**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Heidi Roth <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 11:13 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The plan isn't clear on costs, impacts, or benefits. In addition, it further threatens ecosystems already stressed by over-use of water sourced from those locations. While reduced access to water has an economic impact on the state, it is also time to adjust how we create revenue before adding weight to a further collapse of the agricultural system in the state. The practice of farming heavy-water demand crops in areas of low supply has far surpassed the practicality of those products. Just as consumers are changing our individual water usage at the residential level, we need to accept the fact that we also need to change other habits to deal with the ecological changes in front of us.

It is inappropriate to expect these changes to only happen for individual households. The biggest use of water is industry. Individual taxpayers should neither be the only ones at risk for the burden of public projects nor the only group expected to change their behaviors based on the changing needs of the state.

I do not support this project as being in the best, long-term interest for the state, our financial situation or overall well-being of the state.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heidi Roth
936 Ellen St
Rohnert Park, CA 94928-2221

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Dunlap <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 11:13 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This project reminds me of the Los Angeles subway. Delays, cost overruns, defaults, and ultimately a worsening of the situation it was intended to mitigate.

Instead of finishing off the state's shaky economy with a long shot project, why don't you instead consider investing in development of solar desalination of sea water, a path which has a much better chance of meeting all of your constituents' needs?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Dunlap
12300 5th St Spc 52
Yucaipa, CA 92399-2414

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lorna Scott <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 11:12 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it - and in reality there is never a time that we can afford it. We should only provide water to farms and ranches that feed our country, not for specialized foods that are exported and NEVER to be used for fracking. Not only does it waste a precious life-giving resource it horribly pollutes the water that is left over. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided no benefit to the general population.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorna Scott
2875 Birch Ave
Camino, CA 95709-9641

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kathleen Martin <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 11:12 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am strongly opposed. California should be leading the way in renewable energy not chasing after technology that creates environmental disaster.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathleen Martin
6230 Chablis Dr
Shingle Springs, CA 95682-8355

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carol Simone <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 10:12 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I do not want my tax dollars used for the benefit of the oil companies, for fracking or for giant agribusinesses.!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Simone
541 N Sparks St
Burbank, CA 91506-1849

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John O'Hern <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 10:11 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Here are two extremely cost effective solutions to the water supply problem that do not require the Tunnel Boondoggle.

First solution: stop water intensive farming in a desert. DUH!

Second solution: stop fracking, stop using fossil fuels, and put the 25 to 70 billion dollar tunnel costs into solar and wind power.

Save the rivers, save the Delta, and Save the Bay.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John O'Hern
594 Morning Glory Dr
Benicia, CA 94510-3833

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Deborah Landowne <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 10:11 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Let's look at new ways to conserve water. For one, we should not be using this precious resource to flush down the toilet. Someone should look into a pilot project for composting toilets in homes.

In the meantime, we cannot waste money and water for corporate waste.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Landowne
740 Estancia Way
San Rafael, CA 94903-3026

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Martha Elizabeth Bekken <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Monday, June 23, 2014 9:41 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Furthermore, the use of our precious water by oil companies for fracking contaminates our water and further damages our environment.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha Elizabeth Bekken
1767 2nd Ave
San Diego, CA 92101-2616

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Chrissy Hoffman <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:10 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Where is the bravery of an independent California? Where is the environmental governor I thought I was voting for? The time is now to reject corporate dictatorship!
We can no longer afford the "Good German" in this fight against global warming!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Chrissy Hoffman
2815 Russell St
Berkeley, CA 94705-2319

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Charles Batson <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:13 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Is this really the best stewardship of our resources and of our planet?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Batson
10 Professional Center Pkwy Apt 24
San Rafael, CA 94903-2723

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of CT Bross <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:15 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

The citizens of California oppose the theft of our fresh water for the purpose of corporate profit!.

Governor, please redeem yourself and your legacy. Do the right thing.
Protect environment, wildlife, climate, and people!

Thank you.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. CT Bross
Adak Ct
Walnut Creek, CA 94597

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Paul Ramos <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:16 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

You are supposed to serve the PEOPLE not the CORPORATIONS.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Ramos
999 Jason Way
Santa Ynez, CA 93460

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of James Perkins <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:16 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It's time for us to stop subsidizing industry and get back to real innovations that create jobs and make everyone's life better, spreading the wealth around and causing real industry growth to take place...

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. James Perkins
525 W 58th St
Los Angeles, CA 90037-4029

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rose Mary Glover <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:34 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I have lived in countries where every house has a rainwater tank to supplement their water supply. This could easily happen in California.
Bermuda relies totally on rainwater.

Southern California and Nevada have already used up the Colorado River so that it no longer reaches the ocean. Relentless over-development continues to drain our resources - are we next?

