPORATE people have more benefirs than the actual water drinking DNA people! That would be a genocidal muder on a grand scale.*****

Besides, are these corporate people really going to walk off and leave the state and leave all their trees in the ground? WHERE are they going to go? STOP pretending that you are the Army Corps of Engineers, because, you know, some of their projects have turned out very badly(aka Katrina and New oeleans and the wall dikes that fell!***

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. gloriana casey

115 E Pine St Altadena, CA 91001-4803

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Leslie Zingarelli

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:06 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

The reason corporate agribusinesses are failing is because they are not sustainable in any regard. California agribuisness is exploitative, on all levels, ruins aquifers, depletes soils, destroys habitats and ecosystems, poisons neighboring properties, is chemical dependent and carbon-energy wasteful.

Above are FACTS, and not opinions.

NO WAY will I continue support multinational corporate grabs of scarce resources, without complete overhaul, better oversight, and tighter regulation of the entire agricultural industry.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should NOT be redirected for the sake of large-scale, un-metered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

NO NO NO NO

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Leslie Zingarelli 955 Shorepoint Ct Apt 310 Alameda, CA 94501-5869

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Frank Alliger

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:05 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

NOT to mention the DESTRUCTION to the to Delta and SAN FRANCISCO BAY!

The only thing more ridiculous and unwarranted than this water project is Jerry Brown's Choo-choo train.....HSR is a debacle! It was sold to the public with a pack of lies from how much it would cost, how many people it would employee, the cost of tickets, the travel time, THEY WERE ALL LIES - STOP IT NOW! or PUT IT BACK ON THE BALLOT and the voters will VETO IT!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank Alliger 3038 Thompson Ave Alameda, CA 94501-1754

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Barbara Burr

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:06 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Furthermore, how much of this water will be diverted for use by oil and gas exploration for fracking? That's wasting the water twice --- once for the fracking and once by taking it out of usage because it is now polluted and must be stored somehow somewhere! Or do you want to approve an additional bad use by putting it in the ocean to further endanger ocean life?

The cost of sending more water, with far too little control over its use, to Southern California, is a significan diversion of building/infrastructure dollars, an ecological nightmare in the Delta and the potential to benefit Big Agra and Big Gas & Oil and corporations, far more than individuals or habitats.

Governor Brown, you use to be the forward thinking, earth loving Governor. What happened to that person? Please recall your former self and see that this is a mistake and just repudiate the Twin Tunnel plan.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Burr 1020 Miller Dr Davis, CA 95616-1906

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of E. Kirby <act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:04 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It is time now to stop giving such enormous projects for huge corporations; we taxpayers should not have to fund these in such tight times. If the governor cannot see fit to fund caretakers for the disabled due to budget concerns, why are we even considering a 67 billion water give-away to huge corporations? We should not be! We need to stop this tunnel project right now!

We need to keep our water for Californians. Not fund giant give-aways to fracking oil companies and food exporters! It is time to keep our limited water resources for California'a people! Stop the tunnels!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. E. Kirby Xxx Xxxxx Oakland, CA 94619-2218

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Neil Cardew-Fanning

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:03 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. While we are trying to reduce our water and not waste a drop, there are still massive amounts of waste in the agricultural and oil industries, who use the vast majority of California's precious and limited water supply.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have proven not be cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

In addition, some farmers have been working diligently to stop wasting water and reduce unnecessary water use. They are proving it can be done. It is high time for their colleagues to do the same.

As far as fracking: fracking in California is absolutely irresponsible and should not happen and no governor with sense and concern for the future should promote it.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Neil Cardew-Fanning PO Box 291 Dutch Flat, CA 95714-0291

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of William Buring

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:03 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It seems to me that we are going BACKWARDS and not forward with regards to water supply sustainability! We do NOT need more fracking in this state, especially since California has many faults with much seismic activity! And why must we grow UNsustainable crops that require enormous amounts of water?

The only legislation coming out of Sacramento nowadays is the need for renewable energies and water conservation!

