San Diego County Water Authority
4677 Overland Avenue • San Diego, California 92123-1233
(858) 522-6600 FAX (858) 522-6568 www.sdcwa.org

To: commentletters@waterboards.ca.gov

Subject: “Comment Letter – Urban Water Conservation Workshop”

Jeanine Townsend, Clerk of the Board
State Water Resources Control Board
1001 I Street, 24th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Ms. Townsend:

Thank you for the opportunity to submit these comments on potential modifications to the Emergency Regulation for Statewide Urban Water Conservation (Emergency Regulation). We will also be providing oral comments at the December 7, 2015 Public Workshop.

Since the devastating multi-year drought that ended in the early 1990s, the San Diego County Water Authority and its member agencies have taken actions to more effectively manage supply shortages and reduce reliance on California’s drought impacted supplies, such as the Bay-Delta. The residents and businesses in San Diego County have reduced per capita water use by 40 percent since 1991 and have invested billions of dollars in developing a portfolio of drought-resilient supplies specifically designed to make our region less vulnerable to droughts and supply cutbacks. In 1991, the region was 95 percent reliant on imported supplies from the Metropolitan Water District. In 2015 that figure is now 57 percent and expected to decline to approximately 18 percent in 2035. The region also adopted a Water Shortage and Drought Response Plan in 2006, to provide a thoughtful, progressive and coordinated approach to managing droughts. These actions and experiences form the basis for our comments.

The current Emergency Regulation was adopted in response to unprecedented hydrologic conditions in 2015 and it’s widely recognized that the State Water Board needed to take swift and decisive action at the time. Based on Governor Brown’s November 2015 Executive Order the State Water Board now has the opportunity to modify the Emergency Regulation, if extended, to incorporate insights gained from implementation of the regulation.

Based on our experience and that of our retail member agencies, there are two fundamental reasons why the Emergency Regulation should be modified:

A public agency providing a safe and reliable water supply to the San Diego region
1. The Emergency Regulation is currently a “one size fits all” approach, which for a state as diverse and varied as California is not equitable to the citizens of the state. It fails to recognize the supply conditions, characteristics, priorities and water management efforts of local communities. A more effective approach is to adopt regulatory policy that provides for a coordinated and integrated approach, acknowledging the unique characteristics and water supply reliability investments of local communities.

2. The Emergency Regulation is a “water conservation only” response to managing droughts that does not take into account development of drought-resilient supplies. Governor Brown’s Water Action Plan calls for agencies to increase regional self-reliance through conservation and investment in sustainable supplies. While extraordinary conservation is critical to managing droughts, this one-sided approach is detrimental to California for the following reasons:
   a) It does not provide a sustainable, balanced and diversified approach to managing California’s water shortages;
   b) Local communities are not able to realize the benefits of their investments in drought-resilient supplies;
   c) It does not provide an incentive for communities to develop local drought-resilient supplies; and
   d) It harms California’s economy. Businesses are unlikely to relocate or expand their businesses when they are faced with prolonged water use reduction mandates that ignore the availability of drought-resilient supplies.

A more effective approach is to adopt regulatory policy that acknowledges and promotes the development of drought-resilient supplies.

Below are detailed responses to questions that were provided in the Workshop Notice.

What elements of the existing Emergency Regulation, if any, should be modified in an extended Emergency Regulation?

1. **Modify the Emergency Regulation to Take Into Account Development of Drought-Resilient Supplies**

   To provide a more sustainable, equitable and balanced approach to managing California’s drought the Emergency Regulation must be modified to take into account development of drought-resilient supplies. We are proposing the Emergency Regulation be modified to include an alternative path to compliance, which can be taken by agencies that have invested in drought-resilient supplies.

   The proposed alternative path to compliance method is a simple, straightforward approach where an urban water supplier may be allowed to achieve its reduction target through a combination of conservation and drought-resilient supplies. To ensure a balanced approach to managing the current drought, an agency’s required conservation savings cannot drop
below a certain threshold, which is currently proposed at 8% based on the current Emergency Regulation.

The proposed alternative path to compliance, when incorporated into the Emergency Regulation, would not alter an established statewide reduction mandate or increase other urban water supplier’s conservation standard. Under this modification, an urban water supplier’s conservation standard is not decreased; they will meet their reduction target through a combination of conservation and drought-resilient supplies.

To utilize the alternative path to compliance, an urban agency must provide written proof that the existing and current long-term, drought-resilient supply meets the following criteria:

- Written agreements, contracts, or other guarantees are in place that identify the long-term availability of the supply to the urban water supplier; and,
- It is a drought-resilient supply, such as potable reuse, desalination, long-term transfer of conserved water or other supply source not impacted by California’s current drought.

