**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carole Meredith <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:07 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Water is a limited resource in California and diverting it to meet commercial needs is shortsighted at best. We all, and that includes businesses and other commercial interests, must learn to live with what we've got. Removing more water from Northern California rivers will cause irreparable and irreversible damage to these complex and valuable systems.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Carole Meredith
4967 Dry Creek Rd
Napa, CA 94558-9593

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Bonnie Dombrowski <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:08 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry and "special interests".

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Redirecting a river and tunneling water through the state is an ill-conceived and misguided venture that should be tabled and replaced with water conservation, watershed and hydrological methodology that protects rivers and their tributaries from being decimated. Fisheries and wildlife that are already on the brink of extirpation will suffer.
This project affects us all and we have the right as taxpaying residents of California to demand a better resource management strategy for the state and for the citizens of California.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bonnie Dombrowski
PO Box 51093
Pasadena, CA 91115-5093

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of William Meadows <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:09 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I think there are better ways to store water and limit the destruction of the biggest natural ecosystem on the west coast. We are one of the two smartest states in the country and can't figure out our biggest problem? What's wrong with this picture? Perhaps we are asking the wrong people to solve our problem. At the expense, something's not right.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Meadows
340 Evergreen Dr
South San Francisco, CA 94080-1238

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of A. Wolf <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:09 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

What the HELL are you thinking??! We need to CONSERVE WATER, not hand it over to the highest bidder. Fracking is a disgusting, insane waste of natural resources & uses up millions of gallons of water during each process. Why would you support dirty, destructive, greedy practices and tax our natural resources to the point of needless depletion and irreversible destruction?
WHY?! Because of the almighty - albeit fake & disposable - dollar??
This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.
You need to listen to your constituents, the people you govern; NOT the greedy corporations shoving money in your face.
Protect this beautiful state; don't help to destroy it.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. A. Wolf
P.O. box 9478
Cardiff, CA 92007

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Karen Tanner <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 9:36 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Making water available for fracking companies to contaminate our water is totally unacceptable!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Karen Tanner
14037 Mallory Ct
Grass Valley, CA 95949-7658

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Daniel Holland <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:08 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

In our drought conditions, precious water shouldn't be used for fracking.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Holland
305 Alder St
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420-3865

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carla Cicchi <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 24, 2014 9:03 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 24, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Protect what is left of our beautiful state of California and STOP THE TUNNELS.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carla Cicchi
PO Box 907
Placerville, CA 95667-0907

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Toeppen <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:39 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This is an expensive rip off of Northern California that is entirely unacceptable. We will fight this foolish effort to steal our water.
This is immensely unpopular with with those of us cutting back on what little water we have.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Toeppen
5271 Irene Way
Livermore, CA 94550-3507

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rose Miksovsky <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:08 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Current environmental conditions need new creative solutions, not old failed ones - particularly when taxpayers can ill afford paying for this previously failed proposal. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Shame, shame Governor Brown for even proposing this fiscally irresponsible project.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rose Miksovsky
5343 Broadway Ter Apt 306
Oakland, CA 94618-1400

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Christine Kirven <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 7:38 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Ivan Illich would not condone such a project, Gov. Brown. We have moved beyond abuse of our water to abuse of all beings by our failure to limit our uses of the great abundance of water given us all.
When pressurized water was introduced Californians were using water gathered by hand, hand pumps, buckets from springs, whatever. For 150 plus years the presence of water gushing at 40-70 psi from our taps has induced learned waste.
It is time to stop wasting.
Do not build the tunnels! I oppose the BDCP

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Kirven
1425 Seabright Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95062-2526

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Marie Nelson <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 7:08 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

From my reading about the proposed twin tunnels, I do not think the case has been made for why they are needed. The state's push to go ahead on this project without voter approval is profoundly disturbing and makes me even more skeptical that it has value. What are the proponents of the plan trying to do? Get away with something that only has value for a few powerful interests at the expense of the rest of us? That's the message I get.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marie Nelson
13306 Country Heights Dr
Penn Valley, CA 95946-9524

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rhonda Kastan <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 7:08 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.
And changing the name to sound like a conservation project and confuse voters.
I thought Brown cared about the people of Ca not big business.
Another one bites the dust.
He lost my vote for his reelection.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rhonda Kastan
2705 Amberwood Ln
Santa Cruz, CA 95065-2002

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Beth Napier <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 2:06 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

You may be unaware of the fact that California is now in a very serious drought. Since you are unable to make rain, it is in the best interest of the State to actively conserve water for the use of citizens and hard-working small agricultural properties.

You are Governor; I expect you to govern in the best interests of the citizens of your state!

