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BE IT REMEMBERED, that on Friday, November 13, 2015, commencing at the hour of 9:35 thereof, at the offices of SOMACH SIMMONS \& DUNN, 500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1000, Sacramento, California, before me, KATHRYN DAVIS, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in the State of California, duly authorized to administer oaths and affirmations, there personally appeared JEFFREY YEAZELL, called as witness herein, who, having been duly sworn, was thereupon examined and interrogated as hereinafter set forth.
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(Whereupon, Exhibits 23-25
premarked for identification.)
EXAMINATION BY MS. SPALETTA
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Good morning, Mr. Yeazell. My
name is Jennifer Spaletta. I'm an attorney representing the Central Delta Water Agency and South Delta Water Agency in both the West Side Irrigation District enforcement proceeding and the BBID enforcement proceeding.

Are you familiar with those proceedings?
A $\quad$ I am.
Q The purpose of our deposition today is to gather information that is related to those proceedings, so all
of the parties can learn about some of the foundational things related to those enforcement proceedings. I'm going to go through a couple of rules to start out.

First of all, before we get started with the rules, we did mark a couple of exhibits before we started the deposition. We probably should go ahead and put those on the record.

I marked as Exhibit 23 the Amended Notice of Deposition of Jeffrey Yeazell and Request For Production of Documents that was issued by Byron-Bethany Irrigation District.

Do you have that in front of you?
A Yes.
MS. TEMPLE: Jennifer, do you want to let the other lawyers make their appearances on the record?

MS. SPALETTA: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes, we should. Let's do that first. We have Mr. Yeazell there and why don't we just go around the room.

MS. TEMPLE: Jennifer Kalnins Temple, Deputy Attorney General from the Department of Justice.

MR. TAURIAINEN: Andrew Tauriainen, Office of Enforcement, State Water Board.

MR. PRAGER: John Prager, State Water Board.
MR. BURKE: Tom Burke, Hydrologic Systems.
MS. SPALETTA: I'm Jennifer Spaletta.

MR. YOUNG: Greg Young, Tully \& Young.
MS. ZOLEZZI: Jeanne Zolezzi, counsel for West Side Irrigation District, Banta-Carbona Irrigation District and Patterson Irrigation District.

MR. VERGARA: Good morning. Mike Vergara, Somach Simmons \& Dunn on behalf of Byron-Bethany Irrigation District.

MS. LEEPER: Good morning. Elizabeth Leeper. Kronick Moskovitz on behalf of Westlands Water District.

MR. BONZIGNORE: Nick Bonzignore with Wagner \& Bonsignore Consulting Civil Engineers, consultant to West Side Irrigation District and BBID.

MS. MORRIS: Stephanie Morris, general counsel, State Water Contractors.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Are those just the guys in the Sacramento Valley? Sorry.

All right. Tim O'Laughlin. I represent the San Joaquin Tributaries Authority.

MS. McGINNIS: Robin McGinnis, counsel for California Department of Water Resources.

MS. SPALETTA: Okay. So turning back to the exhibits. Our first exhibit that we marked was Exhibit 23, the Deposition Notice issued by Byron-Bethany Irrigation District.

We marked Exhibit 24, which was the Deposition

Notice issued by Central Delta and South Delta Water Agencies; and Exhibit 25, which was the Deposition Notice issued by West Side Irrigation District.

And counsel for State Board, do you want to explain your exhibit?

MS. TEMPLE: Marked as Exhibit 26 is the State's objections to the deposition notices.
(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 26 was marked for identification.)

BY MS. SPALETTA: So at this time, I would just like to go over what the rules of the deposition are. Mr. Yeazell, have you ever had your deposition taken before?

A No.
Q Have you ever testified under oath?
A No.
Q Okay. So we are going to go through the rules in detail since this is your first time. The purpose of the deposition is for questions to be asked and answered. I'll be asking questions. There will be some other counsel in the room that ask you questions as we go through the day.

Your attorney will have a chance to object to the questions, and then you will provide an answer to the questions. The testimony is taken under oath and it
may, in fact, be used at a hearing or a court proceeding. Do you understand that? A Yes. Q It is very important that you are prepared to provide complete and accurate testimony today, and that there is nothing that would prevent you from doing that.

Is that okay with you?
A Yes.
Q Is there anything that would prevent you from providing complete and accurate testimony today?

A Not that I'm aware of.
Q Okay. The other thing that is very important is we have a court reporter here who is recording everything that we say. And so unlike a normal conversation where we might be anticipating the end of each other sentences, we can't do that in a deposition.

The conversation has to go a little bit slower, so that we allow each other to finish our sentences completely. There is a pause so that your counsel can lodge an objection that she wants to lodge, and then you provide an answer. So it is important that we go a little bit slower and allow the court reporter to get down everything that we say. Okay?

A (Witness nods.)
Q I'm noticing that you are nodding your head.

That is the other thing I need to tell you about a deposition. Because we have to have a written transcript of what occurs during the deposition, we cannot have you nodding your head up or down or side to side. And we cannot have an inaudible response, such as "uh-huh" because it doesn't come across well in the deposition. We really can't tell if it is a yes or a no.

So I need to make sure, when I ask you a question, that you provide an audible response and a yes or a no, if that as appropriate. Do you understand? A Yes.

Q The other thing that is important in a deposition is I don't want you to speculate or guess in order to provide me with an answer to the question. If you don't understand the question, I just want you to tell me that you don't understand the question and I'll try to ask a better question.

If you don't know the answer or you are unsure about the answer for some reason, I just want you to tell me that or explain why you are unsure. If you don't remember, I want you to tell me that as well and we'll try to see if there are things that might jog your memory.

Do you understand that?

```
A Yes.
    Okay. So we are going to start today with
looking at the deposition notices that we just described
as Exhibits 23, 24 and 25. Have you had a chance to
review these notices before?
A Yes.
Q And when was that?
A I don't recall offhand.
Q Within the last week?
A Could have been. I know I've looked at them
in the past since they were emailed to me by Andrew.
Q There is an attachment to the first notice,
Exhibit 23, Attachment A. This are documents to be
produced. Did you go through this list and look for the
documents that were responsive to the request?
A Yes.
Q And did you provide all the documents that you
knew that were responsive?
A As part of the PRA, yes.
Q Did you actually organize the documents to be
produced as part of the PRA or did you hand them over to
someone else to do that?
A I did not organize them. I did hand -- I
gave the location to Andrew, where they were on our
hard drive.
```

```
Q I'm going to ask you the same question for
Exhibits 24 and 25. They ask for a slightly different
set of documents. Did you also review the requests in
Exhibits 24 and 25 and compile the responsive documents?
A I provided Andrew Tauriainen the location on
the hard drive where they were.
Q At this point, do you feel like you've provided
all of the responsive documents and that there are no
others that you've yet to provide?
A At this point, yes.
    MS. SPALETTA: And Mr. Tauriainen, have we
had produced to us, at this point, all of Mr.
Yeazell's documents that he collected?
MR. TAURIAINEN: You've had produced all of the PRA responsive documents, including those from Mr. Yeazell.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: My next question is: What did you do to prepare for your deposition today? A I just reviewed the water availability part of the PRA documents.
Q And how did you get those documents? Were they documents that you already had available to you or did you actually review the file that was produced as part of the PRA?
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Compound question.
```

```
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: I didn't give you an
instruction on this, so let's stop there. When your
attorney lodges an objection, she is most often, as she
just did, objecting to the form of my question which
thinks she could have asked it better. A lot of times,
I probably could have.
When that happens, you are still required to answer the question, unless \(I\) elect to modify the way I'm asking it. There are times, though, where she may object on the ground of the question asking you to disclose privileged information, and she will actually instruct you not to answer.
Unless she instructs you not to answer, when she
``` lodges an objection, then we just pause for a moment and then you can provide an answer. Okay?

A Okay.
Q So she just objected to the form of the question, which was probably a compound question, so I'll reask it.

You told me that you reviewed the documents in preparation for your deposition that related to your water availability analysis. Were those documents contained on the water availability file that was produced as part of the PRA or were they in a different location?

A They were in a different location but it was, to my understanding, a copy of what was provided in the PRA.

Q Okay. Was that a location on your computer?
A Yes.
Q Other than reviewing those documents, did you do anything else to prepare for your deposition?

A No.
Q Did you speak to anyone at the State Water Resources Control Board about the deposition other than your counsel?

A My supervisor.
Q And who is your supervisor?
A Brian Coats.
Q And when did you speak to him about your deposition?

A A few times over the past few weeks.
Q Did you speak to Mr. Coats after his deposition yesterday?

A Briefly.
Q And what was the content of that conversation?
A Just how it went, what to expect and that is about it.

Q Did he tell you anything about the types of questions that he was asked?
```

A A couple.
Q What did he tell you?
A I can't remember here. The types of
questions that were asked had more --
MS. TEMPLE: If you don't recall.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't recall.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Okay. We may come back to
that later in your deposition. At this point, I want to
go into your background. Do you have a college
education?
A Yes.
Q And what is it?
A Bachelor of Science.
Q From where?
A Humboldt State University.
Q In what subject?
A Environmental Resources Engineering.
Q And what year did you obtain your BS?
A 1992.
Q Do you have any other specialized education?
A I'm a civil engineer, registered.
Q What state are you registered in?
A California.
Q Anything else?
A NO.

```


A Not that I know of, but you never know about
those college professors.
Q What is your experience with hydrology?
A Mostly groundwater hydrology with previous companies, like running a lot of the groundwater monitoring programs, contaminated transport, some pump studies -- a lot of statistical analysis with the groundwater data.

Q Any prior experience with surface water hydrology?

A No.
Q What was your first job out of college?
A I was with a consulting company.
Q What was the name of the company?
A ELM Environmental.
Q And what did you do there?
A I was a staff engineer.
Q What were your job responsibilities?
A Assisting the senior staff with various engineering projects, groundwater monitoring, underground storage tank investigations. That was pretty much the bulk of it.

Q How long were you there?
A About four years.
Q '92 to '96?
A '93 to '97.
```

Q What was your next job?
A It was BSK Associates. Another private
consulting company.
Q What did you do there?
A Staff engineer to project engineer. All

```
sorts of various projects: underground storage tank
investigations, construction management, stormwater
management and consulting, hazardous waste landfill,
monitoring. Again, those were probably the bulk of
my responsibilities there.
Q What years were you there?
A '90 -- I'm sorry. 1997 through 2013.
Q What was your next job?
A With the State Water Board.
Q What position?
A Water resource control engineer.
Q Do you hold that same position today?
A Yes.
Q And what have your job responsibilities been at
the State Board since starting that position?
A Pretty much evaluating supply and demand data
for the recent droughts; also some review of reports
from licensees and permittees to assure compliance
with their licenses and permits. That is about it.
Q Who has your supervisor been at the State Board?
```

A Originally it was Aaron Miller. Currently,
it is Brian Coats.
Q And then is there anyone that you supervise?
A No.
Q Is there anyone that you work with side by side?
A No.
Q What is your familiarity with the West Side
Irrigation District?
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague.
THE WITNESS: My familiarity is one water right
in many.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Have you ever been to the West
Side Irrigation District's service area?
A No.
Q Have you ever seen its facilities?
A No.
Q Have you ever taken any field measurements or
performed an investigation related to West Side?
A No.
Q If I asked you all of those same questions with
respect to Byron-Bethany Irrigation District, would I
get any different answers?
A No.
Q You explained to me that your work at the State
Board has involved supply and demand evaluation for

```
drought. When did that start? Which month of which year?

A Sometime in 2014.
Q Do you remember what the first thing was that you did?

A No.
Q If you had to generally describe for me what you have done related to supply and demand analysis in 2014 and 2015, would you be able to do that?

A Generally, yes.
Q Okay. Let's go ahead and have you explain that.
A I took the demand, I took the supply, plotted them on a graph to see which was which, to compare the two.

Q Did you make any decisions about what data to include in supply?

A No.
Q Did you make any decisions about what data to include in demand?

A No.
Q Did you set up a spreadsheet to perform the analysis you just described?

A Yes.
Q What did you call that effort when you set up the spreadsheet?
```

A Formally, for me, I named it the Water Rights
Use Data Set -- WRUDS.
Q The Water Rights Use Data Set. And WRUDS for
short, which is W-R-U-D-S?
A Correct.
Q Is that supply only or demand only?
A That was demand.
Q Were you the only person at the State Board that
worked on the spreadsheet?
A I was -- yes.
Q You hesitated.
A I did show some other people, just for proof
checking, to see if my thoughts were right and just
for, you know, a small peer review, I guess.
Q Who were the people that you went to for this
peer review?
A I forgot her name. She is no longer with the
Water Board right now. I remember her first name,
Eleanor. I can't remember her last name.
MR. VERGARA: Did you say Eleanor?
THE WITNESS: Eleanor. If it comes to me, I'll
let you know.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Anyone else?
A No. She was the primary one or the only one,
really.

```

what Eleanor did?
A No.
Q How many times did Eleanor review the spreadsheet?

A A couple. I don't remember an exact number.
Q Would that have been in 2014 or 2015?
A \(\quad 2015\).
Q And then when did she leave?
A It has been a couple of months ago. I don't remember exactly. It has been awhile.

Q What type of comments did she provide to you?
A Just some excessive water rights that she knew about that appeared to be over reporting that I wasn't aware of or weren't easily identifiable in the spreadsheet without her having the prior knowledge that she did about that particular water right.

Q Do you remember which water rights they were?
A It was some small, less than one acre-foot water right that reported a very large number that was corrected.

Q And when you made that correction, did you make some type of a notation in the spreadsheet?

A Yes.
Q So if we pulled up the spreadsheet today, you
```

would be able to show me where that is?
A Yes.
Q Are you a member of the State Board Prosecution
Team in the West Side matter?
A Am I?
MS. TEMPLE: To the extent that you know.
Objection to the extent it calls for communications with
legal counsel.
THE WITNESS: I think so. I --
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Are you a member of the
Prosecution Team in the BBID matter?
MS. TEMPLE: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: And same answer.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: So you think so. Do you know
who the other members of the team are?
A I believe that would be -- if I am, then it
is probably Brian Coats and Kathy Mrowka.
Q What have you done as a member of the
Prosecution Team?
A Showed up here.
Q Is there any other work that you've done that
you understand is part of the enforcement action for
West Side Irrigation District?
A Not yet.
Q And is there any of the work that you've done

```
that you understand is part of the enforcement action for BBID?

A No.
Q You said "not yet." Do you anticipate doing work for either of those?

A I am currently working on a witness statement that is still under attorney-client privilege and that will be provided when the testimony is due. Q Is it your intention, with respect to your testimony at the West Side hearing, to explain the spreadsheet analysis that you previously did or is it your intention to actually perform new work?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection to the extent this calls for attorney work product. You'll find out what his testimony is when you get the witness statement. Q BY MS. SPALETTA: I'm not asking you for anything that you communicated with your attorney, but I'm just asking you about the work that you did. A I don't know yet.

