

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of S. O'Neill <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:37 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

why the double standard in a time of drought? consumers you must cut  
back by-----% frackers you can have all the water you want. drop  
the tunnels.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. S. O'Neill  
1701 Hopkins St  
Berkeley, CA 94707-2714

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sara Otero <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:35 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

With the current drought, we can not afford to pollute our freshwater with fracking. Nor can we afford to waste drinkable water to force oil and gas out of the ground, especially not in the amounts required.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sara Otero  
315 Anna Maria Dr  
Altadena, CA 91001-4005

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Phil Montalvan  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:35 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

How does this project ensure that my family is guaranteed a sufficient water supply if the current drought conditions continue? We need a plan that protects the resources that we have and a plan to be able to generate water from the sea. Let's fund that project instead of one that makes corporations money!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Phil Montalvan  
4017 Hahn Ave  
Bakersfield, CA 93309-5909

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of L. Adams <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Not one drop of our precious water for fracking!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. L. Adams  
28421 Cerveza Ct  
Escondido, CA 92026-6210

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Linda Jameson  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:37 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It appears this is not a good idea, especially considering the drought problem in California.

and What if a large earthquake occurs ?

Thank you !

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Jameson  
PO Box 855  
Mount Shasta, CA 96067-0855

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Beverly Dahlen  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:35 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please respect the salmon fishery and the other wildlife in the Delta.  
Do not send more water to the big agricultural corporations in the Valley.

Yours truly,  
Beverly Dahlen

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Dahlen  
15A Mirabel Av  
San Francisco, CA 94110

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of claire russell  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:34 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Has the use of grey water for landscaping been sufficiently considered?

During our droughts in Marin County we very effectively watered our gardens with washing machine and shower water. Please consider this alternative.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. claire russell  
40 Camino Alto Apt 12204  
Mill Valley, CA 94941-5809

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Evaggelos Vallianatos  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The state of California ought to use antitrust laws to break up large, water-wasting farms for the benefit of the natural world and for small farms. California agricultural society warned us in the 1890s that too few farms and those too large endanger society and democracy. Also, at a time of draught, it's irresponsible to grow water-demanding crops like alfalfa for export.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I urge you to reject the tunnels.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Evaggelos Vallianatos  
675 W 10th St  
Claremont, CA 91711-3717



---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Francesca Reitano  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Governor Brown, with one hand you say we need water, and with the other you support hydraulic fracturing, which is a major WASTE of water for a questionable technology.

As long as we have boondoggles such as the Westlands Water District, and virtually no restrictions on pumping groundwater, I have no trust in state water policy and feel like most of it is bait-and-switch with corporations getting the benefits and the taxpayers and ratepayers footing the bill.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Francesca Reitano  
2500 54th St  
Sacramento, CA 95817-1633

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Therese Brummel  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

**NO PRECIOUS WATER TO FRACKING!!!!**

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Therese Brummel  
1235 N Mar Vista Ave  
Pasadena, CA 91104-2952

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of DANIEL BULLINGTON  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefits to taxpayers!

STOP THE OIL PIPELINE IN THE MIDWEST! USE THOSE PIPELINE SEGMENTS TO BUILD A WATER DISTRIBUTION FROM EXCESS WATER AREAS TO DROUGHT STRICKEN CALIFORNIA. MAKE THE KOCH BROTHERS PAY FOR IT!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. DANIEL BULLINGTON  
129 Clover Springs Dr  
Cloverdale, CA 95425-5416

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Melvin Herlin  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:07 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Ban fracking to save water.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Melvin Herlin  
247 Chandon  
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677-5724

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Estelle and Family Foster  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:07 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Dear Governor Brown,

We Oppose the BDCP!

Our communities urgently request your consideration and action to reject and stop the tunnels. Our, hard worked for, taxpayer dollars must reflect the most benefit for the larger greater good. These horrendously costly tunnels are not the people's priority. Stand with the people who voted for you, your constituents, and stop the tunnels and act to provide a better life for the the public, the families and community members who voted for and depend on you!

Make the better choice and stand up for and with us!

