STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD BOARD MEETING/HEARING (Portion)

MAY 20, 2015

Coastal Hearing Room - Second Floor

1001 I Street

Sacramento, California 95814

scribed by: Thresha Spencer, CSR No. 11788



STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD BOARD MEETING/HEARING (Portion)

MAY 20, 2015

Coastal Hearing Room - Second Floor

1001 I Street

Sacramento, California 95814

Transcribed by: Thresha Spencer, CSR No. 11788

1	
2	STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD
3	BOARD MEMBERS
4	
5	Chair Felicia Marcus
6	Vice-Chair Frances Spivy-Weber
7	Board Member Tam M. Doduc
8	Board Member Steven Moore
9	Board Member Dorene D'Adamo
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

KATHRYN DAVIS & ASSOCIATES 916.567.4211

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: This workshop is being held in accordance with the public notice dated May 1st, 2015, and revised on May 18th.

This is an informal workshop. The State Water Board will not take any formal action and there will be no sworn testimony or cross-examination of participants.

The Board Members and its staff may, however, ask clarifying questions of speakers. After receiving comments at this workshop, The State Water Board may provide direction to staff regarding future activities.

We will be focusing on drought-related water right curtailment in the Delta watershed. We'll start with an introduction from the State Water Board staff, and that will be followed by public comments -- really, conversation amongst us and with you.

And we'll -- the number of comment cards at the moment, people will have five minutes when we get to that, but anticipate that we'll probably ask you a lot of questions as well.

And, with that, I'm going to turn right to staff.

KATHERINE MROWKA: Hi. I'm Kathy Mrowka. I'm with the Division of Water Rights. I'm the program manager for

So I wanted to talk to you today about the drought-related curtailments. Curtailments can be issued for three reasons: They can be issued because a water right has a term in it that requires parties to cease using water under specific conditions.

We have Term 91 in the San Francisco/San Joaquin Bay Delta. It can be issued due to overall watershed conditions, or it can be issued because of specific fishery needs.

Thus far this year we've seen a number of curtailments issued. We've seen three fishery needs curtailments, Scott River, Deer Creek, and Antelope Creek. We've seen two of the watershed wide, Sacramento River and Delta and San Joaquin River, and those were for the post-1914 water rights. And we've seen one curtailment for our permanent condition, Term 91.

So the interesting thing is how many people has this affected? I think that's a more difficult statistic because we are counting 8,942 water rights curtailed thus far.

When you look at how this breaks out and you say, "Oh, is it a lot of fishery curtailments, is it the general watershed conditions?"

And, frankly, the fishery curtailments are only

KATHERINE MROWKA: And it's particularly bad on the

25

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

KATHERINE MROWKA: Yes. And we've done a lot of outreach to -- through farm bureaus and others to try and teach people how to do our forms.

What we looked at this year was streamlining and whether we could, you know, relieve some of the burden on the water-using community.

Last year there were two different kinds of curtailment forms you had to fill out. One to tell us if you had stopped using water and one to tell us if you -there was an apllicable health and safety claim.

So this year everything is on one form, we tried to help out on that. We tried to make it a simpler form to navigate, you know, we tried to do what we could with respect to that so that would be a little less burden on the water-using community.

Earlier this year we had issued an informational order to the top 90 percent of water diverters in the Delta and 90 percent of the remaining San Francisco -- I mean, Sacramento and San Joaquin basins, and we have used the data, the 2014 data on their water diversion and use in our modeling, so we had already incorporated it in curtailment model.

What I haven't reported to you previously is what do we see and how does it compare the 2015 initial reporting versus 2014 data. So now we've got two months of 2015 data

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that's come to us. And what we're showing is that, you know, we had asked these people to project what they thought they used in 2015; now we're getting the actual numbers.

March was 14 percent less -- the actual was 14 percent less for 2015. April, the actual use was 23 percent less than the projected.

So we're seeing that people are using less than they thought they were going to need to use for 2015. Way less than the face value. And the 2014 data we had seen that where parties reported to us and said that they had used a lot less.

But our last year's experience, you know, we sat -we went out and did 950 field inspections on curtailment last year, and our number one finding from those in field inspections was that people were complying with the curtailment because their streams were dry. I mean, that was the most frequent thing, go out, look, they were complying, but it's because conditions are so bad.

> CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: (Inaudible.)

So, you know, we found a KATHERINE MROWKA: Yes. high compliance rate, but it's for the worst darn reason.

So this year we're getting our field crews out in the field, we started May 1st, and we're using the same criteria that we used last year to prioritize who we look at. Failure to respond to the curtailment certification

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

form, size of diversion, is it currently their diversion's season, where are they located geographically, and flow conditions.

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: That's just because you're being -- I remember this from last year -- being efficient when you send the team out, you're looking in one geography.

KATHERINE MROWKA: Yeah. We are looking at that too. Last year we experienced a tripling of the water right complaints. And so, you know, we have some areas that we like to focus this year. We wanted to try and see, you know, what's going on in specific areas.

More than that, we want efficient investigations, we want them -- to cluster them so that their day's effort is meaningful. You know, if there's certain things we do, core efficiencies within the program.

And I really thank the Department of Water They are loaning us eight staff persons to Resources. assist with this effort, so very, very appreciative of that. And other divisions here at the State Water Board are also loaning us staff, and so we're very appreciative of that assistance.

Future curtailments. The San Joaquin River watershed, pre-1914 rights. We are expecting to issue curtailment this Friday.

San Joaquin River watershed, the riparian rights by

Now, there are special circumstances that apply to the curtailments. For hydropower projects, if the diversion is for hydroelectric generation by direct diversion only and all water diverted is returned to the same stream system, continued diversion is allowed because, basically, you're putting back what you take out; you're not affecting someone.

For all diverters, water collected to storage prior to curtailment may still be beneficially used.

And then we have another category because there's only a health and safety exception for fishery-related curtailments. There is one there, but there's not one for general watershed-based curtailments.

What we are doing, though, is on the compliance certificate, we're asking parties, "Are you needing to comply with directives issued by the Division of Drinking Water or local health or drinking water regulations to provide water to meet human health and safety needs?" And these we evaluate on a case-by-case basis. Because although there are no exceptions for this, there are certainly enforcement discretion, and we want to know about those cases so we know when we need to use our enforcement

1.4

KATHERINE MROWKA: It's very minimal use. That's correct.

So that concludes my presentation on this topic. I will be doing another presentation on another topic.

Did you have questions for us? I think there will be a number of questions from other speakers.

So now I wanted to talk briefly about the hydropower program. Okay. We have a role in the hydropower, because we act under Section 401 of the federal Clean Water Act to determine conditions in FERC licenses, and licensees have requested temporary variances to the conditions in their water quality certifications for affected FERC projects.

Most variance requests are to reduce minimum in-stream flows, increasing water in storage benefits summer peek power generation, and helps satisfy water supply and maintain in-stream flow releases later in the year.

As background under Section 401 of the Clean Water Act, water quality certifications outline conditions to protect water quality and beneficial uses. The State Water Board has received and acted upon several requests for

variances. And just to point out two of them, Pacific Gas		
Electric Company and Tri-Dam Projects on the Middle Fork		
Stanislaus River asked us to allow them to forego		
supplemental flows and recreational flows to maintain		
storage for use later in the summer for power demands and		
continued in-stream flow releases.		

Another example is El Dorado Irrigation District's project on the South Fork American River. The State Water Board approved reduced minimum stream flow requirements so that EID could preserve storage and satisfy water supply demand.

We are anticipating that there will be additional requests for variances, and the variances are normally approved by the Executive Director and, in some cases, by the Deputy Director for Water Rights. Some variances are occurring without the State Water Board's approval as there is no certification in place for the project. So if we don't have a certification, we don't have a nexus for any action on our part.

BOARD MEMBER STEVEN MOORE: I guess on that point, a variance from what, then, if there's no condition in a --

KATHERINE MROWKA: They'll still have to go to FERC and ask for variance in their operating conditions.

BOARD MEMBER STEVEN MOORE: From FERC?

KATHERINE MROWKA: But we wouldn't have anything but

&

BOARD MEMBER STEVEN MOORE: Okay. Thank you.