Q And the same question for the BBID matter.
A Also, I don't know yet.
Q Are you familiar with the Draft Cease and Desist
Order that was issued to West Side Irrigation District?
A Yes, somewhat.
Q Have you ever read it?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A & Briefly. \\
\hline Q & Did you have any part in drafting it? \\
\hline A & No. \\
\hline Q & And the same question for the BBID draft ACL? \\
\hline A & No -- well, yes, the same answers. \\
\hline Q & So you read it but you had no part in drafting \\
\hline it & \\
\hline A & Correct. \\
\hline Q & You told me that you discussed your spreadsheet \\
\hline & th Eleanor and Brian Coats. \\
\hline A & Yes. \\
\hline Q & Have you discussed the spreadsheet with anyone \\
\hline & the State Board? \\
\hline A & No. \\
\hline & Have you ever discussed the spreadsheet or the \\
\hline & gs from the spreadsheet with a member of the State \\
\hline & a board member? \\
\hline A & Board member, no. \\
\hline Q & How about with Tom Howard? \\
\hline A & No. \\
\hline Q & With John O'Hagan? \\
\hline A & Yes. \\
\hline Q & What discussions have you had with John O'Hagan? \\
\hline A & Primarily with him, it was creating -- more how \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{he wanted the visual presentation, how to make it look} \\
\hline & KATHRYN DAVIS \& ASSOCIATES 916.567.4211 2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

good to make it understandable to the public.
Other than the discussions regarding visual
presentation of the graph, did you have any other
discussions with Mr. O'Hagan?
A Not that I recall.
Q How about with Les Grober?
A None.
Q And Katherine Mrowka?
A Same thing. Also input on presentation of
the finally graph.
Q Did you have any discussions with people outside
of the State Water Resources Control Board regarding the
work in this spreadsheet?
A Yes.
Q Who?
A MBK.
Q Who at MBK?
A Marc Van Camp and Anne -- I'm not very good
with last names.
Q That is okay.
A It begins with an "L." I'll try to remember.
Q When did those discussions occur?
A A few times over -- in 2015. I don't recall
exactly when.
Q What was the content of those discussions?

```
```

A The structure of the database of the
spreadsheet and our analysis process.
Q What do you remember about the substance of the
discussions?
A Mostly for them to get an understanding of
how everything -- of how it worked and how we used
it.
Q Did someone provide the spreadsheet to them to
allow them to provide that input?
A It was available on the website.
Q So they contacted you after it was available on
the website?
A Yes.
Q Were they providing comments on the Water Rights
Use database that included the demand numbers or on
something else?
A Yes, the demand numbers.
Q Did they provide any comments on the supply
numbers?
A I don't recall.
Q So when you said the spreadsheet was posted on
the website, are you referring to the spreadsheet that
is just the WRUDS demand numbers or are you referring to
the Water Availability analysis spreadsheet that
includes both the supply and demand?

```
```

A Both.
Q We are going to get into detail about the
spreadsheets a little later on, but I'm going to keep
asking you some general questions.
Do you remember any more specifics about the
substance of your conversations with these two
individuals from MBK?
A No.
Q Did you make all of the changes that they
requested that you make?
A I don't recall. Any changes they requested
went through my supervisor. So there may have been,
you know -- I didn't directly do anything that MBK
asked for. Anything that was done was directed
through my supervisor.
Q Would there be some written record of what was
requested and what you did?
A I don't know.
Q When you made a change to the spreadsheet, did
you put a notation in there?
A When I thought it was important, yes.
Q So is it possible that there are some changes to
the spreadsheet that were made where there is no
notation?
A Yes.

```
```

Q And would you be able to show those to me when
we pull the spreadsheets out?
A I doubt it.
Q And do you remember anything about the changes
you made where there was no notation?
A I don't think -- either that the demand was
so low it was negligible and it didn't really matter
or it had nothing to do with the demand data.
Q What is an example of the change that had
nothing to do with the demand data?
A There are a couple of flags in there that I use
for -- well, there are some fields in there I use for
filtering that -- for my use that I can turn on and off.
It is kind of hard to explain without having a
spreadsheet in front of me.
Q Okay. We will get to that, then, and we will
pull it up. Do you have an understanding, as you sit
here today, what the purpose was of the spreadsheets
that you created?
A Yes.
Q What is your understanding?
A To have an idea of whether there is enough
water to meet all the demand of all the water right
holders that we looked at.
Q And who explained that purpose for you?

```
```

A Mr. Coats, my supervisor.
Q Anyone else?
A Various textbooks.
Q Do you have an understanding of the different
types of water that each water right is allowed to
divert?
A Yes.
Q What is your understanding of the type of water
that a riparian water right is allowed to divert?
A That they must be contiguous to their
property. They can't -- they can only use it on
their property. And it can't be stored. It has to
be direct -- directly used.
Q And where did that understanding come from?
A Textbooks and my supervisor, Brian Coats.
Q And do you have an understanding of the type of
water that an appropriative right holder can divert?
A Yes.
Q What is your understanding?
A That it does not necessarily have to be
contiguous to their property, they can store it and
use it on other properties.
Q Beyond that, do you have any more understanding
as to what types of water an appropriator can divert?
A I'm sure there is more but that is it right

```
```

now.

```
Q Does your understanding come from the same
sources that you previously described?
A Yes.
Q Do you understand that your spreadsheet captured
all of the different sources of water that are available
for an appropriator to divert?
A Yes.
Q What is that understanding based on?
A What is provided in the water rights use
data -- or in the eWRIMS are the water rights
database from the Water Board.
Q But the eWRIMS database only relates to demand,
correct?
A Right.
Q So my question is whether your spreadsheet
captured all the different types of water supply.
A It captures what \(I\) was told to capture by my
supervisors.
Q And do you have an understanding, one way or the
other, as to whether the types of water that you put in
the spreadsheet include all of the available types of
supply?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague.
THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Sure. You explained to me your understanding of the types of water that an appropriator can divert. Do you understand that the spreadsheet you created includes, in the supply section, all of the different types of water that an appropriator can divert?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
MS. MORRIS: Objection. Calls for a legal opinion.

THE WITNESS: I'm going to repeat my answer to that. I understand that water in there was -- the supply provided in that spreadsheet was the supply I was directed to put in there by my supervisor, Brian Coats. Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Okay. Let's go ahead. We are going to pull up on the screen the Water Availability section of the State Water Resources Control Board's website.

And we previously marked yesterday a printout of the file path that Mr. Coats explained to us. You can look at that.

MS. TEMPLE: It is Coats' Exhibit 5.
MS. SPALETTA: Oh, no. It is Coats' Exhibit 12.
MR. YOUNG: We are having some technical difficulties.

MS. SPALETTA: We are having technical
difficulties. Let's go off the record.
(Whereupon, a recess was then taken.)
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Back on the record.
Mr. Yeazell, we are back from a quick break and we are fixing our technical difficulties. But while we do that, I wanted to have you look at what we've marked as Exhibit 5 yesterday, which is a printout of the contents of the Water Availability subfile that was produced to us as part of the Public Records Act request.

So page 1 shows the four subset files of the Water Availability file. And then page 2 through 4 shows the contents of the 2015 subfile. I wanted to ask you a question about the contents of this 2015 subfile.

There are additional subfiles that are dated by the date of the three different curtailment notices that the State Board issued: April 23rd, May 1st and June 12th. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And then there are a variety of other subfiles.
And then there are numerous individual PDF and Excel files that are not grouped as part of those notice dates.

Are you familiar with all of the different files that are listed here?
```

A Not all of them.
Which ones are you familiar with?
A It is kind of hard to tell because some of

```
the names are truncated on the file names; but some
of those do look like supply demand graphs at
various -- for various analyses that we have done
through the course of this process.
Q So I want to ask you just some general questions
about how you saved the different Water Availability
analysis spreadsheets as you went over time. Can you
describe that process to me, please?
    MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Do you understand my question?
A Yeah. It is vague and ambiguous.
Q Okay. What would make the question clearer for
you?
A I don't know.
Q Well, let me try to ask it another way. I want
to make sure that you understand the question. We
received a bunch of files. They include spreadsheets
and they have different file names and they have
different dates.
    What I need to understand from you is what that
    means, so that we can look at the right spreadsheet. So
    I would like you to describe for me the process that you
went through to save different versions of your spreadsheet work.

A Well --
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: To save you time, a lot of this -you know, my understanding of the PRA request is that everything that is on the hard drive needs to go if it is associated with the request.

So a lot of this is just exploratory stuff that I created over time that just happened to be on the hard drive that the data requests. So a lot of it isn't really -- it was more for my use to figure out how to create or how to ultimately figure out my means to get to the end to make the spreadsheets.

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Okay.
A So that's what the bulk of that file is.
Q Do you understand that there was essentially a complete version of your spreadsheet that was saved at different dates in time to be used for the curtailment process?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.

THE WITNESS: There are several versions of the spreadsheet in the folder, yes.

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Well, let me ask you a more specific question. Do you understand that the State Water Resources Control Board curtailed certain water rights on April 23rd, 2015?

A Yes.
Q Do you understand that that curtailment decision was based on one of the spreadsheets that you created? A Yes. Q Do you know which spreadsheet it was based on?

A That would be -- the demands in all of the spreadsheets are essentially the same, so it could be from any spreadsheet. Yeah, I don't know. Q Okay. Let me ask a different line of questions. When you completed a spreadsheet that you believed was then used for a curtailment, did you post it to the website?

A I did no posting.
Q What did you do with the spreadsheet once you'd completed it?

A I provided it to Brian Coats or showed him where it was on the hard drive.

Q Do you know who made the decision regarding what to post to the website?

A No.
Q Do you know who made the decision as to when to
post spreadsheets to a website?
A No.
Q Do you know when your spreadsheets were actually posted to the website?

A Specifically on an exact date, no.
Q Do you know generally?
A No.
Q Who would have that information?
A Brian Coats.
Q Okay. Let's look, then, at the information that is posted to the State Water Resources Control Board's spreadsheets. We have pulled up the Water Availability link on the Drought Information page, which is the way that Brian Coats told us to get to this information as depicted on Exhibit 12.

Do you agree that this is the right way to go?
A I agree that is a way to go.
Q What is the other way?
A Just typing in --
Q Typing?
A Just typing in the url. There is more than one way to get to the same place.

Q Okay. So from here, we are going to click on the link that says Preliminary Supply and Demand Analysis Graphs for the 2015 Water Year. And now we
have a new page that is opened up entitled Watershed Analysis, and it includes a variety of links to documents. Are you familiar with this page? A Yes. Q So there is a screen shot of this page that is page 3 of Exhibit 12. You described for us your WRUDS database, which includes the demand information that you used in your spreadsheets. This page has two documents with the same title. The first is "2015 Informational Order and Demand Data Set" updated April 8th, 2015. And the second is "2015 Informational Order Demand Data Set," dated June 15, 2015.

A Okay. Yes.
Q Are you familiar with those data sets?
A I don't know what the underlying file names are, so no.

Q Let's go ahead and open the first one so you can look at it, which is the April 8th, 2015 data set. While it is downloading, I'll ask you a question.

One of these data sets is 12.7 megabytes. The other one is much larger, 41.534 megabytes. It says it has embedded calculations. Do you know what the difference is?

A That is exactly why. It has the calculations embedded in it.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Q & What does that mean, "embedded calculations"? \\
\hline A & That means it has all the spreadsheet \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{calculations in it.} \\
\hline Q & Can you give me an example, more specific? \\
\hline A & Without going into how you use Microsoft \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Excel, probably not.} \\
\hline Q & You can go into it. \\
\hline A & That has all the calculations that I used to \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{determine various calculations to come up with the} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{data set.} \\
\hline & Is the raw data the same in both of those data \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{sets?} \\
\hline & MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. \\
\hline & THE WITNESS: Which raw data? \\
\hline Q & BY MS. SPALETTA: You said that you took data \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{from eWRIMS and you created the WRUDS data set.} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & The raw data that came from eWRIMS, yes, that \\
\hline & is the same. \\
\hline & And you also explained various corrections or \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{modifications that you made to that data. Are those} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{corrections or modifications going to be the same} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{between the two?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Assumes facts not in evidence.} \\
\hline & THE WITNESS: No. Because as time goes by, you \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


A That contains all the diverter information, beneficial uses, their reported demands from eWRIMS for 2010 to 2013, water rights use types, diversion uses for 2010 through 2014, and various other calculation fields to get to the ultimate monthly demands that we use by each diversion. So basically what we used as the diversion amounts in our spreadsheets. Q So there are multiple columns here. We can't view them all at the same time on the screen. What I would like to do is we are going to go ahead and scroll over. I would like you to tell me to stop when we have gotten to the point where we are done with what you downloaded from eWRIMS, and we get to the point where you actually were doing something else that wasn't from eWRIMS.

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Do you understand the question?

A I do, but those are sort of intermingled. Q Okay. So we are just going to scroll over here. We have column \(A\), which is the ID for the application or the statement. We are going to scroll all the way over to column \(P\), which says "priority date."

Where did that information come from?
```

A That came from eWRIMS.
Scroll over some more and we get to column U.
It says "projects." What does that mean?
A That was just an internal column for me, just
so I had an idea of where -- so I knew what could
easily filter, what were some of the projects --
project water rights -- just a quick filter for my
personal use.
Q What were the different projects you were
looking for?
A Just the CVP, Central Valley Project, and the
State Water Project.
Q What did you do with that filter?
A Nothing.
Q The next column is B, "use_pow_flag." What was
that column for?
A That was for my "power only" evaluation. If it
was a "power only" water right, then I identified what
type of diversion it had. POS stands for point or place
of storage. So that tells me that that "power only"
water right stored water. It wasn't necessarily
drought-diverted.
Q What did you do with the information in this
column?
A That told me how to treat the demand.

```
```

Q What were the different options for how you
treated the demand?
A If the "power only" water right was direct
diversion only, we assumed that it did not or was
not consumptive use, so the demands were set to zero
for those water rights. So we took that demand out
of the database, essentially.
Q What were the other alternatives?
A The other one was PO, place of storage, point
of storage. And then the other one was combination
point of storage, point of diversion.
Q What did you do with the information, if it was
a POS?
A There, we assumed if it was point of storage,
that means they did store water. If it was "power
only," we -- by "we," I mean the staff. We assumed
that the amount -- I'm trying to put this in
layman's terms. The consumptive use or the demand
was the net of the reported diversion minus the
amount used. In other words, so we treated -- that
was the amount that was actually stored.
If the reported diversion was less than --
I'm not sure I have this right. So if the reported
diversion amount was greater than the amount
reported used for each month, the difference was

```
considered consumptive use, and that was considered the demand for the demand analysis.

If the amount used was greater than the amount diverted in a given month, that was considered no diversion because they were releasing. They weren't storing water. So we assumed that for that particular month, the demand was zero. Q So we are looking at just the first 25 rows of this spreadsheet. There are hundreds of rows, but we have in row 7 a POS designation. So if we scroll over, are we going to be able to see the computation that you just described?

A It is embedded in the cell.
Q In that cell?
A What you are looking at? If this is the small version, you won't be able to see it but you can see the result. All right. Click on that cell. Okay, yeah. This doesn't have the equations in it. That is why it is smaller. Q So if we open the larger version of this and we look at cell V 7, we should be able to see the computation that you just described?

A Provided it is in the same cell, yes.
Q Going to the next column, it says, "man_entry." What was this column for?


