
From: KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 7:49 AM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Stop the California WaterFix - Review Alternatives That Will Protect the Delta

Dear BDCP/California WaterFix Comments,

Dear Resources Agency,

The California WaterFix will lead to the destruction of the Delta.

Your DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on the Delta by increasing water independence locally.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually protect the Delta.

Follow India's example and put a solar cover on the existing aqueducts to reduce water loss from evaporation.

In any event, current weather patterns are causing draught in the North West including Northern Californis. Last years "arctic" storms all hit the Midwest and east coast. That is a permanent change there won't be enough water to divert.

Sincerely,

Black
5881 Castano Dr
San Jose, CA 95129-
jcarlinsv@gmail.com
(650) 520-8765

From: KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent: Sunday, October 25, 2015 8:25 AM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Stop the California WaterFix - Review Alternatives That Will Protect the Delta

Dear BDCP/California WaterFix Comments,

Dear Resources Agency,

The California WaterFix will lead to the destruction of the Delta.

Your DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on the Delta by increasing water independence locally.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually protect the Delta. If the south state needs water let them fight with Nestle to stop them from taking and bottling their water..

Sincerely,

Wilton
1326 Grand Ave
Oroville, CA 95965-
bikemetoo@yahoo.com
(530) 533-3742

From: KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent: Sunday, October 25, 2015 8:24 AM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Stop the California WaterFix - Review Alternatives That Will Protect the Delta

Dear BDCP/California WaterFix Comments,

Dear Resources Agency,

Do it right for the people not for the Governor or big money.

The California WaterFix will lead to the destruction of the Delta.

Your DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on the Delta by increasing water independence locally.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually protect the Delta.

Sincerely,

Quast
4587 Wawona Cir
Fair Oaks, CA 95628-
dwquast01@gmail.com
(916) 967-5979

From: KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent: Sunday, October 25, 2015 9:59 AM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Stop the California WaterFix - Review Alternatives That Will Protect the Delta

Dear BDCP/California WaterFix Comments,

To my friend John Laird

The Delta Tunnels are moving too fast

The DEIR/DEIS does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on the Delta waters by the diversion of increasing amounts of water, or create water independence locally.

Please Help by delaying the approval of the "California WaterFix" to allow more time to and review more alternatives that will actually protect the Delta. I have people we can trust that I know personally that can craft a solution.

I have never asked for a favor or bothered you when other matters were on my mind. But this issue can be solved by the proper use of the water experts that I know. These people are brilliant and are true gems in my long career in water issues.

John please feel free to contact me at my e-mail or home phone 707-239-0175.or cell 707-239-0175.

You can trust my faith in these people's judgement.

I hope you are well, I am proud to know you and pleased with your successes. This change in course can be a defining moment in your career.

I write only to help

Your friend

David Hope

Sincerely,

Hope
103 Doane St
Santa Cruz, CA 95062-
daveseverywhere@gmail.com
(707) 239-0175

From: KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent: Tuesday, October 27, 2015 1:57 AM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Stop the California WaterFix - Review Alternatives That Will Protect the Delta

Dear BDCP/California WaterFix Comments,

Dear Resources Agency,

The California WaterFix will lead to the destruction of the Delta.

Your DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on the Delta by increasing water independence locally.

Ma Nature spent some eons developing the ecosystem that includes the Delta and all the creatures and plants that inhabit it. We humans have no right to mess with this, esp. since we have proven we do not have the "smarts" to know HOW to work with the ENTIRE ecosystem - we keep messing with one part and acting surprised when other parts collapse.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually protect the Delta.

Sincerely,

Smedberg
441 Washington Ave
Palo Alto, CA 94301-
virgviolin@hotmail.com
(650) 323-6349

From: KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 4:46 PM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Stop the California WaterFix - Review Alternatives That Will Protect the Delta

Dear BDCP/California WaterFix Comments,

Dear Resources Agency,

The California WaterFix will lead to the destruction of the Delta.

Your DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on the Delta by increasing water independence locally.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually protect the Delta.

(Be pro California not pro population explosion!)

Sincerely,

Scoville
2395 Garden Hwy
Sacramento, CA 95833-
bscovill@pacbell.net
(916) 568-1491

From: KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent: Monday, October 26, 2015 10:20 PM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Stop the California WaterFix - Review Alternatives That Will Protect the Delta

Dear BDCP/California WaterFix Comments,

Dear Resources Agency,

I am completely opposed to the current "California WaterFix". It may fix the water supply problem for Southern California, but it will lead to the destruction of the Delta. We have traveled often to SoCal in the last couple of years and we're appalled at the lack of conservation measures being taken there. We've let our lawn die and see brown all around us in No Cal, while it appears little is being done in SoCal to conserve.

