1Appendix 12D2Feasibility Assessment of Conservation Measures3Offsetting Water Conveyance Facilities Construction4Impacts on Terrestrial Biological Resources

5 12D.3 Results

6 **12D.3.2** Alternatives Evaluation

712D.3.2.5Alternative 4—Dual Conveyance with Pipeline/Tunnel and8Intakes 2, 3, and 5 (9,000 cfs; Operational Scenario H)

9 Natural Communities

Water conveyance facilities construction under Alternative 4 would have similar effects on
terrestrial natural communities when compared to Alternative 1A (Table 12D-12). Implementation
of the Conservation Strategy would restore and protect sufficient natural community acreage to
mitigate for these effects in the near-term. Under Alternative 4, an area of 2,078 101 acres of Clifton
Court Forebay would be dredged. Effects on terrestrial species of this in-water activity would be
limited. Details are provided in Section 12.3, *Environmental Consequences*, of the <u>RD</u>EIR/<u>SD</u>EIS.

- 16 The conveyance facilities construction would affect <u>5135</u> acres of managed wetlands, and
- 17 restoration would cause a loss of 5,786 acres. Considering BDCP near-term protection (and

18 enhancement), there would be a net loss of 2,6<u>1701</u> acres of managed wetlands in the first ten years.

- 19 However, managed wetland acreage is mostly affected by restoration of tidal freshwater emergent
- 20 wetland, which would increase by 8,8274 acres. As is described in <u>RD</u>EIR/<u>SD</u>EIS Section 3.2,
- *Environmental Consequences*, this would result in a net benefit to native species and therefore the
 loss of managed wetland is not considered an adverse effect and is less than significant.

23 Species Habitat

Implementation of the conservation strategy would restore and protect sufficient natural
 community acreage to mitigate for on species habitat in the near-term. Details are provided in
 <u>RD</u>EIR/<u>SD</u>EIS Section 12.3, *Environmental Consequences*.

- 27 Under Alternative 4, <u>4,938</u>5,983 acres of non-rice cultivated lands would be lost due to construction
- of the water conveyance facility and 8,636 acres would be lost due to near-term restoration,
- resulting in an overall loss of 1<u>3,5744,619</u> acres in the near-term (Table 12D-12). The conservation
- 30 strategy would protect and enhance 15,400 acres of cultivated lands to mitigate the effects of
- 31 conveyance facilities construction and offset the effects of restoration on cultivated land in the near-
- term. The protected area would be <u>1,826781</u> acres larger than the area affected.

1 Table 12D-12. Water Conveyance Facilities Construction Impacts on Natural Communities and Cultivated Lands Compared with Planned BDCP Near-Term Restoration and Protection under Alternative 4

Alternative 4	Near-Term Impacts (acres)			BDCP Near-Term Conservation			Evaluation of BDCP Near-Term Conservation Relative to Water Conveyance Facilities Impacts						
Natural Community	Conveyance Facilities Impact (acres)	Near-Term Restoration Impact (acres)	Total Near-Term Impact (acres)	Near-Term BDCP Restoration (acres)	Net Near-Term Restoration Area (acres)	Near-Term BDCP Protection (acres)	Restoration Ratio	Protection Ratio	Near-Term Restoration Requirement (acres)	Near-Term Protection Requirement (acres)	Difference of Near-Term Area Change and Restoration Requirement (acres)	Difference of Near-Term Protection and Protection Requirement (acres)	Overall Conservation Difference (acres)
Tidal Perennial Aquatic ^a	<u>227</u> 178	30	<u>257</u> 208	3,400	3,370	0	1	0	<u>227</u> 178	0	<u>3,143</u> 3,192	0	<u>3,143</u> 3,192
Tidal Freshwater Emergent Wetland	1 <u>8</u> 6	7	2 <u>5</u> 3	8,850	8,843	0	1	0	1 <u>8</u> 6	0	8,82 <mark>5</mark> 7	0	8,82 <mark>5</mark> 7
Valley/Foothill Riparian	<u>73</u> 64	475	5 <u>48</u> 39	800	325	750	1	1	<u>73</u> 64	<u>73</u> 64	2 <u>52</u> 61	6 <u>77</u> 86	<u>929</u> 947
Grassland	6 <u>5718</u>	1,127	1,7 <u>84</u> 32	1,140	<u>13</u> 26	2,000	0	2	0	1, <u>314</u> 236	<u>13</u> 26	<u>686</u> 764	<u>699</u> 790
Alkali Seasonal Wetland Complex	2	58	60	58	0	120	1	2	2	4	-2	116	114
Vernal Pool Complex ^b (direct/indirect)	31/ <u>41</u> 35	36	67/ <u>41</u> 35	40	4	400	1	2	31	1 <u>44</u> 32	-27	2 <u>56</u> 68	2 <u>29</u> 41
Other Natural Seasonal Wetland	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Nontidal Marsh ^c	7 <u>7</u> 4	135	2 <u>12</u> 07	400	26 <u>5</u> 4	25	1	1	7 <u>7</u> 1	7 <u>7</u> 1	1 <u>88</u> 93	- <u>52</u> 4 6	1 <u>36</u> 47
Managed Wetland	<u>51</u> 35	5,786	5,8 <u>37</u> 21	320	-5,466	2,900	0	1	0	<u>51</u> 35	-5,466	2,8 <u>49</u> 65	-2,6 <u>17</u> 01
Cultivated Lands (non-rice)	<u>4,938</u> 5,983	8,636	1 <u>3,574</u> 4,619	0	-8,636	15,400	0	1	0	<u>4,938</u> 5,983	-8,636	<u>10,462</u> 9,417	<u>1,826</u> 781
Cultivated Lands (rice + "rice or equivalent")169083425284			<u>252</u> 84	0	-8 <u>3</u> 4	900	0	1	0	<u>169</u> 0	-8 <u>3</u> 4	<u>731</u> 900	<u>648</u> 816

^a Dredging of Clifton Court Forebay (2,<u>078</u>101 acres) is not included. 2

3 ^b Impact of restoration on vernal pool complex is based on maximum allowable loss of wetted area.

4 ^c Nontidal marsh = nontidal freshwater perennial emergent wetland + nontidal perennial aquatic.