Thank you,

Estelle and John Foster, families and friends

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Estelle and Family Foster  
1712 Via Carisma  
Santa Barbara, CA 93109-2028

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Fernando Romero  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:07 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

How about letting them build the tunnels and set up a fee structure so that the cities and counties through which they build can tax or otherwise benefit from the use of their property.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fernando Romero  
8639 Sparrowk Dr  
Valley Springs, CA 95252-8345

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of M. R. <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:06 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This is shocking! To send FRESH water to fracking drills is INSANE.

How dare you, Governor Brown??! I am usually a fan of yours and I usually don't get angry but this makes me very angry!

Plus, this project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. M. R.  
11860 Juniette St  
Culver City, CA 90230-6228

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kennon Raines  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:06 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

There should be a statewide moratorium on fracking not an expensive ploy to accommodate this profanity in earthquake territory! We should not play fast and loose with public safety!!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kennon Raines  
1775 N Orange Dr  
Los Angeles, CA 90028-4371



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of vince ramos  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:05 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. We should wait for this project because of water shortage. As of right now we are pulling ground water which will cause more earthquakes, it was the federal government that forced mining corporations to fill the mining caverns with water to support the sides and top of the tunnel to prevent cave-ins and earthquakes. Also where are you going to get water to send to these sites. The California aqueduct was proposed to send water to the farmers not to be sold to LA for a higher price. We are going to drain the rivers and lakes which have affected the nature of things. Do not send water to San Francisco Bay that dilutes the polluted water, stop it at source stronger pollution laws to the offenders.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aqueduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. vince ramos  
2661 Polaris Ct  
Merced, CA 95341-7742

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Bonnie Breckenridge  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:39 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

It seems to me, a few powerful agricultural interests and oil companies on the west side of the San Joaquin Valley are demanding more water for themselves on the backs of Californians. Oil companies want more water for fracking, which contaminates fresh water with toxic chemicals. Big ag wants to continue growing water-intensive crops like pistachios and almonds in the desert, mostly to export. These companies support the tunnels as long as they are guaranteed massive amounts of water. And who pays for this? We, the taxpayers. We also pay in increased pollution from these industries, we pay by having an ever more precious potable water supply poisoned by these industries.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bonnie Breckenridge  
2885 55th St Apt 10  
San Diego, CA 92105-5064

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of susan beltran  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:39 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We especially do not want any of our precious water being used in fracking, a dangerous plan if enacted.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. susan beltran  
towle ct.  
san diego, CA 92105

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of David McKeever  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:38 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

NO TUNNELS!!!  
BUILD MORE DAMS!!!  
INCREASE STORAGE CAPACITY ON EXISTING DAMS!  
Thank you!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. David McKeever  
2523 Brewster Ave  
Redwood City, CA 94062-2113

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Chatham H. Forbes Sr  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:36 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The farmers and frackers et al will just have to adapt to their present water supply. There is a limit to what California can provide to the more arid parts of the state. With the present drought and ongoing climate change to greater water scarcity, the limit has been reached. Change crops, quit fracking. Move to Northern California, the Sacramento Valley. We can't play water Santa Claus anymore.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chatham H. Forbes Sr  
347 Massol Ave Apt 203  
Los Gatos, CA 95030-7233

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ross Bullard  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:35 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Come on Gov. Brown save

our water and our money, tell the big oil people and agribusiness to shut up and sit down. This is our state and our water and you sir are sworn to protect it- now do your job and say no to these people who will destroy our state our water supply and our lives for a buck. If you don't get on the stick and save our environment I will have to look else where for competent leadership... LISTEN UP. NO TUNNEL AND NO MORE WATER FOR BIG BIZ!!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ross Bullard  
PO Box 626  
Boulder Creek, CA 95006-0626

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Christine Zon  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:34 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Instead, we should be taking more steps towards sustainable agriculture and farming, and energy projects such as solar and wind to avoid damaging our already damaged planet.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Zon  
4648 Park Blvd  
Oakland, CA 94602-1431

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Norma Wilcox  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:08 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I personally know ranchers in Butte County that have had to decrease the number of their animals due to lack of water for the grasses that have been providing enough food for their animals. I have heard of Butte County residents turning on their tap, and nothing comes out.

