Response of anchovies dampens effects of the invasive bivalve *Corbula amurensis* on the San Francisco Estuary foodweb
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ABSTRACT: Introduced bivalves have suppressed phytoplankton production in many aquatic foodwebs, but effects on higher trophic levels have been inconsistent. In the northern San Francisco Estuary, the introduced clam *Corbula amurensis* eliminated summer-long phytoplankton blooms starting in 1987, but responses of mesozooplankton biomass and most fish were somewhat muted. I conducted a retrospective analysis of the response of the estuarine foodweb to the decline in phytoplankton due to clam grazing. When *C. amurensis* invaded, the distribution of northern anchovy *Engraulis mordax* shifted toward higher salinity, reducing summer abundance by 94% in the low-salinity region of the estuary. Northern anchovy dominates the biomass of fish in the more saline reaches of the estuary, and is genetically affiliated with the subpopulation on the central California coast, which in turn is strongly influenced by climate variability. However, abundance of northern anchovy within the estuary was not related to available estimates of biomass, catch, or abundance of northern anchovy on the California coast, nor was it related to any of 4 variables describing aspects of climate variability with different time and space scales. The shift in spatial distribution appears to have been a direct behavioral response to reduced food. Bioenergetic calculations showed reduced consumption of zooplankton by all planktivores, including mysids, after *C. amurensis* became abundant and the anchovy left the low-salinity region of the estuary. This reduced consumption appears to have mitigated effects of the loss of phytoplankton productivity, making a greater proportion of the zooplankton productivity available to other fish species. Such behavioral accommodations are likely wherever invasions occur in open systems.
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INTRODUCTION

Studies of natural ecosystems must often apply indirect or reductionist approaches to elucidate ecosystem-scale mechanisms, since many ecosystems are inaccessible to experiment. Although whole-lake experimental manipulations have proved fruitful (Carpenter et al. 1995), many aquatic systems are not amenable to manipulation. In these systems, responses to natural or human-induced perturbations can be useful for examining complex processes at the ecosystem level. Species introductions can perturb an aquatic ecosystem, resulting in an inadvertent and uncontrolled experiment. Several invasions of aquatic systems by bivalves have provided opportunities to learn about ecosystem processes, particularly where investigations were underway before the invasions. The most notable of these have been the invasions of lakes and rivers in North America and Europe by the zebra mussel *Dreissena polymorpha* (Strayer et al. 2004) and the invasion of the San Francisco Estuary by the clam *Corbula amurensis* (Alpine & Cloern 1992, as *Potamo-corbula amurensis*, see Coan 2002).

Reductions of phytoplankton biomass by bivalve grazing in shallow aquatic ecosystems are well documented (Cloern 1982). Bivalves are ‘ecosystem engineers’ (Jones et al. 1994) that can increase water clarity by filtering fine particles, transport organic...
matter from the water column to the sediment, create physical habitat, and facilitate the spread of other species (Vanderploeg et al. 2002). Bivalve grazing may also have indirect effects, such as through release of nutrients from control by phytoplankton resulting in higher phytoplankton growth rates (Prins et al. 1998), or through a shift in size distribution of consumed organisms.

In spite of the strong effects of bivalves in suppressing phytoplankton and other small organisms, experimental and field studies and long-term monitoring have found complex and rather unpredictable responses of pelagic foodwebs to introduced bivalves (Noonburg et al. 2003). For example, responses of foodweb components not directly consumed by zebra mussels have been subtle or non-detectable in invaded lakes (Gonzalez & Downing 1999), and yellow perch populations increased following a zebra mussel invasion (Mayer et al. 2000).

The San Francisco Estuary (SFE) has been heavily influenced by introduced species. The best-documented invasion was that by *Corbula amurensis*, which became abundant in 1987. This clam has apparently had an overwhelming impact on phytoplankton in the northern, river-dominated estuary, particularly in summer in Suisun Bay (Fig. 1), a broad, shallow, brackish reach of the estuary (Alpine & Cloern 1992, Thompson 2000). Before the invasion of *C. amurensis*, summer-long periods of high phytoplankton biomass occurred in all years except during a drought in 1976–1977, when the marine clam *Mya arenaria* settled in Suisun Bay in sufficient numbers to suppress phytoplankton (Nichols 1985). Much of the decline in summer phytoplankton has been in diatom biomass, and the linkage between this decline and the introduction of *C. amurensis* is strong, with minor influences of freshwater flow and temperature, and no effects of nutrient supply (Jassby et al. 2002, Kimmerer 2005).