MATHERINE MROWKA: Okay. And then I did want to mention that the Governor has a hydropower working group. This is multi-agency coordination. Since early 2014, staff from the California Energy Commission, California Public Utilities Commission, Department of Water Resources, State Water Board, and the California Independent System Operator have been coordinating weekly to monitor and assess drought impacts on hydroelectric and other sources of power generation.

This working group extends beyond hydropower as other sources of generation, such as gas-fired power plants also rely on stable water supplies to generate power. The working group is continuously assessing California's electric grid capabilities under the drought and proactively following up on potential areas of concern.

So, in 2015, it's always fun, you know, to take a look, see what we're estimating. We're estimating grid reliability reminding good overall. The 2015 projection for hydropower generation is 49 percent of the annual average. But, you know, some of the loss in hydropower generation is being made up for by additional capacity from new generation, transmission upgrades, increased reserve margins to meet peak summer conditions, and moderate load growth.

KATHERINE MROWKA: I'm done.

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: Okay. So I'm going to ask you to step in and help me or (inaudible). This is an opportunity -- this is a little different than the TUCP workshop that so many of you sat through. I appreciate that you did. That is an issue on which because there are petitions, we can't talk to people.

On the curtailment issue, we have talked to many of you, and we appreciate your engagement in, I think, a rather different way than last year. And I just wanted, at the outset, to thank people for some of the creative and engaged things that they tried to do as well as the information that people have been sharing, so I just wanted to say that.

And then the goal of this is just to open it up?

Okay. I don't know why I was expecting more, but I was. No critique, I just was.

All right. With that, our first speaker is Dante John Nomellini. Yeah, but we don't have that many cards so I'm not going to be that tough. He can't have 20, but I'm not going to -- yeah. Wait a minute. I'm going to get -- what I'm going to do is the person after you gets to decide how many minutes you get. What do you think about Herrick deciding how many minutes you get?

DANTE JOHN NOMELLINI: I don't agree, but we'll work that out outside.

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: He's waking up. I was going to order a cup of coffee for John earlier today. He was just yawning all morning. I was going to try and share with you? What?

JOHN HERRICK: (Inaudible.)

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: You were. You were just yawning to get more oxygen so that you could -- you could really pay attention.

Sorry. Mr. Nomellini, please.

DANTE JOHN NOMELLINI: Yes. Dante John Nomellini, Manager and Co-Counsel for Central Delta Water Agency. We understood our instruction to combine our comments on both topics, so I will deal with the curtailment as well as the temporary urgency change.

Of course, our concern is very high with regard to curtailment of water diversions in the Delta. We believe the water supply in the Delta not only includes flows from the east but includes flows from the west, and we have ample water continue to pump in order to farm and, therefore, water quality is the concern.

Now, I recognize we probably have some legal disputes on that. Just to let you know, we plan to engage on that subject. Hopefully, we can get it aired out

somewhere along the road so we don't have to fight over it constantly. But we're at the time where under the threat of curtailment, if we -- you haven't done it yet, is really affecting our people and their ability to continue to function.

We're very disappointed that we've transitioned, even though our agencies haven't been at the forefront of negotiations over water banking or fallowing in the Delta for compensation, that fell apart, and now we're dealing with an avoidance or our landowner's avoidance of prosecution and penalties in exchange for foregoing their water use.

I view that as a switch from an economic voluntary banking program to one of reacting to extortion under threat of curtailment and penalty.

Of course, I have no enthusiasm for that as a lawyer. I think it's wrong and, therefore, we're going to engage, you know, on whether or not your process is appropriate for that.

I recognize the curtailment of the Delta notice has not gone out yet for pre-1914s in the Delta or riparians, and I understand from just the brief presentation that decision hasn't been made yet. I suspect it's forthcoming.

There are a couple of things I'm going to kind of repeat to you what I've said to you before that, after 2013,

I believe in 2013 the reservoir storage was depleted
wrongfully, and the fishery agencies, in my opinion, were a
party to it. They said, you know, let the critical year
criteria dominate for Delta agriculture, even though it was
a dry year rather than critical under D6 -- D41. They
didn't say anything about exports. And exports -- and I
submitted letters to you. Exports, I didn't sue on it. I
was leaving the sword in the closet.

But exports were taking the same water that could have been stored for cold water protection for fish. And that, to me, was wrong. We hear about water and storage being allowed after the notice of curtailment or as of the date of curtailment as if it's a sacred cow. Just because it's stored in the reservoir doesn't mean it's stored in the water. The projects have been capturing behind the reservoir water that would be otherwise natural flow to the Delta. Particularly important would be, like, in April or March because it would flush the Delta and, of course, our concern is water quality, you know, whatever solidity control is, that you've kind of dictated that.

But that water is actually natural flow, in our view, that would have come down fresh in the source, the water for our farmers. And we viewed the law to provide for solidity control and a balancing of that by letting people store that water in the early spring months in exchange for

So if you let them take that away and you curtail it later, we view that as a serious wrongdoing. And we understand the law and, of course, we don't have agreement with all your lawyers, but we think the Delta Protection Act says you can't export from the Delta unless the Delta is first provided an adequate supply.

Now, exports have been going on without a health and safety restriction. We haven't jumped in to stop that but, on the other hand, if they get to do that and then you curtail us, we think that's really wrong.

Your staff presented what I think is factually correct, that the adjustments from 2014 to 2015 mean greater exports. If you saw the pie charts, it's bigger.

And for the first series of months from January 1st up to now, the State Water Project has pumped from the Delta 268,779 acre feet more than in the same period last year. Now, where did that water go? That water is in San Luis. You know, there's other water in San Luis, but that water is there.

So if all of a sudden we let -- we start curtailing our Delta diversions, that water, the exporter shouldn't have been able to take that water. They're taking advantage of it unfairly, and I'm not going to attribute why it's

The net effect is they've taken water that's in storage, so that water in storage that came out of the Delta should be available to meet Delta water quality requirements. It could come back in the San Joaquin River. They've done it as a demonstration project, bringing it down through the Westley Wasteway, so...

I view those as examples of what we'd be concerned about in terms of an equitable adjustment. We're not going to just sit back and let a process cripple us while others take advantage, I think, unfairly of it.

Now, I've said to you before, I think what we ought to do, and I think you ought to avoid curtailments. Let us sort it out, you know, among ourselves, fight over it. It's not a place for you to go because it's very complicated from a water right's standpoint. It is very specific to location.

Now, I'm not saying that just with regard to the Delta. The Delta, I think, is the easiest case because we have water all the time. But, as you go up river, you're getting involved in very detailed, very site specific considerations that I think rightfully have to be addressed from a water right administration standpoint. So you're supposed to -- you think you're protecting senior water rights. What I see is the advantage is to the junior water

25

front of you because of the hypertechnical nature of these

questions dominated by staff. We know who staff are, we

I found two

know what their positions are from past events on these water right issues, so we're going to try and stay in court. That doesn't mean we won't be back here.

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: We would miss you.

DANTE JOHN NOMELLINI: I know you said you thought the Board ought to do it, but, I mean, quite frankly, we think our best shot is in the courthouse rather than before your Board because you're going to defer to staff. Not that you wouldn't go the other way, but these things are so complex, I know what you're going to do. I've only been around all these years. I lost my hair, the gray are gone —

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: You have so much faith in the judicial system, I guess.

DANTE JOHN NOMELLINI: -- and my estimate is that our best shot is in court.

BOARD MEMBER DORENE D'ADAMO: I have a question for you. So you've outlined here that you think we're going to go forward, which it appears that that's where we're headed, but you mentioned that you ought to be able to work it out yourselves. So how would you structure that?

DANTE JOHN NOMELLINI: Well, I think water right holders would litigate amongst themselves. I mean, you've seen the San Joaquin River entities join together, which we signed on too that said, "Look, you know, stay away from

this, wait until you get a formal complaint."

We hear John Ruben come in all the time, you know, "Beat those guys up and this, that, and the other thing."

He hasn't filed anything yet, but he may. And maybe we'll file something that he's interested in.

But the parties are well aware, the water right holders, I mean, the people in the Sacramento River that are doing these transfers, we're concerned about the impact of water -- groundwater substitution. We didn't bring that to you as a complaint. We mentioned it, but we are litigating the environmental document on the long-term transfers.

