

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carole Meredith
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:07 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Water is a limited resource in California and diverting it to meet commercial needs is shortsighted at best. We all, and that includes businesses and other commercial interests, must learn to live with what we've got. Removing more water from Northern California rivers will cause irreparable and irreversible damage to these complex and valuable systems.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Carole Meredith
4967 Dry Creek Rd
Napa, CA 94558-9593

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Bonnie Dombrowski <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:08 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry and "special interests".

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Redirecting a river and tunneling water through the state is an ill-conceived and misguided venture that should be tabled and replaced with water conservation, watershed and hydrological methodology that protects rivers and their tributaries from being decimated. Fisheries and wildlife that are already on the brink of extirpation will suffer. This project affects us all and we have the right as taxpaying residents of California to demand a better resource management strategy for the state and for the citizens of California.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bonnie Dombrowski
PO Box 51093
Pasadena, CA 91115-5093

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of William Meadows
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I think there are better ways to store water and limit the destruction of the biggest natural ecosystem on the west coast. We are one of the two smartest states in the country and can't figure out our biggest problem? What's wrong with this picture? Perhaps we are asking the wrong people to solve our problem. At the expense, something's not right.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. William Meadows
340 Evergreen Dr
South San Francisco, CA 94080-1238

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of A. Wolf <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

What the HELL are you thinking??! We need to CONSERVE WATER, not hand it over to the highest bidder. Fracking is a disgusting, insane waste of natural resources & uses up millions of gallons of water during each process. Why would you support dirty, destructive, greedy practices and tax our natural resources to the point of needless depletion and irreversible destruction?

WHY?! Because of the almighty - albeit fake & disposable - dollar??

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

You need to listen to your constituents, the people you govern; NOT the greedy corporations shoving money in your face.

Protect this beautiful state; don't help to destroy it.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. A. Wolf
P.O. box 9478
Cardiff, CA 92007

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Karen Tanner
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 9:36 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Making water available for fracking companies to contaminate our water is totally unacceptable!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Karen Tanner
14037 Mallory Ct
Grass Valley, CA 95949-7658

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Daniel Holland <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:08 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

In our drought conditions, precious water shouldn't be used for fracking.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Daniel Holland
305 Alder St
Arroyo Grande, CA 93420-3865

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carla Cicchi
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 9:03 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 24, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Protect what is left of our beautiful state of California and STOP THE TUNNELS.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carla Cicchi
PO Box 907
Placerville, CA 95667-0907

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Toeppen
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 9:39 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This is an expensive rip off of Northern California that is entirely unacceptable. We will fight this foolish effort to steal our water.

This is immensely unpopular with those of us cutting back on what little water we have.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Toeppen
5271 Irene Way
Livermore, CA 94550-3507

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rose Miksovsky <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 8:08 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Current environmental conditions need new creative solutions, not old failed ones - particularly when taxpayers can ill afford paying for this previously failed proposal. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Shame, shame Governor Brown for even proposing this fiscally irresponsible project.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rose Miksovsky
5343 Broadway Ter Apt 306
Oakland, CA 94618-1400

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Christine Kirven
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 7:38 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Ivan Illich would not condone such a project, Gov. Brown. We have moved beyond abuse of our water to abuse of all beings by our failure to limit our uses of the great abundance of water given us all.

When pressurized water was introduced Californians were using water gathered by hand, hand pumps, buckets from springs, whatever. For 150 plus years the presence of water gushing at 40-70 psi from our taps has induced learned waste.

It is time to stop wasting.

Do not build the tunnels! I oppose the BDCP

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Kirven
1425 Seabright Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95062-2526

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Marie Nelson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 7:08 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

From my reading about the proposed twin tunnels, I do not think the case has been made for why they are needed. The state's push to go ahead on this project without voter approval is profoundly disturbing and makes me even more skeptical that it has value. What are the proponents of the plan trying to do? Get away with something that only has value for a few powerful interests at the expense of the rest of us? That's the message I get.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marie Nelson
13306 Country Heights Dr
Penn Valley, CA 95946-9524

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rhonda Kastan
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 7:08 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

And changing the name to sound like a conservation project and confuse voters.

I thought Brown cared about the people of Ca not big business.

Another one bites the dust.