We can not create a desert in Northern CA because growers in the Central Valley are growing nut trees that require water year all through the year. They see big profits with their shipments to other countries, at the expense of farmers and ranchers in Northern CA.

Tunnels of the magnitude you are planning are a very dangerous idea.  
Norma

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Norma Wilcox  
1998 Wild Oak Ln  
Chico, CA 95928-4027



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Helene Whitson  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:09 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

\*\*\*\*\*

Leave our northern California water alone! We don't need the Westlands! Our water is used by Big Ag, and the profits go to corporate fat cats who live out of state, mostly (I know there is one lady in San Francisco who rakes in big bucks from the Central Valley).

We need to stop growing items that grow better in other areas, e.g., cotton. Leave that to the southern states. The Central Valley HAD a big lake until it was destroyed in the 19th century. We have far too many people in California. San Francisco Bay and the Delta need continuing influxes of fresh water for their particular fauna (and flora). Much as I love almonds and pistachios, the Central Valley is not an appropriate place for them. They also grow in the Sacramento Valley, so leave them there. Again, rice grows here in Northern California, and that may be appropriate (it also may not). It certainly doesn't belong in drier areas.

Climate change is here. What we need to do in California is cut down on the number of people we have, which in turn will cut down on water use. Northern California water in no way should go to the Central Valley or to LA. The Central Valley has mountains nearby, and it should make use of the water from those mountains. What water it gets from them should be what it can use.

Southern California is the same thing. In fact, it should become its own state.

Leave our water alone! NO TUNNELS!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Helene Whitson

1824 Arch St  
Berkeley, CA 94709-1310

BDCP 1325

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Christopher Mootham  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:05 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It is not an intelligent decision in a water scarce state. Unless of course, you are taking money under the table.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Christopher Mootham  
9060 Auburn Folsom Rd Spc 10  
Granite Bay, CA 95746-6522

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Marija Laima Matulionis <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:05 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Most offensive is polluting what precious water we have with toxic fracking chemicals rendering millions to billions of gallons useless and harmful.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marija Laima Matulionis  
2422 McCready Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90039-3308

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of George Leddy  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:04 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Back in 1982 it was called the Peripheral Canal. It failed because it is sure to destroy the estuary waters of the Bay as they meet the river waters of the Sacramento and San Joaquin.

Our current drought should teach us an important lesson. We need to stop wasting water and curtail its use by big ag and big oil. We need to replenish and recover more groundwater. We need to look at cost effective desalination and recycling of waste water. We need to capture more rainwater. We need to put an end to the water guzzling ornamental gardens in Southern California. We need to live within the means of our water supplies without resorting to gigantic boondoggle projects. We need to face reality without throwing billions of dollars at the twin tunnels.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Leddy  
13464 Victory Blvd Apt 102  
Van Nuys, CA 91401-1844

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Amelea Canaris  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:40 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

WATER FOR HEALTH AND NOT FOR WEALTH. Please listen to the good of the public's need for powerful figures like yourself to respect the money we work so hard for; stand up for our rights and protect our drinking water, and reward the citizens, not the big ag/big business oil companies. It is common sense--they may be hurting in the budget, but so are we, and we care about simple things that have to come first in life--HEALTH AND SAFETY of our state, our people and our society and our world. Please take a stand in our honor, as you were chosen to speak for the people, not honor only the rich and corporate companies.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Amelea Canaris  
1634 Fairview St  
Berkeley, CA 94703-2320

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lois Cheesman  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:39 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

We need a moratorium on hydraulic fracking not more water sent to them so they can make it toxic and unusable for humans or wildlife. Please protect our water resources. This diversion of Sacramento river water through tunnels is a terrible idea.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lois Cheesman  
241 Temelec Cir  
Sonoma, CA 95476-8005

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Landry Wildwind  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:39 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It's a mistake to confuse a few big donors with the general public.