In contrast to findings from other systems (e.g. Phelps 1994), *Corbula amurensis* had no significant impact on water clarity, which is principally controlled by the concentration of mineral particles (Jassby et al. 2002). Since phytoplankton production in the northern estuary is generally limited by light rather than nutrients (Cloern et al. 1983), production is unlikely to have been stimulated by accelerated release of nutrients (Prins et al. 1998), and no such stimulation has been reported.

Despite the sharp reduction in phytoplankton biomass and production in this region, responses of the foodweb have been inconsistent. A decline in abundance of the copepod *Eurytemora affinis* after 1987, attributed to reduced food and consumption of nauplii by clams, was followed by the appearance of another calanoid copepod, *Pseudodiaptomus forbesi*, so total calanoid copepod abundance changed very little (Kimmerer & Orsi 1996). In addition, the small cyclopoid *Limnoithona tetraspina*, introduced in 1993, became the most abundant copepod in this area (Orsi & Ohtsuka 1999). Mysid abundance declined sharply, and 3 species of estuarine-dependent fish declined, but others maintained their abundance following the clam invasion (Kimmerer 2002).

In an effort to understand the inconsistent response of the estuarine foodweb to *Corbula amurensis*, I conducted a retrospective analysis of several rich, long-term data sets from the San Francisco Estuary. The focus of this analysis was summer (June–October) in the low-salinity (0.6 to 10 psu) region of the estuary, which corresponds roughly to the western Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and eastern Suisun Bay (Fig. 1). Phytoplankton biomass in this region has been heavily influenced by *C. amurensis* (Jassby et al. 2002), and many estuarine-dependent fish species rear and begin to feed in this region (Kimmerer 2002). The objective of this analysis was to reconstruct changes in the foodweb following the invasion by *C. amurensis* to determine why changes in some of the functional groups were so muted. The general approach was to determine the magnitudes of step changes in biomass and production or consumption of major functional groups around 1987–1988, in the context of other temporal variability.

The particular focus of this study was on the changing trophic role of north-
ern anchovy *Engraulis mordax* as the biomass-dominant planktivore in the estuarine foodweb. Northern anchovy is abundant along the west coast of North America from southern Canada to the Sea of Cortez. The population in the SFE is associated with the central of 3 genetically distinct subpopulations along the west coast (Vrooman et al. 1981). Abundance patterns of anchovy and sardine generally are inversely related and tied to climate conditions in several regions of the world including the northeast Pacific (Lluch-Belda et al. 1992, Schwartzlose et al. 1999). Therefore I examined the possible roles of climate variability and variation in the abundance of the coastal populations of both northern anchovy and Pacific sardine *Sardinops sagax* in influencing variability of anchovy within the estuary. I also examined catch data from the coastal ocean; anchovies are caught in the bay only as bait and catch statistics are unavailable.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Data sources.** Most data for the SFE were obtained from monitoring programs of the Interagency Ecological Program for the San Francisco Estuary (Table 1). Freshwater flow into the estuary is calculated daily, and salinity and temperature are monitored continuously at several locations (Fig. 1). Discrete samples are taken in a water quality and phytoplankton program (Lehman 2000), a zooplankton monitoring program (Orsi & Mecum 1986), the San Francisco Bay study (Armor & Herrgesell 1985) which monitors abundance of shrimp and young fish, and a midwater trawl program for young fish in the fall (Moyle et al. 1986). Each of these programs also measures temperature and either conductivity or salinity. I used surface values taken throughout each of these programs. Additional data on weight of several zooplankton species, length-weight data for mysids, and biomass of shrimp were obtained from K. Hieb and L. Mecum (California Department of Fish and Game).

Phytoplankton data were obtained as chlorophyll concentration. Zooplankton data were obtained as abundance m\(^{-3}\) by species or higher taxonomic grouping for 3 size classes (Orsi & Mecum 1986). For this study I used data on rotifers, copepods, and mysids, respectively the most abundant taxa in the 3 size classes. The San Francisco Bay study (Armor & Herrgesell 1985) took samples for fish and large crustaceans at 35 to 52 stations, monthly during 1980 to 2002 except in winter months in some years since 1989, using both an otter trawl and a midwater trawl, each equipped with a flowmeter. All fish and caridean shrimp were identified to species, and either all individuals or a random sample of 50 of each species per trawl were measured to the nearest mm. Data were reported as numbers per tow, which were converted to numbers m\(^{-3}\) using reported volume per tow for the midwater trawl, and area per tow times the mean depth of Suisun Bay (3 m) for the otter trawl. Similar calculations were made for the fall midwater trawl.

