

Comments on

AMENDMENTS TO THE WATER QUALITY CONTROL PLAN FOR THE SACRAMENTO
RIVER AND SAN JOAQUIN RIVER BASINS FOR THE CONTROL PROGRAM FOR
FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO THE DISSOLVED OXYGEN IMPAIRMENT IN THE
STOCKTON DEEP WATER SHIP CHANNEL

DRAFT FINAL STAFF REPORT December 13, 2004

submitted by

G. Fred Lee, Ph.D., DEE and Anne Jones Lee, Ph.D.

G. Fred Lee and Associates

EL Macero, California

ph 530 753-9630 fx 530-753-9956

Gfredlee@aol.com www.g.fredlee.com

January 5, 2005

Presented herein are our comments on the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (CVRWQCB) staff's proposed Basin Plan Amendment to control dissolved oxygen (DO) water quality objective (WQO) violations in the San Joaquin River (SJR) Deep Water Ship Channel (DWSC). These comments are based on our involvement in investigating and reporting on the low DO problem in the San Joaquin River Deep Water Ship Channel since the spring of 1999. Prior to that time over the past 40 years, I (Dr. G. Fred Lee) have been involved in investigating and developing management approaches for similar kinds of problems in various water bodies located throughout the US and several other countries. Our previous work on behalf of CALFED, the CVRWQCB and the SJR DO TMDL Steering Committee has been reported in several reports which include the Lee and Jones-Lee (2000) "Issues Report" and the Lee and Jones-Lee(2003a), "Synthesis Report" and in several supplements to the synthesis report (Lee 2004a, Lee and Jones-Lee 2003b, 2004a,b,c,d). These reports and others have been made available to the SJR TMDL Steering Committee email list, and the CVRWQCB and are available from our web site, www.gfredlee.com.

In the fall of 2004, we presented a summary review of the nature of the low DO problem in the DWSC and our recommended approach for solving this problem at the Society for Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC) World Congress that was held in Portland, Oregon in November 2004 (Lee and Jones-Lee 2004e). The PowerPoint slides from this presentation are included in Appendix A of this report. These slides provide an outline of many of the issues that the CVRWQCB/SWRCB will need to consider and adequately address in developing a technically valid TMDL for solving the low DO problem in the DWSC.

Overall, we find that the CVRWQCB staff, Gowdy and Grober, have done a good job in presenting the issues that need to be addressed to solve the low-DO problem in the DWSC. There are however, several issues that we find need additional attention in the formulation and implementation of this proposed Basin Plan Amendment. Many of these issues were discussed in the comments on the initial draft Basin Plan Amendments submitted by Lee (2003a). The issues of continued concern are discussed below. Also presented below, are several pertinent references to the updated information on SJR DWSC low DO problem and its management that are not included in the draft Basin Plan Amendment.

Need for Comprehensive TMDL Programs

One of the major problems with the current CVRWQCB efforts to develop control programs for WQO violations is that they are not conducted in a comprehensive coordinated manner to address well known existing water quality problems in the SJR watershed. At this time, there is no overall evaluation approach that leads to a coordination of the management approaches that will need to be implemented to solve the variety of water quality problems that exist in the SJR watershed and South Delta. Many of these water quality problems are interrelated. Under the current approach, large amounts of funds could be spent developing a control program to meet a particular TMDL requirement that subsequently under a more comprehensive review will need to be changed or modified to consider the broader picture for controlling multiple water quality objective violations that are occurring in the SJR DWSC watershed. Examples of this type of situation are discussed below.

There is immediate need for the state Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and the CVRWQCB to develop a much more comprehensive water quality management program in the San Joaquin River watershed that properly considers all of the various water quality issues that are known to exist and will have to be addressed to manage them. These various issues have been outlined in the PowerPoint slides presented in Appendix A; some of them discussed herein.

