

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
Livingston City of	5.2%	14,898	32%	26.8%	122,008,454	1
Hanford City of	11.7%	57,050	28%	16.3%	157,775,504	1
Blythe City of	15.9%	13,839	32%	16.1%	32,715,532	1
Phelan Pinon Hills Community Services District	16.0%	25,160	32%	16.0%	30,422,992	1
Indian Wells Valley Water District	21.0%	31,120	36%	15.0%	70,544,846	2
California City City of	22.3%	14,120	36%	13.7%	36,220,475	2
Humboldt Bay Municipal Water District	-8.2%	602	4%	12.2%	4,521,600	2
California Water Service Company Dominguez	4.1%	142,229	16%	11.9%	222,893,255	2
Redlands City of	24.2%	77,852	36%	11.8%	185,094,713	2
Sanger City of	16.6%	25,664	28%	11.4%	40,969,440	2
Humboldt Community Service District	12.7%	20,032	24%	11.3%	15,424,378	2
Yucaipa Valley Water District	25.0%	44,171	36%	11.0%	74,656,608	2
Riverbank City of	21.2%	23,298	32%	10.8%	29,379,018	2
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 29	25.7%	22,208	36%	10.3%	39,453,627	2
South Feather Water and Power Agency	25.7%	18,000	36%	10.3%	39,454,700	2
Yreka, City of	21.7%	7,840	32%	10.3%	17,800,454	2
Beverly Hills City of	21.8%	42,157	32%	10.2%	59,136,067	2
Norco City of	25.8%	25,891	36%	10.2%	42,039,312	2
Lemoore City of	22.0%	25,281	32%	10.0%	45,842,914	2
Adelanto City of	10.0%	28,723	20%	10.0%	26,605,132	2
Twentynine Palms Water District	18.1%	18,795	28%	9.9%	16,131,146	2
Casitas Municipal Water District	22.1%	9,603	32%	9.9%	73,762,378	2
Red Bluff City of	26.3%	14,076	36%	9.7%	22,609,953	2
Hemet City of	22.8%	29,982	32%	9.2%	21,068,361	2
California Water Service Company Kern River Valley	18.8%	5,595	28%	9.2%	4,602,169	2
El Segundo City of	11.1%	16,654	20%	8.9%	32,016,347	2
Wasco City of	27.2%	26,159	36%	8.8%	21,926,846	2
Winton Water & Sanitary District	27.3%	8,500	36%	8.7%	9,130,561	2
Beaumont-Cherry Valley Water District	27.4%	43,111	36%	8.6%	61,014,606	2
Mission Springs Water District	19.4%	37,600	28%	8.6%	38,367,822	2

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
West Valley Water District	23.4%	73,602	32%	8.6%	92,848,821	2
Rainbow Municipal Water District	27.6%	19,495	36%	8.4%	26,477,764	2
Western Municipal Water District of Riverside	24.4%	96,139	32%	7.6%	102,830,355	2
Fallbrook Public Utility District	28.4%	34,894	36%	7.6%	26,735,475	2
Pinedale County Water District	28.5%	16,735	36%	7.5%	11,581,784	2
Patterson City of	20.7%	20,922	28%	7.3%	18,397,275	2
Susanville City of	28.7%	8,978	36%	7.3%	13,470,460	2
Loma Linda City of	24.8%	23,614	32%	7.2%	21,409,610	2
Indio City of	25.0%	88,411	32%	7.0%	81,237,234	2
Anderson, City of	29.0%	11,147	36%	7.0%	11,677,228	2
Arcadia City of	29.3%	57,639	36%	6.7%	54,552,266	2
Eastern Municipal Water District	21.3%	540,895	28%	6.7%	337,270,762	2
Rancho California Water District	29.7%	168,962	36%	6.3%	132,323,569	2
Oildale Mutual Water Company	29.7%	33,163	36%	6.3%	35,753,102	2
West Kern Water District	22.1%	21,181	28%	5.9%	57,638,069	2
San Dieguito Water District	22.2%	37,168	28%	5.8%	18,418,724	2
Rubidoux Community Service District	22.2%	29,900	28%	5.8%	16,847,414	2
Hawthorne City of	10.5%	44,566	16%	5.5%	12,379,683	2
Ceres City of	22.7%	47,639	28%	5.3%	24,313,493	2
Rialto City of	22.8%	54,036	28%	5.2%	28,644,643	2
California Water Service Company Visalia	26.8%	138,644	32%	5.2%	96,423,002	2
Shafter City of	30.8%	19,100	36%	5.2%	16,108,929	2
Tulare, City of	26.9%	62,363	32%	5.1%	51,993,986	2
Upland City of	31.0%	75,670	36%	5.0%	52,529,230	3
Corona City of	23.1%	168,070	28%	4.9%	89,264,868	3
Cerritos City of	23.4%	49,041	28%	4.6%	18,831,849	3
Vaughn Water Company	31.4%	30,768	36%	4.6%	33,265,638	3
Redding City of	31.6%	91,207	36%	4.4%	72,997,655	3
Ripon City of	31.6%	14,915	36%	4.4%	11,768,827	3
Coachella Valley Water District	31.6%	318,217	36%	4.4%	249,274,844	3