Beth Napier

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Napier
456 - 40th Street
Oakland, CA 94609

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Denise Boyd <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 1:36 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Elected officials have got to stop putting the greed of large corporations ahead of common sense. If there is not enough water for sustainable agriculture in the Central Valley now - is taking the water from elsewhere really the best option? What about the impact on the Sacramento River Delta region. Take the long view for the future of our state.

I oppose the BDCP - and I VOTE!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Boyd
5 Walnut Cir
Chico, CA 95973-9630

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Pamela Breitwater <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 24, 2014 7:33 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 24, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. The large scale farming operations are not sustainable given the lack of local water. It's time to re-think the way we produce food and then invest in transitioning to new methods that work with the environment.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Breitwater
116 1/2 Orchard St
Nevada City, CA 95959-2247

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Pascale Gehant <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:08 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry which have no concern for the environment, only for profit.

As you know, similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please protect the interests of Californian taxpayers and California resources. Do not allow our precious water to be diverted to enrich the exploiting corporations. Do not allow their greediness to steal more from the rest of us!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pascale Gehant
460 Archer St
Monterey, CA 93940-1723

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Gertrude Barden <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:09 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am against fracking, which wastes huge amounts of water and pollutes our environment. Large agribusinesses should not be given access to water without paying for it. Please carefully consider your position on this subject.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gertrude Barden
19740 Pine Valley Way
Porter Ranch, CA 91326-4017

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Zenker <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:09 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Here in California, our rainfall is the second year of only half what we were used to having. When wildfires are also more likely to happen, that need water to be put out.

Another way of stating that funding Agribusiness by raping the Earth with taxpayer money is NOT OKAY. Their profit is not even taxed, but exported to world banks. Sheer robbery that this Earth will not appreciate - nor its citizens.

Beyond this project costing billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Zenker
1375 Sunset Ave
Arcata, CA 95521-5345

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sheryl Carlsen <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 12:39 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This is a very critical time around the issue of drought, water scarcity and the need to restructure our use carefully for all to share this precious resource.
Lets not let the oil industry and agriculture dominate the use, not make any changes that might cost them and also not look at how to manage the water completely for all. We should consult Australia, a country who has been dealing with ongoing drought and now uses innovative ways such as drip irrigation. This would be much more efficient for our agriculture rather than flooding. But Agri-business would have to put out the money for it and manage it. Maybe they need to be mandated by the State to use drip irrigation now. Someone needs to look into these alternative instead of doing the same thing over and over again expecting different results. Nature is what it is, and all of us have to adapt.
Sheryl Carlsen

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheryl Carlsen
195 S Wilson Ave Apt 18
Pasadena, CA 91106-3258

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rolf Svehaug <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:05 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Don't relinquish public control of our water.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rolf Svehaug
416 Trevethan Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95062-1206

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of jennifer Brown=Leon <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:07 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.
better to spendthg for antisalinazation cells or anything else.Publichealth and welfare need to be a priorityover more production ofoil and altering water quality.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. jennifer Brown=Leon
3110 Boyd Rd Apt I
Arcata, CA 95521-4498

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lauren Ranz <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:36 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

As a fifth generation Californian I oppose this misconceived water diversion for hopefully the FINAL time! Environmentaly and fiscally irresponsible. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. The enviromental damage that our irreplaceable delta would suffer in consequence alone should give this project your dismissal.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lauren Ranz
224 Happy Hollow Ct
Lafayette, CA 94549-6243

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Adam Brisben <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:37 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

You need to serve the People. Don't decide on their behalf to serve big business.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Adam Brisben
3543 Lowell Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90032-2414

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Elfriede Wegener <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:06 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. It is not fair to burden taxpayers with this bill if big oil and unmetered agriculture profit from it. Let them pay the bill! Anyway, redirecting water from rivers has proven to be bad on past occasions.
Respect Mother Nature.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elfriede Wegener
3400 Paul Sweet Rd
Santa Cruz, CA 95065-1546

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Karlen Harmison <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:05 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. It is not the priority for water projects. We should be working on Big Ag water conservation, and water reuse and storage. The public doesn't want the tunnels and wouldn't benefit, only big corporations!! An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Karlen Harmison
2521 Regatta Ct
Davis, CA 95618-6412

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Frey Leigh <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:37 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

But its not just about cost. We need to plan for a future with less water statewide. Moving water around is not the simple solution to a complex problem. Money should be spent on efforts like desalination, less water use, and water reuse, not on big expensive projects that benefit only a few interests when we all need water.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frey Leigh
1505 De Rose Way Apt 104
San Jose, CA 95126-4136

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Joyce Cochran <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:36 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

SAVE THE SACRAMENTO RIVER. What is California without our natural resources.