Your DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on the Delta by increasing water independence locally.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually protect the Delta.

Sincerely,

Kehmeier
6620 Creekview Ct
San Jose, CA 95120-
ekehmeier@yahoo.com
(408) 927-0543

From: KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent: Tuesday, October 27, 2015 10:22 PM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Stop the California WaterFix - Review Alternatives That Will Protect the Delta

Dear BDCP/California WaterFix Comments,

Dear Resources Agency,

The California WaterFix will lead to the destruction of the Delta.

The removal of a large slug of fresh water from the "pipeline" WILL allow salt water to flow up the "pipeline" into the delta. This very effectively destroys the delta environment.

The DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on the Delta by increasing water independence locally.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually protect the Delta.

Sincerely,

Detterman
3197 Cromwell Pl
Hayward, CA 94542-
mdetter1@gmail.com
(510) 581-9561

From: Bob Zasoski <rjzasoski@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, October 29, 2015 8:17 PM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Delta Tunnels

Robert Zasoski
315. 11th Street
Davis, CA. 95616

October 29, 2015

BDCP/WaterFix Comments
P.O. Box 1919
Sacramento, CA 95812

ATTN: Governor Jerry Brown

Subject: Oppose the Delta Tunnels/California Water Fix (Alternative 4A)

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the Delta Tunnels plan.

The Delta Reform Act of 2009, in which the California State Legislature committed to the “coequal goals” of providing a more reliable water supply for California AND protecting and restoring the cultural, recreational, natural resource, and agricultural values of the Delta, cannot be upheld if the Delta Tunnels come to pass.

The California Water Fix does not meet the restoration goals of the Delta Reform Act; it is simply a plan to export more water out of the San Francisco Bay-Delta estuary. The Delta Tunnels will also fail to provide more reliable water because the Delta watershed is already oversubscribed by five times in normal water years.

As a fisherman I am extremely concerned about the effect of the Delta Tunnels on salmon and other pelagic fish that rely on the Delta.

My objections to the tunnels are threefold. The California Water Fix does not address the environmental, public health or economic impacts of the proposed Delta tunnels project. Also, the plan ignores alternatives that would save California tax and ratepayers billions of dollars, while investing in the jobs and local water sources that build sustainability.

There are better alternatives that would provide more immediate results with a lower outlay of dollars. There are also better alternatives that would actually enhance the delta rather than destroy it. Our tax and ratepayer dollars would be much better spent on:

- More aggressive water efficiency programs statewide that would apply to both urban and agricultural users.
- Funding water recycling and groundwater recharge projects statewide that would be billions of dollars less expensive for rate payers than constructing a new version of the Peripheral Canal or major new surface storage dams. Meanwhile, these projects move communities towards water sustainability.

- Retiring thousands of acres of impaired farmlands that generate wastewater pollution in the western and southern San Joaquin Valley and using those lands for more sustainable and profitable uses, such as solar energy generation.
- Improving Delta levees in order to address potential earthquake, flooding, and future sea level rise concerns at a cost of \$2 to \$4 billion; this is orders of magnitude less expensive than the major conveyance projects that are currently being contemplated.
- Increasing freshwater flows through the Delta to reduce pollutants so ecosystems and wildlife can be restored.
- Installing fish screens at the south Delta pumps to reduce the current salvage of marine life.
- Considering a through-Delta project that would transfer water through the delta before exporting it to farms in the San Joaquin Valley. This would automatically protect the delta by removing the incentive to export water when salinity intrusion extends too far, precisely with the delta needs protection from excessive transfers.

In Summary

The Delta has problems that need to be addressed, but the CA Water Fix tunnels are a 20th century idea that won't fix them. It won't produce more water, more reliable supplies, or improved conditions for the environment in the Delta.

The new EIR/EIS has not adequately addressed my above stated concerns. That is why I oppose the Delta Tunnels/California Water Fix (Alternative 4A).

Reclamation and DWR should prepare and circulate a new Draft EIR/EIS that will include alternatives that reduce water exports and increase Delta flows for consideration by the public and decision-makers. Such alternatives have a far better chance of complying with the Delta Reform Act and the federal Endangered Species and Clean Water Acts.

Sincerely,

Robert Zasoski
Sent from my iPad

From: Lauren Elder <lelderOakland@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2015 11:36 AM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: NO! to the Delta Tunnels!

Dear Resources Agency and Decisionmakers,

The California WaterFix will lead to the destruction of the Delta therefore I strongly oppose the Delta Tunnels Plan.