Data on abundance of northern anchovy in the coastal ocean were obtained for comparison with abundance at high salinity in the estuary. Spawning biomass was estimated from egg abundance for 1963 to 1995 (Jacobson et al. 1995). Catch data for an-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>URL or source</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freshwater flow</td>
<td>IEP/DWR</td>
<td><a href="http://www.iep.ca.gov/dayflow/index.html">www.iep.ca.gov/dayflow/index.html</a></td>
<td>Net Delta Outflow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irradiance</td>
<td>Integrated Pest Management</td>
<td><a href="http://www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/">www.ipm.ucdavis.edu/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll</td>
<td>IEP/DWR, DFG</td>
<td><a href="http://baydelta.ca.gov/">http://baydelta.ca.gov/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll</td>
<td>USGS</td>
<td><a href="http://sfbay.wr.usgs.gov/access">http://sfbay.wr.usgs.gov/access</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zooplankton</td>
<td>IEP/DFG</td>
<td>L. Mecum, DFG, pers. comm. 4001 N. Wilson Way, Stockton CA 95205</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>IEP/DFG</td>
<td><a href="http://baydelta.ca.gov/">http://baydelta.ca.gov/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benthos</td>
<td>IEP/DWR</td>
<td><a href="http://baydelta.ca.gov/">http://baydelta.ca.gov/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEI</td>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cdc.noaa.gov/">www.cdc.noaa.gov/</a></td>
<td>Multivariate ENSO Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upwelling</td>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td><a href="http://www.pfeg.noaa.gov/products/pfel/">www.pfeg.noaa.gov/products/pfel/</a></td>
<td>Index for 39°N latitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea surface</td>
<td>NOAA</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cdc.noaa.gov/cdc/data.noaa.ersst.html">www.cdc.noaa.gov/cdc/data.noaa.ersst.html</a></td>
<td>Extended Reconstructed SST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>for 38°N, 122°W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>IEP/DWR</td>
<td><a href="http://baydelta.ca.gov/">http://baydelta.ca.gov/</a></td>
<td>Continuous monitoring site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
chovy and Pacific sardine fisheries were obtained from the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG 2002, 2003). In addition, catch data for northern anchovy in the coastal ocean near the mouth of San Francisco Bay were obtained as bycatch in a rockfish survey (Ralston & Howard 1995, S. Ralston, NOAA, pers. comm.). Data used were from stations on the inshore 30 km of each transect between 36° 20’ and 38° N latitude, where anchovies were found consistently.

Climate data included freshwater flow, the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) index (Mantua et al. 1997), multivariate El Niño Southern oscillation (ENSO) index (Wolter & Timlin 1993), coastal upwelling indices for 36° N and 39° N (Bakun 1990), and reconstructed sea surface temperature for 38° N, 122° W (Smith & Reynolds 2003).

**Data analyses.** I estimated biomass for each taxon and for common species within taxa for the pre- and post-clam periods. The various monitoring programs differed in time span and some samples were missed. To keep data comparable among functional groups I used only those years and months when data were available from all studies for the low-salinity zone. All data were calculated as means for summer in a salinity range of 0.6 to 10 psu. Biomass of phytoplankton was calculated from chlorophyll using a carbon:chlorophyll ratio of 30 (Jassby et al. 2002). Biomass of rotifers was estimated using carbon per individual by genus (species are not determined) from literature sources (Hutchinson 1982, Walz 1995). Carbon biomass of copepods was measured for adult females of the principal calanoids *Eurytemora affinis* and *Pseudodiaptomus forbesi* using a Europa mass spectrometer (Bouley & Kimmerer 2006, this volume), and estimated for the small cyclopoid *Limnoithona tetraspina* using a length-weight relationship for *Oithona davisae* (Uye 1982). All these estimates were for adult male and female copepods; copepodites are not identified to stage in the sampling program, so a nominal stage III was assumed, and weight of each copepodite was determined using ratios to adult weight from the literature for the same or similar species (generally 0.13 to 0.28, Burkill & Kendall 1982, Klein Breteler et al. 1982, Uye et al. 1983, Kimmerer & McKinnon 1987). Biomass of nauplii was not included in the analysis.

Dry weight of the mysid *Neomysis mercedis* was determined at 1 mm length intervals from 2 to 16 mm and the log length to log weight relationship was extrapolated linearly to 18 mm to account for mysids larger than 16 mm (6% of the total). Dry weight of *Acanthomysis* spp. was calculated using the same relationship. Dry weight of zooplankton and mysids was converted to carbon using a carbon:dry weight ratio of 40% (Uye 1982). Wet weight biomass of caridean shrimp was provided by K. Hieb (pers. comm.) and converted to carbon using a ratio of 8% (Ricciardi & Bourget 1998).