Spend the money on:
1. Desalination Projects.
2. Water Reclamation from Sewage to Agriculture.
3. More Reservoires
4. Promoting Crop Production for Low Moisture Environments.
5. Green Energy --- Start to Divest from Oil

This project, to divert the Sacramento River, will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

PLEASE REJECT THIS VERY, VERY BAD IDEA!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Joyce Cochran
411 15th Ave
San Francisco, CA 94118-2846

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of MARY rojeski <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:35 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. NO TO FRACKING, NO TO FRACKING, IF JUST 15% OF THE MONEY THAT IS GIVEN TO BIG OIL AND GAS WENT TO SOLAR IT WOULD BE A TOTAL GAME CHANGE FOR OUR WORLD IN SOOOO MANY WAYS!!!!!!!!!!!

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. MARY rojeski
2603 3rd St
Santa Monica, CA 90405-4128

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Suz Roberts <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:35 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

No fracking on the state & off its coast! We are going to see that happen! This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Suz Roberts
PO Box 438
Aguanga, CA 92536-0438

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Maria Welsh <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:35 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Why should citizens conserve water when our elected officials choose to disregard the public interests by green-lighting projects that favor big money/big business? PROTECT OUR PLANET, PEOPLE, ANIMALS, AND ENVIRONMENT!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Maria Welsh
12250 High Valley Rd
Clearlake Oaks, CA 95423-9324

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Morgan Koch <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:35 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I think that the profitable corporations themselves should be funding projects for bringing water from water-rich states such as Oregon and Washington into California through corporately-funds aqueduct systems.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Morgan Koch
PO Box 825
Santa Cruz, CA 95061-0825

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Daniel Helsel <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:08 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Restrictions on rice and other crops that use an excessive amount of water, and inefficient irrigation methods must be implemented.

California agriculture must change with the times using the best peer reviewed scientific studies to implement these important and necessary changes to the state's use of agriculture water.

Endangered species must not penalized because of the inefficiencies of our agriculture system.

Build more public transportation-not wasteful and destructive water protects.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Helsel
1151 11th St Unit 1358
Lakeport, CA 95453-2015

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of lauretta anderson <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:08 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

WE NEED GOVERNMENT THAT WILL PROTECT THE INTERESTS OF THE GENERAL POPULATION AND THAT WILL OUTLAW THE USE OF WATER FOR FRACKING.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. lauretta anderson
1414 Rodeo Gulch
Soquel, CA 95073

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sally Abrams <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:08 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

i don't think its a good idea to take water from northern california to southern california. the delta is already under stress.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sally Abrams
138 Cortland Ave
San Francisco, CA 94110-5504

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of MaryBeth LaGue <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:08 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We can live without pistachios and almonds, while we cannot afford to waste precious water in a drought such as this, to support fracking and big agriculture.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. MaryBeth LaGue
28th street
San Francisco, CA 94131

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Margaret Kosiba <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:07 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water should not be diverted and then used for fracking. This constitutes lunacy at its sheer finest! It's time for Californians to step up and reconsider the proper use of resources that we have.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Margaret Kosiba
4061 E Castro Valley Blvd
Castro Valley, CA 94552-4840

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of maureen roche <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:06 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

No $, no H2O, No Frack poison, no twin tunnels: Brown = frack moratorium study.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. maureen roche
PO Box 146
Petrolia, CA 95558-0146

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jan Walton <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:05 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

BAN FRACKING EVERYWHERE. E V E R Y W H E R E

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jan Walton
37 Oak Park Dr
Alameda, CA 94502-6565

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Linda Del Valle <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 1:06 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. We should all be working together to save our valuable water supply. We can't afford to pollute our groundwater with Fracking!! We MUST do more to capture storm water and use recycled water for ag. Please stand with us, the people, against this misuse of our water supply!!
Please....

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Del Valle
507 Via Sorrento
Morgan Hill, CA 95037-5745

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lorraine Priceman <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 1:06 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I am not at all convinced that this huge project will be of benefit to most Californians or to the overall environment. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it.
An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lorraine Priceman
21545 Erwin St
Woodland Hills, CA 91367-2419

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Elaine Trogman <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 12:36 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I feel this project will hurt the delta Area both in its fish population and what it could do to farming in the Delta area.