Your DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on water that should flow through the Delta, by increasing water independence locally.

We have relied on massive water transfers throughout the state to try to "solve" our water issues.
So far the apportioning system is neither socially fair nor economically effective.

It's POLICY, especially around Southland agriculture, that needs to change.
Switch to drip irrigation.
Stop growing alfalfa for export.

Develop localized systems of conservation.

Thank you for preserving habitat, farmland and the SF Estuary
by stopping this plan.

L Elder
Adjunct Professor
CCA

Robert Brodberg
1022 Bienville Street
Davis, CA 95616

October 29, 2015

BDCP/WaterFix Comments
P.O. Box 1919
Sacramento, CA 95812

ATTN: Governor Jerry Brown

Subject: Oppose the Delta Tunnels/California Water Fix (Alternative 4A)

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the Delta Tunnels plan.

The Delta Reform Act of 2009, in which the California State Legislature committed to the “coequal goals” of providing a more reliable water supply for California AND protecting and restoring the cultural, recreational, natural resource, and agricultural values of the Delta, cannot be upheld if the Delta Tunnels come to pass.

The California Water Fix does not meet the restoration goals of the Delta Reform Act; it is simply a plan to export more water out of the San Francisco Bay-Delta estuary. The Delta Tunnels will also fail to provide more reliable water because the Delta watershed is already oversubscribed by five times in normal water years. Since climate forecasts for California predict warmer seasons, less snow, and variable rain in northern California there will never be enough water to send south and meet the “coequal goals.”

As a fisherman I am extremely concerned about the effect of the Delta Tunnels on salmon and other pelagic fish that rely on the Delta. As a public health scientist I am concerned about public health impacts. And, as a taxpayer I am concerned about wasting an enormous sum of money on something that won't deliver half of what it promises while causing untold damage.

My objections to the tunnels are threefold. The California Water Fix does not address the environmental, public health or economic impacts of the proposed Delta tunnels project. Also, the plan ignores alternatives that would save California tax and ratepayers billions of dollars, while investing in the jobs and local water sources that build sustainability.

My environmental concerns with the plan are:

- The impact on wildlife and plant species in the Delta that depend on freshwater include the Delta smelt, chinook salmon, steelhead, San Joaquin kit fox, and tricolored blackbird, protected species already on the brink that will face decimation due to a diminishing food-web. The recent decimation of two years of winter-run salmon has been caused in part by mismanagement of water, specifically by exporting too much water from north state reservoirs and leaving

too little for the fish to survive. The Delta Tunnels would only allow more transfers, possibly resulting in the extinction of these magnificent fish.

- At sea, even the ESA-listed South Pacific Puget Sound Orca Whales depend on migrating Delta species that will be harmed by less water flowing through the Delta.
- The tunnels plan ignores Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act which prohibits federal agency actions that are likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered species or that “result in the destruction or adverse modification of [critical] habitat of [listed] species.” As noted above, listed winter-run salmon (and Delta Smelt, as well) are already jeopardized without the tunnels. They would be placed in even greater jeopardy with the tunnels in place.
- Because the tunnels will take water around the Delta rather than through it, salt water intrusion will become more frequent. This is already affecting the Delta, but it will become worse and further degrade the Delta environment, rather than enhance it as the co-equal goals of the Delta Reform Act of 2009 require.

My public health concerns with the plan are:

- The tunnels will cause increased contamination of municipal water and wells for the millions of rural and urban residents living in the five Delta counties.
- The tunnels plan fails to consider the impact the tunnels might have on promoting or transporting toxin producing algal blooms that are occurring yearly in the Delta.
- Environmental justice communities, who depend on subsistence fishing, will also face food and health insecurities as a result of increased contaminants, specifically mercury contamination, in fish and wildlife populations.

My economic concerns with the plan are:

- For large metropolitan cities such as Los Angeles and San Jose that depend on export water, water rates and/or property taxes will go up, but they will get no additional water.
- The major benefit of the Tunnels would accrue to water districts and farmers in the west side of the San Joaquin Valley while the major costs to repair the environmental damage they would cause would fall to the taxpayers. This fundamental unfairness alone should cause you to remove your support of this disastrous plan.
- No analysis has been done on how the lack of fresh water flows will impact San Francisco Bay tourism and recreation. These industries depend on Delta fresh water flows for their crab and salmon fisheries, wildlife sighting, boating, and their restaurant economy. This industry is worth billions annually.
- Salinity intrusion is already impacting the western Delta farms and removing Sacramento River freshwater from the system will make matters worse. Delta farmers cannot irrigate crops with salt water and they certainly cannot plant crops in contaminated soils. The Delta Ag economy, which consists of generations

of family farms and farm workers, generates \$5.2 billion for the California economy, annually.