Biomass of fish was determined using length to weight relationships by species either from measurements on ~6000 fish in the estuary or from literature values (Kimmerer et al. 2005a). Wet weight was converted to carbon assuming 50% dry:wet weight and 30% carbon:dry weight based on data summarized by Hanson (1997). Only fish smaller than 182 mm (99th percentile of length) were included because fish larger than that were caught sporadically and occasional catches of very large fish distorted the biomass estimates. Bias and variability associated with estimating biomass from these relationships are small compared to sampling variability.

The 2 midwater trawl data sets were used to analyze abundance patterns of northern anchovy, all for the summer dry season (June–October). The data were divided into 3 salinity ranges: high (S > 20 psu), medium (20 ≥ S > 10 psu), and low (10 ≥ S > 0.6 psu), corresponding to approximate ranges of abundance of anchovy. For example, over all years anchovies comprised 93% (median) of the summer biomass in the high-salinity region, 90% in the medium-salinity region, and 38% in the low-salinity region. The fall midwater trawl survey extends only as far seaward as San Pablo Bay, and during high-flow periods at most a few samples were taken in the high-salinity region. Anchovy catch per trawl, with 1 added to allow zero catches to be included, was averaged among all stations and log-transformed for analysis. The ratio of mean catch in the low-salinity region to that in the high-salinity region was log-transformed to determine geometric means and confidence limits by time period for each survey.

The basic model for this analysis was a linear regression of log-transformed abundance or biomass on a step function occurring between 1987 and 1988; the timing of this step has been tested using regression trees for several estuarine-dependent species, although rotifers and mysids declined 2 yr later (Kimmerer 2002). Abundances of several fish and invertebrate taxa vary positively with freshwater flow (Jassby et al. 1995). Flow had no long-term trend, but introduced some error variance into simple analyses of temporal changes in abundance or biomass. I therefore constructed linear models of the log of biomass as a function of the log of freshwater flow and a step change between 1987 and 1988. Results for each taxon are reported as follows: I determined the partial residual for the step change only, then added the grand mean to this term to get a partial residual in units like the original. This result is equivalent to the pre- and post-clam means with the effects of flow removed.
Anchovy abundance was tested using similar models without the flow effect.

Production or consumption rates were estimated for a subset of taxonomic groups for which reasonable growth rate estimates could be made. Phytoplankton net primary production was calculated from chlorophyll, irradiance, and light extinction coefficients (Cole & Cloern 1984, Jassby et al. 2002). Extinction coefficient is not measured routinely, but secchi depth was reported from all stations in the IEP water-quality monitoring program, and depth of the 1% light level was reported for 4639 samples. This was converted to extinction coefficient \( k \) (m\(^{-1}\)), which was then estimated for all samples by regression on 1 / secchi depth (m). Because variance of the data was heterogeneous I used a generalized linear model with linear link function and variance proportional to mean squared (Venables & Ripley 1997). The resulting regression was \( k = 0.36 \pm (0.60 \pm 0.01) / \text{secchi} \) (95% CL), and the standard deviation of residuals was 0.47 m\(^{-1}\).

Zooplankton and mysid production was calculated without regard to possible food limitation, so estimates are maxima. Although at least some zooplankton in the estuary are food-limited (Müller-Solger et al. 2002, Kimmerer et al. 2005b), data are insufficient to determine past levels of food limitation for the zooplankton considered here. Production of rotifers was estimated using a constant specific growth rate of 0.3 d\(^{-1}\) (Walz 1995). Production of juvenile calanoid copepods was based on development rate of *Eurytemora affinis* and *Pseudodiaptomus forbesi* determined experimentally at 15°C and egg development times determined at 10 to 20°C (author’s unpubl. data), and literature data on relative weight per stage. Production of cyclopoid copepods was not calculated because of uncertainty in the identification of life stages in the monitoring data. Growth rate of mysids was estimated using relationships of specific growth rate to temperature for *Neomysis intermedia* (Toda et al. 1984). These relationships were different for juveniles and adults. The IEP abundances data include number by length as well as total adults and other stages. I calculated the change in growth rate using the median length for transition to adult from all of the data (9 mm for *N. mercedis* and 6 mm for *Acanthomysis* spp.), assuming that the adults were the largest animals in each sample. In addition I used data from Siegfried & Kopache (1980) to estimate the fraction of mysid feeding based on animals: assuming that 3 mm and smaller mysids consumed no animals, I fit a straight line through the data for 7 mm and 11 mm mysids, which gave 100% animal food for mysids larger than 15 mm.