```

used for?

```

A That indicated the action taken on the results of the findings of the duplicate analysis. It would help if you could scroll down to one where there was actually -Q So I'm looking at column Y. Let's go ahead and scroll down until we see an entry. We have an entry in row 93, and the entry is a "Y."

A So that indicates that -- I assume that one was a duplicate.

Q And then the next column B, it says "dup_notes." What was the purpose of this column?

A I explained why I considered it was a duplicate.

Q All right. Where it says "see notes," does that mean we would have to scroll somewhere else?

A Yes, all the way to the end of this spreadsheet.

Q So we will get there. The next column AA is entitled, "reasonable_flag." What does that mean? A That was a -- that is where there is a formula that tests for potential overreporting based on the information that was available in eWRIMS for post-14 appropriative water rights. It compared the face value that was in eWRIMS to the total average
annual demand of the water rights. So it would be the total demand for the year of the average of the 2010 to 2013 data that we have in there.

If the demand was -- so if that demand was greater than the face value, the average monthly demand was reduced by that factor, so that the total annual demand would not exceed the face value for that water right. So, basically, we reduced demand so those demands could not exceed the water right. So that was one easy way to, you know, account for overreporting there and was reducing the net total demand for the water. Q Was that process used for permits and licenses? MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Post-14 permits and licenses, yes. Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Was that process used for statements?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: Different processes were used for statements. That only evaluated -- let me back up to the post-14 water rights. That only evaluated for rights that did have a value in the face value field in eWRIMS.

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Can we scroll down in this column AA until we get to one, so that we can see what
that looks like.
So we have a couple here. Row 82 in column -I'm sorry. Let's stop right here. Row AA in column -let's start again.

Row 10, column AA, there is an entry FV. So what did you do with this particular water right? A So that told me that the total average demand for the year exceeded the face value. Q And then in the next column, column \(A B\) entitled "reasonable_factor," there is an entry of 1.20. What does that mean?

A That the reported demand -- the average
reported demand exceeded the face value by 1.5
times.
Q 1.2 or 1.5 ?
A \(\quad 1.5\).
Q Are you looking at row 10 or row 17?
A I'm sorry. I'm looking at 17.
Q So in row 10 --
A -- that would be 1.2.
Q What does that tell us?
A That the average demand, the average annual demand exceeded the face value by 1.2 times. So it was 120 percent greater than the face value.

Q So then per your prior testimony, you made a
```

reduction to get it to }100\mathrm{ percent?
A To get it to one, yes.
Q All right. The next row or column, excuse me,
is AC. The title is "DIV_Factor." What was that column
for?
A That instructed the spreadsheet how to deal
with the demand. If you click on -- click on the
little triangle, the filter arrow just below the
factor. Yeah. So you can see at the bottom, there
are three different fields. There is "all," "net"
and "none."
The "all" flag indicates that the demand
should be essentially used as is after -- all the
demands should be used. This mostly concerns "power
only" diversions and duplicates. So "all" basically
I don't do any other modifications.
With "net," that is for the "power only"
where I describe the -- that includes just the
consumptive part of the "power only" diversions, as
I explained before. And "none," that is for the not
consumptive, direct diversion only power diversions,
or it is a duplicate that I removed from demand.
Q Thank you.
The next column is column AD and the title is
"factor_notes." What was the purpose of this column?

```
```

A That explains notes on why the particular
diversion factor was used. So I can see row 7 AC
says "net." And the reason being, it is the "power
only" point of storage, so I used the "net" value.
Q Now the decisionmaking that you've been
describing so far related to these power rights, was
that a decisionmaking authority that you had or did
someone else tell you to do that?
A I was told to do that.
Q By who?
A Brian Coats.
Q All right. Let's go over to the next column.
We are going to look at several columns at the same time
because they look similar. Would you look at column AE
through AM. What do these columns represent?
A Those are the reported diversions for
January, February, March, April, May, June, July and
August of 2010 from the eWRIMS database.
Q Did you make any modifications to the data in
these columns?
A No.
Q Let's scroll over some more. We have columns AN
through AP. Is that just the eWRIMS information for the
last three months of 2010?
A Yes.

```

```

diversion.
Q Was there any analysis done as part of your
spreadsheet effort to look at the use numbers from
eWRIMS reports?
A Only for power diversions, as I already
described.
Q So now let's scroll over a little more and look
at the columns beginning with CA. The CA column title
is "Jan_use_2010." What does this column include?
A That is the reported use from eWRIMS for the
month of January 2010.
Q So that is related to the question I just asked
you, right, about whether it was diversion information
or use information?
A Correct. So this is the use information.
Q So why did you include both of them?
A That is the data that was given to me, and
also it came into use for "power only" diversions as
I described before.
Q So let's keep scrolling over. It looks like you
have their eWRIMS use data for every month of 2010
through 2013; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q So that data populates the spreadsheet all the
way through column DV?

```
```

A Is that a question?
Q Yes.
A Oh, yes.
Q All right. Let's start looking at the next
column which is DW. The title on column DW is
"avg_div_jan." What is in this column?
A Those are the average diversions for January
per each water right for the four years in the data
set, so for 2010 to 2013.
Q What was the purpose of this column?
A To determine what the average diversions were
for that month.
Q And how was that information used?
A Ultimately, those would be the demands used
in analysis after various -- the adjustments we
already discussed were made.
Q Let's scroll over some more. I want to stop at
column EE. What does column EE represent?
A That is just the annual total of the
1 2 months of the diversions.
Q That is the average diversion?
A No, the annual total of the average
diversion.
Q The annual total of the average diversion for
the years 2010 through 2013?

```
```

A Yes.
Q Then let's move to column EJ. What does column
EJ, which is entitled "ave_use_jan" include?
A That is the average use for each water right
for the month of January 2010 through 2013.
Q And it looks like the next columns continue the
same data all the way through December?
A Yes.
Q And what was the purpose of the information in
these columns?
A Same thing. Those were provided in the data
set download and also used for "power only"
diversion evaluations.
Q Other than the "power only" issue, was this
average use data for the other water rights utilized in
the supply and demand analysis?
A No.
Q Why not?
A I don't know.
Q The next column we will look at is column EV
which is entitled "demand_JAN." What does this column
include?
A That is the demand used in the Supply/Demand
Analysis spreadsheet.
Q And how did each of these cells get populated?

```
```

A You have to scroll all the way back to the
beginning of the spreadsheet. Based on all the
different adjustments made from the previous columns
-- once again, it follows through from the equation
that you can't see on this spreadsheet. But if the
power is "all," then it is just -- I'm sorry. It
is -- I can't explain it without going back to the
other columns.
Q So you asked us previously to click on the
little arrow button that is in the top of these columns.
What do you call that thing?
A The filter.
Q The filter. What is the purpose of the filter?
A That is just a standard thing that you can
use in Microsoft to filter. It is just like a query
so I can filter out specific water rights based on
-- it is a filter.
Q Did you use a filter for these purple columns
that we are looking at starting with column ED?
A Of course.
Q So let's open the filter for January.
MS. TEMPLE: Jennifer, if we could take a break
at the next appropriate moment. Thanks.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Sure. What was the purpose of
the filter that you have here in column ED?

```

A It is No purpose. It is just there. If you have a filter for one column, you have it for all of them.

Q You didn't use it?
A I could use it to sort demand filters to see who is high, who is low.

MS. SPALETTA: All right. Let's take a break.
(Whereupon, a recess was then taken.) Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Okay. We just took a quick break and now we are back. We are looking at the same spreadsheet which is the "infoorder_demand_nocalcs.2" Excel spreadsheet. We are on the first tab. We are looking at purple columns and starting with column EV.

The first question: There are dashes in some of these columns. What does the dash mean?

A Zero.
Q Let's go over and scroll over to the end of the purple columns. We have a column entitled "FHdemand_total." What does this column include?

A That is the annual, the total of the 12 months of the demand -- of the 12 previous demand columns.

Q And this is the demand that was actually used for the supply and demand analysis, correct?


A The largest total demand on top, so the largest annual demands on top.

Q Got it. So the column right next to it, which
is column FI entitled "demand_apr-sep," what does that column include?

A The demands, the total demands from April through September. Q So the first three applications here have total demands for April through September of approximately 4 million acre feet; is that correct?

A If that is what the numbers say, yes.
Q And then the next 10 or 20 or so add up to another several million acre feet, correct?

A If that is what the numbers say. I don't have a calculator in front of me.

Q As part of the QA/QC process, did you or anyone else that you are aware of contact the owners of these water rights to verify these numbers?

A No. We relied on the demand data in the eWRIMS to be true and accurate as required to be reported --

Q Okay.
A -- by the diverters.
Q Have you done any estimates of what a 5 or 10 -percent change in these numbers would have on the supply and demand analysis?

A No.
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Compound, vague and
ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: No.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Were you asked to do that?
A No.
Q All right. Let's unsort, so the data goes back to the way it was as we were looking at it. How do we unsort, Mr. Yeazell?

A I don't know what you did. What do you mean?
MR. VERGARA: You have to reverse whatever he did in that column.
(Whereupon, discussion held off the record.)
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: All right. Let's go back and
scroll over to what we were looking at. We just finished talking about column FH and FI , so let's look at the next column which is column FJ entitled "notes."

What is this column?
A Notes.
Q Who put the notes in this column?
A \(\quad\) Me.
Q Anyone else?
A No.
Q Let's scroll down and look at an example of your notes. So we have an example at row 93 related to application 1232. And the note says, "Collections to storage for irrigation and \(M\) \& \(I\) uses under the
```

District's licenses are also reported as collection
storage under the District's licenses for power since
they have the same place of storage."
What was the purpose for this note?
A I don't recall without more context.
Q Do these notes explain any modifications that
you made?
A Yes.
Q Where would the modification appear?
A One of the previous columns.
Q Which one?
A I don't know off the top of my head. You'd
have to scroll.
Q Please scroll back. Would it have appeared in
the purple columns?
A No.
Q In the bright blue?
A No.
Q In the light lavender?
A No.
Q Now we are in the --
A Okay. So it would have to be in the factor
notes. Move the cell over one more time.
Q I'm sorry. I didn't hear.
A I'm sorry. There is more information in the

```
factor notes. So that tells me that it was a "power only" place of storage, and that I used a net storage.

But the notes indicate that \(I\) had to change -- that \(I\) ended up changing that to "none," meaning the demand was removed for whatever the notes say. But I don't recall exactly what or how -- what happened for me to put that note in there.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Can I ask a favor? Can you scroll back and tell us who owns the license or the application?

MS. TEMPLE: For the record, we are talking about column AD entitled "factor notes," right?

MS. SPALETTA: Correct.
MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Go back to 93.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: We are going to row 93. We are going to scroll all the way back to \(B\) to see who owns that.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Thank you. Thanks. Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Okay. Now, we are going to scroll all the way to the last column of the spreadsheet that we were looking at, which was "notes." Are there any more columns to this spreadsheet?

A Nope. That should be the last one.
So let's go to the "notes" column. And let's
```

scroll down until we find a note that is in the
statements section. And just to be clear for the
record, it looks like the data is organized as all of
the application numbers first and then the statement
numbers; is that correct?
A It can be -- with the sorting ability, it can
be organized however you want. So right now it is,
yes.
Q Okay. So we have located a note that is
associated with statement 2909 which is row 7735 and
column FJ. Can you describe for me what this note is
and what its purpose was?
A It appears that I made some adjustment to the
demand. Without looking at the rest of the
spreadsheet, I couldn't tell you.
Q There is a name. It is E. Mortazavi. Is that
someone's name?
A Oh, that is a coworker.
Q Did your coworker make this change?
A She asked that I make that change.
Q And what is the first name of the coworker? Is
that Eleanor?
A No, that is not Eleanor. That is -- Emily, I
believe.
Q What was your interaction with Emily with

```
```

respect to this spreadsheet?
A They were using it for some of their studies
or some of their work. I don't know exactly what.
Q Who is "they"?
A Other units in the Water Rights.
Q And what unit does she work in?
A I don't recall.
Q So did you share this spreadsheet with her and
then she requested the change?
A It was available to anyone on the floor in
the Division.
Q All right. We have now looked at all of the
columns in this first tab. We are going to look at the
second tab which is entitled "legend." What information
is on this sheet entitled "legend"?
A Everything I just explained. It just
explains what all the tabs are, what all the columns
are for the WRUDS for the previous worksheet. And
it also includes the formulas.
Q It also includes the what?
A The formulas for the previous sheet.
Q Okay.
A It just shows what they are.
Q We have scrolled down to row 42 in column B.
And it says, "AC (for riparian and pre-14 rights.) The

```
```

annual duty that avg_div_total would provide for
irrigation is greater than 8 acre feet per acre based on
acreage in net_acres."
What does this refer to?
A That is part of the reasonableness check for
statement holders that have a reported net acreage.
Same sort of thing as its face value, a face value
reasonable check. It basically checks to see if --
that the flag identifier, if the total annual
average diversion is greater than the 8 acres. So
if the demand is greater than 8 acre feet per acre,
based on the acreage -- net acreage listed in
eWRIMS, the same sort of reduction was done, as was
done for the post-14 as their face value.
Q When you say the same sort of deductions, Do you
mean the demand was reduced to be no more than 8 acre
feet per acre?
A Correct.
Q Where did the 8 acre feet per acre come from?
A My supervisor, Brian Coats.
Q And do you have any more information about where
he got it?
A No.
Q Do you have an opinion about whether it is
correct or not?

```
```

A I have no opinion.
All right. We are going to go to the next
entitled "change log." What information is contained in
the "change log" tab?
A That just includes a summary of changes I
made as information becomes known to us on the
demands. It is on the date that it occurred on the
left and what I did on the right.
Q I want to ask you about row 8, February 5th,
2015. "Added rights in Legal Delta that are outside of
the Sacramento and San Joaquin Watershed."
Can you explain in greater detail what you did?
A There is a little -- leet's see. There is a
part of the Legal Delta map that is not within the
Sacramento Delta or San Joaquin Delta Watershed -- sort
of around Suisun. So they were not accounted for in the
previous model, so I added those into the model.
Q When you say "not accounted for" in the
watershed, what are you referring to as the defined
watershed?
A The watershed boundaries that I used in the
analysis.
Q And where did those watershed boundaries come
from?
A A map in ArcGIS system or a layer in ArcGIS

```
system.
Q So when you added those rights, how did that end up affecting the supply and demand analysis that you performed?

A Probably negligible.
Q Which analysis did those demands get included in?

A Any analysis that involved the Delta.
Q All right. You say it was "probably negligible." Did you actually add them up?

A I don't recall.
Q Would there be a way for us to add them up?
A Right here, right now? Probably not.
Q How did you code the watershed areas that they were associated with?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: I don't understand the question. Q BY MS. SPALETTA: So you said that they were not associated with the two watersheds. And I recall a prior column in the first tab of this spreadsheet that had the watershed designation, the HUC designation.

Do you recall that?
A Yes.
Q So how did you code the HUC designation with these water rights -- or did you?

A They would be assigned an area flag of Legal Delta.

Q Then the row 10 was an April 2nd, 2015 entry:
"Adjusted spreadsheet to use 2014 monthly diversion data provided by responders to order 2015-0002-DWR."

What did you do here?
A That is where \(I\) replaced that annual average demand with the actual 2014 demands reported on by those diverters who are subject to Information Order 2015-0002-DWR.

Q Now, the Information Order also asked people to respond by including their projected 2014 monthly diversion data. Do you understand that?

A Yes.
Q Did you do anything with the projected 2014 diversion data?

A No.
Q I'm sorry. 2015 data, the projected 2015
diversion data.
A In this spreadsheet?
Q Yes.
A No.
Q In any other spreadsheet?
A No.
Q Did you ever analyze the difference between the