I reject any idea of using our rapidly decreasing water supply to keep the golf courses and fancy lawns green. The reality is, we live in a desert area...let's treat it as such.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rose Mary Glover
7248 Palm Ave
Fair Oaks, CA 95628-3315

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Linda Jean Edwards <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:35 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.
I'm really sick and tired of so called Democrats selling out to Agriculture corporations. Northern CA sends enough of it's precious water to S. CA.
STOP THIS IDIOTIC PROJECT!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Jean Edwards
PO Box 494
Penngrove, CA 94951-0494

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Glenda Corning <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:36 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We must find ways to become more sustainable. This is not the answer. A whole region in which the water originates will suffer and it will not solve the problems it is meant to address.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Glenda Corning
811 Meadowsweet Dr
Corte Madera, CA 94925-1784

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sattie Clark <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:39 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. It would only be a giveaway to Big Agra, a form of corporate welfare that is unconscionable and unsustainable.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sattie Clark
1482 Inez Way
Redwood Valley, CA 95470-6185

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of robert shaw <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:36 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.
And last but not least, remember Mono Lake and the Owens Valley disasters

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. robert shaw
2663 Bradford Way
West Sacramento, CA 95691-4531

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rudy Meyers <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:07 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This is not the time to support a water tunnel project which would remove much needed Sacramento River water to the Central Valley during a declared crisis and water shortage. If water for the Central Valley is needed, buy it from a place that has excess water, do not steal it from voters here in Sacramento and Northern California.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford to subsidize big business. If the Central Valley or Southern California needs additional water they should bear the cost themselves not the entire State. A Northern California river should not be redirected for the sake of larger populations, un-metered agriculture or the oil industry.

Proposed tunnel projects have already been rejected by voters with similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective while providing little to no benefit to California voters and taxpayers.

Overall, this water tunnel project is unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater or stormwater collection could be implemented instead to provide a lower cost & greater benefit for Californians. The increased use of brown water for the Central Valley can also be implemented with very little or no cost to California voters and taxpayers.

Public review and comment at:
http://baydeltaconservationplan.com/PublicReview.aspx

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rudy Meyers
13063 Lincoln Way
Auburn, CA 95603-4124

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Dolores Boutin <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:25 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water is important to all of us, not just big corporations.
Conservation is the answer. There is a limited amount of water and it needs to be shared fairly with the people and the environment. New orchards and vineyards are being planted in places where there is no water. Then they demand water for economic reasons. That means they want to steal water from others and get others to pay for it. This isnot progress and does not lead to a good future for California. No water tunnels!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dolores Boutin
PO Box 1450
Tuolumne, CA 95379-1450

BDCP1371.

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Brenda Balanda <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:26 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Stop wasting our money and destroying our environment. What are you thinking?
Or, have you been bought off by Big Ag and Big Oil, too?
What a disgusting country we have -- and California is now no better than the rest.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brenda Balanda
3 Drake Way
Inverness, CA 94937

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kevin and Kathleen Casey <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:28 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

There is NO excess water to divert.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin and Kathleen Casey
317 Shasta Ave
Mount Shasta, CA 96067-2049

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Richard Johnson <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:29 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Please don't forget that these tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982!

Why? Because why should all of us pay for tunnels which will only benefit big companies?

How about letting THEM pay for the tunnels?? AND the water!!

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Johnson
19808 John Dr
Castro Valley, CA 94546-3965

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Keiser <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:29 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Maintain what is right and say no to big money.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Keiser
7107 Santa Ana Cir
Buena Park, CA 90620-3181

BDCP1375.

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Pat Farrell <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:39 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Let the corporations that make the money raising unhealthy crops pay for where they get their water!!! This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Pat Farrell
21160 Neola Rd
Apple Valley, CA 92308-7854

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jack Spallino <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:40 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

How about banning fracking to protect our water and the amount needed for our state? How about building more catch basins? Why should we dance with the fracking monarchs, the Koch Brothers, and those who care nothing about our population? Why don't we establish limits on our population, to keep it from over-burdening our existing water supplies?
The entire earth has already exceeded ability to sustain more than 2 billion humans, while politicians serve the cronies who want to build more houses and drill more oil wells, ignoring the reality that billions are starving and in need of ample access to water? How about addressing that, and building de-salination plants, instead of adding
67 Billion dollars to our deficit?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jack Spallino
526 N Hampton St
Anaheim, CA 92801-5006

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lynette Buckman <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:46 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