Consumption rate rather than growth rate was estimated for fish, since it could be readily estimated using the Wisconsin bioenergetics model (Hanson et al. 1997). Parameters were available for striped bass, herring, and Chinook salmon. Parameters for rainbow smelt did not appear suitable for smelt species in the SFE because consumption peaked at too low a temperature. Parameters for alewife were used for American and threadfin shad, and parameters for herring were used for northern anchovy. Other fish, none very abundant, were assigned parameters equal to those for striped bass.

Each of the climate variables was averaged for summer (June–October) and explored using autoregressive models and regression trees to detect step changes, then with linear models containing the step changes.

In all analyses, I applied graphical methods before selecting a statistical model for testing. Graphical examination of residuals was used to check for deviations from assumptions of the models applied. Statistical analyses used S-Plus v6.2 for Windows (Venables & Ripley 1997). Error terms presented below are 95% confidence limits except where noted.

**RESULTS**

During the study period (1967 to 2003, 1980 to 2001 for statistical comparisons among taxa) mean summer freshwater outflow varied between 54 and 1152 m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\), with droughts from 1976 to 1977 and from 1987 to 1992, and high-flow periods in 1983, 1995, and 1998 (Fig. 2A). Salinity varied between 3 and 18 psu (median 12) at the western margin of Suisun Bay (Martinez), and 0.1 and 6 (median 1.3) at the eastern margin (Collinsville, Fig. 2B). Bivalve abundance in Suisun Bay was high during 1976 and 1977, and exceeded 100 m\(^{-2}\) in every year starting in 1987 (Fig. 2C). Mean chlorophyll concentration declined by about 70% around 1987 (Fig. 2D, Table 2). This decline was unrelated to freshwater flow or salinity, 2 proxy measures for climate effects (graphical analysis and linear regression, \( p > 0.1 \)). Calanoid copepod abundance declined more or less throughout the period, whereas cyclopoid abundance increased around 1980 and again in the early 1990s, the latter increase mainly comprising *Limnoithona tetraspina*.

Summer anchovy abundance declined sharply in the low-salinity region following the introduction of *Corbula amurensis* in 1987 (Fig. 3, Table 2). The decline in anchovy abundance occurred between 1987 and 1988 in the year-round survey (Fig. 3A) but between 1986 and 1987 in the fall survey (Fig. 3B). Note that chlorophyll concentration declined in ~ July 1987, so this difference in the 2 surveys reflects the rapid response of the anchovies. In addition, the longer-term fall survey showed a period of low abundance during the drought
of 1976 to 1977 and high abundance in 1983, a year of record-high freshwater flow. Abundance at intermediate salinity also declined after 1987–88 in both surveys and was low in 1976 and 1977 in the fall midwater trawl survey. Abundance at high salinity was variable but showed no similar step change in either survey. Some of the low and missing values from the fall survey occurred during high-flow periods (e.g. 1983) when the salt field had shifted seaward, and therefore
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**Fig. 2.** Summer conditions in the low-salinity zone of the San Francisco Estuary. (A) Freshwater flow as net Delta outflow; (B) surface salinity at Martinez (squares, see Fig. 1) and Collinsville (line); (C) abundance of clams at stations in Grizzly Bay shoals (circles, see Fig. 1) and the main shipping channel (triangles), comprising *Corbula amurensis*, dominant from 1987 on (filled symbols), *Mya arenaria*, dominant during low-flow periods before 1987, and *Corbicula fluminea*, common during high-flow periods before 1987 (one of these species predominant when shown by open symbols; abundance over 2000 m⁻² always *M. arenaria*); (D) chlorophyll concentration from 2 sampling programs: Interagency Ecological Program (solid line) and US Geological Survey (crosses); (E) copepod abundance for adult calanoids (triangles) and cyclopoids (solid line). Vertical dashed line: invasion of *C. amurensis*
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**Fig. 3.** *Engraulis mordax*. Mean catch per trawl (1 added to raw data) in 2 long-term monitoring programs during the summer dry season for 3 salinity ranges as determined by salinity samples taken at trawl stations. (A) Data from the IEP San Francisco Bay study (Table 1), which sampled throughout the estuary during most months; (B) the fall midwater trawl survey (Table 1), which sampled monthly from September to December (data used only through October) as far west as San Pablo Bay. Vertical dashed line: invasion of *Corbula amurensis*