He lost my vote for his reelection.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rhonda Kastan
2705 Amberwood Ln
Santa Cruz, CA 95065-2002

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Beth Napier
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 2:06 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

You may be unaware of the fact that California is now in a very serious drought. Since you are unable to make rain, it is in the best interest of the State to actively conserve water for the use of citizens and hard-working small agricultural properties.

You are Governor; I expect you to govern in the best interests of the citizens of your state!

Beth Napier

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beth Napier
456 - 40th Street
Oakland, CA 94609

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Denise Boyd
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 1:36 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Elected officials have got to stop putting the greed of large corporations ahead of common sense. If there is not enough water for sustainable agriculture in the Central Valley now - is taking the water from elsewhere really the best option? What about the impact on the Sacramento River Delta region. Take the long view for the future of our state.

I oppose the BDCP - and I VOTE!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Denise Boyd
5 Walnut Cir
Chico, CA 95973-9630

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Pamela Breitwater
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 7:33 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 24, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmeasured agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. The large scale farming operations are not sustainable given the lack of local water. It's time to re-think the way we produce food and then invest in transitioning to new methods that work with the environment.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pamela Breitwater
116 1/2 Orchard St
Nevada City, CA 95959-2247

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Pascale Gehant <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:08 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry which have no concern for the environment, only for profit.

As you know, similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please protect the interests of Californian taxpayers and California resources. Do not allow our precious water to be diverted to enrich the exploiting corporations. Do not allow their greediness to steal more from the rest of us!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pascale Gehant
460 Archer St
Monterey, CA 93940-1723

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Gertrude Barden
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:09 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am against fracking, which wastes huge amounts of water and pollutes our environment. Large agribusinesses should not be given access to water without paying for it. Please carefully consider your position on this subject.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Gertrude Barden
19740 Pine Valley Way
Porter Ranch, CA 91326-4017

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Zenker <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 10:09 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Here in California, our rainfall is the second year of only half what we were used to having. When wildfires are also more likely to happen, that need water to be put out.

Another way of stating that funding Agribusiness by raping the Earth with taxpayer money is NOT OKAY. Their profit is not even taxed, but exported to world banks. Sheer robbery that this Earth will not appreciate - nor its citizens.

Beyond this project costing billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Zenker
1375 Sunset Ave
Arcata, CA 95521-5345

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sheryl Carlsen
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 12:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This is a very critical time around the issue of drought, water scarcity and the need to restructure our use carefully for all to share this precious resource.

Lets not let the oil industry and agriculture dominate the use, not make any changes that might cost them and also not look at how to manage the water completely for all. We should consult Australia, a country who has been dealing with ongoing drought and now uses innovative ways such as drip irrigation. This would be much more efficient for our agriculture rather than flooding. But Agri-business would have to put out the money for it and manage it. Maybe they need to be mandated by the State to use drip irrigation now. Someone needs to look into these alternative instead of doing the same thing over and over again expecting different results. Nature is what it is, and all of us have to adapt.
Sheryl Carlsen

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sheryl Carlsen
195 S Wilson Ave Apt 18
Pasadena, CA 91106-3258

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rolf Svehaug
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:05 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Don't relinquish public control of our water.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rolf Svehaug
416 Trevethan Ave
Santa Cruz, CA 95062-1206

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of jennifer Brown=Leon
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:07 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. better to spendthg for antisalinazation cells or anything else.Publichealth and welfare need to be a priorityover more production ofoil and altering water quality.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. jennifer Brown=Leon
3110 Boyd Rd Apt I
Arcata, CA 95521-4498

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lauren Ranz
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:36 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

As a fifth generation Californian I oppose this misconceived water diversion for hopefully the FINAL time!
Environmentaly and fiscally irresponsible. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. The enviromental damage that our irreplaceable delta would suffer in consequence alone should give this project your dismissal.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lauren Ranz
224 Happy Hollow Ct
Lafayette, CA 94549-6243

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Adam Brisben
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 8:37 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

You need to serve the People. Don't decide on their behalf to serve big business.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Adam Brisben
3543 Lowell Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90032-2414

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Elfriede Wegener
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:06 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. It is not fair to burden taxpayers with this bill if big oil and unmetered agriculture profit from it. Let them pay the bill! Anyway, redirecting water from rivers has proven to be bad on past occasions.

Respect Mother Nature.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Elfriede Wegener
3400 Paul Sweet Rd
Santa Cruz, CA 95065-1546