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
Rubio Canyon Land and Water Association	31.8%	9,600	36%	4.2%	4,292,352	3
Turlock City of	27.9%	71,181	32%	4.1%	48,961,943	3
Hesperia Water District City of	28.1%	91,627	32%	3.9%	32,939,876	3
Bakman Water Company	32.2%	13,960	36%	3.8%	8,669,118	3
Coachella City of	20.3%	43,917	24%	3.7%	15,063,929	3
Newport Beach City of	24.4%	66,219	28%	3.6%	29,270,088	3
Valley Water Company	32.4%	9,900	36%	3.6%	6,422,113	3
California Water Service Company Palos Verdes	32.5%	69,884	36%	3.5%	32,783,515	3
Camrosa Water District	28.5%	35,783	32%	3.5%	13,482,997	3
Brea City of	20.7%	43,328	24%	3.3%	18,958,740	3
Arcata City of	0.8%	18,464	4%	3.2%	3,745,822	3
Covina City of	24.8%	33,300	28%	3.2%	9,261,024	3
California-American Water Ventura District	29.0%	62,144	32%	3.0%	25,070,586	3
Hi-Desert Water District	13.0%	23,760	16%	3.0%	5,561,057	3
Modesto, City of	33.2%	220,916	36%	2.8%	92,534,862	3
East Niles Community Service District	33.2%	31,772	36%	2.8%	15,213,957	3
Watsonville City of	17.5%	65,739	20%	2.5%	11,559,024	3
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District	25.5%	149,273	28%	2.5%	33,868,916	3
Orange City of	25.5%	139,463	28%	2.5%	35,736,026	3
Kerman, City of	29.6%	14,339	32%	2.4%	5,006,160	3
Pasadena City of	25.6%	166,482	28%	2.4%	38,766,583	3
Monrovia City of	25.7%	37,406	28%	2.3%	9,028,155	3
Exeter City of	33.7%	10,572	36%	2.3%	3,029,824	3
Bakersfield City of	33.8%	142,980	36%	2.2%	50,666,849	3
Rowland Water District	17.8%	62,106	20%	2.2%	13,086,795	3
Norwalk City of	17.8%	18,361	20%	2.2%	2,473,033	3
Imperial, City of	21.9%	18,022	24%	2.1%	3,310,735	3
San Juan Capistrano City of	25.9%	39,047	28%	2.1%	9,172,110	3
Fullerton City of	26.0%	138,000	28%	2.0%	28,151,604	3
Cucamonga Valley Water District	30.1%	201,571	32%	1.9%	49,393,223	3

