
From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of S. O'Neill <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:37 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

why the double standard in a time of drought? consumers you must cut back by-----% frackers you can have all the water you want. drop the tunnels.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. S. O'Neill
1701 Hopkins St
Berkeley, CA 94707-2714

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sara Otero <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

With the current drought, we can not afford to pollute our freshwater with fracking. Nor can we afford to waste drinkable water to force oil and gas out of the ground, especially not in the amounts required.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sara Otero
315 Anna Maria Dr
Altadena, CA 91001-4005

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Phil Montalvan <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

How does this project ensure that my family is guaranteed a sufficient water supply if the current drought conditions continue? We need a plan that protects the resources that we have and a plan to be able to generate water from the sea. Let's fund that project instead of one that makes corporations money!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Phil Montalvan
4017 Hahn Ave
Bakersfield, CA 93309-5909

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of L. Adams <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Not one drop of our precious water for fracking!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. L. Adams
28421 Cerveza Ct
Escondido, CA 92026-6210

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Linda Jameson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:37 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It appears this is not a good idea, especially considering the drought problem in California.

and What if a large earthquake occurs ?

Thank you !

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Jameson
PO Box 855
Mount Shasta, CA 96067-0855

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Beverly Dahlen
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please respect the salmon fishery and the other wildlife in the Delta.
Do not send more water to the big agricultural corporations in the Valley.

Yours truly,
Beverly Dahlen

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Dahlen
15A Mirabel Av
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of claire russell
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:34 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Has the use of grey water for landscaping been sufficiently considered?

During our droughts in Marin County we very effectively watered our gardens with washing machine and shower water. Please consider this alternative.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. claire russell
40 Camino Alto Apt 12204
Mill Valley, CA 94941-5809

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Evaggelos Vallianatos <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The state of California ought to use antitrust laws to break up large, water-wasting farms for the benefit of the natural world and for small farms. California agricultural society warned us in the 1890s that too few farms and those too large endanger society and democracy. Also, at a time of draught, it's irresponsible to grow water-demanding crops like alfalfa for export.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I urge you to reject the tunnels.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Evaggelos Vallianatos
675 W 10th St
Claremont, CA 91711-3717

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Francesca Reitano <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Governor Brown, with one hand you say we need water, and with the other you support hydraulic fracturing, which is a major WASTE of water for a questionable technology.

As long as we have boondoggles such as the Westlands Water District, and virtually no restrictions on pumping groundwater, I have no trust in state water policy and feel like most of it is bait-and-switch with corporations getting the benefits and the taxpayers and ratepayers footing the bill.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Francesca Reitano
2500 54th St
Sacramento, CA 95817-1633

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Therese Brummel
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

NO PRECIOUS WATER TO FRACKING!!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Therese Brummel
1235 N Mar Vista Ave
Pasadena, CA 91104-2952

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of DANIEL BULLINGTON
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefits to taxpayers!

STOP THE OIL PIPELINE IN THE MIDWEST! USE THOSE PIPELINE SEGMENTS TO BUILD A WATER DISTRIBUTION FROM EXCESS WATER AREAS TO DROUGHT STRICKEN CALIFORNIA. MAKE THE KOCH BROTHERS PAY FOR IT!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. DANIEL BULLINGTON
129 Clover Springs Dr
Cloverdale, CA 95425-5416

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Melvin Herlin
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:07 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Ban fracking to save water.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Melvin Herlin
247 Chandon
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677-5724

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Estelle and Family Foster <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:07 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Dear Governor Brown,

We Oppose the BDCP!

Our communities urgently request your consideration and action to reject and stop the tunnels. Our, hard worked for, taxpayer dollars must reflect the most benefit for the larger greater good. These horrendously costly tunnels are not the people's priority. Stand with the people who voted for you, your constituents, and stop the tunnels and act to provide a better life for the the public, the families and community members who voted for and depend on you!

Make the better choice and stand up for and with us!

Thank you,

Estelle and John Foster, families and friends

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Estelle and Family Foster
1712 Via Carisma
Santa Barbara, CA 93109-2028

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Fernando Romero <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:07 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

How about letting them build the tunnels and set up a fee structure so that the cities and counties through which they build can tax or otherwise benefit from the use of their property.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Fernando Romero
8639 Sparrowk Dr
Valley Springs, CA 95252-8345

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of M. R. <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:06 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This is shocking! To send FRESH water to fracking drills is INSANE.