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Salinity range</th>
<th>Step between</th>
<th>Step magnitude (95% CL)</th>
<th>N before, after</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorophyll</td>
<td>IEP</td>
<td>0.6–10</td>
<td>1987–88</td>
<td>0.22 (0.17–0.31)</td>
<td>13, 15</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DFG</td>
<td>0.6–10</td>
<td>1986–87</td>
<td>0.26 (0.18–0.36)</td>
<td>12, 15</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USGS</td>
<td>0.6–10</td>
<td>1988–89</td>
<td>0.24 (0.14–0.41)</td>
<td>5, 14</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchovy Catch</td>
<td>SF Bay</td>
<td>0.6–10</td>
<td>1987–88</td>
<td>0.08 (0.03–0.22)</td>
<td>8, 13</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchovy Catch</td>
<td>Study</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>1986–87</td>
<td>0.12 (0.04–0.30)</td>
<td>7, 14</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchovy Catch</td>
<td>Study</td>
<td>&gt;20</td>
<td>1986–87</td>
<td>0.11 (0.05–0.25)</td>
<td>18, 15</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchovy Catch</td>
<td>MWT</td>
<td>0.6–10</td>
<td>1986–87</td>
<td>0.07 (0.02–0.19)</td>
<td>18, 15</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Chlorophyll data from the USGS sampling program were missing for 1981–1987, so the year of the decline is uncertain. MWT: midwater trawl*
the sampling program covered only the periphery of the population. The ratio of abundance at low salinity to that at high salinity also declined significantly in both surveys (Table 3).

There was no apparent change in length distribution of the anchovies with year in any of the 3 salinity ranges, nor was length related to salinity. The annual median wet biomass of northern anchovy for summer had a grand median of 1200 t and 10th and 90th percentiles of 400 and 2000, respectively. An estimate of spawning biomass for July 1978 was 767 t (McGowan 1986).

Abundance of northern anchovy at high salinity was unrelated to estimates of stock size in the coastal ocean (Fig. 4). Regressions had non-significant slopes for anchovy abundance in the Bay study vs. estimated biomass off central-southern California (slope = −0.4 ± 1.0, p > 0.1), landings off central-southern California (slope = −0.004 ± 0.01, p > 0.1), or catch in the rockfish survey off San Francisco Bay (Fig. 4C, slope = 0.1 ± 0.6, p > 0.1). The 2 highest values of abundance from the high-salinity region in the year-round survey (1992 and 1993) coincided with several of the lowest values of both catch and biomass of northern anchovy along the California coast. Landings of Pacific sardines in the coastal ocean increased steadily through the 1980s and 1990s, greatly exceeding anchovy landings, but catches of sardines in the high-salinity region of the estuary reached only ~1% of the catches of anchovies in the same region (Fig. 4B). The various measures of climate variability were unrelated to anchovy abundance in the high-salinity regions of the estuary (Fig. 5). Although anchovy abundance at high salinity was negatively related to the upwelling indices at both 36° N and 39° N (linear regression, slope and 95% CL for 39° N = −0.01 ± 0.007, df = 23, p < 0.01, r² = 0.31), this is opposite the sign expected. None of the indices was related to anchovy abundance in the low-salinity region. Furthermore, none of the indices had step changes close to 1987 (intervention analysis, p > 0.3 for all indices).

Within the low-salinity region of the estuary, mean summer biomass of several ecosystem components, corrected for freshwater flow, declined from 1980 to 1987, and 1988 to 2002 (Table 4). Phytoplankton biomass declined by 70%, and this was reflected in the declines in biomass of rotifers and mysids, both of which underwent a long period of decline after 1987. However, mean biomass of calanoid and cyclopoid copepods did not change substantially, and declines in some species in summer were accompanied by increases in other, introduced species. Pseudodiaptomus forbesi replaced Eurytemora affinis (both calanoids) as the biomass dominant, and Limnoithona tetraspina became the biomass subdominant after 1993, replacing cyclopoid copepods that had declined after 1987. Bay shrimp underwent a period of low abundance during the drought of 1987 to 1992, but biomass overall did not change significantly between the 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Year group</th>
<th>Geometric mean</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>N (yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SF Bay Study</td>
<td>1980–1987</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.04–0.22</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1988–2003</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.004–0.009</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MWT Study</td>
<td>1967–1987</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.021–0.09</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1988–2002</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.007–0.015</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. *Engraulis mordax*. Summary statistics for ratios of abundance at low salinity (0.6–10) to that at high salinity (>20). Data for each year were log-transformed, and weighted means and standard deviations were calculated with the minimum number of data points as the weighting factor for each year. Missing years within each group occurred because surveys were not done or, in the case of the MWT study, no samples were taken at salinity >20.

MWT: midwater trawl
time periods shown in Table 4. Anchovy biomass declined sharply and by a greater proportion than any other foodweb component. There were non-significant decreases in mean biomass of pelagic fish other than anchovies, and in demersal fish.