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
Monterey Park City of	18.1%	62,183	20%	1.9%	8,371,456	3
La Habra City of Public Works	26.2%	63,118	28%	1.8%	8,483,573	3
Riverside Highland Water Company	34.4%	14,781	36%	1.6%	2,966,825	3
Corcoran, City of	34.5%	24,154	36%	1.5%	5,270,513	3
Laguna Beach County Water District	22.5%	19,121	24%	1.5%	2,492,554	3
California Water Service Company Westlake	34.6%	19,463	36%	1.4%	5,331,390	3
Pico Water District	22.6%	25,284	24%	1.4%	2,285,288	3
Rio Vista, city of	34.6%	8,324	36%	1.4%	1,805,578	3
Chino Hills City of	26.6%	77,596	28%	1.4%	10,466,514	3
Clovis City of	34.7%	108,227	36%	1.3%	19,646,533	3
Riverside City of	26.7%	299,307	28%	1.3%	47,757,062	3
San Fernando City of	22.7%	23,645	24%	1.3%	2,105,969	3
Myoma Dunes Mutual Water Company	34.8%	8,948	36%	1.2%	2,568,206	3
Ventura County Waterworks District No 1	30.8%	38,703	32%	1.2%	7,069,775	3
Olivehurst Public Utility District	34.8%	19,509	36%	1.2%	2,348,952	3
Carlsbad Municipal Water District	26.8%	84,838	28%	1.2%	9,735,525	3
Fortuna City of	22.9%	12,032	24%	1.1%	904,082	3
Lee Lake Water District	30.9%	15,543	32%	1.1%	1,761,558	3
Lodi City of Public Works Department	30.9%	63,651	32%	1.1%	9,872,995	3
Ontario City of	22.9%	168,777	24%	1.1%	20,108,777	3
Desert Water Agency	35.0%	120,472	36%	1.0%	16,501,922	3
Crescent City City of	15.0%	18,290	16%	1.0%	1,206,126	3
Los Banos, City of	27.0%	37,168	28%	1.0%	4,629,347	0
Soledad, City of	23.1%	16,729	24%	0.9%	1,116,660	0
Lynwood City of	15.1%	65,965	16%	0.9%	2,719,055	0
Alco Water Service	23.2%	29,179	24%	0.8%	1,950,515	0
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District	35.2%	75,384	36%	0.8%	9,059,129	0
El Centro City of	23.4%	44,311	24%	0.6%	2,709,411	0
Suburban Water Systems Whittier/La Mirada	27.5%	115,000	28%	0.5%	5,953,375	0
Golden State Water Company Ojai	35.6%	7,188	36%	0.4%	412,122	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
Joshua Basin Water District	27.6%	9,514	28%	0.4%	320,993	0
Suisun-Solano Water Authority	27.7%	28,549	28%	0.3%	671,054	0
Palmdale Water District	31.7%	116,183	32%	0.3%	3,281,059	0
Bellflower-Somerset Mutual Water Company	19.8%	46,300	20%	0.2%	654,212	0
Nevada Irrigation District	35.8%	44,761	36%	0.2%	1,316,580	0
Whittier City of	19.9%	49,954	20%	0.1%	415,533	0
Yuba City City of	32.0%	68,379	32%	0.0%	139,839	0
San Bernardino County Service Area 64	32.3%	14,031	32%	-0.3%	N/A	0
Victorville Water District	28.3%	125,874	28%	-0.3%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Hermosa/Redondo	20.4%	95,792	20%	-0.4%	N/A	0
Chino City of	24.4%	78,073	24%	-0.4%	N/A	0
Madera County	36.7%	14,326	36%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Artesia	16.7%	47,978	16%	-0.7%	N/A	0
Walnut Valley Water District	28.8%	113,236	28%	-0.8%	N/A	0
San Gabriel Valley Fontana Water Company	28.9%	209,035	28%	-0.9%	N/A	0
Glendora City of	37.0%	51,463	36%	-1.0%	N/A	0
San Bernardino City of	29.0%	198,163	28%	-1.0%	N/A	0
North Tahoe Public Utility District	29.0%	7,500	28%	-1.0%	N/A	0
Delano City of	25.1%	54,318	24%	-1.1%	N/A	0
Irvine Ranch Water District	17.2%	387,501	16%	-1.2%	N/A	0
Vernon City of	9.2%	112	8%	-1.2%	N/A	0
La Verne City of	33.3%	32,228	32%	-1.3%	N/A	0
Rincon Del Diablo Municipal Water District	33.4%	29,955	32%	-1.4%	N/A	0
El Monte City of	9.5%	22,968	8%	-1.5%	N/A	0
Folsom City of	33.5%	63,376	32%	-1.5%	N/A	0
El Toro Water District	25.7%	48,628	24%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Tustin City of	29.7%	67,700	28%	-1.7%	N/A	0
Colton, City of	21.8%	47,612	20%	-1.8%	N/A	0
Reedley City of	25.8%	24,194	24%	-1.8%	N/A	0
Kingsburg, City of	37.8%	11,685	36%	-1.8%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
Manhattan Beach City of	21.9%	35,996	20%	-1.9%	N/A	0
Banning City of	33.9%	30,325	32%	-1.9%	N/A	0