I'm just indicating to you that how those things are going to get sorted out, other than you people jumping into the fray on what we view as complicated, site specific, even document-specific challenges. You may want to join them all, which is okay.

But I just wanted to be frank with you, let you know I do appreciate everybody's interests and the effort that's gone in, a lot of effort even at staff, but, you know, this is getting real critical. Our guys, you know, this 25 percent thing, we, in the agencies, are not going to obstruct it because people have to take that risk and make that decision as to whether they're going to give up 25 percent in order to get a non-prosecution type of comfort, I don't know, how could that -- anyway. But we're

You know, we've tried to get some kind of contractual situation, because we'd rather have more security. We've been unsuccessful over the years, and there's no mechanism for us to force an arbitration or a good faith negotiation. The statute puts that leverage on no exploits, we think. That's where the leverage is. That doesn't mean we're satisfied, but they can't take water out of the Delta unless this thing is resolved. Anyway --

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: Thank you.

DANTE JOHN NOMELLINI: -- those are my comments. Thank you very much.

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: Well, we'll be talking more.

John Herrick? South Delta Water Agency followed

by -- sorry -- Deirdre Des Jardins from the California Water

Research.

tp://www.yeslaw.net/help

JOHN HERRICK: You said that like you don't know me.

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: Well, I'm trying to be a

little more formal. (Inaudible.)

JOHN HERRICK: Thank you, Madam Chair, Board Members. John Herrick for the South Delta Water Agency.

Again, we appreciate everybody's efforts. There's a lot going on, very difficult decisions coming. Hopefully, we can all get through this. My comments are similar to what I've said before, and that is I really would like to stress and encourage the Board to -- to take a different route for the long-term. The short-term is too late, but we need to have hearings on this stuff.

The urgency statutes -- or urgency requirements in Section -- what is it -- 1425, 35, 25, you know, they're clearly for an emergency event, and they really don't fit here. And I think just a cursory look at the criteria there shows that this is not the appropriate situation for them to be employed.

In order to get an urgency permit change, you have to show that it does not adversely affect any legal user of water. Well, if the changes are relaxation of water quality standards to protect legal users, then it's, by definition, an injury to them.

Now, people may disagree to the extent of that, and I guess you could couch it in, "Well, it must be an

insignificant one so we must not do it," but it's clearly -- it's clearly an injury to legal users.

Similarly, it says, "Shall not unreasonably affect fish and wildlife." Well, obviously, that's in a situation where something happens, you can do some previously-unauthorized action as long as you don't really affect the fisheries or the environment. Well, that's the opposite we're doing here. We're fundamentally altering the minimum flows for the fisheries.

And then the last criteria, which I've harped on for five years now, and apparently I can't read or something.

But the last one says, you know, you can get an urgency change if you've shown diligence in trying to get the change under the normal procedure rather than the emergency one because of the emergency one — because of all the criteria and the lack of public participation.

So I think any honest evaluation of the statute is that this is not the method by which we would have four years of Delta and upstream operations determined. And, you know, it's not my job to, you know, personally attack the Bureau and DWR. Sometimes it is, but -- you know, we rely on their presentations at these -- at these meetings, and, you know, everybody couches things to their best interests to some degree, and we just don't get to challenge what they're presenting. We don't even see it until the day of

the hearing.

But there's all sorts of information that none of us have, especially you, dealing with all these things, like cold water supply and previous storage and what's going on here. Those things really need to be aired out with idiot attorneys like me being able to cross-examine so we can actually see. You know, there are hundreds of questions raised by this morning's presentations, but we'll never get to those, literally.

So I do encourage the Board to undertake, you know, the decision, and I don't know who would fight you on this but there are probably be a lot of people, but you really need to say this right now, "Okay, starting October 1, we're going to have ten days of hearings for future drought operations." It may be too late. I mean, if it doesn't rain again for another year or two, it may be too late and there's nothing to do. But we can't operate on this urgency basis where it's presentations by the people who control the system, and it's really kind of take it or leave it.

You know, "Oh, this is all we can do, you know, and we don't get to see what the truth is," so I encourage you to do that. The good news, I think, will be covered by Ms. Spaletta when she gives you an update on her -- on the 25 percent program that she and others have spent a lot of time on.

including Delta outpost. And, for that reason, the Board

25

2.3

I don't delve into the COA accounting. The Bureau publishes monthly reports of the COA accounting and the Delta output complications, but the COA accounting only tracks releases of stored water. It aggregates all in-basin uses, and it only loosely tracks the source of water for Delta exports. It's never intended for conditions of curtailment of the CVP and the State Water Project's permits to divert unstored water.

And I really have questioned whether I should bring this up, but reservoir operations people work so hard, but curtailment literally construed requires full bypass blows from all the rundowns, which is not planned for Folsom or New Melones.

And if you're really going to curtail downstream water rights holders, the projects may be needing to provide substitute flow for these water rights holders while USBR has devoted a lot of attention to meeting their contracts, senior downstream water rights holders, which is those from Mr. Nomellini and Mr. Herrick may have a stronger claim to these substitute flows than curtailed USBR contractors who may have post-1914 appropriative rights.

The water balance reports that Mr. Howard recommended are a really good start, but the information needs to be pulled together in a more complete report, the

2.2

tracks stored, and then stored releases and depletions.

Diversions by curtailed contractors under the Bureau's permit or State Water Project contracts, they have to be reported. It should be stored water only, and it needs to be excessed to other in-basin needs. This affects the Sacramento and Feather River settlement contractors, the exchange contractors, and also the City of Sacramento's permits on the American River.

And I know you guys have done a lot of work, but the Bureau needs to look at this. Finally, the source of water for exports needs to be tracked.

The Water Board has said repeatedly exports are junior to meeting in-basin needs. During curtailment for each project, diversions can be no more than upstream releases less contributions to require outflow.

And I know this, according to the Bureau's smart operations forecast, the State Water Project is planning to export more than the Feather River releases from October through January. I don't know when your curtailment project is, but that's what's planned.

Transfers. The Board is doing way better. They've noticed this year that some of the proposed transfers are from settlement contractors who are curtailed. Those aren't approved. But I notice there's a long-term transfer, the Yuba River transfer -- there was a really big one last year.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I just noticed there's a big transfer that was just proposed, and I apologize because I missed seeing the notice. But it's saying that Arvin-Edison may transfer up to 150,000 acre feet of its CVP supplies to Metropolitan Water District this year. And I'm, like, what supply? Bureau hasn't allocated anything. Is that from next year?

You know, so I think, you know, you don't want Arvin-Edison transferring CVP water to Met until Arvin-Edison has an allocation. So it's those kinds of things, I think, need a little more scrutiny, and I'll send this in writing to the Board as well.

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: Thank you.

Are they going to get any water?

Mr. Arakawa followed by Terry Erlewine.

Roughly 200,000 or so, a little bit more, has gone to outflow, so roughly 60 percent of the stored water releases has gone to outflow. So if we look at the whole

pie, we've got ten percent that's going to exports,
60 percent that's going to outflow, and the remainder is --

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: Meaning, salinity control, right? Primarily, salinity control?

TERRY ERLEWINE: That's -- yeah. That could be -- yeah. Although we've been hearing a lot of people talk about one person's salinity control is another person's fishery protection, so...

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: For drinking water.

TERRY ERLEWINE: Yeah. Yep.

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: We were already talking about putting shading into the pie chart.

TERRY ERLEWINE: Yep. The point I was trying to make was just that there's 30 percent of that stored water release that's not accounted for, and that's naturally what our focus is on is that where is that going, and our concern is that there are people that are -- have legitimately superior water rights that are taking some of that water.

There are other parties that have not had their water rights defined that are also very well likely taking our water, and that's why we support the actions the State Board has been taking in terms of curtailments because we think that's really the way — that's the process that the Board needs to go through to make sure that people are taking the water that's legitimately their's and not taking

We appreciate the Board's responsiveness to the Bureau and the Department on asking for more information from water rights holders. We also think it's positive that we're getting good results, at least in some cases in responses to the water rights information.

We've been pretty interested in the process the Delta Water Master has initiated to modify and improve the water right or water use information.