Placing these results in the context of the estuarine foodweb shows the overwhelming importance of the biomass of *Corbula amurensis* during the post-clam period (Fig. 6). Biomass data are unavailable for the microbial foodweb or the pre-clam bivalves, so this diagram is incomplete. Furthermore, given the assumptions required to calculate biomass of individual components, comparisons of biomass among components probably give only order-of-magnitude estimates. Nevertheless, this diagram illustrates the extent to which some components declined, while others did not.

Calculated production values for a subset of the foodweb followed those of biomass (Table 5). The decline in phytoplankton production was 62%, somewhat less than that of biomass because of a compensating increase in water clarity in the latter period, due to reduced loading of inorganic particles during the extended drought (Kimmerer 2004). Production (and therefore presumably consumption) of mysids declined by about half, less than the decline in biomass because more of the mysids were small with a higher growth rate. Roughly 24% of the production of mysids was estimated to be based on consumption of animals, presumably mostly copepods. Consumption by anchovies declined about an order of magnitude, much more than did consumption by other pelagic and demersal fish (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

The decline in anchovy abundance in the low-salinity region of the San Francisco Estuary coincided with several system-wide events: besides the arrival of *Corbicula amurensis*, 1987 was an El Niño year (Fig. 5C) and a protracted drought was underway (Fig. 2). I argue below that the decline in anchovy abundance was unrelated to climate, but was simply a shift of the population away from a region that had become inhos-

---

**Table 4.** Summer biomass of foodweb components in Fig. 6 for the 2 time periods in the study, corrected for flow (i.e. partial residuals for the step change, with the grand mean added to restore units). Values in bold differ significantly between the 2 periods (analysis of covariance, p < 0.05)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Phytoplankton</th>
<th>Rotifers</th>
<th>Calanoid copepods</th>
<th>Cyclopoid copepods</th>
<th>Mysids</th>
<th>Shrimp</th>
<th>Anchovies</th>
<th>Other pelagic</th>
<th>Demersal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1980–1987</td>
<td>Geometric mean</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CL mg C m⁻³</td>
<td>130–332</td>
<td>3.9–6.8</td>
<td>5.8–11.8</td>
<td>0.7–2.8</td>
<td>5.3–16.2</td>
<td>10.9–24.4</td>
<td>1.9–13.7</td>
<td>1.6–4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1988–2001</td>
<td>Geometric mean</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CL mg C m⁻³</td>
<td>50–77</td>
<td>0.7–1.8</td>
<td>4.9–7.9</td>
<td>0.7–2.5</td>
<td>1.5–4.1</td>
<td>7.5–12.6</td>
<td>0.1–0.6</td>
<td>1.4–2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pitiable. Such shifts are quite common, even familiar in terrestrial animals. In the lower Hudson River, several open-water fish species shifted seaward following a reduction in chlorophyll concentration due to the introduced zebra mussel, *Dreissena polymorpha* (Strayer et al. 2004). Similar behavioral shifts of northern anchovy in apparent response to chlorophyll concentration (or its covariates) have been noted off Baja California (Robinson 2004). Although increased mortality in the inhospitable region would result in a similar change in abundance, a behavioral shift in position is a simpler explanation that recognizes the ability of animals to move from unfavorable to favorable locations.

The decline in anchovy in regions of lower salinity in the estuary, but not at high salinity, occurred in striking coincidence with the decline in chlorophyll. The bulk of the anchovy population even before the decline was at high salinity: 95% of the catch before 1987 occurred at S >10 psu. The relationship of abundance to salinity is presumably related to salinity stress, which would be exacerbated by poor feeding conditions. Thus the change in that relationship most likely occurred directly in response to food, since the regions of interest were defined by salinity (Fig. 3), and there has been no long-term change in salinity distribution within the estuary (Fig. 2). Furthermore, chlorophyll concentrations did not change appreciably in San Pablo Bay (Kimmerer 2004), a higher-salinity region where anchovy abundance remained high.

Anchovies feed by filtering or picking prey, switching between modes depending on prey availability and light (O’Connell 1972). Anchovies generally are considered zooplankton predators (Koslow 1981, Monteiro et al. 1991), but *Engraulis mordax* can feed on large phytoplankton cells such as diatoms (Chiappa-Carrara & Gallardo-Cabello 1993). The Peruvian anchoveta *E. ringsens* gets more of its energy from phytoplankton when it is filtering than when picking (Rojas de Mendiola 1971), and the Black Sea anchovy *E. encrasicolius ponticus* feeds predominantly on phytoplankton when zooplankton abundance is low (Bulgakova 1996). The phytoplankton biomass of the northern SFE consisted of about 54% cells larger than 22 µm during 1980 (Cole et al. 1986), so much of the phytoplankton may have been available to anchovies. In recent years about 60 to 70% of the biomass has been smaller than 10 µm (Kimmerer 2004). Furthermore, much of the decline in phytoplankton biomass was in diatoms (Lehman 2000, Kimmerer 2005). The total biomass of food available to anchovies in the low-salinity region declined with the phytoplankton, but without detailed information on the importance of phytoplankton in the diet of anchovies in the SFE it is impossible to measure the decline in total available food.