Thank you, Wm. Buring

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Buring 284 N Palm Ave Apt C Upland, CA 91786-5966

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Melinda Brecheisen

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:03 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. It is hard to imagine a conscientious Governor diverting water to Fracking when I can't even water my backyard garden. Stop the greed and think of us.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Melinda Brecheisen 153 Gillette Pl Unit 104 Livermore, CA 94550-2141

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Thomas M. Canning

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:02 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale agriculture and the oil industry. I am particularly concerned that much of this water could be used to facilitate the deadly fracking industry, which uses billions of gallons of water to inject toxic chemicals into the ground.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I strongly urge you to do the right thing, and reject this proposal.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas M. Canning 25671 Whittemore Dr Calabasas, CA 91302-2238

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Marilyn Brunger

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:02 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

How about building a roof over the length of the aquaduct to prevent water evaporation. If solar panels were put on top of the roof they'd create good energy!!! These would help the environment.....not BIG AG and OIL which the tunnels would only aid..

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Marilyn Brunger 627 Leyden Ln Claremont, CA 91711-4236

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Michelle Stava

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:01 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Your office continues to support bad policies for our state. Fracking, and water grabs, are just two of the more serious offenses. I am opposed to both of these, and will cast my vote for Governor accordingly. I don't know if your opponent will be any better for our environment, but they simply can't be worse!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Michelle Stava 169 Wedgewood Ave Los Gatos, CA 95032-1210

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Cherri Nelson

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:01 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Scientist do not speak well of this project according the reports I've read such as the Berkeley Center for Law, Energy, Regulation on Hydraulic Fracturing in California, April 2013 and the Environment and the Pacific Institute's Hydraulic Fracturing and water Resources:

Separating Frack from Fiction, Jun 2012. There are few rivers left that are not damned feeding into the Delta which can only survive by more fresh water not less.

There also is a sought in California which seems to happen in quick cycles and has become the new normal. Take away the unethical water rights casino and corporations have obtained from buying farm land. Enough is enough!

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cherri Nelson 21487 Old Mine Rd Los Gatos, CA 95033-8610

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Richard Andrews

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:00 AM

То:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Many years ago we said No to this water grab. Yet here we are again attempting to ruin the delta and its' surroundings for the sake of greedy corporations. Why ruin a whole state for the sake of a temporary fix because of greed. This water will only encourage further development which will demand yet more water.

NO, NO A thousand times no. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Andrews 5296 Diane Ln Livermore, CA 94550-3549

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jayson Smith

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:59 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

and stop using our water for Fracking, contaminating our Water No thank you.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jayson Smith 2518 W Hallwood Blvd Marysville, CA 95901-9409

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Fred Granlund

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:20 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This measure is supported by the oil industry and big agriculture firms as a way to grab excessive amounts of water for themselves at the expense of the majority of Californians living in the cities. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and destructive oil extraction..

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. We still owe hundreds of millions of dollars to school districts and local agencies, money that was purloined by the state to balance its books during the recession. The proposed tunnels were previously rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fred Granlund 5619 Lankershim Blvd # 102 North Hollywood, CA 91601-1723

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Celeste Joki

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 10:13 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Water is precious in our state. It should be saved for the people.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Celeste Joki 821 Appleberry Dr San Rafael, CA 94903-1207

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Doug Evans

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:56 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am quite convinced that this project is ill-advised, ecologically speaking.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Doug Evans PO Box 1843 Ojai, CA 93024-1843

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Terrie Allen <act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:04 PM

Sent: To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. The need of the PEOPLE is greater than the needs of corporations!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Terrie Allen 400 N Los Robles Ave Apt 25 Pasadena, CA 91101-1375

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Stephen Thompson

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:40 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Dear Jerry,

You used to be one of the "GOOD GUYS"! What in the world happened to you; to cause you to sell out your ideals?

The (3 living generations) of the Thompson Family of California.....

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Stephen Thompson 8945 Ellis Ave Los Angeles, CA 90034-3301

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Willis Korhonen

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 11:12 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. When Corporate and big Ag have exhausted all avenues of water conservation then I would think about helping them out.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Willis Korhonen 5671 Cloverdale Rd Pescadero, CA 94060-9764

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Cecelia Tiemann

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:11 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Stop corporate rule!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Cecelia Tiemann 2008 Jonathan Ave San Jose, CA 95125-2570

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Roger Osborne

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:40 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

A water desalination plant makes more sense for Santa Barbara County and avoids another pipeline. Corporations should spend more time in this century and use whole system thinking.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Roger Osborne 1095 Hilltop Dr Redding, CA 96003-3811

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of teri solomon

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:05 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Big agriculture and oil should pay a premium for the use of the existing aquaduct.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. teri solomon 11338 Bolas St Los Angeles, CA 90049-3418