If people in the L.A. area end up paying for a water project, I would rather have them pay to clean the water we already have that comes from up north to be reused.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elaine Trogman
6709 Calhoun Ave
Van Nuys, CA 91405-4753

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Joseph Ash <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 12:07 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Don't use your public office to get rich by bribing corporate types with publicly funded project that benefit them! Generate permanent job creation and economic growth by investing in new technologies that add to the health of the nation and it's citizens and the research to prove that is what is being done! If you need direction, use the polls produced by credible neutral third parties, not research or polling firms that are secretly funded by this group or that.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joseph Ash
5719 Autry Ave
Lakewood, CA 90712-2021

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Frank Ackerman <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 12:07 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

If California really does have a water crisis why do we allow fracking?
I oppose any water project that could be used to give water to corrupt oil and agriculture.

You seem to want the public to spend our money so that the greedy rich are the only ones who benefit.

Stop this corrupt water grab.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank Ackerman
1232 Leisure Ln Apt 2
Walnut Creek, CA 94595-2935

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of ruthie sakheim <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 12:06 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Both fracking and Big Ag are poisoning the land we subsist on and hurting us all. It is outrageous to spend our tax money on this project which hurts the people who would be paying for it. It is time for us to move to sustainable energy, and local organic farms for our food.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. ruthie sakheim
105 Palm Ave Apt 6
San Francisco, CA 94118-2534

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Edward Dijeau <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Sunday, June 22, 2014 12:06 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.
Alameda County Water District is cut off from California water.
Citizens are being threatened with prosecution and jail if they use to much water and will be paying surcharges of up to $2.00 per 100 cubic Feet of water durring the cut off. Why then would you spend $70 Billion Dollars to permanently cut us off? That is what will happen when the San Francisco Bay Area no longer gets its share.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Remeber the Owens Valley water diversion to Los Angeles? The people who had their water stolen, blew up the pipline,because they they were so enraged. The Owens Valley Died. So should this project.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Edward Dijeau
35034 Begonia St
Union City, CA 94587-5340

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of musia stagg <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:37 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Time to be smart in Sacramento...SoCal still has outdoor fountains, huge lawns and no incentive to stop wasting water. On top of that you allow fracking which uses enormous amounts of water and threatens our state with pollution, and the possibility of earthquakes.

What do you need ? A sign from heaven ? Wake up !

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. musia stagg
3234 Ettie St
Oakland, CA 94608-4016

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sarah Hall <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:37 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.
Their profits should not be regarded as more important than human and environmental health and well-being.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The greatest challenge of our time is the lack of effective opposition to the stranglehold of wealthy individuals and corporations on our democracy and on the health and well being of human beings and their environment. If we are to remain free and sustainable, it is ESSENTIAL that we see this fact clearly and act on it promptly. Stopping the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River is a step in the right direction.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Hall
510 S Lake St
Burbank, CA 91502-2100

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Katherine Jankay <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:36 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Our State is in a Stage 2 drought, are always prone to drought and fire.
We simply cannot afford to allow fracking companies to take away water from our own drinking supply! Fracking is a dangerous and costly business, by big OIl companies who lie to us on television that they are helping us with energy and are totally safe. That is a lie!
Fracking for natural gas, leaks methane, a toxic and potent gas 100xs more potent than carbon.
In the atmosphere it grabs heat, causes smog, drought and some fires.
It changes sea levels and warms up oceans, such that our polar bears in the Arctic are suffering from global warming. We can stop it NOW. We want the State to be frack-free. When are we going wind and solar? The wind and sun are free, and jobs can be had for getting that up and running. Also, the problems with underground fracking fluids is that they flow to peoples' own water wells and contaminate them with methane as well. We also can't afford an earthquake, and if you allow Veneco or any other oil company to frack for oil, you will end up harming the ecosystem of our Pacific Ocean, as well as causing ocean acidification which harms fish, kills the foods that fish live off of, and this has got to stop, Governor Brown!

Katherine Jankay
CA 93101
email: thewizzj@hotmail.com

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Miss Katherine Jankay
1728 Sunset Ave
Santa Barbara, CA 93101-4025

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of LeAnn Bjelle <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:05 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please use other values in decision making other than the economy. Our health and the health of our planet is at stake. I know Governor Brown values the environment and health as well and I hope he will make the right decision.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. LeAnn Bjelle
3300 Glen Canyon Rd
Scotts Valley, CA 95066-4918

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Valerie Frank <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 7:05 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This will be devastating to the ecosystem of The Bay Area, the most important estuary on the Pacific Americas' coast.

I personally was in a conversation with a man who bragged about his cousin's large rice farm in Northern California. Rice is a monsoon crop. This is an example of the unconscionable water waste that needs to be addressed before destroying natural ecosystems.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Valerie Frank
820 W Spain St Apt 2
Sonoma, CA 95476-5991