

June 17, 2008

## 2008 Board of Directors

Terry Low
Byte Technology
Vice Chair of Finance
Leinette Limtiaco

Central Coast Federal Credit Union
Past Chair
Woody Kelley
First National Bank of Central
California

Mary Adams
United Way Monterey County

Ann Appel Appel Insurance Agency Kelly Baldwin

Monterey County Fair & Exposition

Kent Berman

Portola Plaza Hotel René Boskoff Monterey Marriott Hotel

Marilynn Gustafson Monterey Peninsula College Foundation

Robert Kramer The Alternative Board of Monterey & Salinas

> Bob Mulford Vivaldi Consulting, Inc.

Robert Nicely Carmel Building and Design

Amit Pandya Monterey Business Brokers

> Baird Pittman Associated Hosts Inc.

Chris Thom Thom Insurance & Financial Services, Inc. All State Insurance

Eric Waddell The Mucky Duck Cindy Walter Passionfish

> Sandra Whittle New York Life Insurance

Paul Murphey

Division of Water Rights

State Water Resources Control Board

1001 I Street

Sacramento, California 95814

Re: Monterey Peninsula Chamber of Commerce Public Policy Statement in the matter of the Draft Cease and Desist Order against California American Water Company

Dear Mr. Murphey:

The Monterey Peninsula Chamber of Commerce submits the enclosed Public Policy Statement for consideration by the State Water Resources Control Board in its proceedings on the Draft Cease and Desist Order against California American Water Company.

If you have any questions, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Dan Leimsand, Government Affairs Committee Chair

Astrid Coleman, President and CEO

Ex-Officio Colonel Pamela Martis Garrison Command

> Astrid Coleman President/CEO

Established 1908

380 Alvarado Street

Monterey CA 93940

Tel 831.648.5360

Fax 831.649.3502

www.mpcc.com

## **Public Policy Statement of the Monterey Peninsula Chamber of Commerce**

For consideration by the State Water Resources Control Board in the matter of the Draft Cease and Desist Order against California American Water Company June 17, 2008

The Monterey Peninsula Chamber of Commerce (MPCC) urges the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) take its public policy points and issues into account should it chose to issue a Cease and Desist Order (CDO) against California American Water Company (CAW) operations in the Monterey Peninsula area. MPCC and its almost 1,000 members and their almost 27,000 employees have contributed significantly to the dramatic conservation of water use in the CAW service area.

The water supply cutbacks and the timing of the cutbacks in the Draft CDO are not good policy for several reasons. If SWRCB issues a CDO, the amount of the supply cutbacks must be reduced and the timing of the cutbacks altered to take into account these facts and issues.

All water users in the CAW service area have rallied to the need to conserve on water use; per capita water use within the CAW service area is among the lowest, if the not the lowest, in California.

The supply cutbacks and the timing of the cutbacks are unrealistic in light of the water use reductions already accomplished; while some further marginal conservation is certainly possible, conservation of the magnitude proposed in the draft CDO simply cannot be accomplished without serious injury to the public health, safety and welfare of residents, businesses and local governments.

The economy of the CAW service area is tourism-driven; the cutbacks proposed, if implemented, would force tourism-oriented businesses to severely reduce operations and result in enormous job layoffs in those businesses. As a direct result of the tourism-based business cutbacks the rest of the area's economy and workforce will also suffer drastic reductions and job layoffs and the area's local governments will suffer millions of dollars of revenue reductions from losses of Transient Occupancy Taxes and local share of sales taxes. The loss of jobs, the loss of services provided by local businesses, and the loss of services provided by local governments will seriously impair the quality of life of everyone within the CAW service area and beyond.

The dramatic water supply cutbacks and timing of cutbacks proposed in the draft CDO cannot be accomplished through water conservation alone. A replacement source of water must be found or developed. The current collaborative water supply solutions effort sponsored by the Department of Ratepayer Advocates of the Public Utilities Commission offers the best hope of a timely source of supplemental water supply for the CAW service area. Even though that proposed set of water supply projects enjoys broad community and local governmental support, even in a best-case scenario the estimated time for actual construction and delivery of supplemental water supplies is 2012.

If SWRCB chooses to issue a CDO against CAW, it must take the foregoing facts and issues into account and adjust the schedule of water supply cutbacks and the timing of the cutbacks.