Page 1 of the Executive Summary states,

Waste Load and Load Allocations

“Thirty percent of the ENOD apportioned to sources of oxygen demanding substances is allocated as a waste load allocation to the City of Stockton Regional Wastewater Control Facility (RWCF). Sixty percent of the ENOD apportioned to sources of oxygen demanding substances is allocated as a load allocation to non-point sources of algae and its precursors upstream of the DWSC. Ten percent of the ENOD apportioned to sources of oxygen demanding substances is allocated as a reserve for unknown sources and impacts, and known or new sources that have insignificant impact, including waste load allocations for point sources set at their corresponding effluent limitations applicable on 28 January 2005.”

In previous comments on the staff's draft Basin Plan Amendment (Lee 2003a) for the low DO TMDL, we have indicated that the one third one third one third approach is not a valid approach for controlling the low DO problem in the DWSC.

Page 41 states,

“Given the above arguments, this TMDL considers each of the three contributing factors to be 100% responsible for the impairment. Those parties collectively responsible for each contributing factor will need to coordinate with those responsible for the other factors to implement control measures that eliminate excess net oxygen demand (plus the margin of safety). This TMDL does not specify the relative responsibility among these three factors. Entities responsible for each of the three main contributing factors will need to determine among themselves the relative responsibility that will be assumed by each contributing factor.”

As discussed in our reports and in previous comments to the CVRWQCB staff, the apportionment of oxygen demand loads and factors influencing this problem should focus on the

impact of the continued maintenance dredging of the DWSC to maintain navigation depth. The Corps of Engineers continued maintenance dredging of the DWSC is strongly contrary to controlling the low DO problem in the DWSC in the most cost-effective manner. As discussed in our recommended approach for solving the low DO problem (Lee 2003b, Lee and Jones-Lee 2004d) considerable effort needs to be made to get the US Congress to provide funds that can be used to control DO WQO violations associated with mitigation for continued maintenance dredging of the DWSC.

Another major responsibility for this problem is due to the manipulations of SJR DWSC flows in the SJR DWSC watershed and South Delta. Recently, the San Joaquin River Water Quality Management Group (SJRWQMG) have focused attention on managing SJR flow and salt loads in the SJR watershed to control violations of the total salt concentrations in the SJR at Vernalis as part of an effort to meet the current water quality objective for salt (TDS, EC) in the SJR at Vernalis. As discussed in our reports, the current 700µmhos/cm EC objective for the SJR at Vernalis needs to be significantly lowered so that the EC in a SJR water that enters the South Delta through the Head of Old River will enable irrigated agriculture in the South Delta to discharge tail water to South Delta channels without causing violations of the South Delta EC WQO of 700 µmhos/cm. At this time the SJRWQMG has failed to address this problem.

Developing a flow and salt load management program for the SJR watershed to meet the 700 µmhos/cm EC WQO at Vernalis may have limited applicability to the management program that will ultimately have to be developed to meet the EC objective that will need to be adopted to enable irrigated agriculture in the South Delta to continue to exist. These issues have been discussed by Lee et al. (2004a,b). While there have been some who claim that the 700 µmhos/cm EC objective for the South Delta channels is overly protective the facts are that total concentrations above this level is detrimental to irrigated agriculture. It will be important to properly consider all the excess salt problems in the lower SJR and South Delta in a comprehensive program. What ever is done with respect to managing flows and the salt loads in the SJR at Vernalis can have significant impacts on managing the low DO problem in the DWSC.

The current SJRWQMG approach to address the impact of SJR watershed flow manipulations on the low DO problem in the DWSC is to address this issue as a secondary issue to managing flows to control total salts in the SJR at Vernalis. Since as documented in our reports, the management of flow in the SJR watershed and in the South Delta are a major contributor to the low DO problem in the DWSC, there is need to focus on determining the maximum readily attainable steady flows of the SJR through the DWSC in order to minimize the funds needed for aeration and oxygen demand load control. As discussed by Lee and Jones-Lee (2003a,c, 2004a) and Lee 2004a,c), it will be important to gain control of SJR DWSC extreme flow variability that occurs now. This variability is directly responsible for some low DO events. Of particular concern are situations where there have been moderate flows of the SJR through the DWSC followed by periods of essentially no flow. This leads to loading up the DWSC with oxygen demand constituents where there is no transport flow through the first 7 miles of the DWSC to Turner Cut. Repeatedly over the past five years that there's been data collected, such situations lead to severe DO depletions below the WQO.