That, so far, focused on consumptive use, which is part of the puzzle that some of the other pieces of the puzzle are direct measurements of surface diversions, direct measurements of discharges back to the river, and all -- all three of those ultimately are things that we believe are going to be necessary for the State Board to have in their hands to manage the water rights system. There's also a lot of that information is needed for the projects to be able to operate effectively.

So we support those activities, we support what the Delta Water Master is doing, we think that there's -- there's actions that need to be taken beyond that.

We've actually had some informal contacts with other parties, and I think, you know, we're -- we're optimistic that there may be an approach there that all of us could

2.3

I just briefly wanted to mention that many of our -some of our members are ag water -- ag contractors, ag
agencies, and they are implementing major water management
measures. They are responding to the shortages in water
supply. Kern County Water Agency has got some of the most
efficient water use in the state with a lot of drip and
micro irrigation.

We've got other water agencies, Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District where they've had 50 percent fallowing in the last two years, 100,000 acres for both years, as a result of the water supply shortages.

And, with that, I'd like to turn it over to Steve.

MR. ARAKAWA: Thank you. My name is Steve Arakawa, and I'm here representing also the state water contractors, but I'm also a staff person for the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California.

I wanted to first thank the Board and all of the time that you're putting in to deal with the situation that we're faced with. It clearly is a set of terrible choices, as you said earlier today, and I remember reading the testimony back in 1976/'77 drought about what they were

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

We -- we want to commend you for the early actions that you've taken with regard to curtailments and understanding how things are developing in the system so that you're able to make decisions in a timely way.

I think that given the unprecedented nature and the very severe drought that we're faced with in all parts of the state, and particularly those that count on the Delta, are required and faced with dealing with unprecedented type of things.

For example, urban areas in the state project service area have invested in efforts, programs, facilities for years to try to drought-proof their service area and the management of water in their area. But given the severe situation of the drought, we're all being called on to take severe -- to take significant actions.

Those actions include meeting the emergency regulations that the state has put forward. Also, in support of that, implementing supply allocation cutbacks with penalties.

So when you look at the urban areas within the contractor service area, both in the Bay Area, the Santa Clara County Water District, and the Zone 7 Agency, those agencies are implementing their mandatory programs, they're implementing rebate programs, and other types of messaging

In Southern California, allocation plans, in addition to ramping up turf removal programs to try to reduce the amount of water in the outdoor area as a long-term trend. We think that it's important as you go through this decision process to uphold the water rights priority system particularly in a drought situation.

And, even going forward, I think that's going to be really key that the State Board has a handle on how to implement these types of actions. And we encourage you to take the necessary steps in doing so to protect stored water by the projects. That stored water mainly being used to protect the Delta environment this year and making sure that any water that is released out of those reservoirs, if it's intended to meet the environmental need, that it's doing that work and not getting lost in the system.

We know that you're going to be interested in looking at all kinds of creative solutions, and I think that's appropriate. And I think in doing so, just making sure that the intended -- the intended outcome is actually occurring, that the water that's being saved is actually being put to the use that it's intended.

We want to thank you for holding this workshop, and thanks very much.

CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: Alan Lilly. I have a card,