Most of the water would serve to further abuse the environment from the siphoning off of fresh water that enters the Bay, to the terrible waste and abuse of water to frack large parts of the state, to the subsidy of industrial agriculture that poisons the ground water and destroys bees through widespread use of RoundUp and other toxins. There is nothing sustainable about any of this.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Landry Wildwind  
532 Balra Dr  
El Cerrito, CA 94530-3317



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ariana Newcomer  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:39 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We cannot afford to pollute our water with fracking. Agriculture must get much better at conserving water, and using methods such as drip irrigation. It makes no sense to give big oil and ag big water without making demands to improve processes.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ariana Newcomer  
13899 Long Ridge Rd  
Los Gatos, CA 95033-8050

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rose Marie Lion  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:39 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

Agriculture & biz should work to fit in with nature & preserve it for many generations to come - not exploit it for short term selfish monetary goals.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rose Marie Lion  
41 Myrtle Ct  
Petaluma, CA 94952-3236

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Chance Rearden  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:38 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Let almonds and pistachios be grown elsewhere, we're a semiarid desert. Those crops were fine when we had an abundance of water, but that was millions of people ago, thousands of home development ago, thousands of malls, mini malls & strip malls ago, and before climate change started rearing it's ugly head.

We, the taxpayers do not want our money spent propping up fracking either as it has been shown to be carcinogenic to water tables, and thanks to Cheney, fracking got rammed through with no oversight from the clean water act.. No, no, no, to the proposed tunnel projects.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chance Rearden  
1240 N Ogden Dr  
West Hollywood, CA 90046-4728

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Hugh Sutherland  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:37 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The preamble to the Constitution does not state "We the Corporations". The petroleum, and fossil fuels industry generally, have had far too much influence on policy to the detriment of the citizenry, "The People", and the environment. And trashing the environment for export products should be criminal.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Hugh Sutherland  
57 Touran Ln  
Goleta, CA 93117-8003

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Leonard Mehlmauer  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:35 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We absolutely MUST move to small organic farms and personal home gardens IF we are to survive for many more years!  
We thus MUST dismantle BigAg with their poisonous practices and total disregard for human health and the environment.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Leonard Mehlmauer  
900 La Fiesta Way  
San Marcos, CA 92078-4707

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Henry Harper  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:34 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This seems to be just more of "the best government money can buy". I'd rather pay higher costs for energy and food than higher taxes. That way, I at least get the benefit of competition and free market economics.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Henry Harper  
3402 Lower Lock Ave  
Belmont, CA 94002-1308

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Janan Apaydin  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:34 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This is like the zombie peripheral canal that will not die! How many times do I have to vote against the same thing in my lifetime?

Have you seen the new report that clearly shows how the whole state and especially big agriculture can use rainwater capture, water re-use, and conservation measures to allow us to live within our ecological limits?  
And at much lower cost, sustainably. You should be promoting those solutions, not this corporate profit-driven hype.

I want solutions for people & other living things, not for profit.  
Corporations, the economy, the government should serve the people and protect the resources all life depends on.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Janan Apaydin  
4001 Oakmore Rd  
Oakland, CA 94602-1834

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of David Lee <act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:11 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. They will harm the environment, kill fish, and only help dangerous fracking operations and large agribusiness interests that don't benefit ordinary Californians.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. David Lee  
983 E 7th St  
Chico, CA 95928-5808



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jean Pouteau  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:11 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and 8 similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. In addition providing water to the Los Angeles area when there is a scarcity here seems to me to be foolish and dangerously shortsighted.

We need to work out a plan for the whole state that takes into account the drought and increasing temperatures on along-term basis.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jean Pouteau  
1701 Marshall Rd Apt 145  
Vacaville, CA 95687-5975

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Charles Ross  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:10 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We also need to put an end to the governor's insane plan for high speed trains; not justifiable in today's economy; few will be able to afford the fares.

In short, neither of these projects provides a return to the taxpayer that justifies the expense (mostly for corporate and some private interests)..

Has anyone ever figured the evaporation rate (LOSS) of these aqueducts? Suspect it is pretty high which may account for the non-release of the figures.

Better to put the money into investigation and breakup of our top heavy educational administration system whose rise seems to pair with the decline (and increase in expenses, tuition, etc.) of the California education system. Clear out the deadwood; we do not need a hundred "administrators" for every teacher.

