A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS  Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate whether this regulation:
   - a. Impacts business and/or employees
   - b. Impacts small businesses
   - c. Impacts jobs or occupations
   - d. Impacts California competitiveness
   - e. Imposes reporting requirements
   - f. Imposes prescriptive instead of performance
   - g. Impacts individuals
   - h. None of the above (Explain below):

   Modifies existing reporting requirements

   If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, complete this Economic Impact Statement.
   If box in Item 1.h. is checked, complete the Fiscal Impact Statement as appropriate.

2. The State Water Resources Control Board estimates that the economic impact of this regulation (which includes the fiscal impact) is:
   - ☒ Below $10 million
   - ☐ Between $10 and $25 million
   - ☐ Between $25 and $50 million
   - ☐ Over $50 million [If the economic impact is over $50 million, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c)]

3. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: N/A

   Describe the types of businesses (Include nonprofits):

   Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses:

4. Enter the number of businesses that will be created: eliminated: N/A

   Explain:

5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:
   - ☐ Statewide
   - ☒ Local or regional (List areas): N/A

6. Enter the number of jobs created: and eliminated: N/A

   Describe the types of jobs or occupations impacted:

7. Will the regulation affect the ability of California businesses to compete with other states by making it more costly to produce goods or services here? ☐ YES ☒ NO

   If YES, explain briefly:
The proposed regulations are expected to benefit the state’s environment and the health and welfare of California residents as the State will be able to more effectively and efficiently administer the water rights system of the State.

The proposed regulation will make the administration of water resources more orderly and efficient. See Attachment A.

The benefits are based in part on the State Board’s broad statutory authority pursuant to Water Code section 1058.

The State Water Board does not believe that there are any reasonable alternatives to proposed regulations. In particular, the State Water Board does not believe that there are other alternatives that are less burdensome and equally effective.
2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Benefit</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1</td>
<td>Benefit</td>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td>Benefit</td>
<td>Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: N/A

4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? ☐ YES ☒ NO

   Explain: The proposed regulations neither impose performance standards, nor mandate the use of specific technologies or equipment.

---

E. MAJOR REGULATIONS Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.

California Environmental Protection Agency (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and departments are required to submit the following (per Health and Safety Code section 57005). Otherwise, skip to E4.

1. Will the estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed $10 million? ☐ YES ☒ NO

   If YES, complete E2. and E3
   If NO, skip to E4

2. Briefly describe each alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed:

   Alternative 1: N/A
   Alternative 2: N/A

3. For the regulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulation</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>Cost-effectiveness ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 1</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>Cost-effectiveness ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative 2</td>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>Cost-effectiveness ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Will the regulation subject to OAL review have an estimated economic impact to business enterprises and individuals located in or doing business in California exceeding $50 million in any 12-month period between the date the major regulation is estimated to be filed with the Secretary of State through 12 months after the major regulation is estimated to be fully implemented? ☐ YES ☒ NO

   If YES, agencies are required to submit a Standardized Regulatory Impact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in Government Code Section 11346.3(c) and to include the SRIA in the Initial Statement of Reasons.

5. Briefly describe the following:

   The increase or decrease of investment in the State: N/A

   The incentive for innovation in products, materials or processes: N/A

   The benefits of the regulations, including, but not limited to, benefits to the health, safety, and welfare of California residents, worker safety, and the state's environment and quality of life, among any other benefits identified by the agency: The proposed regulations will allow the State Water Board to more effectively and efficiently administer the water rights system of the State.
A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT  
Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)  
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

$ N/A

☐ a. Funding provided in  
Budget Act of ______________ or Chapter __________, Statutes of ____________

☐ b. Funding will be requested in the Governor's Budget Act of  
Fiscal Year: ______________

☐ 2. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year which are NOT reimbursable by the State. (Approximate)  
(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code).

$ N/A

Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbursable and provide the appropriate information:

☐ a. Implements the Federal mandate contained in  

☐ b. Implements the court mandate set forth by the ______________ Court.  
Case of: ______________ vs. ______________

☐ c. Implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No. ______________

Date of Election: ______________

☐ d. Issued only in response to a specific request from affected local entity(s).

Local entity(s) affected: ______________

☐ e. Will be fully financed from the fees, revenue, etc. from:  

Authorized by Section: ______________ of the ______________ Code;

☐ f. Provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each;

☐ g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in  

☐ 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)

$ N/A

☐ 4. No additional costs or savings. This regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

☐ 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any local entity or program.

☐ 6. Other. Explain  
______________________________

______________________________
B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT  
Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ N/A

It is anticipated that State agencies will:

☐ a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.

☐ b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the _______ Fiscal Year

☒ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ 76,200 - See Attachment A

☐ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.

☐ 4. Other. Explain N/A

C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS  
Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.

☐ 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ N/A

☐ 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)

$ N/A

☒ 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.

☐ 4. Other. Explain N/A

FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE

The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

AGENCY SECRETARY

Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399.