```

A Yes.
How did you determine that there was a need to
make this change?
A Through looking at the POD locations in the
ArcGIS system. When I selected a group of PODs that
were supposed to be in the Sacramento area, I
noticed that this one particular POD also appeared
in the San Joaquin, which is much farther south than
Sacramento. And that indicated to me that this may
be mapped incorrectly.
Q So what you've just described tells me that you
went through a process of actually looking at where
these PODs are on a map.
A Correct.
Q Why did you do that process?
A To make sure the PODs were located in the
right watersheds.
Q Did you look at that map to determine if there
were multiple water rights with the same POD?
MS. TEMPLE: Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: Not with that intention, no.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Did you ever conduct that
analysis?
A No.
Q Why not?

```

A I wasn't directed to.
Q Actually before we go on, this mapping exercise that you undertook, do we have copies of the maps that you looked at somewhere in the PRA response?

A I don't think \(I\) ever even saved them.
Q So let's look at the next tab which is entitled "manual entries." What is in this tab?

A Exactly that. Other entries that I entered manually, where they did not exist in eWRIMS. You see a lot of statements there where they were entered -- their primary or initial statement, that data was not populated in eWRIMS yet. So I had to enter that information manually. Those are for some of them, but that is an example.

Q So I'm going to ask Mr. Young, who is sitting next to me, to freeze columns \(A\) and \(B\) and then scroll over.

So we have A, B and C on the screen and we are going to scroll over. We are scrolling through the columns. These have the same information that we saw in the first tab. So is it correct to assume that we are looking at information that was from eWRIMS or to be entered into eWRIMS from the statement?

A It was constructed the same, just so I could copy and paste easier from one to the other.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Q We have gotten to column \(H\) which} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{"adg_div_total." What does this column represent?} \\
\hline A & The same information as on WRUDS. That would \\
\hline & total average reported diversions. \\
\hline Q & For what time period? \\
\hline A & Two thousand -- \\
\hline Q & I'm sorry. \\
\hline A & I have to -- I have to remember where I am. \\
\hline Q & I think there is a formula. Was it 2010 through \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2014?} \\
\hline & No, you are in the wrong column. Yeah. \\
\hline Q & So I'm looking at column EH which is entitled, \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{"average diversion total."} \\
\hline A & So, yeah. That is the total average \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{diversions 2010 through 2013 purported by the} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{diverters.} \\
\hline & I'm looking at row 13, which is statement 24533, \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Sierra Pacific Industries, with an average diversion of} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{a little over a million acre feet a year; is that} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{correct?} \\
\hline A & That is correct. \\
\hline Q & Was that demand added to the demand data set? \\
\hline A & That is not correct. \\
\hline Q & Okay. What was done with this demand? \\
\hline A & You have to scroll further to the right. It \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
seems a bit high, doesn't it?
MR. O'LAUGHLIN: A lot of dust control.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: So we have scrolled over to column FG which says "demand total." And it includes the number for row 13 of a little over a million acre feet. How was this number used?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Q BY MS. SPALETTA: How was the number used?

A I don't recall off the top of my head.
Q Do you need to scroll over further to the notes? We have now scrolled over to the notes column F1. And the note says, "J. Mazell, 2013 diversion amount added from the initial statement of 2/26/2015."

A Now you have to go to the main database to look up that water right to see what happened to it. Q For this particular water right, did you do any QA/QC to verify the amount?

A I can't recall.
MS. SPALETTA: Mr. O'Laughlin would like to interrupt because he has a specific question.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: So are you saying if we scrolled back to the original starting point, we would see whether or not that million acre feet, plus or minus, was actually included within the --

THE WITNESS: Right, yeah. I can't answer
questions on specific water rights.
MR. O'LAUGHLIN: From here?
THE WITNESS: From there, right.
MR. O'LAUGHLIN: From here, right.
Q
BY MS. SPALETTA: So we will go ahead and do that right now. We are going to go to the first tab and we'll do a search for statement 24533.

MR. TAURIAINEN: While we are doing that, can I ask the deponent -- I want to make sure I get his lunch order so we can get lunch quicker today. Just 15 seconds.
(Whereupon, brief interruption in proceedings.) Q BY MS. SPALETTA: We can go back on the record.

We are scrolling through the first column of the first tab to try and find statement No. 24533. We have located it in row 15192. There we are.

So we will scroll over to find out the information associated with this row. And we look at column FH and see the number 2. So Mr. Yeazell, can you explain to us what that means?

A That demand looks a lot better, doesn't it?
Q So what happened?
A You have to scroll back to the beginning of this spreadsheet, so I can look at the adjustment factors.


Q Okay. So it has a flag in column \(W\) for "manual
entry," a "yes." What other column do we need to look at?

A Hmm. That is interesting. Do we have the right application ID number?

Q 24533, Sierra Pacific Industries, dust control.
24533. So by "manual entry," does that mean that you went in and put the "2" in there.

A I know I did something to make the demand more reasonable. And 2 acre feet per year is a lot better than 1 million acre feet, especially for dust control. So the diverter should be happy for that.

Okay. So basically I think what we have learned from this is that whatever the numbers are in this first tab, those are the ones that actually got used in the supply and demand analysis?

A Yes, that is correct.
Q All right. Now we have another question. We are back on the "manual entries" sheet. You previously told me that you made some changes to the spreadsheet based on input you received from MBK Engineers. Are those reflected here in the "manual entry" tab?

A I don't see them in that one.
Q Would they be reflected, though, in the "manual entry" tab in another version?

now.
But let's go to the next item under which is entitled, "Combined Sacramento/San Joaquin Watershed with Delta." Open that up and it is a graph. Is this a graph that you prepared?

A Yes.
Q And is there a supporting spreadsheet for this graph?

A Being that the demand and the supply are -yes.

Q So if we go back to the website, we see right underneath this graph, there is a link to a supporting analysis spreadsheet. Is that the spreadsheet that supports the graph?

A Without looking at it, I can't answer. Q Well, I don't want to open that one because I need to ask you another question first. Do you know which one of these graphs and spreadsheets was used to support the May 1st curtailment?

A No.
Q So we are going to go, then, with what Mr. Coats told us yesterday. Mr. Coats told us yesterday that it was the "Sacramento River with Prorated Delta Demand" analysis that related to the May 1st curtailment. And you see that link under "Sacramento River Watershed" on
this page?
A Okay. Yes.
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: We are going to go ahead and open up that "Sacramento River With Prorated Delta Demand" and that opens up a graph. We previously marked this yesterday as Exhibit --

MR. VERGARA: 13.
MS. SPALETTA: 13.
MS. McGINNIS: I don't think they are the same.
MS. ZOLEZZI: One is black and white.
MS. MORRIS: They have a different date.
MS. SPALETTA: I believe they have a different date on the bottom because when we printed, it printed the date that we printed it.

MS. MORRIS: For the record, Exhibit 13 is not really what is on the screen. You can see it has the "D" down here. And the line where it says, "projected senior demand" on the bottom right-hand corner, what is on the screen is dashed where as here it is not dashed.

MS. SPALETTA: Okay. So what we are going to do is we are going to go ahead and print what we are seeing on the screen. And we will make that a new exhibit and we will print it in color.

Q Mr. Yeazell, the graph that we see on the screen that we will mark as Exhibit 27, is this the graph that you prepared?

A Yes.
MS. MORRIS: Counsel, it appears to be marked as Exhibit 11, what you were projecting on the screen. Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Mr. Yeazell, could you look at Exhibit 11 to see if that is the graph you were projecting on the screen?

A Yes.
Q Okay, good. Then we don't have to mark another exhibit. Then we have Exhibit 11 from yesterday, which is the "Sacramento River Basin Supply/Demand Analysis With Proportional Delta Demand," which you testified you prepared.

Now, there was a spreadsheet link under this graph on the website, so let's go back to the website. We are going to open up the Supporting Analysis Spreadsheet.

MS. TEMPLE: For the record, the link you just clicked on is on page 3 of Exhibit 12 entitled Supporting Analysis Spreadsheet, 18.31 megabytes, correct?

MS. SPALETTA: Correct.
So we have opened up the Supporting Analysis

Spreadsheet and it has a file name of "sacprorated.xlsx." Do you recognize this spreadsheet? A Yes.

Q And is it the spreadsheet that supports the graph that we previously marked as Exhibit 11?

A Yes.
Q Now this spreadsheet has multiple tabs. One of the tabs is entitled "Chart" and it shows us the chart, but it does look a little bit different than what we marked as Exhibit 11, right? Are the differences other than just display issues?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
Assumes facts not in evidence. Are you talking about where it says "Projected Senior" and the "d" is falling down? That is a solid line with a dash, so it is not the same as Exhibit 11.

MS. SPALETTA: Correct. It looks like it is the same as Exhibit 13.

MS. TEMPLE: Is there a question pending?
MS. SPALETTA: I'm trying to formulate one.
MS. TEMPLE: Okay.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Mr. Yeazell, can you tell us whether there is any substantive difference between the graphs that we have marked as Exhibit 11 and Exhibit 13?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
```

    THE WITNESS: I don't see any.
    BY MS. SPALETTA: So the chart that is depicted
    on the screen, is it the same as what we have marked as
Exhibit 13?
A Yes.
MS. TEMPLE: Except Exhibit 13 is in black and
white.
MS. SPALETTA: Can we have a stipulation on the record to replace Exhibit 13 with a color copy of the -graph?
MS. TEMPLE: Yes.
MS. SPALETTA: We will do that at the next break.
MS. TEMPLE: Sure. BY MS. SPALETTA: What $I$ would like to do at this point is start with this chart, Mr. Yeazell, and have you walk us through where the information is that ends up getting depicted on the chart.
So let's start with the demand information. At the bottom of the chart, there is a light yellow area entitled, "Riparian Demand." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What does that light yellow area represent?
A The riparian demand.
Q Where?

```
```

A In the Sacramento River Basin with parts of
it in the Delta.
Q Now is there another tab in this spreadsheet
that includes the data compilation that results in the
light yellow area on the chart?
A Yes.
Q Where is that?
A For the riparian, it would be -- can you
scroll the tabs over to the left?
Q Yes.
A It would be a combination of the "WRUDS 2015"
tab and the "Delta senior combining" tab.
Q So we are going to open the "Delta senior
combined" tab. This tab looks very similar to the
"WRUDS" tab that we previously went through, as far as
the columns. Am I correct in that?
A Yes.
Q What are the differences?
A This spreadsheet just has the demands for the
Legal Delta in it.
Q So where do you get the numbers that are
represented in the "riparian demand" in the light yellow
on the chart?
A So if you scroll to the left a little bit --
okay, stop. And you select "riparian." You filter

```
the "riparian," just check on "riparian" which it already is. So only the riparians are shown -- the only water rights that have a riparian claim as indicated in eWRIMS are selected.

And if you scroll all the way to the bottom to the right, you will see the subtotals of the demands. And you scroll all the way to the bottom of the table.

Q The graph we are looking at, though, on Exhibit 13, does it only represent the Delta demand in the Delta or does it represent riparian demand in the larger area than the Delta?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Compound.
THE WITNESS: Yes, a portion of the Delta plus -- it includes demand from the Delta and the Sacramento River Basin.

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: So the tab we are looking at here is entitled, "Delta senior combined." Does that include both of those types of riparian demands?

A No, just the Delta.
Q So where is the number that was used for each month?

A Those are the subtotals. And right now it is filtered at row 2405. So those are the Delta riparian demands. And then those numbers are copied
```

into the -- scroll to the right a bit -- "demand

``` summary."

Q Let's take a representative month. We have got demand in June of 176,421 acre feet. So if we open up the "demand summary" tab, where do we find that number.

A So you see it at "Delta, June."
Q Got it.
A Is it the same number?
Q Yes.
MS. TEMPLE: So E7, for the record?
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Correct. Now looking at this "demand summary" tab, there is also a row for "Delta south of Mossdale." And the number there is 1780. Where does that number come from?

A That will come from your main -- that will come from your "riparian demand pivot" tab. You can close the pivot window. It is already set up.

So I filter -- so basically that queries the WRUDS, the main tab, the WRUDS -- whichever that first one is -- yeah, that one, the "WRUDS 2015-08-28" tab. So that queries that. So with the filters up top, I can filter out the Delta. So the area is the Delta south of Mossdale.

Q Why were you filtering for that area?
A I was directed to treat that -- even though
```

it is within the Legal Delta, I was directed to
treat that as part of the San Joaquin.
Q Let's go back to the "demand summary." You said
you were directed to treat it as part of the San
Joaquin. Why is it in the "demand summary" for the
"Sacramento River Basin With Proportional Delta Demand"?
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: In this case, for this particular
spreadsheet, I was directed to.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: By whom?
A Brian Coats.
Q Do you know why?
A No.
Q So then looking again at this Demand Summary
Spreadsheet and looking at column E, row 5, there is a
number for June for Sacramento at 62,858. Where does
that number come from?
A That comes from the "WRUDS" tab by way of the
"riparian demand pivot."
Q So there is another tab called "riparian demand
pivot." We will open that. How would you use this tab
to get to that number?
A So that pivot table just queries the "WRUDS
demand" table. And you select that top filter, you
select that to be "Sacramento." You unselect "Delta

```
```

south of Mossdale."
Q Close the filter?
A Yes, close the filter.
Q So if we scroll down to the end of June, we see
62,858.
A Okay.
Q So going back to our chart. Our chart in June,
the light yellow area appears to be somewhere in the,
what, 2800 CFS range; is that right?
A Approximately.
Q So where is that number coming from that is
driving the depiction on the chart, the 2800 CFS?
A That would be from the "chart data" tab.
Q We open the "chart data" tab. Can you show me
exactly where that is?
A So you would to scroll down to a particular
date.
Q Let's look at June. On June 1st, walk us
through what we are seeing there on row 105 on the
"chart data" tab.
A So you have your date, your riparian demand
in acre feet, your pre-14 demand in acre feet, and
other post-14 demand for 1927, post-14 demand for
1948, point column, riparian demand in CFS, pre-14
demand in CFS. And I believe these are

```
```

accumulative.
Q What does that mean?
A They are just added together. So the pre-14
-- "all" is riparian, plus pre-14.
Q What is the difference between the post-14 A, B
and C?
A They are just different. I can add or --
they are different lines I can plot on the map just
to compare, just to show what the total demand is up
to that priority date.
Q What priority date did you select for the cutoff
of the A, B and C date?
A C wasn't used. In this particular
spreadsheet, I used 1927 and 1948.
Q I am sorry. What?
A C was not used. In this particular
spreadsheet, I used 1927 and 1948.
Q As Group A or Group B?
A A is 1927; B is 1948.
Q Why did you pick those two dates?
A No particular reason. Those seemed to match
the area of the -- I guess that is a reason. That
seemed to be bracketing the area where the full
natural flow was at that time.
Q I want to make sure I understand this. Were you

```
```

trying to see how much of the demand could be covered by
what you were computing as full natural flow on given
dates?
A Correct.
Q Is that break of dates reflected on the chart?
Let's go back to the chart which, I guess, is
Exhibit 13. Can we see that break here?
A Yeah. The red-dashed line is 1927. The
solid-red line is 1948.
Q So you only looked at that information after the
end of September?
A No, we just plotted it after September.
Q But you did look at it earlier in the year?
A Yes.
Q Was there any effort to plot it earlier in the
year?
A For the -- it is kind of a vague question.
Q Was it plotted before the end of September?
A Not for this particular spreadsheet that we
are looking at.
Q Why not?
A I wasn't directed to.
Q Okay. Let's go back to your chart data. On the
chart, there is the "riparian demand" in the light
yellow and there is a darker color for the "pre 1914

```
```

demand." Can we see here on this "demand summary" tab
where the data is that results in the "pre 1914 demand"
as it is depicted in the chart?
A That would be the columns that are entitled,
"pre-14 all."
Q Which is column M?
A Or H or C. Those are basically just the data
points used to construct the graph.
MR. O'LAUGHLIN: But doesn't H include the
"riparian demand," not just the "pre-14"?
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: Yes, but that was used to create
the "post-14" line.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: So we have now reviewed the
data that has been used to depict the "riparian demand"
and the "pre-1914 demand" on our chart, Exhibit 13. And
we have also reviewed the data that was used to depict
the red lines for the 1948 and 1927 data, pre-1914
subsets. Is there any other demand data depicted on
this chart? I think there is. There is the green line?
A Correct.
Q So there are two different labels for the green
line on the chart. The first label is "adjusted
senior." And the second label is "projected senior."
What does "adjusted senior" refer to?

```
```

A That is the reduction or the difference in
demand from what was originally reported for the
2010 through 2013 eWRIMS data. And with the 2014
Informational Order, data demands included -- and
these are the reductions or the change, looking at
what was actually diverted in 2013 as reported by
the diverters under the Information Order.
Q So just to clarify. The green line that is
entitled "adjusted senior" is the actual }2015\mathrm{ diversion
information as reported on the monthly Information Order
reports in 2015?
A Correct.
Q And where is that information in the tabs of
this spreadsheet?
A Further to the right.
Q Which tab?
A Keep going. That would be in your "2015
pre-14 actual" and "2015 riparian actual."
Q For people who reported both riparian and
pre-1914 right, how did you treat their demand data?
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Do you understand the
question?
A I understand the question. For what -- for
which holders?

```
```