Manteca City of	34.3%	75,137	32%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Lamont Public Utility District	30.3%	20,009	28%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Santa Paula City of	30.3%	30,009	28%	-2.3%	N/A	0
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	18.4%	4,008,954	16%	-2.4%	N/A	0
Carmichael Water District	38.5%	38,354	36%	-2.5%	N/A	0
East Orange County Water District	38.5%	3,247	36%	-2.5%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Los Angeles District	30.5%	102,889	28%	-2.5%	N/A	0
Calexico City of	22.5%	41,033	20%	-2.5%	N/A	0
Fillmore City of	30.6%	15,222	28%	-2.6%	N/A	0
Lomita City of	22.6%	20,463	20%	-2.6%	N/A	0
Fresno City of	30.7%	520,159	28%	-2.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Cowan Heights	38.8%	7,254	36%	-2.8%	N/A	0
South Pasadena City of	30.8%	25,899	28%	-2.8%	N/A	0
Jurupa Community Service District	30.9%	118,731	28%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Rio Linda - Elverta Community Water District	38.9%	11,013	36%	-2.9%	N/A	0
Triunfo Sanitation District / Oak Park Water Service	35.1%	12,200	32%	-3.1%	N/A	0
Castaic Lake Water Agency Santa Clarita Water Division	35.1%	121,688	32%	-3.1%	N/A	0
Long Beach City of	19.1%	473,864	16%	-3.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S San Gabriel	15.2%	27,656	12%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Perris, City of	27.2%	9,000	24%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Cordova	39.2%	43,767	36%	-3.2%	N/A	0
San Bernardino County Service Area 70	31.2%	10,174	28%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Alhambra City of	27.2%	85,068	24%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Suburban Water Systems San Jose Hills	27.2%	178,500	24%	-3.2%	N/A	0
Ventura County Waterworks District No. 8	31.3%	90,687	28%	-3.3%	N/A	0
Santa Monica City of	23.4%	93,283	20%	-3.4%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Southwest	15.4%	271,677	12%	-3.4%	N/A	0
Placer County Water Agency	35.4%	95,717	32%	-3.4%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
Newhall County Water District	31.4%	45,036	28%	-3.4%	N/A	0
Burbank City of	27.5%	106,084	24%	-3.5%	N/A	0
Montebello Land and Water Company	19.6%	32,219	16%	-3.6%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Culver City	19.6%	36,215	16%	-3.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Bear Gulch	39.7%	59,999	36%	-3.7%	N/A	0
San Clemente City of	27.7%	50,960	24%	-3.7%	N/A	0
Santa Maria City of	19.7%	102,087	16%	-3.7%	N/A	0
South Gate City of	15.8%	96,057	12%	-3.8%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Bakersfield	35.9%	278,703	32%	-3.9%	N/A	0
San Jacinto City of	36.0%	15,582	32%	-4.0%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Oroville	32.2%	10,707	28%	-4.2%	N/A	0
Olivenhain Municipal Water District	36.2%	84,351	32%	-4.2%	N/A	0
Fair Oaks Water District	40.3%	36,226	36%	-4.3%	N/A	0
Rosamond Community Service District	32.3%	17,700	28%	-4.3%	N/A	0
Moulton Niguel Water District	24.4%	172,068	20%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Marin Municipal Water District	24.4%	188,200	20%	-4.4%	N/A	0
Westminster City of	24.6%	93,322	20%	-4.6%	N/A	0
Camarillo City of	24.7%	47,091	20%	-4.7%	N/A	0
Yorba Linda Water District	40.7%	72,500	36%	-4.7%	N/A	0
La Palma City of	24.9%	15,896	20%	-4.9%	N/A	0
Quartz Hill Water District	41.0%	20,352	36%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Lincoln Avenue Water Company	33.0%	16,126	28%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Thousand Oaks City of	33.0%	51,609	28%	-5.0%	N/A	0
San Gabriel County Water District	25.0%	45,000	20%	-5.0%	N/A	0