Oxygen Demand Load Sources

The third area that needs more directed attention than is provided for in the draft Basin Plan Amendment is the control of oxygen demanding substances that are added to the DWSC. As discussed in our reports, the principal sources of oxygen demand for the DWSC are the city of Stockton domestic wastewater ammonia discharges and the algae that develop in the SJR DWSC watershed that are added to the DWSC. With respect to the city of Stockton's ammonia discharges, a review of the data that was collected in 1999, 2000 and 2001, shows that there was only one occasion during the summer and fall when the city of Stockton ammonia discharges were more than 50% of the total oxygen demand load to the DWSC. Generally, the dominant source of oxygen demand was the algae that develop in the DWSC watershed. The exceptions to this situation occurred when the SJR flow to the DWSC was very low as a result of the state and federal export projects pumps sucking all of the SJR Vernalis water into the South Delta through the Head of Old River. Under these conditions, the city of Stockton's wastewater discharged ammonia and other oxygen demand constituents in the wastewater become the dominant source of oxygen demand for the DWSC. During the winter months, especially in February, the city of Stockton's wastewater discharge of ammonia and other oxygen-demanding constituents becomes the primary source of oxygen demand, due primarily to the diversion of SJR Vernalis water into the South Delta and the low algal content of the SJR water at Vernalis.

It has been suggested that the winter low-DO problem in the SJR DWSC will not occur in future years when the city of Stockton controls the ammonia discharges to the 2 mg/L monthly average discharge NPDES limit that the CVRWQCB has placed on the city's wastewater discharges. It is important to understand however, that this limit is based on meeting the ammonia concentrations in the lower SJR and upper DWSC that will not lead to violations of the ammonia toxicity water quality criterion established by the US EPA. This limit is based on a monthly average ammonia concentration.

With respect to the violations of the DO water quality objective, there can only be one violation of this objective by any magnitude at any location in the DWSC every three years. Violations that occur more frequently will require further control of DO concentrations in the DWSC. As indicated in Appendix A, under low flow conditions with the allowed excursions above the 2 mg/L ammonia monthly average discharge limit, there can be DO depletions below the water quality objective in the DWSC that would require further oxygen demand control.

It will be important that the SJR DWSC flows during all times of the year, including the winter be managed in such a way as to achieve maximum steady flow. There is need for further study to define the minimum flows of the SJR through the DWSC that can be allowed and avoid DO water quality objective violations. For planning purposes, the issue of flow of the SJR through the DWSC should be addressed as a separate issue, not as a secondary issue to salt TMDL flows. The flow needed to meet both of these TMDLs, will need to be addressed by the state Water Resources Control Board as part of the D 1641 water rights hearings where the required flows to optimize solving the salt TMDL and the low DO TMDL to the maximum extent possible through management of SJR and South Delta flows.

As discussed in the synthesis report and in the supplement to this report, there is need to define the ability to and associated costs for controlling the high algal loads (oxygen demand loads) that

developed in the SJR upstream of Mossdale. Of particular importance is the role of algae that develop in Mud and Salt Slough watersheds that become the major algal oxygen demand source that enter the DWSC that lead to DO violations below the WQO. As discussed in the synthesis report, and in other comments in reports on our web site, www.gfredlee.com, the upstream monitoring program developed by agricultural interests falls far short of a credible oxygen demand source study to determine whether it is economically possible to control oxygen demand that develops in the SJR DWSC watershed through the control of nutrients in the headwaters of Mud and Salt Sloughs. Both Drs. Foe and Lee independently, commented on the draft proposed monitoring program on the significant deficiencies in this program. While those responsible for developing the program claimed to the SJR DO TMDL steering committee that these issues would be addressed in finalizing the proposal, in fact they were not addressed. As discussed by Lee (2003d), this caused the upstream monitoring proposal to be technically flawed.