1	but I don't see him. Alan Lilly.
2	Philip Martin oh, followed by Jennifer Spaletta.
3	PHILIP MARTIN: Good evening, Madam Chair, Board.
4	My comments, I believe, are going to be focused on
5	what Jennifer is going to talk about, I believe, the
6	25 percent reduction program or proposed program.
7	I'm a farmer in the Tracy area, and my concern
8	regarding this proposed program regards crop insurance, and
9	it's one of the few tools that farmers have to manage
10	drought risk.
11	My question regarding this program is whether or not
12	this voluntary program is compatible with crop insurance,
13	and I would ask you to try and work the program so that it
14	is compatible. By doing this, I believe the Board can
15	reduce the pain to some of us farmers and increase the
16	participation in this program. Thank you.
17	BOARD MEMBER DORENE D'ADAMO: I need to understand
18	what you mean by that. You have to be able to plant a crop
19	in order to even apply for crop insurance.
20	PHILIP MARTIN: No. If I were to prevent planting
21	because you do not have water, you can file a claim.
22	CHAIR FELICIA MARCUS: So I thought I understood
23	what he was saying, but just to say you're saying this
24	program, if it evolves into a program
25	PHILIP MARTIN: Right.

```
1
     you.
              (Whereupon, end of transcribed portion.)
 2
                                 --000--
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

KATHRYN DAVIS & ASSOCIATES 916.567.4211

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	State of California)		
4	County of Sacramento)		
5			
6	I certify that the statements in the foregoing		
7	hearing were transcribed in the within-entitled cause by		
8	audio; that said hearing was taken at the time and place		
9	therein named; that the testimony of said witnesses was		
10	reported by me, a duly Certified Shorthand Reporter of the		
11	State of California authorized to administer oaths and		
12	affirmations; and said testimony was thereafter transcribed		
13	into typewriting.		
14	I further certify that I am not of counsel or		
15	attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing,		
16	nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named		
17	in said hearing.		
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this		
19	2nd day of September, 2015.		
20			
21	THRESHA SPENCER		
22	Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 11788		
23			
24			
25			

KATHRYN DAVIS & ASSOCIATES 916.567.4211

	300 5:1	acres 34:14
-	300,000 31:19	act 11:14,23 18:6
00o 3:3 39:3	300,000-acre 31:16	acted 11:25
e e	35 24:14	action 3:8 12:19 25:6
0	4	actions 32:21 33:22 35:2,16,1° 36:10
00:45 3:2	404	activities 3:13 33:20
1 .	401 11:14,22 49 13:21	actual 8:3,4,5
		addition 36:3
1 26:13	5	additional 12:12 13:23
10 3:2		addressed 19:22
100,000 34:14	50 34:13 38:21	adequate 18:8 23:7
14 8:4,5	6	adjustment 19:9
1425 24:14		adjustments 18:14
150,000 30:15	60 31:24 32:2	administration 19:23
15th 10:1	7	advantage 18:24 19:11,25
18th 3:6		adversely 24:20
1976/'77 34:25	7 35:23	advisory 13:1
1986 27:24	75 27:12	affect 24:20 25:3,7
1st 3:5 8:23 18:16	ş -	affected 4:20 5:1 6:6 11:17
	8	affecting 10:9 16:4
2	8,942 4:21	affects 29:5
20 5:7 14:21		ag 34:6
200,000 31:23	9	ágencies 16:7 17:2 22:21 34:7
2013 16:25 17:1	90 7:17,18	12 35:24
2014 7:20,25 8:9 13:5 18:14	91 4:8,18	Agency 15:13 23:23 24:5 30:2
2015 3:5 7:24,25 8:3,5,8 13:18,	950 8:13	34:9 35:23
20 18:14	97 20:16,17	aggregates 28:5
23 8:6		agree 15:1 34:1
25 22:21,24 24:14 26:24 37:6 38:2	Α	agreement 18:5 27:23 31:15
268,779 18:18	ability 16:4	agriculture 17:4
29 5:8	access 6:19	aired 15:25 26:5
23 3.0	accordance 3:5	Alan 36:25 37:1
3		alert 20:4
20.00:44	accounted 32:15	allocated 30:17
30 32:14	accounting 28:2,3,4 31:15,16	allocation 30:21 35:19 36:2

aware 22:6 altering 25:8 Bureau 25:21 27:11,14 28:2 29:10 30:17 33:4 American 12:8 29:8 В Bureau's 29:2,16 31:14 amount 27:6 36:4 bureaus 7:2 ample 15:20 back 5:4 10:9 19:5,10 21:3 33:14 **bypass** 28:12 34:25 36:1 analysis 27:21 background 11:22 annual 13:21 C bad 5:25 8:18 Antelope 4:14 balance 28:23 California 13:6,8 23:24 34:20 anticipate 3:21 balancing 17:24 anticipating 12:12 California's 13:15 **banking** 16:8,14 apllicable 7:10 called 35:15 basically 10:8 apologize 30:13 capabilities 13:16 **Basin** 34:12 apparently 25:11 capacity 13:23 **basins** 7:19 appears 21:19 capturing 17:15 basis 10:22 26:18 apply 10:4 37:19 card 36:25 Bay 4:8 35:22 appreciative 9:18,20 cards 3:19 14:20 Beat 22:3 approach 33:25 case 19:19 beginning 31:18 appropriative 28:22 case-by-case 10:22 behalf 31:5 approval 12:16 cases 10:25 12:14 33:6 beneficial 11:24 approved 12:9,14 29:24 category 10:13 beneficially 10:12 April 8:5 17:17 cease 4:6 benefit 18:1 Arakawa 27:3 30:25 31:6 34:17 central 15:13 20:9 benefits 11:19 arbitration 23:14 certificate 10:18 big 29:25 30:12 area 35:12,13,14,22 36:4 37:7 certification 8:25 12:17.18 **bigger** 18:15 areas 6:9 9:9,11 13:17 35:11,21 certifications 11:17,23 biggest 27:20 **Arvin-edison** 30:14,20,21 **Chair** 3:4 5:14,19,24 6:15 8:19 bit 31:23 **assess** 13:9 9:4 11:2 14:2,4 15:3,8 21:4,13 blows 28:12 23:19,22 24:2,4 27:2,8 30:24 assessing 13:15 31:1,3 32:3,9,11 36:25 37:3,22 **Board** 3:7,10,12,16 6:16,24 9:19 **assist** 9:18 38:1,4,9,13,23,25 11:25 12:9,20,24 13:2,8 21:6,8, assistance 9:21 challenge 25:24 17 24:4,10 26:10 27:5,25 29:12, 21 30:23 32:22,24 33:16 34:2,21 attack 25:20 challenges 22:15 36:9 37:3,14,17 38:15,19 attention 15:10 28:18 **change** 15:16 24:19 25:13 Board's 12:16 33:3 attorneys 26:6 **chart** 32:12 breaks 4:22 attribute 18:25 **charts** 18:15 briefly 5:6,11 11:12 31:9 34:5 average 13:21 checks 20:7 bring 22:9 28:10 avoid 19:13 choices 34:23 bringing 19:6 avoidance 16:10 circumstances 10:4 burden 7:5,14