It's bad enough that some of the worst and biggest polluters of the world should get a pass on meeting the Clean Water Standards that the common citizenry of the U.S. must abide. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it.
An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.
Your duty as a governmental representative, is to work for the needs of your constituency that are human. People who need work that pays at the LEAST a Living Wage, who need to eat non-toxic food, breathe clean air and drink non-polluted water. You do NOT work for Corporations.
Especially ones that do all the treasonous acts that they do to our population.
The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Lynette Buckman
5937 Cumberland Pl
Stockton, CA 95219-3818

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Glenn Goodlove <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:46 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I pay dearly for water from the San Diego Water District. Corporations must be responsible for paying their fair share. I am tired of being a 'slave' to corporate America.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Glenn Goodlove
10749 Escobar Dr
San Diego, CA 92124-2026

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sherman Lewis <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:46 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project is not cost-effective compared with water efficiency investments, less water for low-value crops, use of grey water, and removing the Westlands from any irrigated farming.

Large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.should not be subsidized by the tax payers.

We need to place a higher value on nature, nature's services, and sustainability.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Sherman Lewis
2787 Hillcrest Ave
Hayward, CA 94542-1616

BDCP1380.

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Elizabeth Bianchi <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:48 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Brown, I'm SOOOOO sorry I voted for you! Well, I'm not going to anymore! I'll just leave that one blank next time! You're for the
tunnels?! You're for fracking, what, are you fracking nuts?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elizabeth Bianchi
242 S Encinal Ave
Ojai, CA 93023-2156

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jamaka Petzak <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:51 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state, and I personally, cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. The city I live in has announced intentions to raise the water rates annually for the next four years. I cannot pay these increases and use the water necessary to keep the yards and plants green, plus maintain personal water use. Something's gotta give, and it can't be me. It can't be the rest of the vanished middle class who have been bled dry, either. Rethink this decision.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jamaka Petzak
1222 Graynold Ave
Glendale, CA 91202-2021

BDCP1382.

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Robert Garon <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:51 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

We elected you because we believed that you would seek justice for all of us.

This is not justice.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Robert Garon
7435 Salizar St
San Diego, CA 92111-3534

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Julian Chazin <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:51 AM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.Besides, The tunnels pose a risk to human health and the environment!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Julian Chazin
13956 San Pablo Ave Apt 213
San Pablo, CA 94806-5301

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lesley Bindloss <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:10 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Please use common sense at this time of extreme drought in California!

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lesley Bindloss
76 Dovecrest
Irvine, CA 92620-0206

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Eileen Holden <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:11 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

When will Los Angeles capture the water that is going down concrete channels into the ocean?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Eileen Holden
8131 Oahu Dr
Fair Oaks, CA 95628-3730

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sienna Potts <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:11 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This plan of yours terrifies me. I look at the drought map & see the our entire beautiful fragile state is red with extreme drought.
Then I think of what you want to do with our water & I get so mad I don't even know what to say to you. I don't trust that you understand.
We can't keep supporting insanity like this. Think of the people, like me living on a well in Mendocino County. Think of the birds at the Central Valley refuges. Think about the whole state, not just the money. Please. Don't sacrifice our water & our future like this.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sienna Potts
box 79
Caspar, CA 95420

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Gil <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:41 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It comes down to People versus corporate profits. The future of CA and the planet for that matter is NOT dependent on corporate fascism. Your job though Mr. Brown is. Enough people are informed well enough now to assess the madness that is underway. You have been ineffective and invisible since you have been in office. Step Fracking, Codex, expose the CAFR, tell the inside story of Enron and strongly consider siding with the Constitutional Convention to oust the banking syndicate that has you and the rest of us by the neck. What will your legacy be?
Will you even see this 'suggestion'? Democracy, what a hoot!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Gil
3214 Ocean Park Blvd
Santa Monica, CA 90405

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of jeffrey weiss <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:42 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

TRY CONSERVATION BY METERING AG'S WATER USAGE PLUS GROUND WATER PUMPING TO AVERT THE NEXT DISASTER.

Thank you.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. jeffrey weiss
778 Steuben Dr
Sunnyvale, CA 94087-2246

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jennifer Mathers <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:36 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We can 'harvest' all the water that is needed through water conservation in urban areas and improving agricultural irrigation efficiency. We don't need to take more water from natural sources.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jennifer Mathers
1200 Hazel Dr
Pinole, CA 94564-1935

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Thomas Erk <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:36 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

what are u gonna do when (not if) the 'well' runs dry??