Apple Valley Ranchos Water Company	33.1%	62,602	28%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Healdsburg City of	29.1%	11,687	24%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Palo Alto City of	29.1%	64,403	24%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Mesa Water District	25.1%	108,000	20%	-5.1%	N/A	0
Azusa City of	25.2%	110,000	20%	-5.2%	N/A	0
El Dorado Irrigation District	33.2%	118,500	28%	-5.2%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
Sunny Slope Water Company	29.3%	30,611	24%	-5.3%	N/A	0
Tracy City of	33.3%	85,146	28%	-5.3%	N/A	0
Vacaville City of	37.3%	86,893	32%	-5.3%	N/A	0
Galt City of	37.3%	24,289	32%	-5.3%	N/A	0
Sacramento Suburban Water District	37.4%	174,721	32%	-5.4%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Florence Graham	13.6%	65,492	8%	-5.6%	N/A	0
Downey City of	25.6%	111,931	20%	-5.6%	N/A	0
Trabuco Canyon Water District	33.7%	13,175	28%	-5.7%	N/A	0
Paso Robles City of	33.8%	30,450	28%	-5.8%	N/A	0
Ramona Municipal Water District	33.8%	33,600	28%	-5.8%	N/A	0
Glendale City of	25.9%	193,300	20%	-5.9%	N/A	0
Morro Bay City of	17.9%	10,234	12%	-5.9%	N/A	0
Orange Vale Water Company	42.0%	14,283	36%	-6.0%	N/A	0
City of Newman Water Department	30.0%	10,668	24%	-6.0%	N/A	0
Huntington Beach City of	26.1%	196,041	20%	-6.1%	N/A	0
Garden Grove City of	26.1%	177,020	20%	-6.1%	N/A	0
South Tahoe Public Utilities District	26.2%	33,124	20%	-6.2%	N/A	0
West Sacramento City of	34.2%	50,836	28%	-6.2%	N/A	0
Torrance City of	26.3%	105,191	20%	-6.3%	N/A	0
Inglewood City of	18.3%	86,418	12%	-6.3%	N/A	0
Buena Park City of	26.4%	82,767	20%	-6.4%	N/A	0
Brawley City of	38.4%	27,743	32%	-6.4%	N/A	0
Pico Rivera City of	22.5%	39,002	16%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Santa Fe Irrigation District	42.5%	19,839	36%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Coalinga City of	38.5%	16,529	32%	-6.5%	N/A	0
Fountain Valley City of	26.6%	59,227	20%	-6.6%	N/A	0
Porterville City of	38.6%	61,946	32%	-6.6%	N/A	0
Valley Center Municipal Water District	42.6%	25,455	36%	-6.6%	N/A	0
Lincoln City of	38.6%	45,837	32%	-6.6%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company S Arcadia	30.7%	26,827	24%	-6.7%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
Sacramento City of	34.7%	480,155	28%	-6.7%	N/A	0
Madera City of	34.7%	63,105	28%	-6.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company San Dimas	34.8%	54,872	28%	-6.8%	N/A	0
Nipomo Community Services District	34.9%	12,512	28%	-6.9%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Barstow	30.9%	31,209	24%	-6.9%	N/A	0
San Juan Water District	43.0%	32,760	36%	-7.0%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Placentia	31.0%	46,543	24%	-7.0%	N/A	0
Pomona City of	27.2%	152,419	20%	-7.2%	N/A	0
Merced City of	43.2%	83,400	36%	-7.2%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Los Altos/Suburban	39.5%	68,147	32%	-7.5%	N/A	0
Esteros Municipal Improvement District	19.5%	37,165	12%	-7.5%	N/A	0
City of Big Bear Lake, Dept of Water & Power	23.5%	25,616	16%	-7.5%	N/A	0
Otay Water District	27.6%	217,600	20%	-7.6%	N/A	0
Huntington Park City of	15.6%	64,219	8%	-7.6%	N/A	0
Linda County Water District	39.6%	18,808	32%	-7.6%	N/A	0
American Canyon, City of	27.7%	20,080	20%	-7.7%	N/A	0
Poway City of	39.7%	48,774	32%	-7.7%	N/A	0
Santa Margarita Water District	31.8%	155,003	24%	-7.8%	N/A	0
Daly City City of	15.8%	105,810	8%	-7.8%	N/A	0
Fairfield City of	27.9%	107,540	20%	-7.9%	N/A	0
Georgetown Divide Public Utilities District	39.9%	9,863	32%	-7.9%	N/A	0
South Coast Water District	32.0%	34,992	24%	-8.0%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Orcutt	40.0%	31,872	32%	-8.0%	N/A	0
Diablo Water District	36.1%	38,194	28%	-8.1%	N/A	0
Atascadero Mutual Water Company	36.1%	31,349	28%	-8.1%	N/A	0
Davis City of	32.1%	68,315	24%	-8.1%	N/A	0
Monte Vista Water District	32.1%	56,039	24%	-8.1%	N/A	0