Lee (2003d) also pointed out in his comments to CALFED/CBDA that there are several other reasons not to fund this proposal including the fact that the salt TMDL implementation could significantly affect the oxygen demand load that reaches the DWSC in the form of upstream developed algae. Now that the SJRWQMG has begun to formulate an approach for controlling the excessive TDS/EC in the SJR at Vernalis it is clear that conducting studies now before the salt TMDL implementation approach is better defined could lead to a waste of CALFED/CBDA funding. Any alterations of salt load and or flows affect in the mud and salt Slough watersheds could readily impact the nutrients that develop into algae in the sloughs that become the primary seed for the algal load of oxygen demand to the DWSC from upstream sources.

Another issue that needs to be investigated as part of formulating the final TMDL to control the low-DO problem in the DWSC, is the potential benefits of reducing nutrient concentrations in the SJR upstream of the DWSC on the algae associated oxygen demand loads to the DWSC that cause DO WQO violations. While both nitrogen and phosphorus are present in the SJR at concentrations well above growth rate limiting concentrations, there is evidence from the literature (Lee and Jones-Lee 2002, Van Nieuwenhuysse 2004) that even under the conditions of surplus nutrients, reducing the nutrient loads/concentrations especially phosphorus can reduce the magnitude of algal biomass that develops in a waterbody. As outlined in Appendix A, the situation that developed in the Rhine River in Europe where reducing phosphorus loads/concentrations in the Rhine reduced the algae concentrations and the dissolved oxygen water quality problems. Similar situations have been observed for a number of waterbodies where reducing the phosphorus concentration in the waterbody through reducing the phosphorus loads to the waterbody resulted in reduced algal biomass and improve water quality. This same kind of situation could occur in the SJR upstream of the DWSC. Studies need to be conducted to determine whether this is feasible and the potential costs of nutrient control to eliminate DWSC DO WQOs violations. Jassby and Van Nieuwenhuysse (2004) and Dahlgren and Van Nieuwenhuysse (2004) has recently provided additional information that is pertinent to understanding and managing of the development of planktonic algae in the SJR DWSC watershed. Studies significantly different from those that were approved by CALFED/CBDA in the fall 2003 will need to be conducted however to properly examine the situation.

Page 46 states,

“The CVRWQCB staff will review sampling and analysis plans for the various studies

performed during the study phase of this TMDL to ensure their adequacy in meeting the objectives of the individual studies and the TMDL study plan overall.”

Because of the way in which the upstream monitoring program was developed by the agricultural interests, a significant conflict of interest situation has developed in conducting the proposed upstream studies. The currently proposed CVRWQCB Basin Plan Amendment calls for dischargers such as upstream agricultural interests including irrigation district managers to conduct studies on the impact and control of oxygen demanding substances in the SJR watershed. Under the conditions that exist where those responsible for developing these studies have deliberately avoided the development of data that could show that a particular discharger is significantly contributing to the low-DO problem, There is need to appoint an independent science/engineering review panel who would be responsible for formulating the approach for conducting upstream studies, review of the study results as there being developed and review of the adequacy of the reports that a developed by those conducting studies. The members of this panel should not be agricultural interests or others who have a vested interest in how the DO TMDL is implemented.