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Erk
1445 34th Ave Unit 7165
Oakland, CA 94601-6006

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Shellee Davis <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:45 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

It is outrageous to propose that taxpayers pay for a huge and dubious project that will jeopardize the Sacramento River and its surrounding ecosystem in order to primarily support the profits of large agribusiness and oil corporations.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Please review how water can be most judiciously conserved and used to promote a healthy ecosystem and economy instead of sacrificing water resources only for an businesses that use and waste it so unwisely.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Shellee Davis
8844 Cypress Ave
Cotati, CA 94931-9629

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Casey Terminello <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:38 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.
This will also cause an enormous environmental disaster.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Casey Terminello
PO Box 484
Copperopolis, CA 95228-0484

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Maryalice Montgomery <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:45 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I have supported you throughout your political career and my family also supported your father.

You have an opportunity to TRULY leave a memorable heritage by bravely changing your mind about the tunnels! I urge you to take this opportunity to be a Political Hero!

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Maryalice Montgomery
3241 Golden Rain Rd Apt 4
Walnut Creek, CA 94595-1959

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Maureen Scheuenstuhl <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:39 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars. An entire river should not be redirected for any reason, least of all for the benefit of large-scale, unmetered and environmentally irresponsible agriculture and the oil industry.

Our exploitation of natural resources has already degraded the health of the state and the planet. Spend money to re-establish natural water flow of the rivers and to reverse some of the damage we have already done. DON'T further degrade our state and Northern California's environment by re-directing water to the desert of water-wasters!

The proposed tunnels (or "canals") have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Maureen Scheuenstuhl
1849 Virginia Ave
Novato, CA 94945-2156

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kim La Chance <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:04 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

STOP THE GREED OF SPECIAL INTERESTS. FARMING SHOULD NOT BE IN BIG AGRIBIZ'S DEEP POCKETS. REAL AMERICAN FARMERS SHOULD BE PRODUCING FOOD IN THIS COUNTRY - NOT THE CURRENT CORPORATE MONSTERS WHO DESTROY THROUGH GREED.

WE WANT REAL FARMS - WITH REAL FARMERS.

NOT DESTROYERS OF OUR SOILS TURNING THIS COUNTRY'S FARMLANDS INTO DUST BOWLS.

NO MORE INDUSTRIAL CHEMICALLY-LADEN, GENETICALLY-MODIFIED FRANKENFOODS!!!!!!!!

NO MORE WEAPONIZED FOODS SET ON THE TABLES OF AMERICANS. PERIOD.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Kim La Chance
1754 Carver St
Redondo Beach, CA 90278-2820

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jean Nielson <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:04 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

If this proposal goes through, all of your work on the budget will be lost to "we the people." There has to be another way to help "we the people," rather than us building tunnels for big business interests. It is just disgusting that we are ALWAYS asked to foot the bill for the very businesses that could well afford to pay their own way.

NO, NO, NO, NOT one more project forced on the back of California citizens.

You all were doing so well - please don't start this nonsense.

Jean Nielson
MA Educational Management

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jean Nielson
1945 Piner Rd Spc 152
Santa Rosa, CA 95403-2454

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Bob Miller <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:05 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

WE NEED TO LOOK OUT FOR THE ENTIRE STATE AND THE ENVIRONMENT NOT THE RICH LARGE-SCALE AGRICULTURE AND LAND BARONS.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bob Miller
3649 Copperfield Dr Apt 197
San Jose, CA 95136-4056

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Mary Murray <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 1:04 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Over the years, you have shown that you view the use of resources and the care of the environment in a pragmatic, wise, and balanced way.
You, also have been a courageous and steady voice on these matters despite the pressures from corporate and political voices who would personally benefit from getting their way. Please don't give in to these pressures now when the stakes are so high. You have been an inspiration to many people because your lifestyle has reflected your beliefs. There are many, many of us that support you and want to protect the precious resources and environment that we have been blessed to have. There are alternatives to these tunnel project. Please promote these alternatives instead of this destructive and expensive idea.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Mary Murray
4101 Lamarck Ave
Modesto, CA 95356-8908

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Barbara Rose <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:06 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Northern California wildlife and fish need water. In a time of drought more water should not be diverted. We all have to learn how to use water more efficiently. Farmers in Central Valley have been getting cheap water for years.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara Rose
889 Mowry Ave Apt 109
Fremont, CA 94536-4130

**From:** Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of MaryJo Wagner <act@fwwatch.org>
**Sent:** Saturday, June 21, 2014 2:07 PM
**To:** BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
**Subject:** I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

As a native Californian, I cannot stress strongly enough how much I am opposed to this project.
The oil companies continue to get richer and richer on the backs of the California Taxpayers. If they want this tunnel, they should be made to pay for it.
Just how much profit is enough? Our water rates continue to increase without diverting the river. I can't even imagine how much of an increase southern Californians will have to pay in the future if this project goes forward.

PLEASE! DON'T DO THIS!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. MaryJo Wagner
32115 Corte Florecita
Temecula, CA 92592-6319