

From: "Betty Hannon" <bettyhannon@att.net>
Date: Jul 15, 2016 5:02 PM
Subject: Policy Statement
To: "deltaactioncommittee@gmail.com" <deltaactioncommittee@gmail.com>
Cc:

State Water Resources Control Board,

I am writing to PROTEST any additional diversion from the Sacramento River in the North Delta by use of tunnels and intakes or *any other method*. You allow too much diversion already. I lived and recreate in the Delta area, and have observed the last few years of lower water flows on waterways like Sacramento River, Steamboat and Sutter Sloughs.

I have lived, camped, boated and have the Delta in my blood for many years, and object to any additional diversions of Sacramento River water until such time as the native fish species have increased substantially over at least a five year time, and until there is a written agreement to protect the fresh water levels for the navigable waterways of the North Delta, specifically Sacramento River, Steamboat Slough and Sutter Slough so that these waterways will remain navigable for all sizes of recreational boats, and open to salmon migration and other fish species year round as they were since California became a state. I object to the placement of flow barriers on any natural waterway of the Delta.

Home owners should not be charged extra to pay for the transport and use of Sacramento River water by huge corporate farmers of the lower Central Valley, who pay a much lower rate for the same water. Why should we pay for the mega-corporate produce operations cost since so much of the product is exported to other countries anyway? (<http://www.restorethedelta.org> position)

Kindly consider the reality of this decision,

Betty Hannon