- California coastal fishing communities depend on thriving wildlife. This historic industry is worth billions annually, with the salmon industry worth \$1.5 billion annually alone. Thousands of jobs and livelihoods are tied to these industries.
- The operation and construction of the tunnels will obstruct and disable navigable waterways for boating, marinas and other types of leisure activities, in addition to creating conditions of low water flow that will foster invasive aquatic species, such as water hyacinth. Poor water quality also creates unsafe recreation. Recreation and tourism in the Delta generate \$750 million annually.

Far less expensive and less environmentally destructive alternatives to the Delta Tunnels were largely ignored. The plan does not seriously consider any alternatives other than new, upstream conveyance. The decision-making process (from the outset) has tilted in favor of increasing water exports from the Delta. This is mostly due to the composition of the group that devised the Delta Tunnels plan. Delta residents and others with better ideas were not included in the planning. Once again, this fundamental inequity should cause you to remove your support of this plan.

There are better alternatives that would provide more immediate results with a lower outlay of dollars. There are also better alternatives that would actually enhance the delta rather than destroy it. Our tax and ratepayer dollars would be much better spent on:

- More aggressive water efficiency programs statewide that would apply to both urban and agricultural users.
- Funding water recycling and groundwater recharge projects statewide that would be billions of dollars less expensive for rate payers than constructing a new version of the Peripheral Canal or major new surface storage dams. Meanwhile, these projects move communities towards water sustainability.
- Retiring thousands of acres of impaired farmlands that generate wastewater pollution in the western and southern San Joaquin Valley and using those lands for more sustainable and profitable uses, such as solar energy generation.
- Improving Delta levees in order to address potential earthquake, flooding, and future sea level rise concerns at a cost of \$2 to \$4 billion; this is orders of magnitude less expensive than the major conveyance projects that are currently being contemplated.
- Increasing freshwater flows through the Delta to reduce pollutants so ecosystems and wildlife can be restored.
- Installing fish screens at the south Delta pumps to reduce the current salvage of marine life.
- Considering a through-Delta project that would transfer water through the delta before exporting it to farms in the San Joaquin Valley. This would automatically protect the delta by removing the incentive to export water when salinity

intrusion extends too far, precisely with the delta needs protection from excessive transfers.

In Summary

The Delta has problems that need to be addressed, but the CA Water Fix tunnels are a 20th century idea that won't fix them. It won't produce more water, more reliable supplies, or improved conditions for the environment in the Delta.

The new EIR/EIS has not adequately addressed my above stated concerns. That is why I oppose the Delta Tunnels/California Water Fix (Alternative 4A).

Reclamation and DWR should prepare and circulate a new Draft EIR/EIS that will include alternatives that reduce water exports and increase Delta flows for consideration by the public and decision-makers. Such alternatives have a far better chance of complying with the Delta Reform Act and the federal Endangered Species and Clean Water Acts.

Sincerely,

Robert K Brodberg

From: Robert Brodberg <rbrdberg@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2015 3:23 PM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: CA Water Fix comments
Attachments: CA Water Fix Comments RKB.pdf

My comments on the CA Water Fix are attached.

Regards,

Robert Brodberg

From: Sarah Barnes <sarahfbarnes@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2015 11:07 AM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Stop the California Water Fix!

Dear Resources Agency and Decision Makers,

The California WaterFix will lead to the destruction of the Delta therefore I strongly oppose the Delta Tunnels Plan.

Your DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on water that should flow through the Delta, by increasing water independence locally.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually save CA tax- and ratepayers billions of dollars while investing in jobs and local water sources that build longterm sustainability. Especially consider investment in groundwater storage options.

I urge you not to permit the Delta Tunnels/California Water Fix (Alternative 4A) project to move forward.

Please consider the long-term impact on California's environment AND the long-term repercussions for California residents.

Sincerely,

Sarah Barnes
21874 Old River Rd.
West Sacramento

From: Katrine Benninger <katrine@kbldesign.net>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2015 7:02 PM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Stop the California Water Fix, review alternatives that will protect the Delta

Dear Resources Agency and Decisionmakers,

The California WaterFix is an ill conceived strategy to secure more water, it will lead to the destruction of the Delta therefore I strongly oppose the Delta Tunnels Plan.