Work on ending corporate tax subsidies and collecting taxes from them. Time to stop running California on the backs of property owners and automobile owners.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aqueduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Ross  
PO Box 110  
Rio Nido, CA 95471-0110

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Tom Cronin  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:10 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Support diversity and sustainability in farming, rather than industrial mono cropping.

Protect clean fresh water and clean energy over unsustainable and environmentally dangerous hydrofracking.

A grateful constituent and voter of Governor Jerry Brown.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Tom Cronin  
808 F St  
Davis, CA 95616-2272

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Penelope Curtis  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 3:13 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project is an environmental disaster in the making and will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it.

An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Penelope Curtis  
19486 Tiger Lily Ln  
Grass Valley, CA 95945-8726

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kirby Carmichael  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 3:12 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The politics surrounding this project have been dishonest. The name of the bill, the "Bay Delta Conservation Plan", implies that the bill is designed to conserve S.F. Bay and Delta wetlands, when in fact the proposed project is designed to deplete current S.F. Bay and Delta wetlands of fresh water. This fraudulent posturing is reason enough to reject this project.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kirby Carmichael  
411 Heathcliff Dr  
Pacifica, CA 94044-2035

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Francesca Bolognini  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 1:12 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Big ag is deffinatly part of the problem, with the contaminated run off from extended use of pesticides and harsh fertilizers on gigantic fields of monoculture crops and genetic modification techniques, not to mention water intensive cultivation techniques.

Big oil is also creating possibly irreversible pollution of precious water resources to extract dirty oil, most of which is being exported (with no reduction in our fuel costs) while causing an immense addition to our carbon footprint at a time when renewable, clean technologies are readily available. This squandering of financial resources to facilitate the further squandering of precious, evermore limited and irreplaceable natural and essential resources for the enrichment of a very few has got to stop.

The first job of government is to protect us from this very sort of thing. DO YOUR JOB. Namaste.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Francesca Bolognini  
1021 Hillcrest Dr  
Cambria, CA 93428-2503

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rhonda Maker  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 12:42 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

this is a terrible idea and goes against nature. that dry part of the country is not meant to support the populations that big business wants it to. We need the water here where it belongs! Please don't do it!  
stop it!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rhonda Maker  
PO Box 1101  
Loomis, CA 95650-1101

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Christy Bulskov  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 12:42 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water for the people, animals and nature to survive. NOT for corporations to profit from please!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christy Bulskov  
1660 S El Camino Real Unit G103  
Encinitas, CA 92024-4990



**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Eric Schweitzer  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 12:12 PM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Governor Brown is out of his God damn mind, and does not represent the progressive majority in California. It is time we had a governor that addressed the interests of the PEOPLE, rather than pandering to corporate avarice.

He may think this is good for the economy of our state, and I understand that, but he is being stupidly short-sighted. What good is a strong economy when there is no safe environment left in which we can live?

Extreme drought calls for conservation, NOT granting agribusiness and big oil all the water they can WASTE!

GET REAL, GOVERNOR BROWN! YOU ARE OUT OF TOUCH WITH YOUR PEOPLE!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Eric Schweitzer  
23044 Mobile St  
West Hills, CA 91307-3525

---

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of heather wilber  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 11:43 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Oil Frackers should NOT be getting more of our precious water! Just another reason to invest in Clean Alternative Energy! This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. heather wilber  
50 Via Vinca  
Santa Cruz, CA 95060-1053

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Marcie Long  
<act@fwwatch.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 11:42 AM  
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov  
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff  
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

These tunnels do nothing more than take away water from the San Francisco Bay Delta. They are meant to help Big Ag and Big Oil. This is a supply for fracking and nuts not people. Though the People will pay, in more than just hard earned money.

The water crisis in California needs innovative solutions. Storage and tunnels do not make more water. Desalinization plants and regulations for agriculture are needed. Nuts do not have to be grown in Kern county, a dessert until water was diverted there. Now they seem to have enough water for thousands of acres of nuts as well as thousands of fracking wells.

Enough is enough. Northern California has learned, or is learning how to live within their means, and Southern and Central California is not going to steal any MORE of our water.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Marcie Long  
8707 Wight Way  
Kelseyville, CA 95451-9229