Arvin Community Services District	36.1%	20,499	28%	-8.1%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Dixon, City of	36.2%	9,823	28%	-8.2%	N/A	0
East Valley Water District	36.2%	101,733	28%	-8.2%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
California Water Service Company Antelope Valley	44.3%	3,385	36%	-8.3%	N/A	0
Pittsburg City of	28.3%	66,183	20%	-8.3%	N/A	0
Santa Ana City of	20.4%	332,005	12%	-8.4%	N/A	0
Discovery Bay Community Services District	40.4%	14,792	32%	-8.4%	N/A	0
Helix Water District	28.4%	270,375	20%	-8.4%	N/A	0
Anaheim City of	28.5%	360,142	20%	-8.5%	N/A	0
Lakewood City of	28.5%	59,081	20%	-8.5%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Marysville	32.5%	12,172	24%	-8.5%	N/A	0
Santa Clara City of	24.5%	120,973	16%	-8.5%	N/A	0
Oceanside City of	28.6%	171,183	20%	-8.6%	N/A	0
Oxnard City of	20.6%	196,720	12%	-8.6%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Stockton	28.6%	170,719	20%	-8.6%	N/A	0
Compton City of	16.7%	81,963	8%	-8.7%	N/A	0
Valley County Water District	24.7%	71,003	16%	-8.7%	N/A	0
Tehachapi, City of	36.7%	8,839	28%	-8.7%	N/A	0
Antioch City of	36.8%	108,298	28%	-8.8%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company East Los Angeles	16.9%	158,200	8%	-8.9%	N/A	0
Arroyo Grande City of	37.0%	17,121	28%	-9.0%	N/A	0
Los Angeles County Public Works Waterworks District 40	41.1%	207,148	32%	-9.1%	N/A	0
Truckee-Donner Public Utilities District	37.1%	32,776	28%	-9.1%	N/A	0
Orchard Dale Water District	21.3%	25,605	12%	-9.3%	N/A	0
Napa City of	29.3%	86,051	20%	-9.3%	N/A	0
Serrano Water District	45.4%	6,641	36%	-9.4%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Selma	41.4%	24,644	32%	-9.4%	N/A	0
Eureka City of	13.5%	27,052	4%	-9.5%	N/A	0
Hollister City of	29.6%	20,482	20%	-9.6%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bell-Bell Gardens	17.7%	57,934	8%	-9.7%	N/A	0
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission	17.7%	846,601	8%	-9.7%	N/A	0
Sacramento County Water Agency	41.7%	173,263	32%	-9.7%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company West Orange	25.7%	111,904	16%	-9.7%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
California Water Service Company Willows	37.8%	7,130	28%	-9.8%	N/A	0
Shasta Lake City of	37.8%	10,020	28%	-9.8%	N/A	0
Amador Water Agency	33.8%	23,347	24%	-9.8%	N/A	0
Citrus Heights Water District	42.0%	67,333	32%	-10.0%	N/A	0
Vista Irrigation District	30.3%	124,746	20%	-10.3%	N/A	0
Gilroy City of	34.3%	53,183	24%	-10.3%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Monterey District	18.4%	100,623	8%	-10.4%	N/A	0
Vallecitos Water District	34.4%	95,248	24%	-10.4%	N/A	0
Ukiah City of	30.4%	16,075	20%	-10.4%	N/A	0
Stockton City of	38.6%	177,808	28%	-10.6%	N/A	0
Paradise Irrigation District	46.6%	26,032	36%	-10.6%	N/A	0
Vallejo City of	26.6%	124,000	16%	-10.6%	N/A	0
San Diego City of	26.7%	1,314,290	16%	-10.7%	N/A	0
Morgan Hill City of	38.7%	41,779	28%	-10.7%	N/A	0
Crescenta Valley Water District	30.8%	31,966	20%	-10.8%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Norwalk	22.9%	44,466	12%	-10.9%	N/A	0
Sunnyslope County Water District	38.9%	19,189	28%	-10.9%	N/A	0
Santa Fe Springs City of	27.0%	18,199	16%	-11.0%	N/A	0
Roseville City of	39.2%	122,946	28%	-11.2%	N/A	0
San Luis Obispo City of	23.3%	45,802	12%	-11.3%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Chico District	43.3%	102,245	32%	-11.3%	N/A	0
Windsor, Town of	27.3%	27,486	16%	-11.3%	N/A	0
North Marin Water District	35.3%	61,299	24%	-11.3%	N/A	0
Valley of the Moon Water District	31.3%	23,478	20%	-11.3%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Mid Peninsula	27.4%	133,857	16%	-11.4%	N/A	0
Greenfield, City of	27.5%	17,898	16%	-11.5%	N/A	0
Rohnert Park City of	27.5%	43,398	16%	-11.5%	N/A	0
Oakdale City of	43.7%	21,985	32%	-11.7%	N/A	0
Mid-Peninsula Water District	31.7%	26,730	20%	-11.7%	N/A	0