Q Okay. So let's start with these actual use
numbers from 2015 provided in the Information Order.
Did you do anything with this data for people who
claimed both the riparian and pre-1914 rights?
A No.
Q So this data just comes straight out of what
they populated on the website when they filled out their
report?
A For their 2015 diversions, yes.
Q So if someone reported diverting under a
pre-1914 right, it shows up in your pre-1914 actual
data?
A Did you say "2015 actual data"? Under which
tab?
Q Let me repeat the question.
If someone filling out the Information Order
reported a diversion in 2015 under a pre-1914 right, it
shows up in the tab entitled "2015 pre-1914 actual"?
A Correct.
Q And then if someone is filling out the same
report, reported diversion under the riparian cell, it
shows up as "2015 riparian actual"?
A Correct.
Q Now going back to the WRUDS database, which has
all the application and statement information in it,

```
```

isn't it true that some people who filled out statements
claimed both a riparian and pre-1914 right?
A Yes.
Q But they only reported their diversions as one
lump sum, right?
A Correct.
Q How did you treat those diversions for the
people who claimed both the riparian and pre-1914
rights?
A As riparians.
Q And was that a decision that you made?
A No.
Q Who told you to do that?
A My supervisor, Brian Coats.
Q Was there any discussion about that?
A No.
Q All right. So turning back to our chart which
is Exhibit 13, we have talked about the "adjusted senior
demand" which is green. Now let's talk about the
"projected senior," which is also a green line but
covers actually the October through November time frame.
Where is that data noted?
A "IO 2015 adjustment" tab.
Q Walk us through what is depicted on this "IO
2015 adjustment" sheet.

```

A So basically this workbook -- the 2015 data was more for looking at water availability to release the notices of unavailability. So to get an idea of what -- we use that information, the 2015 actual diversions, to see what those under the Informational Order actually used and see if we could reduce demand even more to release -- to bring -- I'm sorry -- to use more current data basically from the Information Order to see what the demand looked like.

Q All right. Looking at this tab on the "IO 2015 adjustments" and let's just take June as representative here. We have a number of 12,942 in cell E 6. Does that represent the actual acre feet of diversions reported on the Information Order for the Sacramento area in June?

A No.
Q That is 2014 data. Okay. Sorry.
Are those the same numbers that we looked at before for the riparians?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. Q BY MS. SPALETTA: For 2014.

A Without comparing the two side by side --
they should be but \(I\) can't say.
Q Let's just walk through this. The first part of
```

this table, which is rows 5 through 12, what does it
represent?
A The riparian diversions for 2014.
Q And when we look at row 9, it is entitled,
"Return flow adjustment" and it has an adjustment of
6 0 percent. What is that?
A That was the adjustment made for the Delta
demand, assuming a 40-percent return flow.
Q Who made that decision to put the 60 percent in
there?
A I don't know.
Q Who told you to do it?
A Brian Coats.
Q Did you discuss it with anyone?
A No.
Q Do you have an opinion regarding its accuracy?
A I have no opinion.
Q The next row is row 10. It says "FNF ratio" and
it has the number 82.8 percent. What does that
represent?
A That is the contribution of the Sacramento River
Watershed full natural flow to the Delta based on a
90-percent full natural flow exceedance forecast from
the Bulletin 120 DWR documents.
Q Can you put your curser on 82.8 percent. So

```
that is a hard-entered number, it appears. There is no formula, right?

A Right.
Q Where is the formula that gives us that number?
A That is in another spreadsheet.
Q Which one?
MS. TEMPLE: He is looking at Exhibit 5.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, it is in a different folder.
BY MS. SPALETTA: Which folder is it in?
I think it is the "water supply."
You are looking at Exhibit 5, which is file list of some of the items produced in the Public Records Act request. So we are going to go ahead and pull that up and see if we can locate the proper file. It is the last one on there.

So we have pulled up the contents of the first PRA request. It has various subfiles. Should we be looking in the Water Availability subfile?

A Yes.
Q We'll open that up. And our four choices are: 1977 drought report, 2014, 2015, or unimpaired flow.

A Unimpaired flow.
Q Then we have a list of several files on the screen. Which file would contain the formulas that resulted in the percentages we were just discussing?
```

A Okay. I'm not seeing it there.
Q This is something that you want to peruse over
the lunch hour maybe.
A Yeah. There it is (indicating.)
Q So you are looking at Exhibit 5?
A Yes. I'm looking at Exhibit 5. Water
Availability. Are we in Water Availability.
Q Okay.
A 2015 -- 20150612 notice. And then WY 2014-
2015, the CDEC supply tables. And if you scroll to
the last tab.
Q This tab is entitled, "B 120 - May }201
forecast"?
A Yes.
Q What are we looking at in this tab?
A Can you shrink it a bit, so we can see more?
There we go. So each of those tables are the full
natural flow forecasts from the May Bulletin 120
from the Department of Water Resources for each of
the various stations.
If you scroll down a bit -- keep going. If you go to the "combined 90-percent table." So there we have -- so for each month, we have the full natural flow of the four Sacramento stations, and then the full natural flow of the San Joaquin Basin

```
stations.
Q Okay.
A There we go. And then we have the total full
natural flow at the 90 -percent exceedance level.
And then the proportion of that total for the
Sacramento and then the San Joaquin basins.
Q So we see in this chart for June 2015, you have
the percentage calculated. It represents Sacramento at
82.8 percent which matches the percentage we were just
looking at, correct?
A Correct.
Q And that includes the formula. That cell
includes the formula?
A Yeah. You can see it there on top.
Q Cell E 122.
A Okay.
Q Who came up with this methodology?
    MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Who came up with the
methodology that is depicted in the tab we are looking
at, which is "B 120 - May 2015 forecast"?
    MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
    THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
    Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Let me ask it differently. It
    is probably not being asked well. Who told you to do
```

this?

```
A My supervisor.
    MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
    THE WITNESS: My supervisor, Brian Coats.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Did he tell you why?
A Yes.
Q What did he tell you?
A How some sort of estimate as to how much each
greater watershed contributes full natural flow to
the Delta.
Q Any more to the explanation than that?
A No.
    MS. SPALETTA: All right. Let's go ahead and
close this file. It is 12:01. We'll take our lunch
break and when we come back, we will get back into
it.
                    (Whereupon, a lunch recess was taken.)
                    MS. SPALETTA: Back on the record after lunch
break. We took advantage of the lunch break to mark
two exhibits. One was a replacement of Exhibit 13,
which was a color version of the graph that is
associated with the spreadsheet we have been
reviewing from the State Board website entitled
"sacprorated.xlsx."
    We also marked Exhibit 27, which is a
```

printout of the chart from the "demand summary" tab
of the same spreadsheet, "sacprorated.xlxs.
(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 27 was
marked for identification.)
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Mr. Yeazell, can you just
confirm that Exhibit 27 is, in fact, a printout of the
chart?
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. Q BY MS. SPALETTA: I'm noticing it only has the first two categories. It doesn't have the Information Order and the demand data set, so I think we will need to reprint this one. Did you notice that as well?
A Can you repeat the question?
Q Sure. The printout $I$ just gave you doesn't appear to contain everything in this tab.
A Correct.
Q It just has the riparian and pre-1914 demand for March through September, and then the pre-1914 only demand?
A Correct.
Q As far as the information that is depicted on the chart, is all of that demand information on Exhibit 27 or do we need to print something else to get all the demand information?

```

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous and compound.

THE WITNESS: All the additional information on that spreadsheet that is not on the printout is used to create the green lines on the chart.

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Okay. We'll go back then and make a new printout. But for now, let's continue our discussion that we were having before we broke for lunch.

You'd just explained to us Excel cell E 9, 82.8 percent. You had walked us through the process and the other spreadsheet that was used to come up with that number. So let's move onto the next cell below, which is E 10. It has an adjusted Delta number of 89,233 acre-feet.

Where does that number come from?
A That is multiplying the Delta demand by the return flow adjustment by the full natural flow ratio.

Q Is that 176,421 , times 60 percent, times
82.8 percent?

A Correct.
Q And why was that done?
A That adjusts -- that takes the Delta demand from the "Delta demand" summary tab. Then it is
adjusted for the 60 percent. So the 40 percent return flow, and then the contribution of the Sacramento Basin full natural flow to the Delta. Q Now I'm looking at the formula for E 10 and it looks different than what you just described. It has two things at the end.

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: Oh, plus the Sacramento contribution to the Delta south of Mossdale. Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Multiplied by the full natural flow ratio?

A Correct.
Q Does that mean that the return flow adjustment is not being applied to the Delta south of Mossdale?

A Correct.
Q Why not?
A I was not directed to do that.
Q This "demand summary" information in the format that we are looking at right here on the Excel spreadsheet, was that shared with Brain Coats?

A I didn't directly share it, but \(I\) knew it was on our drive, so he could have had the opportunity to look at it.

Q Did you discuss the methodology of the return flow adjustment with him?


A That is some of the Sacramento demand for

June, plus the adjusted Delta demand for June.
Q Is that number reflected on the chart?
A Yes.
Q How do we get from here to there?
A There are still further steps that you have to take to get to that number.

Q Walk us through the step, please.
A So, you do the same thing for the "pre-14, only" demand -- basically the same thing that I did for the "riparian pre-14" demand but just using the "pre-14 only" demand.

Q For the record, that is reflected in rows 13 through 21 of the spreadsheet?

A Can you scroll down? So then we have the "2015 Informational Order Demand Adjustment." So we have the "total senior demand" which is the sum of the "pre-14 only" and the "riparian," plus the "pre-14" above. Q Which is in cell E 25, the 533,347 acre feet? A So that is the sum of the "riparian" and "pre-14 only," minus the reduction -- where are we at -- the demand summary. Okay. So the reduction, the "IO reduction" is the difference between the 2014 and 2015 demand from the Informational Order data set which would be your --
```

Q So E 26 represents the difference between the
2014 --
A -- and the 2015, just for those diverters who
responded to the 2015. I had to compare apples to
apples. So then that is the baseline "total senior
demand," which represents the solid green line on the
chart.
Q So the 393,885 acre feet in cell E 27 is the
"adjusted senior demand" reflected in the green line for
June on the chart that we have marked as Exhibit 13?
A Yeah. If you go to the "chart data" tab, you
will see the row 6, column F.
Q Is that the same number?
A That is the same number.
Q 393,885.
A So that represents the "senior demand" for
June, taking the 2015 actual data into account from
those who reported for the 2015 Informational Order
into account.
Q Okay.
A And the bottom rows at the bottom convert the
acre feet into cubic feet per second, so I can plot
it on the graph.
Q So we are now looking at the chart data. And
when you say "bottom rows, "you are referring to rows 10

```
and above?
A Correct.
Q All right. I believe we have now discussed the data that corresponds to both of the green lines on Exhibit 13. Let's look at the red lines on Exhibit 13. First, the solid red line entitled, "Projected post-14 demand through 1948."

A The same spreadsheet that I just described. Q It was just projected out?

A Those are not projected out, no.
Q Where does that data come from that forms the red line? I think earlier you told me it was on the --

A All \(I\) can say is it is all in that spreadsheet.

Q Is it in the "IO 2015 adjustments" tab?
A No. It is right there. There is the source. Thank you.

Q So we have a reference to the column \(X\) in the "chart data" tab. You scroll down to October. We have numbers in there. Where do those numbers come from?

A That is the "post-14 demand" for whatever year it corresponds to, "post-14 B" from the "post-14 pivot" table.

Q So look at the "post-14 pivot" tab, which is a different spreadsheet. We have opened up the "post-14
pivot" tab. What is in this tab?
A And as you are seeing it right now, that represents the accumulative running total of post-14 demand in the Legal Delta for the months of March through November.

Q And that comes from the WRUDS database analysis?
A Correct.
Q Now I take it that the same explanation would apply to the red dotted line on Exhibit 13. We would just be looking at a different subset with different priority dates?

A Correct.
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Did you understand the question?

A Correct. Yes, I did.
Q Okay. Now let's turn to the supply information on the charts. Let's look again at Exhibit 13. And we have -- correct me if I'm wrong -- but we have on this chart supply depicted with two different things: one being a solid blue line labeled "daily FNF" and another being a dotted blue line labeled, "2014 actual monthly FNFs." Is that correct?

A I'm not seeing "actual monthly FNFs." Oh that, yes. Okay. Yes, correct.
```

Q Other than those two lines, is there anything
else on this chart that relates to supply?
A No.
Q Let's start with the daily FNF, which is the
solid blue line that goes up and down. What does that
line represent?
A The daily full natural flow is from the --
from the four tributary stations in the Sacramento
River Basin.
Q Is that the only information that is compiled to
result in that solid blue line?
A Yes.
Q So is there a tab that includes that information
as part of the spreadsheet?
A That is in the -- that previous spreadsheet
we opened.
Q Okay. So let's take a sample, so that we can
actually trace the exact number. If we open up the
"chart data" tab in our spreadsheet "sacprorated.xlsx,"
and we go to June lst for the daily full natural flow in
column Q. The June 1st, full natural flow, column Q is
7458 acre feet; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q And that is the value that is plotted in the
solid blue line on the chart?

```
```

A Yes.
Q Is that CFS or acre feet?
A CSF.
Q Now where do I go to find the source of that
number?
A To the Water Availability 2015 -- 20150612
notice folder.
Q The May 1st folder?
A The one on the top, 201506. Then the "WY
2014/2015 CDEC Supply Tables" spreadsheet.
Q So the number we are looking for was for June
1st and it was for }7458\mathrm{ CFS. Where can we find that in
this spreadsheet entitled, "WY 2014/2015 CDEC Supply
Table"?
A Make sure you are on that first tab. It
says, "CDEC daily." Go to the corresponding date
and you are column H. That is the sum of the four
Sacramento stations' full natural flows.
Q Where did you get the data for the four
stations' full natural flow?
A The Department of Water Resources CDEC
website.
Q How frequently would you download that data to
populate the spreadsheet?
A I tried to be as current as the data was

```
```

provided, so at least two or three times a week --
daily if I could.
Q We are looking at this spreadsheet and some of
the numbers are red and some are black. Why is that?
A The reds indicate that they were flagged as
estimated values on the website.
Q Did you ever go in and change them from an
estimated value to something else later?
A No.
Q So if it showed up as estimated, it just got
plugged in that way and remained that way?
A As long as that remained that way on the
website. I updated it to reflect whatever was in
the website -- for the previous usually two weeks,
just to make sure nothing had changed.
Q So this particular file that was produced as
part of the Public Records Act request, how current is
the data that appears in it?
A Scroll down to the bottom -- September 29th.
Q And are you continuing to update a spreadsheet
like this at your office?
A Yes.
Q We are looking at the lower part of this
spreadsheet in row }357\mathrm{ and the box is yellow with a dash
in it. What does that mean?

```
```

A That means there was a negative value there
and we treated it as a zero. And I just flagged it
as yellow to indicate that is what we had done.
Q Did you use zero when you did the summation?
A Yes.
Q Why?
A Because I was directed to by Brian Coats.
Q Did you ask him what to do about that?
A I don't recall.
Q But you recall him directing you?
A I recall we had a discussion but I don't
remember how it proceeded.
Q So why is the daily full natural flow used to
depict supply in the chart?
A Because that is how I was directed to do the
analysis.
Q Now, yesterday Mr. Coats explained to us two
other sources of supply that were considered in the
Water Availability Analysis. The first was additional
flows from a 2007 DWR report. Does that sound familiar
to you?
A Uh-huh. Yes.
Q Was that additional information included in the
supply analysis that resulted in the blue line on
Exhibit 14?

```
```

A No.
Q Why not?
A Because I was directed not to do that.
Q By Brian?
A Yes.
Q Do you understand what the rationale was behind
that direction?
A Yes.
Q Can you explain it, please?
A Because that was put in the full natural flow
forecast lines which are not on this graph.
Q Do you understand that additional flow data,
though, to represent additional supply in the watershed?
A Can you repeat the question?
Q Did you understand that additional flow
information from the 2007 DWR report to represent
additional supply in the watershed?
A Yes.
Q So do you agree with not depicting that
additional supply as part of the available supply on
your chart?
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague.
THE WITNESS: I have no opinion on that
question.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Does it make sense to you?

```