City 29:7 complying 5:5 6:10 8:15,18 correct 11:7 18:14 38:3 claim 7:10 28:20 37:21 38:2 conceptually 23:2 costs 38:20 claims 20:1 concern 13:17 15:17,22 17:19 couch 24:25 32:16 37:7 Clara 35:23 couches 25:23 concerned 19:8 22:8 clarifying 3:11 **count** 35:8 concludes 11:8 14:1 Clean 11:14,22 counting 4:21 condition 4:18 12:21 closely 30:1 County 30:2 34:9 35:23 conditions 4:7,10,24 5:2 8:18 closet 17:8 couple 16:24 31:7 9:3 11:15,16,23 12:23 13:25 28:7 cluster 9:13 court 20:23 21:2,16 conflict 27:11 Co-counsel 15:13 courthouse 21:7 confronted 35:1 COA 28:2.3.4 covered 26:22 congratulate 27:4 coffee 15:4 cow 17:13 conservation 11:3 31:8 cold 17:10 26:4 27:15 creative 14:13 36:18 considerations 19:22 collected 10:11 Creek 4:14 constantly 16:2 collectively 34:1 crews 8:22 construed 28:12 combine 15:14 cripple 19:10 consumptive 33:11 comfort 22:25 criteria 8:24 17:4 24:16 25:10.15 consumptively 30:10 commend 35:2 critical 17:3,5 22:20 contacts 33:23 comment 3:19 27:18 critique 14:18 continue 15:21 16:4 20:13,19 comments 3:11,17 15:14 23:20 crop 37:8,12,18,19 38:2,11 24:8 37:4 continued 10:8 12:6 crops 38:21 Commission 13:6.7 continuously 13:15 cross-examination 3:9 committed 27:24 contract 27:13 cross-examine 26:6 community 7:6,15 contractor 35:22 **cup** 15:4 company 12:2 38:11 contractors 28:21 29:2,6,7,23 cursory 24:16 compare 5:19 7:24 30:2 31:5 34:6.18 curtail 18:3.12 28:15 compatible 37:12,14 contracts 27:14 28:18 29:3 curtailed 4:21 5:9 27:22 28:21 compensation 16:9 contractual 23:12 29:2,23 contributions 29:15 complaint 22:1,10 curtailing 18:22 complaints 9:9 control 17:20.24 26:18 32:3.4.7 curtailment 3:15 4:1,17 5:3,5, 13 6:11 7:8,21 8:13,16,25 9:24 conversation 3:17 complete 28:25 38:18 10:12 14:10 15:15,18 16:3,15,20 cooperate 20:9 complex 21:10 35:1 17:12,13 20:15 27:21 28:8,12 29:13,19 coordinated 4:1 27:23 compliance 6:11 8:21 10:17 **curtailments** 4:4,13,14,23,25 coordinating 6:7 13:9 complicated 19:15 22:14 6:1,2 9:22 10:5,15,16 19:13 31:8 coordination 13:5 complications 28:4 32:22 35:3 Coordinator 31:15 cut 36:1 38:22 complied 38:12 **comply** 10:19 core 9:14 cutbacks 35:19

CVA 20:7 18 18:6,7,17,23 19:3,4,19 20:6,9 diverted 10:7 23:18,23 24:5 25:19 27:25 28:4,7 CVP 28:8 30:15,20 diverters 7:17 10:11 30:7,10 31:15 33:9,21 35:8 36:13 **CVPA** 30:8 **Division** 3:25 10:19 **delve** 28:2 divisions 9:19 demand 12:11 D document 22:11 demands 12:5 document-specific 22:15 **D'adamo** 6:16,24 21:17 37:17 demonstration 19:6 38:15 dominate 17:4 **Department** 9:16 13:7 33:4 **D41** 17:5 dominated 20:25 depleted 17:1 **D6** 17:5 Dorado 12:7 depletions 29:1 Dante 14:19 15:1,12 20:15,18,21 **DORENE** 6:16.24 21:17 37:17 21:5,15,22 23:20 **Deputy** 12:15 38:15 **Des** 23:24 27:4,10 darn 8:21 downstream 28:15,19 detailed 19:21 data 6:3 7:20,25 8:9 drinking 10:19,20 32:9 determine 6:10 11:15 database 30:1 drip 34:10 determined 25:19 date 17:13 drought 13:9,16 26:14 34:25 developing 35:4 dated 3:5 35:7,15 36:7 37:10 day 25:25 devoted 28:18 drought-proof 35:13 dictated 17:20 drought-related 3:14 4:4 day's 9:13 difficult 4:20 24:7 dry 8:16 17:5 days 26:14 38:18 dead 27:13 diligence 25:13 due 4:95:2 direct 10:6 33:13 DWR 25:21 27:20 deal 15:15 34:22 direction 3:13 dealing 16:9 26:3 35:9 E directives 10:19 **deals** 6:12 **Director** 12:14,15 **decide** 14:23 earlier 7:16 15:4 34:24 disagree 24:24 deciding 14:25 early 13:5 17:25 35:2 decision 16:23 22:23 26:11 36:6 disappointed 16:6 easiest 19:19 38:19 discharges 33:14 east 15:20 decisions 24:7 35:5 discretion 10:24 11:1 economic 16:13 Deer 4:14 discussions 34:4 effect 19:2 defensive 20:22 disputes 15:24 effectively 33:19 **defer** 21:8 District 30:16 34:13,20 35:23 efficiencies 9:15 defined 32:20 District's 12:7 efficient 9:5,12 34:10 definition 24:22 diversion 7:20 9:1 10:5,6,8 effort 9:13,18 20:7 22:18,19 degree 25:24 diversion's 9:1 efforts 5:13 6:8 24:6 35:12 Deirdre 23:24 27:4.10 diversions 15:18 18:23 29:2,14 **EID** 12:10 33:13 delivered 30:9 El 12:7 divert 28:9 **Delta** 3:15 4:9,16 7:17 10:2 electric 12:213:16 15:13,18,19 16:8,20,21 17:4,17,

electronic 6:17,21 experience 8:12 field 8:13.14.22.23 electronically 6:3 experienced 9:8 fight 16:1 19:14 26:11 emergency 24:15 25:14,15 exploits 23:16 figure 38:6 35:17 **export** 18:7 23:5,7 29:18 31:22 file 3:2 22:5 37:21 employed 24:18 exported 31:21 filed 22:4 encourage 24:10 26:10,21 fill 7:8 exporter 18:23 36:10 **exports** 17:6,7,9 18:9,15 28:7 **Finally** 29:10 end 3:2 39:2 29:11,12 32:1 finding 8:14 **ended** 30:8 extends 13:12 finish 6:13 Energy 13:6 **extent** 24:24 fish 17:10 25:4 enforcement 4:1 6:8 10:24,25 extortion 16:14 fisheries 25:7,9 engage 15:24 16:18 fishery 4:10,13,23,25 17:2 32:8 F engaged 14:13 fishery-related 6:1,2 10:14 engagement 14:11 face 8:9 **fit** 24:15 enthusiasm 16:16 faced 34:23 35:7,9 flow 9:2 11:21 12:6.9 17:16.21 entities 21:24 28:17 facilities 35:12 **environment** 25:7 36:13 fact 27:22 38:7 flows 11:19 12:4 15:19,20 25:9 environmental 22:11 36:15 28:21 factually 18:13 equitable 19:9 flush 17:18 Failure 8:25 **Erlewine** 30:25 31:1,4 32:5,10, focus 9:10 32:16 Fair 38:23 focused 33:11 37:4 faith 21:13 23:15 estimate 21:15 focusing 3:14 fallow 38:16 estimating 13:19 folks 38:2,5 fallowing 16:8 34:14 evaluate 10:22 follow 5:15 farm 7:2 15:21 evaluation 25:17 Folsom 28:13 31:20 farmer 37:7 evening 37:3 force 23:14 farmers 17:23 37:9,15 event 20:4 24:15 forecast 29:17 Feather 29:6,18 events 21:1 forefront 16:7 federal 11:14 everybody's 22:18 24:6 forego 12:3 feeling 38:4 evolves 37:24 foregoing 16:11 feet 18:18 30:15 31:16,19,21 examples 19:8 Fork 12:2,8 Feinstein 30:7 exception 10:14 form 7:11,12 9:1 FELICIA 3:45:14,19,246:15 exceptions 10:23 formal 3:8 22:1 24:3 8:19 9:4 11:2 14:2,4 15:3,8 21:4, excessed 29:5 13 23:19,22 24:2 27:2,8 30:24 forms 6:27:3.8 31:3 32:3,9,11 36:25 37:22 38:1, exchange 16:11 17:25 29:7 forthcoming 16:23 4,9,13,23,25 Executive 12:14 forward 21:19 35:18 36:8 **fell** 16:9 expecting 9:23 14:17 found 8:20 20:8 FERC 11:15,17 12:22,24

Francisco 7:18	growth 13:25	hydropower 10:5 11:12,13 13:4,12,21,22
Francisco/san 4:8	guess 12:20 21:14 24:25 38:13	hypertechnical 20:24
frank 22:17	guys 22:3,20 27:12 29:9	hypertechnical 20.24
frankly 4:25 21:6	Н	ı
fray 22:14		idiat 00.5
frequent 8:17	hair 21:11	idiot 26:5
fresh 17:22	hammer 23:9	impact 22:8
Friday 9:24	hand 18:11	impacts 13:10
front 20:24	handle 20:6 36:9	implement 36:10
full 28:12	hands 33:17	implementing 34:7 35:19,24,25
fun 13:18	happening 31:11,13	important 17:17 36:5
function 16:5	hard 28:11	improve 33:9
fundamental 27:18	harped 25:10	in-basin 27:24 28:5 29:5,13
fundamentally 25:8	headed 21:19	in-stream 11:19,21 12:6
future 3:13 9:22 26:14	health 7:10 10:14,20,21 18:9	inaudible 8:19 14:5 15:7 24:3 27:2 38:22
G	hear 17:11 22:2	incentive 23:9
TAIR PROCESSOR AND	hearing 26:1 32:6	include 35:17
gap 27:20	hearings 24:12 26:14	includes 15:19,20
Gas 12:1	held 3:4	including 27:25
gas-fired 13:13	helped 20:11	incorporated 7:21
general 4:23 6:13 10:16	helping 27:20	increase 37:15
generate 13:14	helps 11:20	increased 13:24
generation 10:6 11:20 13:11,13, 21,22,24	Herrick 14:24 15:7 23:23 24:1,4, 5 28:20	increasing 11:19
geographically 9:2	high 8:21 15:17	incurred 38:21
geography 9:6	holder 20:1	Independent 13:8
give 22:23 23:6	holders 6:22 21:23 22:7 28:16, 17,19 33:5	indicating 22:12
goal 14:16		informal 3:7 33:23
good 13:20 19:1 23:15 26:22	holding 36:23	information 5:11 6:14 14:14 20:8,12 26:2 27:6 28:24 33:4,7,