A wholesaler has the ability to assign its drought sustainable supplies to the urban water suppliers that are served by that wholesaler. Table 1 illustrates how an agency could utilize this alternative path to compliance to achieve its reduction target.

| Table 1: Illustrative Example - Alternative Path to Compliance Achieving Conservation Standard through Combination of Water Conservation and Sustainable Supplies Figures in Acre-Feet |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| A                                             | Example Agency A | Example Agency B | Example Agency C |
| 2013 Base Period (Urban Potable Water Use)    | 3,000           | 3,000           | 3,000           |
| B                                             | 20%             | 20%             | 20%             |
| C=A*B                                         | 600             | 600             | 600             |

Reduction target may be met through conservation and sustainable supplies

| D                                             | Sustainable supplies available | 200 | 0 | 500 |
| E=C-D                                         | Conservation savings           | 400 | 600 | 100 |
| F=E/A                                         | Does conservation saving drop below 8%? | 13% (No) | 20% (No) | 3% (Yes) |

Determine sustainable supplies and conservation applied to reduction target, assuming 8% conservation floor

| G = E or A*.B | Conservation savings required with 8% floor | 400 | 600 | 240 |
The current Emergency Regulation correctly recognizes the benefit of developing non-potable recycled water as a means to manage droughts. This proposed modification is necessary to allow residents and businesses to receive the reliability benefit associated with investments in potable drought-resilient water supplies. It will also provide an incentive for communities to develop sustainable supplies and reduce demands on California’s drought impacted supplies, such as the Bay-Delta.

2. **Maintain the Commercial Agricultural Exemption in Extended Emergency Regulation**

Governor Brown’s April 2015 Executive Order clearly indicated that agricultural within the state should not be further impacted due to adoption of regulations. The current Emergency Regulation adopted by the State Water Board clearly reflected the Governor’s direction and any potential extension of the regulation should maintain the exemption for commercial agricultural. Consistent with the current Emergency Regulation, urban suppliers within the San Diego County Water Authority imposed locally appropriate reductions on their commercial agricultural customers which have resulted in a reduction of at least 7,151 AF, or 29% compared to the same period in 2013. Further, in keeping with the April 2015 Executive Order and the Emergency Regulation, nineteen of the Water Authority’s member agencies with commercial agriculture are in the process of developing a region-wide Agricultural Water Management Plan to be approved and delivered to the State Water Board by February, 2016.

3. **Modify the Emergency Regulation to Recognize Local Community Character Differences**

The Emergency Regulation should be modified from a “one size fits all” approach to acknowledge the character differences of urban water suppliers throughout the state and provide a more equitable approach to managing the drought. Characteristics such as available supplies, climate and growth should be taken into account. The specifics related to incorporating climate and growth differences into the Emergency Regulation are being addressed through separate proposals to the State Water Board. Use or application of these adjustments should be at the discretion of the water supplier and no agencies should have their conservation standard adjusted upwards as a result of these modifications.

How should the State Water Board account for precipitation after January 2016 in its implementation of any extension of the Emergency Regulation?

- **State Water Board must demonstrate a Nexus between Reduction Mandates and Supply Conditions.** If the Emergency Regulation is extended, the State Water Board must use a thoughtful and transparent process to determine the statewide reduction mandates to ensure that the citizens of California understand the need for the reduction. There needs to
be a clear nexus between the reduction mandates and current supply conditions both statewide and locally.

- **State Water Board must consider Local Supply Conditions when Setting Potential Reduction Mandates.** With the uncertainties surrounding how the El Niño condition will impact precipitation levels this winter in different parts of the state, it will be important for the State Water Board to consider the supply situation of each urban water supplier and whether they are experiencing shortages and have adequate storage reserves. The potential reduction mandate assigned by the State Water Board to the urban water supplier must reflect these local supply conditions.

- **State Water Board should Monitor Supply Conditions Monthly.** If drought conditions persist through January 2016, the State Water Board should extend the existing regulation, with the modifications discussed in this letter, and monitor supply conditions monthly through April 2016. To determine what metrics to utilize in evaluating supply conditions, State Water Board should consult with the Department of Water Resources and other relevant agencies. The metrics could be those included in DWR’s Bulletin 120, which contains forecasts of seasonal runoff from the state’s major watersheds, and summaries of precipitation, snowpack, and reservoir storage in various regions of the state. In consultation with DWR, the State Water Board would evaluate the conditions monthly and ensure a nexus between supply availability and mandated savings. If the nexus no longer exists, the mandated savings reduction figure must be modified.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on the potential extension of the Emergency Regulation and look forward to working with the State Water Board to develop a more equitable, sustainable and balanced approach to managing California’s unprecedented drought.

Sincerely,

Maureen A. Stapleton
General Manager