The draft Basin Plan Amendment on page 3 states,

Actions Addressing Sources of Oxygen Demanding Substances and their Precursors

To address loads of oxygen demanding substances and their precursors, this proposed Basin Plan Amendment will require completion of the scientific studies needed to obtain the information for more detailed allocations and eventual implementation of alternate measures by those responsible for the various sources. This will be achieved by the CVRWQCB taking the following actions:

- *Require submission of a study plan from entities responsible for the various sources of oxygen demand by 31 July 2005. Studies must identify: i) sources of oxygen demanding substances, ii) their transformation between sources and the DWSC, and iii) their conversion to oxygen demand in the DWSC by December 2008*

Rather than allocating responsibility for controlling the low-DO problem based on a one third one third one third approach in which each of those responsible for a third are to develop study programs and report the results to the Regional Board in 2008, the Basin Plan Amendment should define the issues that need to be properly addressed and indicate that the CVRWQCB working with an advisory panel will be developing specific guidance on the studies it need to be done. In addition the overall framework for administration of the studies should be clearly delineated. The current approach as presented in the proposed Basin Plan Amendment is far too nebulous and could readily lead to little being accomplished compared to that needed to develop a final TMDL to control the low-DO problem in the DWSC.

Need to Address DO WQOs for the DWSC

One of the most significant deficiencies in the proposed Basin Plan Amendment is the failure to initiate work to develop more appropriate DO water quality objectives for the SJR DWSC. These issues are discussed in the “issues” and “synthesis” report's and in comments submitted by Lee (2004b). They are also outlined in Appendix A. The current Basin Plan requirement limiting the number of WQOs violation's to only one of any magnitude and any location every three years will place severe unnecessary constraints on oxygen demand control. Other states

with the approval of US EPA, adopt diel (night to day) averaging of the daily DO. This can be extremely important in the DWSC since at times, early morning to late afternoon changes in DO in the near surface water can be as much as 8 mg/L. Also there is need to consider that the waters within the bottom meter of the DWSC can be 1 to 2 mg/L lower in DO than the waters that mid depth. Eliminating the WQO DO violations that occur near the bottom and in the early morning will require a much more comprehensive water quality monitoring program than has been proposed by CBDA consultants. Eliminating these violations compared to averaging over the day for with depth will not be significantly detrimental to the aquatic life resources of the DWSC.

One of the most significant areas that need attention is the validity of the 6 mg/L DO WQO as being necessary to allow migration of Chinook salmon through the DWSC. As discussed in the synthesis report is considerable information that would lead to the conclusion that that an average with depth and during the day 5 mg/L would allow unimpeded Chinook salmon migration through the DWSC. As part of developing the basin plan amendment, a component of this plan should be specific delineating a study plan to develop appropriate DO WQOs for the upper DWSC. Failure to begin to address this issue at this time could result in the expenditure of large amounts of public and private funds for aeration, SJR flow modification, and oxygen demand source control beyond that needed to adequately protect the designated beneficial uses of the DWSC.

Impact of SJR Head of Old River Flow Diversions on Low DO Problem

Beginning on page 33 of the staff report is a discussion of the impact of SJR Head of Old River flow diversions associated with the export pumping of South Delta water by the state and federal projects on the low-DO problem. While references made to a discussion of this issue in the synthesis report, there is considerable additional information on this issue in supplements to the synthesis report and in special reports that have been completed in 2003 and 2004 by Lee and Jones-Lee. The additional information clearly documents that the state and federal export projects drawing SJR Vernalis water into the South Delta is a major cause of low DO problems in the DWSC. The references that should be added to the Basin Plan Amendment discussing this issue include Lee 2003a,c, Lee (2004a), Lee and Jones-Lee (2003a,b, 2004c).

Loading Capacity

Page 37 of the staff report presents two oxygen demand loading diagrams which relate the allowable loading of oxygen demand to the DWSC as a function of SJR DWSC flow and temperature. As discussed in the past comments, these diagrams are in error at the upper flows since at these flows much of the oxygen demand added to the DWSC is exported via Turner Cut and Columbia Cut. As the flows increase through the DWSC, higher oxygen demand loads can be added to the DWSC without causing DO WQO violations. This is the reason why at SJR DWSC flows above about 1,500 cfs violations of the DO WQO do not occur in the DWSC.