28:24 33:6 37:3	honest 25:17	10,18
Governor 13:4	hoping 34:3	informational 5:12 7:16
gray 21:11	horrific 5:2	initial 7:24
greater 18:14	Howard 28:23	initiated 33:9
grid 13:16,19	huge 20:17	injury 24:23 25:2
ground 38:16	human 10:21	insignificant 25:1
groundwater 22:9	hundreds 26:7	inspections 6:13 8:13,15
group 13:4,12,15	hydroelectric 10:6 13:10	•

instruction 15:14 Kern 34:9 long-term 22:11 24:11 29:24 36:5 insurance 37:8,12,19 38:2,11 key 36:9 longer 6:23 intended 28:7 36:15,20,22 kind 16:24 17:20 23:8,11 26:19 looked 7:4 30:1 interested 22:5 33:8 36:17 kinds 7:7 30:21 36:18 loosely 28:6 interesting 4:19 5:24 6:20 L loss 13:22 interests 22:18 25:23 lost 21:11 36:16 interfere 23:1 lack 25:16 lot 3:21 4:23 6:5 7:1 8:11 22:19 introduction 3:16 Lake 34:12 24:7 26:12,24 27:8,9 28:18 29:9 invested 35:12 30:6,11 32:6 33:17 34:10 landowner's 16:10 investigations 9:12 language 30:8 **Luis** 18:19,20 involved 19:21 late 24:11 26:15,16 М irrigation 12:7 34:11 latitude 28:1 issue 5:3 6:17 9:23 14:8,10 law 17:23 18:5 23:8 Madam 24:4 37:3 issued 4:4,5,9,10,13 7:16 10:19 lawyer 16:17 made 13:23 16:23 issues 21:2 31:7 maintain 11:21 12:4 27:15 lawyers 18:6 leave 26:19 38:5 major 27:11 34:7 leaving 17:8 make 7:12 22:22 23:4 32:14,24 35:5 38:19 January 18:16 29:19 legal 15:23 24:20,22 25:2 makes 38:19 Jardins 23:24 27:4.10 legitimately 32:17,25 making 36:13,19 Jennifer 37:2.5 letters 17:7 manage 33:17 37:9 Joaquin 4:8.16 5:8 7:19 9:22.25 letting 17:24 19:5 21:24 management 34:7 35:14 leverage 23:15.16 manager 3:25 15:13 iob 25:20 licensees 11:15 **John** 14:20 15:1,4,7,12 20:15, mandatory 35:24 licenses 11:15 18,21 21:5,15,22 22:2 23:20,23 March 8:4 17:18 24:1,4,5 light 27:5,16 **Marcus** 3:4 5:14,19,24 6:15 8:19 join 21:24 22:15 Lilly 36:25 37:1 9:4 11:2 14:2,4 15:3,8 21:4,13 judicial 21:14 limits 11:4 23:19,22 24:2 27:2,8 30:24 31:1, 3 32:3,9,11 36:25 37:22 38:1,4,9, jumped 18:10 literally 26:9 28:12 13,23,25 jumping 22:13 litigate 20:22 21:23 margins 13:24 June 10:1 litigating 22:10 Martin 37:2,3,20,25 38:3,7,10, iunior 19:25 29:13 load 13:25 17,24 loaning 9:17,20 master 20:8 33:9,21 K Meaning 32:3 local 10:20 meaningful 9:14 located 9:2 **KATHERINE** 3:24 5:17.21.25 6:20 7:1 8:20 9:7 11:6 12:22.25 means 6:7,8 location 19:17 13:3 14:3 20:14,16,20 measurements 33:13,14 long 25:6 Kathy 3:24

measures 34:8	14:3 20:14,16,20	Operator 13:8
mechanism 23:14	multi-agency 13:5	operators 34:2
meet 10:21 13:25 19:4 27:14		opinion 17:2
36:15	N	opportunity 14:6
meet all 27:24	natural 17:16,21	opposite 25:8
meeting 28:18 29:13 35:17	naturally 32:15	optimistic 33:24
meetings 25:22	nature 20:24 35:6	oratorium 11:4
meets 34:1,2	navigate 7:13	order 7:17 15:4,21 22:24 24:19
Melones 28:14	needed 20:12 33:18	31:2 37:19
MEMBER 6:16,24 12:20,24 13:2 21:17 37:17 38:15	needing 10:18 28:16	orders 5:12 11:2
members 3:10 24:5 34:6	negotiation 23:15	Oroville 31:19
mention 13:4 20:14 34:5	negotiations 16:8	outcome 36:20
mentioned 21:20 22:10	net 19:2	outdoor 36:4
messaging 35:25	news 26:22	outdoors 11:4
Met 27:3 30:20	nexus 12:18	outflow 29:15 31:16,24,25 32:2 33:1
method 6:21 25:18	Nomellini 14:20 15:1,11,12	outline 11:23
Metropolitan 30:15 31:6 34:19	20:15,18,21 21:5,15,22 23:20 28:20	outlined 21:18
micro 34:11	non-prosecution 22:24	outpost 27:25
Middle 12:2	normal 25:14	output 28:4
minimal 11:6	notice 3:5 5:3 16:20 17:12 29:24	outreach 7:2
minimum 11:18 12:9 25:9	30:14	outset 14:13
minute 14:22	noticed 29:22 30:12	oxygen 15:9
minutes 3:20 14:24,25	number 3:19 4:12 8:14 11:11	
missed 30:13	numbering 5:1	P
model 7:22	numbers 8:3	Pacific 12:1
modeling 7:21		pain 37:15
moderate 13:25	0	paper 6:2,23
modify 33:9	obligations 20:2	part 12:19 33:12
moment 3:20	obstruct 22:22	participants 3:9
monitor 13:9	occurring 12:16 19:1 36:21	participation 25:16 37:16
monthly 28:3	October 26:13 29:18	parties 4:6 5:1,4 6:5,10 8:10
months 7:25 17:25 18:16	open 14:16	10:18 22:6 32:19 33:24 34:3
MOORE 12:20,24 13:2	operate 26:17 33:19	parts 35:7
morning 15:5	operating 12:23 27:23	party 17:3
morning's 26:8	operations 25:19 26:15 28:1,11	passed 30:7
Mrowka 3:24 5:17,21,25 6:20 7:1 8:20 9:7 11:6 12:22,25 13:3	29:17 31:12,14,15	past 21:1

patents 20:13 positions 21:1 projection 13:20 pay 15:10 38:11 projects 10:5 11:17 12:2 17:15 positive 33:5 20:1 23:9 27:21,23 28:16 31:22 peak 13:25 positively 20:5 33:18 36:12 peek 11:20 post-1914 4:17 28:22 30:3 proposed 29:22 30:13 37:6,8 penalties 16:11 35:20 potential 13:17 prosecution 16:11 penalty 16:15 power 11:20 12:5 13:10,13,14 protect 11:24 24:22 36:11,13 **people** 3:20 4:19 6:18 7:3 8:2,7, pre-1914 9:23 10:2 protecting 19:24 15 14:9,13,15 16:4 17:24 22:7, pre-1914s 16:21 13,22 24:24 26:12,18 28:11 32:6, protection 17:10 18:6 32:8 17,24 36:1 predict 23:1 provide 3:12 10:21 17:23 28:16 percent 5:7,8,22 6:4 7:17,18 8:4, presentation 11:8,9 14:1 16:22 provided 18:8 5,6 13:21 20:17 22:21,24 26:24 presentations 25:22 26:8,18 27:12 31:21,24 32:1,2,14 34:13 public 3:5,17 13:6 25:16 37:6 38:2.21 presented 18:13 publishes 28:3 **period** 18:18 presenting 25:25 **pulled** 28:25 permanent 4:18 preserve 12:10 pump 15:21 permit 24:19 29:3 pretty 33:8 **pumped** 18:17 permits 28:8 29:8 prevent 37:20 purely 20:2 person 14:23 34:19 previous 26:4 purposes 33:2 person's 32:7 previously 7:23 put 35:18 36:22 personally 20:23 25:20 previously-unauthorized 25:6 puts 23:15 persons 9:17 Primarily 32:4 putting 10:9 32:12 34:22 petitions 14.9 prior 5:12 10:11 **puzzle** 33:12,13 **Philip** 37:2,3,20,25 38:3,7,10,17, prioritize 6:12 8:24 priority 36:7 Q pie 18:15 32:1,12 proactively 13:16 quality 11:17,23,24 15:22 17:19 **pieces** 33:12 problem 38:7 19:4 24:21 place 12:17 19:15 problems 30:11 quality-wise 18:1 plan 15:24 procedure 25:14 question 5:15 21:17 37:11 planned 28:13 29:20 PROCEEDINGS 3:1 questioned 28:10 planning 29:17 process 16:18 19:10 32:23 33:8 questions 3:11,22 11:10,11 **plans** 36:2 36:6 20:25 26:7 plant 37:18 **program** 3:25 4:1,2 9:15 11:4,13 quickly 38:20 16:14 26:24 37:6,8,11,12,13,16, planting 37:20 38:17,18,21,22 24 38:5 R **plants** 13:13 programs 35:12,24,25 36:3 point 12:1,20 32:13 38:23 rain 26:16 project 8:2 12:8,17 18:17 19:6 **pool** 27:14,15 29:3,14,17,19 35:11 raised 26:8 poor 5:7,10,15,16,18 6:6,9 project's 28:8 31:17 ramping 36:3 portion 3:1 39:2 projected 8:6