This is not a sustainable solution, your DEIR/DEIS DOES NOT CONSIDER ALTERNATIVE WAYS SUCH AS LOCAL water dependency and storage and is therefore flawed and incomplete. we need to keep the Delta as an ecosystem and not depend on funneling more water from already stressed water supplies and rivers.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually save CA tax- and ratepayers billions of dollars while investing in local water sources that build longterm sustainability and create long term jobs. Especially consider investment in groundwater storage options.

I urge you not to permit the Delta Tunnels/California Water Fix (Alternative 4A) project to move forward.
Thank you for showing environmental leadership and economic foresight in this matter

--

Sent from Gmail Mobile please excuse typos,
Katrine

Katrine Benninger Landscape Design
kbldesign.net (510) 652-6459 992 53rd Street, Oakland, CA 94608

From: Paul Bell-Tull <ptull1960@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2015 7:42 PM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Delta Tunnels Plan

Dear Resources Agency and Decisionmakers,

The California WaterFix will lead to the destruction of the Delta therefore I strongly oppose the Delta Tunnels Plan.

Your DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on water that should flow through the Delta, by increasing water independence locally. The extreme drought in Australia was not "fixed" by huge infrastructure-- the de-sal plant built at enormous cost is barely used. Instead it was small local actions--conservation, greywater use, rainwater & underground storage, that got them through.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually save CA tax- and ratepayers billions of dollars while investing in jobs and local water sources that build longterm sustainability. Our water supply can be better secured in the future by investing in our depleted aquifers, which are the only things large enough to replace the long-term storage that the Sierra snowpack used to provide. Groundwater storage provides a cost-effective, locally driven method of providing both seasonal and year to year water storage.

I urge you not to permit the Delta Tunnels/California Water Fix (Alternative 4A) project to move forward.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Sincerely,
Paul Bell-Tull
2851 Flannery Road
San Pablo, CA 94806
ptull1960@sbcglobal.net

From: Scott Free <mcscotfree@hotmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2015 12:01 PM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: Re: please email TODAY to save Bay/Delta water flows

Dear Resources Agency and Decisionmakers,

I strongly oppose the Delta Tunnels Plan because the so-called "California WaterFix" will destroy one of California's most important estuaries, the amazing and beautiful Sacramento river Delta .

Your DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on water that should flow through the Delta, by increasing water independence locally.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually save CA tax- and ratepayers billions of dollars while investing in jobs and local water sources that build longterm sustainability. Especially consider investment in groundwater storage options, rainwater catchment, and water conservation funding.

I urge you not to permit the Delta Tunnels/California Water Fix (Alternative 4A) project to move forward.

Scott McCandless

Celtic Gardens
(510) 222-2417

<http://sfbay.craigslist.org/eby/fgs/5176037043.html>

"Emancipate yourself from mental slavery, none but ourselves can free our minds" Bob Marley

Non-cooperation with evil is cooperation with all that is good. - Gandhi

From: Trena Cleland <trenacleland@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2015 12:41 PM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: I oppose the Delta Tunnels Plan

Dear Resources Agency and Decisionmakers,

I strongly oppose the Delta Tunnels Plan because the so-called "California WaterFix" will destroy one of California's most important estuaries, the amazing and beautiful Sacramento river delta.

The DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on water that should flow through the Delta, by increasing water independence locally.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually save CA taxpayers and ratepayers billions of dollars while investing in jobs and local water sources that build longterm sustainability. **Especially consider investment in groundwater storage options, rainwater catchment, and water conservation funding.**

I urge you not to permit the Delta Tunnels/California Water Fix (Alternative 4A) project to move forward.

Thank you for your consideration,

Trena M. Cleland
5819 Huntington Ave.
Richmond, CA 94804

From: aram <aram@eff.org>
Sent: Friday, October 30, 2015 12:26 PM
To: BDCPcomments
Subject: save Bay/Delta water flows

Dear Resources Agency and Decisionmakers,

I strongly oppose the Delta Tunnels Plan because the so-called "California WaterFix" will destroy one of California's most important estuaries, the amazing and beautiful Sacramento river Delta . Your DEIR/DEIS is flawed because it does not take into account ways to reduce the dependence on water that should flow through the Delta, by increasing water independence locally.

Please stop the California WaterFix, and review more alternatives that will actually save CA tax- and ratepayers billions of dollars while investing in jobs and local water sources that build longterm sustainability. **Especially consider investment in groundwater storage options, rainwater catchment, and water conservation funding.**

I urge you not to permit the Delta Tunnels/California Water Fix (Alternative 4A) project to move forward.

Aram Antaramian
Law Office of Aram Antaramian
1714 Blake Street, Berkeley, CA 94703