Coordinated TMDL Efforts

Lee and Jones-Lee (2004f) have developed a comprehensive review of the current water quality problems in the Delta as evidence by existing TMDLs. As they discuss several of these TMDLs are impacted by the state and federal South Delta export projects. These issues need to be addressed by the SWRCB as part of its D 1641 water rights review. Included within this review

should be consideration of the requirements imposed by the U.S. Congress (2004) passage of HR 2828 Water Supply Reliability and Environmental Improvement Act. Included within this review should be consideration of the requirements imposed by the U.S. Congress (2004) passage of HR 2828 Water Supply Reliability and Environmental Improvement Act. HR 2828, states,

“D) PROGRAM TO MEET STANDARDS-

(i) IN GENERAL- Prior to increasing export limits from the Delta for the purposes of conveying water to south-of-Delta Central Valley Project contractors or increasing deliveries through an intertie, the Secretary shall, not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, in consultation with the Governor, develop and initiate implementation of a program to meet all existing water quality standards and objectives for which the Central Valley Project has responsibility.”

Lee and Jones-Lee (2004) have discussed how the export projects are impacting WQO violations in the Delta. There is need to begin to address these issues as part of any further water diversions/flow manipulation in the Delta and its tributaries. Appendix A presents a summary of the issues that need to be addressed in a coordinated effort by the CVRWQCB and the SWRCB on the slides, “Current Investigative Effort not Adequate to Meet Needs.”

References

Dahlgren, R., and Van Nieuwenhuysse, E., “Nutrient Monitoring in Support of the Dissolved Oxygen TMDL in the San Joaquin River, California,” Presentation at the Society for Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry World Congress Portland, OR, November (2004). Abstract at <http://abstracts.co.allenpress.com/pweb/setac2004/document/?ID=42310>

Jassby, A.D., and Van Nieuwenhuysse, E., “The Role of Upstream Phytoplankton in Hypoxia of the Lower San Joaquin River: A Retrospective Analysis,” Poster presentation at 3rd Biennial CALFED Bay-Delta Program Conference Sacramento, CA October (2004).

Lee, G. F., "Comments on Total Maximum Daily Load for Low Dissolved Oxygen in the San Joaquin River Developed by the CVRWQCB Staff, June 2003," Comments Submitted to the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board by G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA (2003a). <http://www.gfredlee.com/CommentsTMDL7-9-03.pdf>

Lee, G. F., “Suggested Approach for Defining Non-Aeration Alternatives for Managing the Low-DO Problem in the SJR DWSC,” Report of G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA (2003b). <http://www.gfredlee.com/AlternativeApproaches.pdf>.

Lee, G. F., “Impact of San Joaquin River Deep Water Ship Channel Watershed and South Delta Flow Manipulations on the Low-DO Problem in the Deep Water Ship Channel,” Submitted to the US Bureau of Reclamation OCAP Biological Assessment, Sacramento, CA, Report of G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA, July 10 (2003c). <http://www.members.aol.com/duklee2307/FlowImpact.pdf>

Lee, G. F., "Review of 'CALFED Directed Action Proposal: Monitoring and Investigations of the San Joaquin River and Tributaries Related to Dissolved Oxygen,' Proposal Number 262DA," Comments submitted to the DeltaKeeper, Report of G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA, October 28 (2003d). <http://www.members.aol.com/duklee2307/deltakeeper-ups-mon.pdf>

Lee, G. F., "SJR DWSC DO for 2004," Report of G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA, July (2004a).
<http://www.members.aol.com/duklee2307/SJR-DWSC-DO-Flow-Jan-July-2004.pdf>

Lee, G. F., "Comments on Scoping Meeting and Public Workshop on the Development of a Basin Plan Amendment to Establish a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for Low Dissolved Oxygen in the San Joaquin River Deep Water Ship Channel," Comments Submitted to the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, by G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA, January (2004b).