```

would you put in that row?

```
A Personally, I would have left it as a
negative.
Q Why?
A Because as a data analyst, you shouldn't
really --
Q Go ahead.
A Because in the summation, it is what it is.
And it is possible to have a negative value there.
But \(I\) think in the long run it really doesn't make
any difference.
Q You said it doesn't make any difference. Going
back to our chart and looking at what is Exhibit 13, the
September 21st, right? Go back to our chart. What
happens to the blue line on September 21st? Does it
dip?

MS. TEMPLE: Is there a question pending?
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Yeah, I asked a question, if
putting the zero value there on September 21 st caused
the line, the blue line on the graph, to dip on that
date?
A Minimally, at best.
Q Okay. Now you've explained to us the source of
the information for the blue line that is labeled "daily
full natural flow" in the chart. Let's talk about the
other supply that is depicted in the chart, which was the dashed blue line with the label " 2014 actual monthly FNFs." What does that represent?

A Those are just the monthly full natural flows from 2014, so we have an idea of what it looked like last year. Just so we had some idea what happened last year, just so we have an idea of what we could expect, or hope to expect, for this year as we are looking at rescinding the notices of water unavailability.

Q Why did you look at monthly values there when you used daily values for the other months?

A That's how the data is provided after the previous year's data is provided on a monthly basis in acre feet.

Q And then you converted the acre feet to data?
A Yes.
Q Where is that in your data?
A Probably in my calculator but it would be -if you go to the "chart data," scroll to the right a little bit.

Q Do you need to scroll down?
A Nope. It is up at the top.
Q Is it in column MNN, rows 8 and 9?
A Right.

Q And you part entered those numbers?
A Yeah. Yes.
Q So you pulled the information off the website from last year, converted it to a monthly number using your calculator, and then entered it in the cell in the spreadsheet?

A Correct.
Q So before we leave this spreadsheet, let's go back to our "demand summary" tab. I'm just going to request that we get that sheet printed in its entirety. And we will --

MR. YOUNG: Do you want me to do it right now?
MS. SPALETTA: Let's go off the record.
(Whereupon, discussion held off record.)
MS. SPALETTA: Back on the record.
We are confirming that we are printing the complete "demand summary" tab spreadsheet from the "sacprorated.xlsx" Excel workbook that was on the State Water Resources Control Board's website, underneath the "Sacramento River With Prorated Delta Demand" updated November 2nd, 2015. And that will be marked as a replacement Exhibit 27.

Q Now we are going to travel back in time to see what prior versions of this spreadsheet looked like. Now, we were not able to locate prior versions of this
spreadsheet on the State Board's website because we believe it has been overwritten on the website.

Is that your understanding as well, Mr. Yeazell?
A Yes.
Q So in the Public Records Act request, we do have
a file that contains Water Availability documents
related to the June 1st -- excuse me -- June 12th
curtailment. First open that up.
We are going into the Water Availability file. Then we are going into the next file that is "20150612_ notice." And there are several spreadsheets in this file.

Can you identify for us the spreadsheet that would be the companion combined Sacramento/San Joaquin Watershed and Delta spreadsheet used to support the curtailment on June 12th?

A I created several spreadsheets to analyze several different scenarios. Which ones were actually used in the decision process, I'm not too sure of. Q Well, I see one here that is labeled -- I see a file labeled "20150610 Sacramento Plus Proportional Delta Pre-14 Supply Demand Analysis Web 2015-06-10." Do you think that could potentially be the spreadsheet that was posted to the website?

A That is actually a PDF document.
```

Q Okay. So there is a spreadsheet document
entitled, "20150610 sacprorated.xlsx." That has the
same file name, but a different date than what we were
looking at before. Does that look correct?
A Without opening and looking at the
contents -- but that looks possible.
Q Let's open it. We've opened it and we are
looking at the chart path of this spreadsheet entitled
"20150610_sacprorated."
Do you recognize this chart?
A Yes.
Q Does this look like the chart that you prepared
in advance of the June 12th curtailment?
A It is possible. I prepared so many different
charts that they all start to look the same after
awhile.
MS. SPALETTA: Mr. Tauriainen, do you have any ability to narrow it down here, so we can make sure we are looking at the right one?
MR. TAURIAINEN: Based on the date that the file was saved, if you go back, it looks like it was created and saved on June 10th, 2015. So based on that, I would assume that that file was made on June 10th.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Okay. So then we are going to go with it. We'll take a leap of faith.

```

All right. We have the chart in front of us. This chart looks a little bit different than the charts that we have been looking at already.

Let's go ahead and print this chart so we can mark it as the next exhibit. Do we already have it? Okay. We are going to mark this chart as our next exhibit in order, which will be 28.
(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 28 was marked for identification.)

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Using the information you already provided in your deposition, we have riparian demand and pre-1914 demand depicted on this chart, correct?

A Correct.
Q And you should be able to go to the "demand summary" tab to look at the numbers associated with those blocks on the chart?

A Correct.
Q So let's go to the "senior demand summary" tab. So, for example, in taking June, we have a set of tables here. There are three different tables. Can you describe for us what each table includes? A The "riparian and riparian plus pre-14" includes the riparian demands from the Sacramento and the Delta. The Delta demands are adjusted by
the full natural flow ratio as before. "Pre-14 only" are just demands for the Sacramento and the Delta that are pre-14 only diversions. And then the "pre-14A; 1902 pre-14 demand" is the pre-14 only demand for the priority date of 1902. Q When we look at this same tab in this spreadsheet from August -- or actually it is October -it had another row for a return flow adjustment of 60-percent return flow adjustment.

Do you recall that?
A Yes. Q That row is not in this "senior demand" summary, correct?

A Correct.
Q Why not?
A Because it is in the "full natural flow adjustments" tab.

Q So why is it in a different place here?
A Because on this chart, the full natural flow adjustments were done on the full natural flow forecast values, which were not on the previous charts. So we moved that adjustment from the supply to the demand.

Q What effect does that have on the analysis?
A Nothing.

Q Who told you to do that?
A It was just a discussion between Brian and I because we knew we had to make some -- that adjustment had to be accounted for somewhere so -Q Other than that difference, are there any other differences in the June demand data?

A It is possible there are some small
differences in the demands just because one table is older than the other. And as I repeat -- stated before, more additional information becomes available to us, then we want to adjust the demands to make sure those are accounted for.

Q And I can see a difference right away. So, for example, in cell E 5 for the June Sacramento demand, we have a number of 56,102 in the June 10 th version of the spreadsheet. The more recent version of the spreadsheet for June had a value of 62,858. So there is a difference of 7,000 acre feet or so.

Am I understanding you correctly that difference is the result of changes that were made to the WRUDS file?

A It could be more than that. I'd have to sit down and review both spreadsheets to answer that.

Q Is there some kind of a log that would account for all of the changes to the demand numbers that were
```

made between June 10th and the present?
A If there was, it would be on the "change
log."
Q And where is the "change log"?
A It is in the WRUDS database.
Q We have two versions of the WRUDS database that
were on the State Board website. One of them was dated
April -- excuse me -- dated April 8th and the other one
was dated June 15th.
Could there be another version of the WRUDS database that has been updated since June 15th? A That would be the one that we were just looking at, I believe. The latest version I'm aware of is the June 15th.
Q Okay. So that is this big 41.53 megabyte file?
A Correct.
Q I think we downloaded it, so let's open it up. So we have now opened up the "info_order_demand.xlxs" spreadsheet from the State Water Resources Control Board website, which indicates it is a 41.53 megabyte file updated on June 15, 2015.
We are looking for the "change log," so we'll go to that tab. And we have opened up the "change log" tab. What does this "change log" show us, Mr. Yeazell? A I'm reading it.

```

Q Okay.
A It shows the changes made to the WRUDS tab. Mostly just removing some demands, adjusting some demands. You can see some from MBK. We removed some applications that have been revoked, statements that have been inactivated.

Q So let's start with the first one which is on row 8 with a date of May 15th. It says, "Distributed Oakdale Irrigation District, pre-1914 diversion reported in Information Order into adjudicated priority dates."

Where did you get the information to make that change?

A From the adjudication.
Q And is that part of the Public Records Act request documents that you provided?
A I don't know.
Q So where are the actual changes? How would we find those?

A If you go to the "WRUDS" tab, search for
"Oakdale Irrigation District." Just filter it on
"primary owner."
Q And then what do we do?
A If you look at the bottom, you see -- it came back up to the statement numbers. If you look at the bottom, you see one, two, three, four, five --

Oakdale Irrigation District with the same statement number.

Basically they had different adjudicated amounts with different priority years. So I took that and distributed what they reported, and allocated it to their different adjudicated rights in priority.

Q And who told you to do that?
A Brian Coats, my supervisor.
Q Did you have any discussions with anybody else about that?

A No.
Q With respect to these particular rights for Oakdale Irrigation District, was there any effort to determine if those rights were being satisfied through stored water?

A Not to my -- no, I don't know.
Q Was there any discussion about that?
A No.
Q Was there any reduction made to Oakdale
Irrigation District's demand numbers to account for stored water deliveries?

A Not that I'm aware of.
Q Let's go back then to the "change log." We have row 11 on June 12th. It says you updated statement 480
```

and statement 925, May through December, 2015 forecasted
diversions, per MBK, April 24th, 2015 email.
Can you explain that change, please?
A I can't remember it off the top of my head.
Q So if you go to the "WRUDS" database and we look
up 480 and 925, would that give us the information?
A I don't know.
Q Let's try it. So statement 480, according to
this "WRUDS" tab, it has a primary owner of Joint Water
Districts Board. Do you know where they are located?
A Nope.
Q And if you scroll over where we see the change
that was made --
A I don't know. Let's find out.
Q So as we are scrolling, we see that there is no
data for 2010 through 2013. Do you know why that is?
A That is because it was an Informational Order
demand, and that demand got replaced by the 2013 --
the 2010/2013 demand.
Q Do you mean the opposite? Do you mean that the
2 0 1 0 through 2013 demand was replaced with what was
reported on the Information Order?
A Yes.
Q All right. So we have scrolled over to the
notes, and it says "May through December, 2015

```
```

forecasted diversions per MBK, April 24th, 2015 email."
Does that refresh your memory?
A It is apparent that I made some sort of
change. If anything, it was to reduce the demand.
That is all I can glean from that right now.
Q Do you think that that was basically to reduce
the demand, based on information that was provided by
MBK Engineers?
A That is what it says, so I would say yes.
Q Do you know if the purpose here was to reduce
the demand to account for the delivery of stored water?
A I don't know that.
Q I'm going to ask you a more general question
about accounting for the delivery of stored water. Did
you make any adjustments to the demand numbers in these
spreadsheets to account for the delivery of stored water
to people who had reported a demand under riparian and
pre-1914 rights?
A No.
Q Did you ever talk about that with anyone?
A No.
Q Okay. So we have talked about the fact that you
believe that you probably made a reduction. But when we
go back to the June demand from the "demand summary" --
so on June 10th, the spreadsheet had a Sacramento demand
of 56,102 . But today the spreadsheet, the current spreadsheet, has a higher June demand of 62,858 . So if the adjustments were to reduce demand, how did the demand number get higher?

A I can't answer that without sitting down with both spreadsheets and looking at them more closely. Q All right. Now I just want to confirm this. I think you testified to this already but the "change log" for the WRUDS database, the one we have on the website says it is dated June 15th, 2015.

Is it your testimony that there were not any other changes to the WRUDS database after June 15, 2015?

A I would have to look at the other spreadsheets to answer that. Q So what else would we need to look at?

A The previous spreadsheet.
Q The one from the website? What is the name of
it?
A Which is that date? Is that the 6/16?
Q Yes.
A That should be the final spreadsheet, the April, May and June notices were derived from.

Q Now the State Board has recently made decisions about lifting curtailments based upon your updated spreadsheet chart that we just reviewed.

A Correct.
Q So, were there any changes made to demand for the purposes of that updated spreadsheet to aid in the decision of when to lift the curtailments?

A I don't believe so.
MS. SPALETTA: We are going to take a
five-minute break at this point.
(Whereupon, a recess was then taken.)
MS. SPALETTA: Back on the record.
So we had just finished talking about the "20150610 sacprorated" spreadsheet. And during the break, we have printed two things from this spreadsheet. We have printed the chart, which we've marked as Exhibit 28. And we have printed the "Senior demand summary" which we will mark as Exhibit 29 when they get off the printer.

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: What $I$ would like to do now is try to locate your similar spreadsheets for the "sacprorated" that would have been done at the time of the May 1st curtailment.

So as you sit here right now, do you know where I would go to find that?

A No.
Q Okay. So I'm going to look in the "Water
Availability" folder from the Public Records Act request
production. Then I'm going to open up the 2015 file. And previously we noticed that there were subfiles relating to the date of each curtailment notice.

So now I'm going to look at the subfile entitled "20150501_notice." So we have opened up the subfile associated with the May 1st, 2015 notice. There are several files in here, both PDFs and Excel spreadsheets.

I'm going to make the file names complete. Can you see a spreadsheet related to the Sacramento Basin analysis?

A "Sacramento Basin Charts With WRUDS 2015-05-01." Q Let's open that one. Let's look at the chart associated with this spreadsheet entitled, "Sacramento Basin Charts With WRUDS 2015-05-01."

It looks like there are actually two different charts in this spreadsheet. One is entitled "prorated chart" and the other one is entitled "Sac w N. Delta chart."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q So to try and make as much as an apples-to-apples comparison with the prior spreadsheets we have been looking at today, which of these two charts should we look at?

A They both look at two different scenarios.

Can you switch to the other one?
Q Now we are opening the chart entitled, "Sac w N. Delta chart."

A Apples to apples, I would say the prorated demand with the return flow.

Q Big picture, what is the difference between these two charts?

A One looks at the prorated Delta demand where we had the proportional FNF adjustment. And the other one only takes the entire -- includes the entire demand from the North Delta.

Q Does it also include a different subset of the Delta demand? Does it only include the North Delta demand?

A The North Delta only includes the North
Delta. The prorated includes the entire Delta but at the prorated full natural flow adjustment percentages.

Q If I'm understanding you correctly, there are actually demand differences and supply differences between the two charts?

A Yes.
MS. SPALETTA: So let's look at the prorated chart. We are going to go ahead and print this and mark it as Exhibit 30.

Exhibit 30 will be the prorated chart from the spreadsheet dated May 1st, 2015, "sacprorated."
(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 30 was marked for identification.)

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Okay. We are looking now at this chart and it looks like it includes a lot of the same type of data that we've seen on the other charts. The difference appears to be the addition of the 50-year average full natural flow and the Water Year 2010 full natural flow; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q Are there any other differences besides the values?

A I don't think we saw the full natural flow forecast ones yet on previous graphs.

Q Didn't we have those in the June 10th graph?
A I don't remember.
Q So to go ahead and do a comparison, we marked as Exhibit 28 the graph from the June 10 th spreadsheet.

A Yes.
Q And we are now looking on the screen at what is marked as Exhibit 30, which is the graph from the May 1st spreadsheet.

MS. SPALETTA: Let's go off the record. (Whereupon, discussion held off the record.)

MS. SPALETTA: Back on the record.
We took a quick break to sort out what we had printed from these various spreadsheets. We marked as Exhibit 28 the chart from the June 10 th spreadsheet and Exhibit 29, the "demand summary" from the June 10th spreadsheet. We also marked as Exhibit 30 the prorated chart from the May 1st spreadsheet.

Do we have a stipulation of the parties that those are accurate?

MS. TEMPLE: I'll let the witness confirm.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 29 was
marked for identification.)
BY MS. SPALETTA: Okay. So what we were trying to do was look at the difference between your May 1st spreadsheet and the prior ones.

So in the May 1st spreadsheet, you were just telling me two of the differences were the inclusion of the 50-year average full natural flow and the Water Year 2010 full natural flow, correct?

A Correct.
Q Any other differences?
A No.
Q So then if we go to the "demand summary" that is associated with this "prorated chart," where would that
be?
A "Prorated demand," I believe.
This "prorated demand" chart associated with the May 1st, 2015 Sacramento Basin chart spreadsheet has a June Sacramento riparian demand of 57,476 in cell E 4; is that right?

A Yes.
Q Is that the same or different than the Sacramento riparian demand was in our June 10th "demand summary," which you have in front of you as Exhibit 29? A It is close.

Q And then the total riparian demand in June on your May 1st spreadsheet is 97,392 ; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And how does that compare to the total riparian demand on your June 10th "demand summary" which we marked as Exhibit 29?

A It is bigger -- I mean, smaller.
Q By about half?
A Yes.
Q What represents that difference?
A I couldn't tell you without looking at both spreadsheets side by side.

Q Go ahead and compare them.
MS. TEMPLE: Take your time.

THE WITNESS: No, I'd have to get into both spreadsheets and look at them.

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: One of the numbers that looks very different is the Delta riparian number. On the May 1st version that we have on the screen, it shows a Delta riparian demand of 51,152. And on the June 10th version, which is Exhibit 29, it is 178,460. A Yeah, I can't answer that without sitting down and look through both spreadsheets.

MS. TEMPLE: Could we take just a second?
MS. SPALETTA: We'll take a break off the record.
(Whereupon, discussion held off the record.)
MS. SPALETTA: We are back on the record.
We were trying to explain the difference between the total riparian demand numbers between May 1 st and the June 10th versions. Were you able to --

A I can't answer that without sitting down with both spreadsheets and comparing them cell by cell and just retracing my steps.

Q Do you remember a conversation with Mr. Coats or Mr. O'Hagan where you were instructed to go back and treat the riparian pre-1914 dual claimants differently for purposes of the Sacramento spreadsheet?

