1 2		Testimony of RANDY VAN GELDER
3 4 5 6		General Manager, San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District
7	1.	I am Randy Van Gelder, General Manager for the San Bernardino Valley
8		Municipal Water District (Muni). I have served Muni in various positions for
9		nearly 28 years and was appointed General Manager to replace Robert L. Reiter
10		upon his retirement at the end of December, 2006.
11	2.	All of the applications before the State Board in this action are presented with the
12		complete support of the other water right applicants. There is no controversy
13		among the water agencies. The applications are complimentary, not competitive
14		and we believe that all of the applications should be granted.
15	3.	This multi-agency support results from the fact that water in the Santa Ana River
16		watershed is utilized within the upper part of the watershed and, after treatment, is
17		used again within the middle section of the watershed and, after treatment again,
18		is used a third time in the Orange County (lower) section of the watershed before
19		some portion of it is treated, once again, before recharge in the lower portion of
20		the watershed. Within the watershed we are maximizing the use and reuse of
21		local supplies and these applications will allow even better management of local
22		waters to occur with a concomitant improvement in groundwater quality and
23		reduction in the pressure for imported supplies from the State Water Project and
24		the fragile Bay-Delta area.
25	4.	This cooperative approach to water use in the Santa Ana Watershed has stemmed
26		from the 1969 settlement of two lawsuits. The resulting judgments, known as the
27		Orange County and Western Judgments (collectively, "Judgments") have
28		successfully governed the water use and rights on the Santa Ana River for over 35
29		years. We believe that the Judgments should provide the guiding principles for
30		new appropriations. In the Judgments, the Upper Area was given unlimited
31		ability to conserve new water by "any means" because the Lower Area recognized

- 1 that it would receive benefits from the reuse of those waters. Additionally, new
- 2 conservation in the Upper Area along the Santa Ana River mainstem was directed
- 3 to be split in the same proportions as the safe yield of the native supply between
- 4 the upper and middle sections of the watershed, subject only to paying
- 5 proportionally for securing the new supply. Thus, Muni and Western Municipal
- Water District of Riverside County (Western) are co-applicants in this
- 7 proceeding, representing the upper and middle sections of the Upper Area
- 8 respectively, and our applications will be referred to as "Muni/Western."

9 THE DISTRICT

- 10 5. San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water District was formed in 1954 to plan
- long-range water supply for the San Bernardino Valley. It imports water into its
- service area through participation in the California State Water Project and
- manages groundwater storage within its boundaries. It was established under the
- Municipal Water District Act of 1911 (California Water Code Section 7100 et
- seq., as amended). Its enabling act includes a broad range of powers to provide
- water, as well as wastewater, stormwater disposal, recreation, and fire protection
- services.
- 18 6. Muni covers about 352 square miles in southwestern San Bernardino County,
- about 60 miles east of Los Angeles, and its service area has a population of about
- 20 600,000. It spans the eastern two-thirds of the San Bernardino Valley, the Crafton
- 21 Hills, and a portion of the Yucaipa Valley, and includes the cities and
- communities of San Bernardino, Colton, Loma Linda, Redlands, Rialto,
- Bloomington, Highland, Grand Terrace, and Yucaipa.
- The District is governed by a five-member board of directors, elected for over-
- lapping four-year terms from five divisions. The District's current board
- 26 members are:

1	C. Patrick Willigan, <i>President</i> , represents Division III, which includes
2	the northern portion of the District, from Highland Avenue in northern
3	San Bernardino to the Devil Canyon Power Plant of the State Water
4	Project. He served on the District Board from 1965 to 1976, and again
5	since 1984. Mr. Milligan is an attorney specializing in personal injury
6	cases.
7	■ George Aguilar, Director, represents Division II, which includes the
8	City of Colton and a portion of the City of San Bernardino. He has
9	served on the District Board since 1985. Mr. Aguilar is a real estate
10	agent.
11	
12	■ Edward B. Killgore, Vice President, represents Division I, the
13	westernmost portion of the District, which includes Rialto and
14	Bloomington. Mr. Killgore has served on the District Board since
15	1995. Mr. Killgore is a real estate agent.
16	
17	■ Mark Bulot, <i>Treasurer</i> , represents Division IV, the south-central
18	portion of the District from the Santa Ana River on the north and west,
19	extending eastward to beyond Redlands. Mr. Bulot is a consulting
20	hydrogeologist.
21	
22	■ Steve Copelan, Secretary, represents Division V, the easternmost
23	portion of the District, which includes Highland and Yucaipa. Mr.
24	Copelan is a businessman.
25	
26	WATER SUPPLY
27	8. The Santa Ana River and its tributaries are the principal source of native surface
28	water for the urbanized portions of San Bernardino, Riverside, and Orange
29	Counties, an area with a population of about 4 million. Combined agricultural

- and domestic water demand in this region exceeds the availability of water from
- 2 the Santa Ana River or groundwater supplies in the watershed, requiring imported
- water. The lack of adequate native surface water and imported water for many
- 4 years prior to 1963 led to groundwater overdraft within the District's boundaries.
- 5 In more recent years, increased groundwater recharge has led to high groundwater
- 6 levels in certain areas of the District.
- 7 9. Groundwater is the principal source of supply in the District's service area. Other
- 8 sources of water supply are the SWP, the Santa Ana River, and its major
- 9 tributaries, Mill Creek and Lytle Creek. The District's SWP water Table A
- Amounts (referred to as entitlements in the original contracts) are discussed under
- the heading "State Water Project" below.