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Chris Lyman

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:35 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

These proposed pipelines do not serve any essential purpose, and only benefit the interests of oil companies and growers of water intensive, non-essential but profitable crops at great expense to the majority of citizens, who will never benefit from the profits gained.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chris Lyman 1520 McCullen Ave Eureka, CA 95503-3821

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Federico Porter

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:04 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We are back to the days of Robber Barons!
The rich get richer (& so do the politicians) & the poor get shafted!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Federico Porter 320 Castillo Rd San Luis Obispo, CA 93405-8009

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Loren Zelmer

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:07 PM

To:

BDCP. comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

PLEASE, Do Not fund this boondoggle. This project will only subsidize water to those industries that use almost all of our water currently anyway. Use the money to pay-off our debts, build a surplus fund or reduce taxes for all California businesses and individuals.

Def, n.- A boundoggle is a project that is considered a useless waste of both time and money, yet is often continued due to extraneous policy motivations.

The extraneous motivations are primarily cost-ineffective profit pursuits by ag and oil that negatively impact taxpayers more than it benefits these two industries.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Loren Zelmer PO Box 136 Bloomington, CA 92316-0136

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jim Reynolds

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:34 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

In addition I live near the Oregon border near the Sacramento river headwaters and am very concerned about how such a project will negatively affect our small family farmers and ranchers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jim Reynolds 2301 Ball Mtn Little Shasta Rd Montague, CA 96064-9101

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Christine Smith

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:36 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

PLEASE DO NOT DESTROY THE DELTA!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Christine Smith 9419 Acapulco Way Elk Grove, CA 95624-1901

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Nancy Russell

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:37 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

We all need water, and to propose to send all the water in the Sacramento River to big corporations for their profit, and ignore the needs of California communities and their inhabitants is not reasonable.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. We rejected this proposal once before, and find it even more unacceptable in 2014.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Nancy Russell 6198 Agee St San Diego, CA 92122-3647

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rich Wills <act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:36 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

These criminal corporations care only about their off-shored profits; they care not a whit for the hard-working people of California!

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rich Wills 544 Douglas Ave Grass Valley, CA 95945-5044

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Shirley Blaylock

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:36 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

No tunnels. It is stupid and irresponsible to irrigate the desert and pave over prime farm land. It's time to stop that.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shirley Blaylock 4006 N Kidder Creek Rd Greenview, CA 96037

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Eric Weinstein

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:07 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This is simply too expensive. I'd much rather have some what faster rail with some of those billions. We should be conserving our water resources, not diverting them to industrial processes such as big ag and oil.

Save our water!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Weinstein

Х

Santa Monica, CA 90405

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Tricia Trippett

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:10 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I guess if this goes through I and all my friend, who vote, will pull our vote for you Governor Brown and vote for someone else.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Tricia Trippett 11930 Kling St Valley Village, CA 91607-4071

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sylvia Curtis

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 10:58 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Governor Brown, our state is in crisis. Why is this proposal important in the face of the enormous droughts we are now experiencing? Please do not allow this to pass against the wishes of the people in our state.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sylvia Curtis 14485 Seneca Rd Apt 169 Victorville, CA 92392-9354

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carole Masson

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Sunday, June 29, 2014 8:26 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 29, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I cannot believe the EPA and other agencies will clear the way for this project. I do not support it and do not want any of my tax dollars spent on this project!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Carole Masson 39998 Stamos Ct Temecula, CA 92591-7000

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of tim newlin <act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 6:41 AM

Sent:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I know this sounds old fashioned but what we need is less, not more:

less consumption, less insatiable fatness, smaller more reasonable vehicles that move people instead of mainly their own heavy bulk, and fewer people (by way of attrition, of course.) The need for less water goes along with this value system. MORE is "20th century/Wall Street thinking, aka Leaf Blower Logic."

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. tim newlin 3541 Oarfish Ln Oxnard, CA 93035-1306

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Gary Hillman

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 7:11 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We the people, need our water for drinking, cooking, and cleaning.

Our ecosystem is in peril because of these industries that rape our resources. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Hillman 1244 Stallion Way Angels Camp, CA 95222-9571

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Margaret Koster

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 7:41 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Conservation is the key to the future. Not massive new infrastructure. (Our basic infrastructure is degrading rapidly! Put infrastructure spending into maintenance, not new projects!)