A The only difference $I$ recall is for the
diverters under the Informational Order in the Delta, if they had both riparian and pre-14 demands, to combine them and treat those as riparian demands. Other than that, for anyone outside of the Informational Order, no different treatment. Q So I did notice a difference in just the headings of these tables. Like you'll see here on the May 1st version the heading is just "riparian." But on the June 10th version, the heading is "riparian and pre-1914" -- excuse me. "Riparian and riparian plus pre-1914."

Does that help jog your memory at all?
A I thought I answered the question.
Q Okay. So at some point, though, along the way in these spreadsheets, did you get an instruction to go back and change it and do it differently than you had originally done it?

A No, not that I remember.
Q Now for the supply side of this spreadsheet, is there anything different about the methodology than what you already described to me in the June 10th version, as far as the full natural flow?

A As far as the daily full natural flow?
Q Yes.
A No.

```
Q What about as far as the projected or forecasted
full natural flow?
A Yeah, also should be the same.
Q And again, what does the forecasted full natural
flow include in this chart? Go back to the chart.
A Go to the prorated -- can you scroll to the
beginning? Can you scroll all the way to the end so
I can see all the tabs?
    Can you click on one of the forecast lines?
"Prorated chart data" tab. Can you select that tab?
Select the cell in the 50 percent FNF. Yeah, one of
those.
Scroll to the right on the spreadsheet. Each one of these is a little different. Are we at the very top? More to the right. Can you scroll to the very top, all the way to the top? So after 12 comes 13. And then scroll to the right. There we go. Q So we are looking at the "prorated chart data" and we are in columns \(Z\) through AF, row 12 through 24. And there is a chart entitled, "Adjusted full natural flow and CFS." Is this the data that is plotted on the prorated chart?
A That is the data.
Q And where did the numbers that were in this chart come from?
```

```
A This was one of the earlier spreadsheets.
I'd have to retrace my steps. I created this
spreadsheet before I did a lot of the
standardizations, so I have to look at how
everything was formed. So once again, I'd have to
go back and look through the spreadsheet.
Q We might be able to help you out by looking at
the notations that are on the chart that we printed as
Exhibit 30.
A Yeah, that is just --
    MS. TEMPLE: That is 29 and this is 30. I think
she means this (indicating.)
    THE WITNESS: Yeah, it is there. It is
calculated somewhere and I copied it. All I can say is
that this was one of the spreadsheets that was done
before I had a standardized foresight to keep everything
more tidy -- but it is easily recreatable. I mean, you
just have to follow the recipe that is there and --
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: So it looks like the projected
full natural flow -- excuse me. The title you gave it
is "Full Natural Flow Forecast"?
A Yes.
Q On the chart, it includes DWR's April 2015 full
natural flow forecast for the four stations, correct?
A Yes.
```

```
Q As well as the full natural flow of the minor
streams from DWR's May 2007 and for unimpaired flow data
reports?
A Yes.
Q Anything else?
A No.
Q So no return flow numbers?
A As far as I can tell, no, but --
Q But those were --
    MS. TEMPLE: He wasn't quite finished.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Go ahead. I'm sorry.
A I'd have to go back and look at the data and
verify it and see -- I would have to look at the
spreadsheet to confirm that.
Q But we did confirm previously today that the
return flow numbers were added as part of the full
natural flow forecast on the June 10th charts?
A Correct.
Q But as you sit here today, you are not able to
confirm one way or the other whether they were part of
the full natural flow forecast on the May lst chart?
A Correct.
Q Do you recall any discussions about adding the
return flow information?
A For this particular draft?
```

```
Q For any drafts.
A Yes. At one point, that I should do it.
    So it was a decision to add something new that
you had not done in prior versions?
    MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
    THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: I guess what I'm getting at is
you didn't always have the return flow factor in your
spreadsheets from the beginning. It was a decision that
was made as you went to include it?
A Yes. But when, I don't recall.
Q That leads me to another question that I have
that I keep meaning to ask you. When you created this
spreadsheet, did you start from scratch?
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: I used what was done last year as a guiding point. But as far as the spreadsheet, yes, it was created from scratch.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: When you say you used what was done last year, who set up this spreadsheet that was used last year?
A \(\quad\) That \(I\) don't recall.
Q It wasn't you?
A It wasn't me.
And where would I find the spreadsheet that was
```

```
used last year which was 2014?
A I don't recall.
Q I'm going to ask you a couple more general
questions while we are waiting for printouts. You said
in your work history that you'd spent a lot of time on
groundwater work.
A Yes.
Q Did you give any thought to whether you should
address the interplay of surface water and groundwater
for the purpose of this analysis?
A No.
Q Why not?
A My role in this was to develop the analysis
based on the parameters provided to me by my
supervisor, Brian Coats.
Q Did you have any discussions with including flow
that comes into the Delta from the west through the Bay?
A No.
Q Do you have an opinion about it?
A No.
Q We've talked about both monthly and daily full
natural flow calculations that you obtained from DWR.
Do you understand what the difference is between them,
other than the time step?
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
```

```
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Do you know what I mean when I
    say "time step"?
A Yes.
Q Once daily, once monthly?
A I know daily -- one is accumulated over the
month and one is by the day.
Q Other than that difference, do you understand
there to be any other difference between those two full
natural flow numbers from DWR?
A If there are -- there could be. I don't
know.
Q And in these graphs, we've seen different
exceedance forecasts, the 50 to 90 and the 99. Where do
those exceedance forecasts come from?
A The Department of Water Resources Bulletin
120 and the water supply indexes. They are also
available online.
Q They come from DWR?
A Yes.
Q It wasn't a decision that you made?
A No.
Q Okay. So now we have talked about three
different spreadsheets. We have talked about the one
that is currently on the website. We talked about the
June 10th spreadsheet, and we've talked about the
```

May 1st spreadsheet.
Now, there was the initial curtailment which was April 23rd. Do you know which spreadsheet was used for that curtailment decision?

A Not off the top of my head, no.
Q So let's go back to our Water Availability file from the Public Records Act request. Open up the Water Availability file and the 2015 subfolder. And then there is a subfolder that is entitled "20150423_notice." Open that up.

Do you see the Excel spreadsheet in here that was used to support the April $23 r d$ curtailment?

A Can you scroll down? Are there more files?
There are only two Excel spreadsheets in there. The "20150406, Sac/SJ Basin with Proportional Delta Demand."

Q Open up that one.
A Okay.
(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 31
was marked for identification.
MS. SPALETTA: Before we get into this April 6th spreadsheet, I'm going to pass around what we've marked as Exhibit 31, which was the "demand summary" from the May 1st worksheet.

Q Before we go on, Mr. Yeazell, I want to make
sure we have in front of us, for comparative purposes, the demand summaries that we already looked at.

So we have Exhibit 27, which is the "demand summary" from the August 28th spreadsheet, correct?

A Correct.
Q Then we have Exhibit 31, which is the "demand summary" from the May 1st, 2015 spreadsheet?

A Correct.
Q Then we have Exhibit 29, which is the "demand summary" from the June 10th, 2015 spreadsheet, correct? A Correct. Q And all three of those were from the "Sacramento and Delta Prorated Basin Analysis"?

A Correct.
Q Now we are turning our attention to a new worksheet, Excel file, entitled "20150406_Sac-SJ Basin With Proportional Delta Demand" that was produced as part of the Public Records Act request. We've opened the tab entitled "supply and demand chart."

Do you recognize this chart?
A It looks familiar. It has been awhile.
Q Is this a chart that you prepared in advance of the April 23rd curtailment?

A I prepared it. I don't remember exactly for what purpose.

MS. TEMPLE: Are you marking this for the record?

MS. SPALETTA: Yes. We are going to go ahead and mark it for the record as Exhibit 32 .
(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 32 was marked for identification.)

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: This chart looks a little bit different than the ones we have looked at today. Is the first difference the geographic scope analysis?

A Yes.
Q Can you explain that difference?
A It just includes the entire San Joaquin and Sacramento River Basin Watersheds.

Q So the "demand data" that is illustrated on this chart, does it come from WRUDS in the same fashion as how we described earlier today in your deposition?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: I don't recall.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Where would we need to look to verify that?

A "2010-2013 demand."
Q We have opened up that tab. We are scrolling over. It appears to have been populated with the reported information for 2010 through 2013; is that right?

```
A Yes.
    Q Now this chart also has the purple demand
    columns starting with column EV.
A So this is a version of the demand data set
before I started calling it WRUDS. That was current
at the time of the April -- was it April -- the
post-14 curtailments.
Q This spreadsheet is dated April 6th, 2015. Is
that the date that this data was current that we are
looking at?
A I assume so.
Q So the purple demand columns, do they include
the data from 2010 to 2013 averaged?
A Yes.
Q So previously today we talked about the
Information Order reporting for actual use in 2014. Is
it correct to state that those actual 2014 demands are
not included in this spreadsheet?
A I don't recall if they are or not.
Q How could we check that?
A I'd have to go and compare the spreadsheets.
Q At this point in time in April of 2006, had you
gone in and fixed the duplicate issue?
A I can't recall.
Q So we would have to go through each row and
```

check to see if you'd made notes there?
A Yes.
Q What about the reasonable use issue?
A We'd have to look again.
Q All right. Let's look again at the "supply and demand chart." Now as far as the supply information on this chart, we've got a solid blue line labeled "Daily FNF." What is the source of that?

A Same source as the daily FNFs.
Q But the previous ones were just for the Sacramento Basin. Is this more expansive?

A It also includes those in the San Joaquin.
Q And where would we find that information?
A On the CDEC supply tables spreadsheet.
Q That is the one that we pulled up from the Water Availability file?

A Yes.
Q That was produced as part of the Public Records Act request?

A Correct.
Q Then we also have the forecasted FNF. What is included in the forecasted $F N F$ on this chart from April 6th?

A At a minimum, the forecasted FNFs provided by the Department of Water Resources from Bulletin 120
and the water supply index papers.
Q Now in the prior charts we looked at, we had a "demand summary." Is there something similar in this Excel workbook?

A I don't see one.
Q Let's look at the "senior demand" chart. We have pulled up the "senior demand" tab. What is reflected on this sheet?

A Can you scroll to the top? That is the riparian and pre-14 demand by watershed.

Q So is that a summation from the WRUDS? It is not called WRUDS in this spreadsheet, but from the first tab that is called "2010-2013 Demand"?

A Correct.
Q So which of the numbers in this chart were then used to populate the graphic under the chart tab? A So that grand total would represent -- you go to the supply demand chart. It represents the -oh, I didn't filter it out. So if you go to the "chart data" tab, on top you see the riparian demand and the pre-14 demand. Those have gotten --

Q -- sourced?
A Sourced from the "senior demand" tab by -- it is just the way that the filter was that had all the riparians. The way the filter was set on the

```
"senior demand" as it is had all the riparian and the pre-14 demand in it. But you just filter it using the filters to pull out the riparian and the pre-14 demand. And you can populate it up into the rows up top.
```

MS. SPALETTA: I think Mr. O'Laughlin has some questions about your chart.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Can you go back to the "senior demand" chart real quick? No, the "senior demand" chart, please.

I'm perplexed. On the Stanislaus River, it says on line 30 that the demand for May is 61,000. Do you have any understanding why the demand would drop dramatically in June, July and August when irrigation demands would be going up?

THE WITNESS: I just look at the numbers. I'm not looking for causation.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. So that would be the same on the Tuolumne. You would have 301 in May and then all of a sudden, it drops to 103 and then $30 ?$

THE WITNESS: Like I said, I just look at -- I just plot what the numbers say.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Okay. And that is coming from what, those numbers?

THE WITNESS: From eWRIMS.

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: So, for example, on the Stanislaus River, if the eWRIMS report for Oakdale Irrigation District included the amounts that were being diverted to storage in May, would that be showing up as part of the 61,876 acre feet in May on your "senior demand" chart?

A Let's look at the spreadsheet.
Q All right. So let's scroll over and sort by --
So we have sorted the "2010-2013 demand" tab to pull out just the Oakdale Irrigation District's applications and statements. And we are going to scroll over to the demand column to see which demands were used for the month of May.

Scroll up a bit.
So we see total demands for Oakdale Irrigation District of 58,906 under a statement file.

A Correct.
Q Do you know whether that 58,906 represented water that was being both directly diverted and diverted to storage?

A No.
Q So let's ask a broader question then. For all of the demand data that got included in these various spreadsheets, was there any effort made to differentiate between direct diversion and diversion to storage as
reported on a pre-1914 statement of diversion?
A No. Only if it was a "power only."
MR. O'LAUGHLIN: How would you treat power in, like, $M \& I$ or power in irrigation?

THE WITNESS: We looked at the individual statements in eWRIMS and made -- if some of them were very clear on, you know, small, like ten acres of irrigation or maybe ten or municipal for ten people or some small number of people -- if they had something like that, that was sufficient enough for us to treat that as "power only."

But if there was really -- if we couldn't make that kind of judgement call, we had to treat it as a "power only" treatment because there is not enough information to differentiate or make that call.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Thanks.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: So you just described a process where you actually pulled up the statements and read the recorded information. Did you only do that for the statements that were associated with power? A Yes.

Q Was there any effort to pull up the statements that were associated with simply large diversions?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: No.

```
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: So in the prior spreadsheets
we looked at, there was a proration of Delta demand.
Was that done in this spreadsheet?
A I don't recall. This was an early one of the
first spreadsheets created.
Q Well, it says in the title, "Sac/San Joaquin
Basin With Proportional Delta Demand." So what did that
mean?
A It makes no sense to proportion the Delta
demand when it seemed higher -- the "Sacramento/San
Joaquin Basin," that is probably just a misnamed
file.
Q Let's go ahead and go back out of this file.
    We'll just confirm for the record that we did
get Exhibit 32 marked, which was the chart from this
April 6th, 2015 chart.
A Yes.
Q Now, moving on. Let's go back to the Public
Records Act request, the same April 23rd file folder --
sorry -- yes, the April 23rd, 2015 file folder.
    There was a second Excel spreadsheet that
contained this folder towards the bottom, so let's
scroll all the way down. There it is. "San Joaquin
River to Mendota Pool Supply/Demand" analysis file.
Is this a graph you prepared?
```

```
A It looks familiar.
    What is the geographical area represented by
this graph?
A I'll have to remember. This one was just a
little exercise I was asked to do. Didn't pay too
much attention to it.
Q Who asked you to do this exercise?
A It was either Brian Coats or John O'Hagan.
Q Do you know whether or not the results of this
exercise were used to support the April 23rd
curtailment?
A I do not know.
    MS. SPALETTA: Let's go ahead and print this
graph and then we will mark it as Exhibit 33.
    (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 33 was
                                    marked for identification.)
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Can you scroll to the top
where it says "Curtailment PODs" tab? We have opened up
the tab "Curtailment PODs."
    What does this tab represent?
A Those were the statements that were used to
create that graph.
Q How were they selected?
A Through mapping in the GIS. I just picked
the area of interest and selected all of the water
```

rights within that area, created that list, and put it in the table. And then through a VLOOKUP, I can look at what demand spreadsheets to use in the demand analysis.

Q Are there any other questions about this file before I move on?

Why don't you go ahead and look at something that is in the exhibits in front of you that we marked. Could you compare Exhibit 29 to Exhibit 31, please.

Exhibit 29 is the "demand summary" sheet from June 10th and Exhibit 31 is the "demand summary" sheet from May 1st.

What I'm looking at is the full natural flow ratio that was used in June, for example. In the June 10th version, it was 82.8. And in the May 1st version, it was 78.0. Why are they different?

A The May what? What was the date again?
Q May 1st, 2015.
A May 1st, those are the values from the April -- the FNF forecasts are updated on a monthly basis. The May 1st spreadsheet reflects the FNF forecasts from April because the May ones haven't been released yet. The later spreadsheet has the May and final for the water year $F N F$ workups in it. Q So for that reason, we would expect to find that

```
the only full natural flow ratio that match between
these two exhibits are the ones in March?
A For that particular watershed analysis, yes.
Q Would there have been a CDEC table file that
goes along with the May 1st, }2015\mathrm{ worksheet? We had the
supply table that went along with the one from June.
A Yeah. That would be the B 120, April }201
forecast.
    MS. SPALETTA: Let's take a five-minute break.
    (Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
    MS. SPALETTA: We are back on the record.
Q We passed out during the break what we
previously marked as Exhibit 33. I want to confirm that
this was Exhibit 33 from the April 6th, 2015 spreadsheet
for the "San Joaquin River Watershed to Mendota Pool
Supply/Demand."
A Yes.
Q You testified previously that you created this
spreadsheet from scratch. Did you rely on any other
spreadsheets that you'd seen to use as a basis to
influence how you created this spreadsheet?
A I had an understanding of what was done as
far as the -- you know, looking at -- I had an
understanding of what the graphs looked like from
last year so --
```



THE WITNESS: Yes. It is not the first time I created a spreadsheet before.