Lee, G. F. and Jones-Lee, A., "Issues in Developing the San Joaquin River Deep Water Ship Channel DO TMDL," Report to Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, Sacramento, CA, August (2000). <http://www.gfredlee.com/sjrpt081600.pdf>

Lee, G. F. and Jones-Lee, A., "Developing Nutrient Criteria/TMDLs to Manage Excessive Fertilization of Waterbodies," Proceedings Water Environment Federation TMDL 2002 Conference, Phoenix, AZ, November (2002). <http://www.gfredlee.com/WEFN.Criteria.pdf>

Lee, G. F. and Jones-Lee, A., "Synthesis and Discussion of Findings on the Causes and Factors Influencing Low DO in the San Joaquin River Deep Water Ship Channel Near Stockton, CA: Including 2002 Data," Report Submitted to SJR DO TMDL Steering Committee and CALFED Bay-Delta Program, G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA, March (2003a).
<http://www.gfredlee.com/SynthesisRpt3-21-03.pdf>

Lee, G. F. and Jones-Lee, A., "SJR DWSC Flow and RRI DO Data for 2003," Report of G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA (2003b).
<http://www.members.aol.com/apple27298/DWSC-Flow-DO-2003.pdf>

Lee, G. F. and Jones-Lee, A., "Supplement to Synthesis Report on the Low-DO Problem in the SJR DWSC," Report of G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA, June (2004a).
<http://www.members.aol.com/duklee2307/SynthRptSupp.pdf>

Lee, G. F. and Jones-Lee, A., "Recommended Approach for Controlling the Low-DO Problem in the SJR DWSC," Report of G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA, May (2004b).
<http://www.members.aol.com/apple27298/SJR-Rec-Approach.pdf>

Lee, G. F. and Jones-Lee, A., "Comments on the CBDA Delta Improvements Package," Comments submitted to California Bay-Delta Authority by G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA, June (2004c). <http://www.members.aol.com/apple27298/DIPcomments.pdf>

Lee, G. F. and Jones-Lee, A., “Updated Recommended Approach for Controlling the Low-DO Problem in the SJR DWSC,” Report of G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA, June (2004d). <http://www.members.aol.com/apple27298/SJR-Rec-Approachupdate.pdf>.

Lee, G. F. and Jones-Lee, A., “San Joaquin River Deep Water Ship Channel Low DO Problem and its Control,” Presentation at the Society for Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry World Congress Portland OR, November (2004e).

Lee, G. F. and Jones-Lee, A., “Overview of Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta Water Quality Issues,” Report of G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA, June (2004f). <http://www.members.aol.com/apple27298/Delta-WQ-IssuesRpt.pdf>

Lee, G. F.; Jones-Lee, A. and Burr, K., “Results of the August 5, 2003, Tour of the South Delta Channels,” Report of G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA (2004a). <http://www.members.aol.com/duklee2307/South-Delta-Tour.pdf>.

Lee, G. F.; Jones-Lee, A. and Burr, K., “Summary of Results from the July 17, 2003, and September 17, 2003, Tours of the Central Delta Channels,” Report of G. Fred Lee & Associates, El Macero, CA (2004b). <http://www.members.aol.com/duklee2307/Central-Delta-Tours.pdf>

US Congress, H.R.2828, “Water Supply, Reliability, and Environmental Improvement Act,” (2004) available at www.thomas.loc.gov

Van Nieuwenhuysse, E., “Phosphorus Load Reduction Goals for the San Joaquin River near Vernalis.” Presented at 3rd Biennial CALFED Bay-Delta Program Conference Sacramento, CA October (2004).

Appendix A

This appendix is available as,

Lee, G. F. and Jones-Lee, A., “San Joaquin River Deep Water Ship Channel Low DO Problem and Its Control,” PowerPoint slides presented at SETAC World Congress Portland, OR, November 2004. Updated December (2004). [4565 kb]
<http://www.members.aol.com/annejlee/LowDOSummaryDec2004.pdf>.