rate 5:8,9,18,22,23 6:5,6 8:21 repeatedly 29:12 **rights** 3:25 4:17,21 5:9 9:23,25 10:2 12:15 19:25 20:3 23:3 **rates** 6:9 report 5:17 6:18,22 28:25 28:16,17,19,22 32:18,20 33:5,7, ratio 5:6 reported 7:23 8:10 29:4 17 36:6 rationalized 18:2 reporting 6:17,21,23 7:24 riparian 9:25 reacting 16:14 reports 28:3,23 31:14 riparians 16:21 read 25:11 representing 34:18 risk 22:22 37:10 reading 34:24 requested 11:16 river 4:14,15,16 5:8,9 6:4 9:22, 25 10:1 12:3,8 19:5,20 21:24 real 22:20 requests 11:18,25 12:13 20:12 22:7 29:6,8,18,25 33:14 reason 8:21 27:25 require 29:15 road 16:1 reasons 4:5 19:1 required 35:9 role 11:13 13:1 rebate 35:25 requirement 30:8 roughly 5:1 31:16,23,24 received 5:7 11:25 requirements 12:9 19:5 24:13 route 24:11 receiving 3:11 requires 4:6 28:12 Ruben 22:2 recognize 15:23 16:20 38:25 Research 23:25 rundowns 28:13 recommendation 10:3 reserve 13:24 recommended 28:24 S reservoir 17:1,14,16 28:11 recreational 12:4 reservoirs 36:14 Sacramento 4:15 5:9 7:19 10:1 reduce 11:18 36:4 37:15 resolved 23:18 22:7 27:7 29:6 reduced 12:9 resources 6:9 9:17 13:7 Sacramento's 29:7 reduction 37:6 respect 7:14 38:16 **sacred** 17:13 regard 15:17 19:18 35:3 respond 5:48:2520:12 safety 7:10 10:14,21 18:10 regulate 20:3 responding 34:8 **salinity** 32:3,4,7 regulations 10:20 35:18 response 5:7,9,15,16,18,22 6:5, **salmon** 27:16 6,9 20:17 relaxation 24:21 **San** 4:8,16 5:8 7:18,19 9:22,25 responses 5:10 33:7 18:19,20 19:5 21:24 release 32:15 responsive 20:11 **Santa** 35:22 released 31:20 33:1 36:14 responsiveness 33:3 sat 8:12 14:7 releases 11:21 12:6 28:5 29:1. 15,18 31:25 rest 5:1 satisfactory 34:3 reliability 13:20 restriction 18:10 satisfied 23:17 relieve 7:5 result 23:2 34:15 satisfy 11:20 12:10 rely 13:14 25:21 results 33:6 saved 36:21 remainder 32:2 returned 10:7 Scott 4:14 6:4 remaining 7:18 reversed 31:2 scrutiny 30:22 remember 9:5 34:24 reviewing 10:1 season 9:2 reminding 13:20 revised 3:6 Section 11:14,22 24:14 removal 36:3 right's 19:16 security 23:13

rightfully 19:22

repeat 16:25

send 6:2,3,18 9:6 30:22 sorted 22:13 stop 18:10 sending 20:7 **sorts** 26:2 stopped 7:9 senior 19:24 23:3 28:19 source 17:22 28:6 29:10 **storage** 10:11 11:19 12:5,10 17:1,11 19:3 26:4 31:17 34:13 **series** 18:16 sources 13:10.13 **store** 17:25 **service** 35:12,13,22 south 12:8 23:23 24:5 30:7,10 stored 17:10,14 20:1 28:5 29:1,4 set 14:1 23:3 34:23 Southern 34:20 36:2 30:3 31:22,24 32:14 36:11,12 settlement 29:6,23 Spaletta 26:23 37:2 stream 10:7 12:9 severe 35:7,14,16 speaker 14:19 streamlining 7:4 shading 32:12 speakers 3:11 11:11 streams 8:16 **share** 15:5 special 10:4 **stress** 24:10 sharing 14:15 specific 4:7,10 9:11 19:16,21 strict 11:3 22:14 Shasta 27:13 31:20 stronger 28:20 **speed** 30:9 short-term 24:11 structure 21:21 spent 26:24 **shortages** 34:8,15 **stuff** 24:12 **spring** 17:25 shortly 38:22 subject 15:25 **stable** 13:14 shot 20:23 21:7.16 submitted 17:7 staff 3:10,13,16,23 9:17,20 13:5 **show** 24:20 18:13 20:25 21:8 22:19 34:19 substitute 28:17.21 showing 8:1 standards 24:22 substitution 22:9 **shown** 25:13 **standpoint** 19:16,23 success 5:6 shows 24:17 Stanislaus 12:3 **sudden** 18:22 signed 21:25 start 3:15 18:22 28:24 sue 17:7 significant 27:11 35:16 started 8:23 31:10 summer 11:19 12:5 13:25 18:1 similar 24:8 starting 26:13 superior 32:18 Similarly 25:3 30:7 state 3:7,12,16 9:19 11:24 12:8, supplemental 12:4 simpler 7:12 16 13:7 18:17 27:17 28:8 29:3,17 supplies 13:14 30:15 31:5 32:21 33:16 34:10,18 35:8, sit 19:10 11.18 36:9 supply 11:20 12:10 15:19 18:8 site 19:21 22:14 23:7 26:4 30:16 34:9,15 35:19 stations 20:7 sites 20:9 support 32:21 33:20 35:19 statistic 4:20 situation 23:12 24:17 25:4 supposed 19:24 statute 23:15 25:17 34:22 35:15 36:7 surface 33:13 statutes 23:3 24:13 **size** 9:1 suspect 16:23 statutory 20:2,3 smart 29:16 switch 16:13 stay 21:2,25 sole 6:21 **sword** 17:8 **step** 14:5 solidity 17:19,24 sworn 3:8 **steps** 36:11 solution 23:10 system 10:7 13:8 21:14 26:19 Steve 27:3 31:6 34:16,17 solutions 36:18 33:17 35:4 36:7,16 **STEVEN** 12:20,24 13:2 sort 19:14

Т

taking 17:9 18:24 32:18,20,22, 25

talk 4:3 5:5 11:12 14:9 27:19 31:7,8,10,11 32:6 37:5

talked 14:10 31:14

talking 23:22 32:11

target 6:8

teach 7:3

team 9:6

temporary 11:16 15:16

ten 5:22 26:14 31:21 32:1 38:18

term 4:6,8,18

terms 19:9 27:6 31:11 32:22

terrible 34:23

Terry 30:25 31:1,4 32:5,10,13

testimony 3:9 34:25

their's 32:25

thing 4:19 6:20 8:17 22:3,21 23:18 30:3

things 9:14 14:14 16:24 21:9 22:12 25:23 26:3,5 30:22 33:15 35:4.10

thought 8:2,8 20:11 21:5 27:19 37:22

threat 16:2.14

time 16:2 19:20 22:2 26:25 27:1 34:22

timely 35:5

today 4:3 15:4 27:19 34:24

tools 37:9

top 7:17

topic 11:8,9

topics 15:15

tough 14:21

tracked 29:11

tracks 28:5,6 29:1

Tracy 37:7

transcribed 39:2

TRANSCRIPT 3:1

transfer 29:24,25 30:3,12,14

transferred 30:5,8

transferring 30:20

transfers 22:8,11 29:21,22

30:10

transitioned 16:6

transmission 13:24

trend 36:5

Tri-dam 12:2

tripling 9:8

truth 26:21

TUCP 14:6

Tulare 34:12

turf 36:3

turn 3:23 34:16

type 22:24 35:9

types 35:25 36:10

П

ultimately 33:15

understand 11:3 16:22 18:5

37:17

understanding 20:6 35:4

understood 15:14 37:22

undertake 26:10

unfairly 18:25 19:11

unprecedented 35:6,9

unreasonably 25:3

unstored 28:9

unsuccessful 23:13

update 26:23

upgrades 13:24

uphold 36:6

upstream 25:19 29:14

urban 35:11,21

urgency 15:16 24:13,19 25:12

26:17

USBR 28:17,21

user 24:20

users 24:22 25:2

Utilities 13:7

V

Valley 27:7

variance 11:18 12:21,23

variances 11:16 12:1,13,15

versus 7:25

view 16:13 17:22 18:4 19:8

22:14

viewed 17:23

voluntarily 38:12

voluntary 16:13 37:12 38:4,8

W

wait 14:22 22:1

waking 15:3

wanted 4:3 5:5,6,10,17 9:10 11:12 14:12,15 20:4 22:17 27:4 31:7,11 34:5,21

Wasteway 19:7

water 3:7,12,14,16,25 4:5,6,17, 21 6:22 7:9,17,20 9:8,16,19 10:2, 7,11,20,21 11:14,17,19,20,22,23, 24 12:8,10,15,16 13:7,8,14 15:13,18,19,21,22 16:8,12 17:9, 10,11,15,16,19,21,23,25 18:1,17, 19,20,23,24 19:2,3,4,16,20,23, 24,25 20:1,2,6,8 21:2,22 22:6,9 23:6,17,23,24 24:5,21 26:4 27:12,15 28:5,6,8,9,16,17,19,23 29:3,4,10,12,17 30:2,4,9,16,18, 20 31:5,8,17,19,22,24 32:9,14, 18,20,21,25 33:1,5,7,9,10,17,21 34:6,7,8,9,10,12,13,15,18,19 35:14,23 36:4,6,11,12,14,21 37:21

water-using 7:6,15 Z watering 11:4 watershed 3:15 4:9,15,24 9:23, **Zone** 35:23 25 10:2 watershed-based 10:16 watershed-wide 5:2 weekly 13:9 west 15:20 Westley 19:7 wide 4:15 28:1 wildlife 25:4 worded 38:8 work 15:1 20:5 21:20 28:11 29:9 36:16 37:13 working 13:4,12,15 workshop 3:4,7,12 14:7 36:23 world 35:1 worse 5:23 worst 8:21 writing 30:23 written 6:18 wrong 16:17 17:11 18:12 23:2 wrongdoing 18:4 wrongfully 17:2 Υ **yawning** 15:5,9 year 4:12 5:20,21,23 6:1,3 7:4,7, 11,16 8:14,22,24 9:5,8,10 11:21 14:12 17:3,5 18:18 26:16 27:5,6 29:22,25 30:5,16,17 36:13 year's 8:12 yearly 6:22 years 21:11 23:13 25:11,19 27:5 34:14.15 35:13 Yuba 29:25 30:2