12 STATE WATER PROJECT

- 13 10. The District is one of 29 contractors to the California State Water Project (SWP),
- which delivers water from northern California to various parts of the state. The
- District's contract Table A Amount for SWP water was 1,677 acre-feet in 1972,
- the initial year of deliveries, and increased to a maximum Table A Amount of
- 17 102,600 acre-feet in 1991. The District's maximum Table A Amount is the fifth-
- largest of the SWP contractors.

DISTRICT RESPONSIBILITIES

- 20 11. The District's responsibility for long-range water supply planning includes
- 21 importing supplemental water and management of the groundwater basins within
- 22 its boundaries. It has specific responsibilities for monitoring groundwater
- supplies in the San Bernardino, Colton-Rialto, and Riverside basins and
- 24 maintaining minimum flows at Riverside Narrows on the Santa Ana River. It
- 25 fulfills its responsibilities by importing water through the SWP for direct delivery
- and groundwater recharge and by coordinating the delivery of water resources to
- 27 retail agencies throughout its area. The District does not deliver water directly to
- 28 retail water customers.

19

- 1 12. The District cooperates in a program to help replenish groundwater, using both
- 2 SWP water and local runoff. The District takes delivery of SWP water at the
- 3 Devil Canyon Power Plant Afterbay, which is located just within its northern
- 4 boundary. Water is conveyed 17 miles eastward to various spreading,
- 5 agricultural, and wholesale domestic delivery points in the San Bernardino Basin.
- Water is also conveyed westward for direct delivery and recharge in the Rialto-
- 7 Colton Basin.
- 8 13. In the 1960's, the over-commitment of water in the Santa Ana River watershed
- 9 led to lawsuits between water users in the upper and lower watersheds over the
- use of both surface flows and groundwater. The lawsuits culminated in 1969 in
- two major judgments: Orange County Water District v. City of Chino et al. and
- Western Municipal Water District et al. v. East San Bernardino County Water
- 13 District et al. Under the terms of the Judgments, Muni became responsible for
- providing a specified Santa Ana River base flow to Orange County at Riverside
- Narrows and maintaining the safe yield and water levels in certain specified key
- wells. If the conditions of both, or either, judgments are not met by the natural
- water supply, Muni is required to deliver supplemental water to offset the
- 18 deficiency. The judgments resolved the major water rights issues that had
- prevented the development of long-term, area-wide water supply plans.
- 20 14. The District is responsible for maintaining the groundwater level in certain wells
- in the Colton Basin and Riverside Basin at an average of 822 feet above sea level.
- 22 The average static groundwater level in these wells, as reported in the August 1,
- 23 2006 watermaster report to the court, was 897 feet above sea level.
- 24 15. The District is also legally required to maintain a flow equivalent to
- approximately 15,250 acre-feet per year at Riverside Narrows on the Santa Ana
- River. Treated sewage effluent from the Cities of San Bernardino, Colton, and
- 27 Rialto discharged to the Santa Ana River currently accounts for about 36,000
- acre-feet per year. The District has contracts with the Cities of San Bernardino
- and Colton obligating part of their flows to meet this requirement. As a result of

- this treated effluent discharge and normal stream flow in the Santa Ana River, the
- 2 District has never had to use imported water to augment flows in the Santa Ana
- 3 River.
- 4 16. The District maintains groundwater supplies in three additional groundwater
- 5 basins: Bunker Hill, Yucaipa, and San Timoteo. Since beginning to import SWP
- water in 1972, the District has maintained groundwater supplies in the Bunker
- 7 Hill Basin at adequate levels. The District's facilities are capable of delivering
- 8 SWP or local water to spreading basins for percolation into underlying aquifers in
- 9 these groundwater basins.

10 WATER CONSERVATION

- 11 17. Since the 1960's, the District has been working on plans to conserve water from
- the Santa Ana River and so reduce the District's reliance on imported water
- supplies. Bob Reiter, my predecessor as General Manager, devoted much of his
- forty-year career with the District to various aspects of water conservation and the
- Seven Oaks project. For instance, during the 1960's, he served as a junior
- engineer on the studies that identified the possibility of storing water in the
- Bunker Hill groundwater basin while, since 1990, he has provided guidance and
- supervision to the District's efforts relating to our water right applications. His
- 19 testimony (Muni/Western 3-1) describes the manner in which the project can be
- 20 operated
- 21 18. The testimony of Jack Safely (Muni/Western Ex. 7-1) demonstrates that, during a
- repeat of WY 1969, Muni/Western could put almost 200,000 af to reasonable and
- beneficial use within our service areas. Mr. Safely's testimony identifies several
- 24 additional projects/efforts that Muni/Western may wish to consider in order
- provide additional flexibility in the use of water diverted from the Santa Ana
- River. If, as requested in our applications, the State Water Resources Control
- Board grants Muni/Western one or more permits for the diversion of 200,000 afy
- of water from the Santa Ana River, I will recommend to the Muni Board of
- 29 Directors that they implement Mr. Safely's proposals.