

Tauriainen, Andrew@Waterboards

From: Kassel, Jim@Waterboards </O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=KASSEL, JIM@WATERBOD8195469-BA7B-4952-B83A-EAEB987CA35E277>
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2014 8:28 AM
To: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards; Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards
Cc: Grober, Les@Waterboards
Subject: RE: Delta Links

That might make more sense. Les, What do you think?

Jim

From: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2014 8:24 AM
To: Evoy, Barbara@Waterboards; Kassel, Jim@Waterboards
Cc: Grober, Les@Waterboards
Subject: FW: Delta Links

Delta is in excess and flow from San Joaquin at Vernalis is at 972 cfs. 576 cfs is coming from Stanislaus. Without using the South Delta Demand against the San Joaquin River, the 972 would be excess of San Joaquin upper watershed demand. Therefore, no curtailment at this time.

Due to the Delta issue, do you want me to have staff treat the Sacramento-San Joaquin watersheds as a single watershed?

From: Coats, Brian@Waterboards
Sent: Friday, March 14, 2014 7:45 AM
To: O'Hagan, John@Waterboards
Subject: Delta Links

<http://www.water.ca.gov/swp/operationscontrol/docs/delta/deltaops.pdf>

<http://www.water.ca.gov/swp/operationscontrol/docs/mapper/WTRRPT.SAT>

Brian