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: But it was the first time you created one to look at water availability?

A Yeah.
MS. SPALETTA: I don't have any further questions for you, Mr. Yeazell. Does anyone else have any questions?

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Sure. I have a couple, if you don't mind.

MS. SPALETTA: Okay. EXAMINATION BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: My name is Tim O'Laughlin. I represent the San Joaquin Tributaries Authority. I want to follow-up on a couple of questions that I asked Mr. Coats yesterday to make sure we are talking the same language.

I'm going to give you a brief hypothetical. Your attorney will probably object to it as being incomplete; but if you have the ability to answer it, hopefully, we'll get an answer out of you.

So go back to May 1 st in time and the FNFs at Goodwin. You've used Goodwin as a measuring station on the Stanislaus River for $F N F$, correct?

A Correct.

Q Okay. So let's say the FNF on May lst is 800
CFS. Got that in your head?
A $\quad$ Yes.
Q $\quad$ The districts have an entitlement to 1860.6.
I'll just tell you that. Okay? And they were taking all 800 CSFs on that day. Okay? Got that in your head? A Yes.

Q Okay. Now if that is true, if there was 250 CFS going past Goodwin, how did you treat that water?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. Incomplete hypothetical.

You may answer.
THE WITNESS: We did not look at it to that level of detail. Strictly -- other than the adjustments I discussed previously, we just looked at how the demand is reported by the water diverters into the eWRIMS database.

Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Now, you have an understanding of the difference between riparian and appropriative rights, correct?

A Correct.
Q So if the districts were taking the full natural
flow on that day and Reclamation was releasing the 250 CSF from stored water down the Stanislaus River -- on the Stanislaus River, if you were a riparian, would you
be entitled to divert that stored water?
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence. Incomplete hypothetical. Calls for a legal opinion.

THE WITNESS: I have no opinion.
Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Go ahead.
A $\quad$ I don't know.
Q You don't know?
A I don't know.
Q Do you know how that water would be treated if
it got to the San Joaquin River?
MS. TEMPLE: Same objections.
THE WITNESS: Not requested for me to be of concern in the model.

Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: How did you treat the changes in the Temporary Urgency Change Petitions filed by Reclamation in regards to the flows that would be made available February through June at Vernalis? A I was not directed to address that in the model.

Q Were you asked to address the Temporary Urgency
Change Petitions in regard to the changes in water quality for Vernalis?

A No.
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. It assumes facts not in
evidence.
Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Go ahead. You can answer.
Did you answer? I'm sorry.
A Not directed.
Q Oh, okay. And finally, in regards to the
Temporary Urgency Change Petitions for the April/May pulse flow for Vernalis, were you directed to change your analysis?

A No.
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.

THE WITNESS: No.
Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Thanks.
How did you treat in your analysis how the ag barriers operated in the Delta?

MS. TEMPLE: Assumes facts not in evidence.
THE WITNESS: I was not directed to address that in the analysis.

Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Did you ask to look at any particle tracking models modeling work that had been done by DWR in regards to how the ag barriers operated in the Delta?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.

THE WITNESS: You know, I was not asked to look
at that.
Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Did you ask if you could look at that?

A No.
Q Earlier we went through these charts 29 and 31.
It appears that the Delta demand for riparians and pre-14s switched between April and June. Do you have those charts in front of you, 29 and 31? A Yes. Q So I'm looking at chart 29. In June it has the Delta riparian and pre-14 -- riparian and pre-14 as 178,000. And then it has pre-14 only for the Delta as 40,000. And if you look at Exhibit 31, if you look at the Delta for riparian for June, it has 51,000. And pre-14 in Delta is now 250,000 .

Do you see that? So here is my question.
MS. TEMPLE: He didn't answer you yet.
Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Do you see that?
A Yeah, I see that.
Q Is it your understanding, at some point in time, that Mr. Coats or Mr. O'Hagan told you to change the claimants that were pre-14 and riparians to strictly riparians?

A I don't recall.
Q When you did your analysis on your spreadsheet,

```
did you try to quantify the number of people who claimed
to be both riparians and pre-14 in the Delta?
A By "quantify" --
Q Just how many people in the Delta claim to be
pre-14 and riparians.
A No.
Q If I wanted to, though, I could go into your
spreadsheet because it does have it in your spreadsheet?
A Correct.
Q And I could add all those people up and figure
out how many claimants, correct?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Did your shop make any attempt to try to
determine what the pre-1914 water rights were of any
claimants in the Delta?
    MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
    THE WITNESS: I don't know.
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: Did you go and look at the San
Joaquin County Recorder for any filings that had been
made pre-14?
A No.
Q When you got done with your analysis, did you
try to quantify the water supply difference between
treating people who were pre-14 riparians, and then
moving them all to riparians, as to what the water
quantity difference would be?
MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Did you have any discussions with Mr. O'Hagan as to whether or not the Delta Pool Theory would apply to the determination of changing pre-14 and riparians to strictly riparians?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Assumes facts not in evidence.

THE WITNESS: No.
Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Do you know what the Delta Pool Theory is?

A I have a vague knowledge of it.
Q Did you look to see what, if any, diversions were being made by the United States Bureau of Reclamation at the Jones Pumping Plant in May of 2015? A No.

Q Did you do that for the Jones Pumping Plant in June of 2015?

A No.
Q Did you review the United States Bureau of
Reclamation's permits for the Jones Pumping Plant to ascertain what their water source was for their facility at Jones?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Assumes facts not in
evidence.
THE WITNESS: No.
Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Do you know why the determination was made to start the Delta at Mossdale and not at the legal limit at Vernalis?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Calls for speculation. Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: If you know.

A My understanding is, as \(I\) was told, that is a rough demarcation of where there is no longer tidal influence to the Delta.

Q Were you told to ascertain what water that had been released from water quality objectives was abandoned at any source in the Sacramento/San Joaquin Bay Delta?

A No.
Q If stored water was released to meet a water quality objective, that water would not show up in your analysis; is that correct -- stored water?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous. THE WITNESS: No.

Q BY MR. O'LAUGHLIN: No, it would not show up?
A It would not show up.
Q It would not show up. Thank you.
I have no further questions. Thank you very much.

me. You weren't making decisions as to what inputs were going into the model. You were being told what inputs -- I'm sorry -- what inputs were going into the spreadsheet. That was given to you?

A Correct.
Q You didn't have any say or discretion over what was going into the spreadsheet?

A Correct.
Q You might have had opinions about how it was organized.

A Yes.
Q And how to put it together.
A Yes.
Q Right, because that is your expertise.
A Correct.
Q Thanks. A couple more quick questions.
You were talking about -- I forget which
exhibits -- but on several of the spreadsheets there were columns that were labeled "duplicative" or "dup" and "reasonable" -- but you were looking at, sort of, checking the data. Is that a correct characterization? A Correct, yeah.
Q We talked a lot about that today. Why was it necessary to make those adjustments?

A I think the biggest thing is we wanted to
```

avoid any potential obvious overreporting. One of the biggest examples is a small -- if I can give an example.

```
Q Please.

A There is a -- there was a domestic statement holder that had a reported diversion of somewhere, like, tens of millions of acre feet. It was obviously wrong. It turns out it was just a single household. That was probably the biggest red flag in the entire data set, and that threw everything off.

On further analysis, we found out that it was an older lady. She reported it wrong. We ended up striking that demand from the database. It would have thrown everything off.

And that was -- I personally didn't do the follow-up on that. It happened to be Eleanor, who I discussed previously. That was one of the inputs she brought. She actually contacted the person on her own and said, "this doesn't add up." So we fixed that.

The intent was to reduce demand, reduce the demand that was reported. And I think that is a benefit to all the diverters, ultimately. Q So, in essence, you were taking the data set
```

that you pooled from the eWRIMS on demand, right? The
first data set came from eWRIMS?
A Correct.
Q So that data -- well, can you tell me what the
eWRIMS data is? Where does it come from?
A Can you --
Q Let me ask the question differently. Do you
know what a Statement of Diversion and Use is?
A Yes.
Q You know what that is?
A Yes.
Q The data that is in eWRIMS, is part of that
coming from the water user through their Statements of
Diversion and Use?
A That is where it is coming from, yes.
Q So the data set that you started with was
essentially just pulled from there and is what the water
users were actually reporting?
A Correct.
Q And then you went through this exercise of
trying to take that data set and make it more accurate
to make the demand more accurate for use in this
analysis?
A To the best that we could, yes.
All right. Because you only -- the data that

```
you have is the data that you have. And you are stuck with using that as a first step?

A Right.
Q Okay. In order for you to do your work, would it be better if you actually had actual diversion measured data or some type of data that wasn't estimated? Would that have made this exercise easier?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
MS. SPALETTA: Objection. Relies on facts that are not in evidence.

MR. O'LAUGHLIN: Clearly not in evidence.
THE WITNESS: In a perfect world, there would be a measuring device on every point of diversion. That would --

Q BY MS. MORRIS: Let's assume there was a measuring device on every point of diversion. Would that have made this analysis more accurate?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Calls for speculation.
THE WITNESS: It could.
Q BY MS. MORRIS: Would it have made it easier to do this analysis?

A I think it would be about as -- well, as far as --

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Calls for speculation. Q BY MS. MORRIS: You don't have to answer it.
```

I'm done.

```
A It would be about the same.
    MR. VERGARA: No questions.
        CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MS. SPALETTA
Q BY MS. SPALETTA: I have one follow-up question
to Ms. Morris. I asked this of Mr. Coats yesterday and
I forgot to ask you.

The information from the statements and the reports of permittee and licensee, did you look at any of the comments that were included in the statement reports or the permittee or licensee reports?

MS. TEMPLE: Objection. Compound.
THE WITNESS: I looked at those to determine what to do with the potential duplicates, and I looked at those for "power only" to help decide how to treat "power-only" diversions.

Q BY MS. SPALETTA: So if a given Statement of Diversion and Use reporter had explained in the comment section of their report that they were reporting duplicatively for a particular reason, and it wasn't one that you had checked for the reasons you just described, that would have gone undetected?

A The spreadsheet only assumes it is a duplicate reporting if the diversions are identical. Other than that, there is no way to really know
without looking at every individual statement which is -- there are tens of thousands in the database. That would be difficult to do.

But \(I\) did find, in looking at duplicates in the Delta, the majority of them that appeared to be duplicates stated on their statements that they divided the demand equally among however many statements they had. So if they had two statements, it was divided by two. So they are not duplicates. MS. SPALETTA: I have nothing further. MS. TEMPLE: Thank you. You are done. (The deposition concluded at 3:43 p.m.) --○0○--
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\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{variety 35:20 40:2} & 154:24 156:24 157:4 158:9,16, & 145:15 146:5 148:11,12 \\
\hline & 24 159:1,10,22 162:14,23,25 & WRUDS2015-04-06 42:23 \\
\hline Vergara 8:5 22:20 62:9 80:9 & 163:23 164:11,12,16,17,18 & WRUDS2015-04-06 42.23 \\
\hline 170:3 & 165:3,6 168:13,17 & WY 98:9 110:9,13 \\
\hline verify 61:15 75:17 139:13
145:20 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { watershed } 5: 23 \text { 40:1 68:11,15, } \\
& \text { 19,20,21,23 69:14,21 71:19 } \\
& 79: 3,2596: 22 \text { 100:9 113:13,17 } \\
& 118: 15 ~ 148: 10 ~ 155: 3,15
\end{aligned}
\]} & Y \\
\hline Vernalis 159:18,23 160:7 164:5 & & year 16:18 21:2 39:25 50:2 51:8 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { version } 37: 19 \text { 46:16,20 71:13 } \\
& 77: 25 \text { 100:21 122:15,16 123:10, } \\
& \text { 13 135:5,7 136:8,9,21 146:4 } \\
& \text { 154:15,16 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & watersheds 69:19 72:17 & 54:6 74:19 77:10 90:13,16 \\
\hline & 145:13 & 107:22 116:6,7,8 117:4 132:9 \\
\hline & & 133:19 140:16,20,21 141:1 \\
\hline & Web 118:22 & 154:24 155:25 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { versions } 37: 1,24 \text { 117:24,25 } \\
& \text { 123:6 135:17 140:4 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & website 29:10,12,22 34:17 & year's 116:14 \\
\hline & 38:16,23 39:1,4 78:15 79:11 & \\
\hline & 81:17 93:7 100:23 110:22 & years 18:23 19:11 54:7 56:8,25 \\
\hline view 43:10 & 111:6,13,14 117:3,19 118:1,2, & 125:4 \\
\hline visual 27:25 28:2 & 24 123:7,20 128:9,17 142:24 & Yeazell 5:3,6,7 6:8,16 7:9,17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{VLOOKUP 154:2} & week 12:9111:1 & 9:12 13:16 35:4 62:7 76:19 \\
\hline & weeks 15:17 111:14 & 81:1,7 82:22 83:16 101:5 118:3 123:24 143:25 157:7 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{W} & west 6:19 8:2,12 9:3 20:7,12,18 & Yeazell's \\
\hline & 25:4,23 26:10,23 141:17 & \\
\hline W-r-u-d-s 22:4 & Westlands 8:9 & yellow 83:20,23 84:5,22 88:8 90:25 111:24 112:3 \\
\hline Wagner 4:3 8:10 & whichever 86:19 &  \\
\hline waiting 141:4 & white 80:12 83:7 & 71:4 79:22 80:8 81:12 112:17 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { walk 83:17 88:18 94:24 95:25 } \\
& \text { 105:7 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & & 157:16 170:6 \\
\hline & window 60:17 86:17 & Young 4:7,8 8:1 34:23 73:15 \\
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{walking 165:15} & words 45:20 & 117:12 \\
\hline & work 17:21 20:5,24 25:21,25 & \\
\hline & 26:5,12,14,18 28:13 37:2 48:22 & Z \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { wanted } 27: 25 \text { 35:6, } 13 \text { 162:7 } \\
& \text { 166:25 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & 66:3,6 141:5,6 156:17 160:20 & \\
\hline & 169:4 & Zolezzi 8:2 80:12 \\
\hline waste 19:8 & workbook 42:15 95:1 117:18 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{water 5:9 6:18 7:22,23 8:9,14,
\(209: 113: 1914: 22,2315: 9\)} & 148:4 & \\
\hline & worked 22:9 29:6 & \\
\hline 17:7,11 18:8 19:14,16 20:10 & & \\
\hline 22:1,3,18 24:12,16,18,20 28:12 & working 26:6 165:7 & \\
\hline 29:14,24 31:23 32:5,8,9,17,24 & worksheet 66:18 143:24 & \\
\hline 33:6,10,11,12,17,21 34:2,5,11, & 144:16 155:5 & \\
\hline 15,16 35:8,12 36:9 38:3 39:11, & workups 154:24 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{12,25 43:3 44:7,12,18,21 45:3,
6,15 46:6 47:23 48:4,19,23} & Workups 154.24 & \\
\hline & world 169:12 & \\
\hline 49:24 50:1,8,9,12,21 51:6 56:8 & & \\
\hline 57:4,15 58:16 61:15 66:5 69:25 & written 11:2 30:16 & \\
\hline 72:19 75:15,16 76:1 78:15 85:3 & wrong 74:11 108:19 167:8,13 & \\
\hline 95:2 97:10,18 98:6,7,19 110:6, & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

DEPOSITION OF JEFFREY YEAZELL
 form.

DEPOSITION OF: Jeffrey Yeazell
CASE: In re: Byron-Bethany Irrigation District
DATE OF DEPO: November 13, 2015
10 Page Line CHANGE/ADD/DELETE,
11 TY- 22. Change 'drought" 'do direct'
12 IN. . 3 . chance 'had tot ton' did not' ........
13
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
\(\qquad\)
21

22
23

24
\(\qquad\) Date \(1 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{H} / 2015\)```