Brentwood City of	43.8%	56,493	32%	-11.8%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
Paramount City of	23.9%	55,051	12%	-11.9%	N/A	0
Contra Costa Water District	40.0%	199,680	28%	-12.0%	N/A	0
Sonoma City of	40.2%	11,579	28%	-12.2%	N/A	0
Petaluma City of	28.2%	61,258	16%	-12.2%	N/A	0
Elk Grove Water Service	40.5%	44,278	28%	-12.5%	N/A	0
Park Water Company	20.6%	125,784	8%	-12.6%	N/A	0
Groveland Community Services District	36.7%	3,400	24%	-12.7%	N/A	0
Valencia Water Company	36.8%	118,224	24%	-12.8%	N/A	0
Sweetwater Springs Water District	28.9%	7,735	16%	-12.9%	N/A	0
Big Bear City Community Services District	28.9%	12,411	16%	-12.9%	N/A	0
Lake Hemet Municipal Water District	40.9%	52,914	28%	-12.9%	N/A	0
Port Hueneme City of	20.9%	21,555	8%	-12.9%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Salinas District	29.1%	121,263	16%	-13.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Simi Valley	37.1%	43,351	24%	-13.1%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Claremont	45.3%	37,160	32%	-13.3%	N/A	0
Coastside County Water District	21.3%	16,668	8%	-13.3%	N/A	0
Scotts Valley Water District	29.3%	10,509	16%	-13.3%	N/A	0
Lompoc City of	25.4%	41,541	12%	-13.4%	N/A	0
Lakeside Water District	33.9%	35,500	20%	-13.9%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company King City	26.0%	14,814	12%	-14.0%	N/A	0
Millbrae City of	30.0%	21,532	16%	-14.0%	N/A	0
Santa Rosa City of	30.1%	170,974	16%	-14.1%	N/A	0
McKinleyville Community Service District	18.2%	16,900	4%	-14.2%	N/A	0
Padre Dam Municipal Water District	34.3%	90,101	20%	-14.3%	N/A	0
Lathrop, City of	34.3%	19,831	20%	-14.3%	N/A	0
San Jose City of	34.3%	117,576	20%	-14.3%	N/A	0
Sweetwater Authority	26.4%	191,500	12%	-14.4%	N/A	0
Seal Beach City of	22.4%	25,561	8%	-14.4%	N/A	0
East Bay Municipal Utilities District	31.0%	1,390,000	16%	-15.0%	N/A	0
Carpinteria Valley Water District	35.0%	14,616	20%	-15.0%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
Mountain View City of	31.5%	76,210	16%	-15.5%	N/A	0
Escondido City of	35.9%	134,053	20%	-15.9%	N/A	0
Great Oaks Water Company Incorporated	36.3%	99,199	20%	-16.3%	N/A	0
Benicia City of	36.5%	28,086	20%	-16.5%	N/A	0
San Jose Water Company	36.9%	990,000	20%	-16.9%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company South San Francisco	25.0%	61,174	8%	-17.0%	N/A	0
Tuolumne Utilities District	41.5%	28,997	24%	-17.5%	N/A	0
Woodland City of	41.5%	57,223	24%	-17.5%	N/A	0
Crestline Village Water District	25.7%	7,454	8%	-17.7%	N/A	0
Martinez City of	37.9%	35,375	20%	-17.9%	N/A	0
Tahoe City Public Utilities District	37.9%	14,770	20%	-17.9%	N/A	0
Fruitridge Vista Water Company	38.9%	21,441	20%	-18.9%	N/A	0
Bella Vista Water District	55.1%	17,619	36%	-19.1%	N/A	0
Lake Arrowhead Community Services District	35.1%	21,827	16%	-19.1%	N/A	0
Redwood City City of	27.4%	87,696	8%	-19.4%	N/A	0
East Palo Alto, City of	27.5%	29,143	8%	-19.5%	N/A	0
Golden State Water Company Bay Point	31.5%	22,258	12%	-19.5%	N/A	0
Burlingame City of	36.0%	30,282	16%	-20.0%	N/A	0
San Bruno City of	28.1%	43,798	8%	-20.1%	N/A	0
Sunnyvale City of	36.2%	148,028	16%	-20.2%	N/A	0
Alameda County Water District	36.3%	346,000	16%	-20.3%	N/A	0
Goleta Water District	32.3%	86,946	12%	-20.3%	N/A	0
Del Oro Water Company	44.5%	9,615	24%	-20.5%	N/A	0
Westborough Water District	29.2%	14,050	8%	-21.2%	N/A	0
San Gabriel Valley Water Company	37.5%	271,817	16%	-21.5%	N/A	0
Marina Coast Water District	33.6%	32,375	12%	-21.6%	N/A	0
Hayward City of	29.7%	152,889	8%	-21.7%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company Sacramento District	41.7%	201,418	20%	-21.7%	N/A	0
Livermore City of Division of Water Resources	41.8%	27,033	20%	-21.8%	N/A	0
California-American Water Company San Diego District	30.0%	95,358	8%	-22.0%	N/A	0