Anchovy abundance along the coast is generally associated with climate (Lluch-Belda et al. 1992, Chavez et al. 2003), but changes in abundance at a location may be due to shifts in spatial distribution as well as changes in population size (Rodriguez-Sanchez et al. 2002). The lack of association between anchovy biomass along the California coast and within the estuary may suggest a lack of coupling, or simply that variability in the estuary overwhelms that due to fluctuations in the coastal population. A key feature of pelagic fishes of coastal upwelling zones is the decade-scale alternation of dominance between anchovies and sardines (Mantua et al. 1997). However, sardines are uncommon in the estuary (Fig. 4B), and it is apparent that these 2 species use the estuary very differently.

The climate indices were unrelated to anchovy abundance within the estuary except for the upwelling indices, but these had the wrong sign assuming that high anchovy abundance occurs during periods of low
temperature in the coastal ocean (Chavez et al. 2003). This result could indicate that anchovies move from the coastal ocean into the estuary when upwelling conditions are unfavorable. Nevertheless, all of these results indicate that anchovies are responding differently to regional climate effects inside the estuary than along the coast. The lack of response (in either direction) of anchovy abundance at high salinity to the decrease in the PDO index in 1998-99 (Fig. 5B), which apparently caused an increase in anchovy abundance in the coastal ocean (Fig. 4A), suggests that linkages between the estuarine anchovy population and both climate and the coastal populations are weak. Furthermore, the climate-related mechanisms for the decline at low salinity would require changes at high salinity to propagate to low salinity, whereas the change in abundance occurred only at low salinity.

Therefore the most parsimonious explanation for the decline in anchovy abundance in the low-salinity zone is as a direct or indirect response to the decline in chlorophyll. The decline in anchovy abundance roughly halved food consumption by all planktivorous fish (Table 5). In addition, the decline in mysid abundance further reduced consumption of copepods, although the data are inadequate to determine the magnitude of this effect. Mysid abundance continued to decline after 1987 (Kimmerer 2002, Fig. 4), but no subsequent increase was noted in copepod populations, suggesting a weak influence of mysids.

The declines in predator abundance may have allowed the copepods to maintain their abundance even with the reduced food supply (Fig. 6). That is, from a mass-balance perspective, with a reduced food supply the only possibility for the copepods to maintain their biomass was through lower losses to predation or other mortality. Furthermore, a greater proportion of zooplankton production became available to planktivorous fish other than anchovy.

The reduction in mortality could have arisen through a combination of reduced planktivore biomass due to the decline in anchovy and possibly mysid abundance, and a change in vulnerability of individual copepods to predation. Nearly all planktivorous fish in the upper estuary since the decline in anchovy are visual predators, and gelatinous zooplankton, which have various other feeding modes, are uncommon in the estuary (Orsi & Mecum 1986, W. S. Kimmerer unpubl.). Since zooplankton differ in their abilities to either avoid or accommodate predation of different modes, the shift in the predatory environment may have facilitated, and may now maintain, a change in zooplankton species composition due originally to introductions. For example, the most abundant copepod in the brackish estuary is the introduced cyclopoid *Limnoithona tetraspina*, which does not feed on diatoms, has very low feeding and reproductive rates, and may be too small for effective consumption by visual planktivores (Bouley & Kimmerer 2006). The current situation of low diatom production and low consumption by non-visual planktivores should favor this species.

The results reported herein have broad implications for ecosystem modeling studies. Spatially detailed model ecosystems show rich arrays of behaviors involving the interaction between component movements among spatial cells and processes within cells (Blasius et al. 1999). Nevertheless, models of energy flow in complex foodwebs do not generally consider loss of some foodweb components when conditions change (Baird & Ulanowicz 1989, McCann et al. 1998). Aquatic foodwebs tend to be complex, having weak interactions (Strong 1992) that may make them stable (McCaill et al. 1998). Their responses to significant perturbations can be strong but are rarely straightforward (Vanni & Layne 1997). Which species are in the food web can be as important as how many there are (Downing & Leibold 2002). Therefore, species-specific responses of zooplankton (Runge 1988) or fish (Stein et al. 1995) may cause different systems to respond in different ways to the same perturbation. This study shows that behavioral shifts in spatial distribution of a key species are an additional mechanism by which aquatic foodwebs can accommodate change.
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