How dare you, Governor Brown??! I am usually a fan of yours and I usually don't get angry but this makes me very angry!

Plus, this project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. M. R.
11860 Juniette St
Culver City, CA 90230-6228

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kennon Raines
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:06 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

There should be a statewide moratorium on fracking not an expensive ploy to accommodate this profanity in earthquake territory! We should not play fast and loose with public safety!!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kennon Raines
1775 N Orange Dr
Los Angeles, CA 90028-4371

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of vince ramos
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 4:05 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. We should wait for this project because of water shortage. As of right now we are pulling ground water which will cause more earthquakes, it was the federal government that forced mining corporations to fill the mining caverns with water to support the sides and top of the tunnel to prevent cave-ins and earthquakes. Also where are you going to get water to send to these sites. The California aqueduct was proposed to send water to the farmers not to be sold to LA for a higher price. We are going to drain the rivers and lakes which have affected the nature of things. Do not send water to San Francisco Bay that dilutes the polluted water, stop it at source stronger pollution laws to the offenders

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aqueduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. vince ramos
2661 Polaris Ct
Merced, CA 95341-7742

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Bonnie Breckenridge
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

It seems to me, a few powerful agricultural interests and oil companies on the west side of the San Joaquin Valley are demanding more water for themselves on the backs of Californians. Oil companies want more water for fracking, which contaminates fresh water with toxic chemicals. Big ag wants to continue growing water-intensive crops like pistachios and almonds in the desert, mostly to export. These companies support the tunnels as long as they are guaranteed massive amounts of water. And who pays for this? We, the taxpayers. We also pay in increased pollution from these industries, we pay by having an ever more precious potable water supply poisoned by these industries.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Bonnie Breckenridge
2885 55th St Apt 10
San Diego, CA 92105-5064

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of susan beltran <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We especially do not want any of our precious water being used in fracking, a dangerous plan if enacted.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. susan beltran
towle ct.
san diego, CA 92105

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of David McKeever
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:38 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

NO TUNNELS!!!
BUILD MORE DAMS!!!
INCREASE STORAGE CAPACITY ON EXISTING DAMS!
Thank you!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. David McKeever
2523 Brewster Ave
Redwood City, CA 94062-2113

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Chatham H. Forbes Sr <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:36 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The farmers and frackers et al will just have to adapt to their present water supply. There is a limit to what California can provide to the more arid parts of the state. With the present drought and ongoing climate change to greater water scarcity, the limit has been reached. Change crops, quit fracking. Move to Northern California, the Sacramento Valley. We can't play water Santa Claus anymore.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Chatham H. Forbes Sr
347 Massol Ave Apt 203
Los Gatos, CA 95030-7233

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ross Bullard
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Come on Gov. Brown save

our water and our money, tell the big oil people and agribusiness to shut up and sit down. This is our state and our water and you sir are sworn to protect it- now do your job and say no to these people who will destroy our state our water supply and our lives for a buck. If you don't get on the stick and save our environment I will have to look else where for competent leadership... LISTEN UP. NO TUNNEL AND NO MORE WATER FOR BIG BIZ!!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Ross Bullard
PO Box 626
Boulder Creek, CA 95006-0626

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Christine Zon
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:34 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Instead, we should be taking more steps towards sustainable agriculture and farming, and energy projects such as solar and wind to avoid damaging our already damaged planet.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Christine Zon
4648 Park Blvd
Oakland, CA 94602-1431

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Norma Wilcox <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 3:08 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I personally know ranchers in Butte County that have had to decrease the number of their animals due to lack of water for the grasses that have been providing enough food for their animals. I have heard of Butte County residents turning on their tap, and nothing comes out.

We can not create a desert in Northern CA because growers in the Central Valley are growing nut trees that require water year all through the year. They see big profits with their shipments to other countries, at the expense of farmers and ranchers in Northern CA.

Tunnels of the magnitude you are planning are a very dangerous idea.
Norma

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Norma Wilcox
1998 Wild Oak Ln
Chico, CA 95928-4027