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance (by compliance priority, then missed target by %)

402 suppliers reporting for July; non-filers listed on page 14

Supplier Name	Cumulative Savings (Jun-15 - Jul-15)	Population Served	Conservation Standard	Missed Conservation Standard by %	Missed Conservation Standard by Volume	Compliance Priority
California Water Service Company Redwood Valley	38.9%	3,636	16%	-22.9%	N/A	0
North Coast County Water District	31.0%	39,000	8%	-23.0%	N/A	0
Pleasanton City of	47.2%	73,067	24%	-23.2%	N/A	0
Calaveras County Water District	39.2%	48,630	16%	-23.2%	N/A	0
Santa Cruz City of	31.5%	95,224	8%	-23.5%	N/A	0
California Water Service Company Livermore	47.5%	58,609	24%	-23.5%	N/A	0
Mammoth Community Water District	43.5%	16,739	20%	-23.5%	N/A	0
San Buenaventura City of	39.9%	113,478	16%	-23.9%	N/A	0
Soquel Creek Water District	33.7%	39,057	8%	-25.7%	N/A	0
Santa Barbara City of	38.5%	93,091	12%	-26.5%	N/A	0
Menlo Park City of	46.5%	16,066	16%	-30.5%	N/A	0
Dublin San Ramon Services District	43.6%	79,107	12%	-31.6%	N/A	0

July 2015 Non-filers

The following suppliers did not submit July 2015 data by 20 August 2015:

- Atwater, City of
- Cambria Community Services District
- Dinuba, City of
- Grover Beach, City of
- Hillsborough, Town of
- Milpitas, City of
- Montecito Water District
- Pismo Beach, City of
- San Lorenzo Valley Water District
- Sierra Madre, City of

See last page for compliance priority category definitions

Data pulled 20 August 2015

July 2015 Water Supplier Conservation Compliance Summary Table

402 suppliers reporting for July; July 2015 non-filers on page 14

Compliance Priority	# of Suppliers
1 Greater than 15% from meeting standard	4
2 More than 5% and less than 15% from meeting standard	49
3 Between 1% and 5% of meeting standard	59
0 Met or within 1% of standard	290