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Koster 16100 N Highway 101 Spc 72 Willits, CA 95490-9715

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Joe Guerrero

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 8:11 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

If the billionaires want it, let them reach deep into their pockects to pay for this project instead of buying politicians.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joe Guerrero 14420 Clymer St Mission Hills, CA 91345-2301

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sandra Morey

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 9:42 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

At a time when our water is so severely compromised along with the fish and other wildlife that goes along with a healthy waterway, to divert an entire water system to another part of the state in response to big business and what it thinks it needs to make more profit is not forward thinking.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sandra Morey 3461 Laguna Ave Oakland, CA 94602-2901

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Bayard Fox <act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 11:43 AM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

The oil industry is already federally subsidized, I am deeply opposed to furthering their profits at my expense as well as further environmental degradation.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bayard Fox 3110 Encanto Dr Napa, CA 94558-1616

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Hans Huang

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 12:13 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

San Franciscans have been asked to reduce our water consumption, and we have done our part, but does this make sense to send more water for big oil and big Ag companies while we are expected to reduce water usage and then have to pay for such water?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Hans Huang 170 College Ave San Francisco, CA 94112-1013

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Matthew Farruggio

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 1:13 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Like the "Dustbowl billboards" along I-5, Out of date abusive water management is sickening to me. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. Similar to what the high speed rail naysayers constantly crow. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. And definitely not Taxpayer subsidized.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Matthew Farruggio 61 Norwich St San Francisco, CA 94110-5243

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Gary Meisner

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 2:12 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Though infrastructure spending is clearly needed to boost California's economy, this project is a poor one. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It is past time for California to get serious about a permanent building moratorium (except on existing sites with a similar occupation density), and regulation of agricultural water use.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Gary Meisner 5682 Scottwood Rd Paradise, CA 95969-4629

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Melissa Johnson

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Monday, June 23, 2014 1:13 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Stop supporting industries that are destroying this planet. This is bs.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Melissa Johnson 6621 Sueno Rd Goleta, CA 93117-7054

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of dennis therry

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:04 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Not again. Less than a month ago, the Senate passed S. 2198 another harmful drought response bill authored by Senator Dianne Feinstein and cosponsored by Senator Barbara Boxer. S. 2198 is proposing a "temporary" waiving of court issued protections for our endangered species and water quality standards to provide a temporary hydro-fix to wealthy, highly taxpayer subsidized corporate farmers who have paid hundreds of thousands of dollar to our state congress critters; a perfect example of big money trumping established environmental protections.

Oh, and it gets better....

According to SF Chronicle writer Carolyn Lochhead "There was no committee hearing, public review or Senate floor debate. It was the product instead of months of closed-door negotiations with various interests, including House Republicans, who passed a drought bill in February that would override environmental laws,....." Yes, plutocracy at its best. Long live Big Bucks.

I am appalled that Gov Brown is proposing another water grabbing scheme that, like S. 2198, would throw away environmental safeguards that took years to develop and implement just to enrich the taxpayer subsidized billionaire Resnick family of Paramount Farms, the Kern County Water Bank and fracking companies.

Yes, the same Resnick's that fronted Gov. Brown \$102,000 in campaign money.

This ridiculous tunnel project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river, or any body of water should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. These are the same groups that sucked the ground water dry and created their own environmental disaster and I refuse to offer any more money or water for their benefit. Like a drug, they will simply demand more and more.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. No to Gov. Browns tunnels. No to the Resnick family, No to fracking, and No to the Kern County Water Bank.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. dennis therry PO Box 735 Bayside, CA 95524-0735

Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Patricia Denton

<act@fwwatch.org>

Sent:

Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:11 PM

To:

BDCP.comments@noaa.gov

Subject:

I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff 650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

First, in light of what is happening in California, I have to say that I was very sorry that Governor Brown is back in. Clearly he does not understand or maybe doesn't care what is happening in our state. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

SIMPLY PUT, TAXPAYERS AND CITIZENS OF CALIFORNIA ARE TIRED OF PAYING SO THAT THE RICH GET RICHER. SINCE THE GOVERNOR IS IN FAVOR OF DOING JUST ABOUT ANYTHING TO SEE THAT WE FOOT THE BILL, I CAN ONLY GUESS THAT HE IS AMONG THOSE THAT WILL BENEFIT.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Patricia Denton 3442 Blue Mountain Dr San Jose, CA 95127-4802