NAME: WASHOE COUNTY W C D

NAME: WASHOE COUNTY W C D

CATI: Transcripts and Exhibits

County: Nevada

CAT: 20, VOL: 1, ID: A005169

EXHIBIT
TCID-32

CAT: 20, VOL: 1, ID: A005169

DEPARTMENT OF PUELIC WORKS, DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES.

Suite 401, Public Works Building.

1120 N Street, Sacremento, California.

--000---

Before HAROLD CONKLING, Esq., Deputy Engineer.

--000---

In the Matter of Applications:

APPLICANTS

5169) Washoe County Water Conservation 6554) District

5170

9247) Sierra Pacific Power Company 9267)

6131) Truckee-Carson Irrigation District

PROTZSTANTS

5169) U. B. Bureau of Reclamation Truckee-Carson Irrigation District

5170) U.S. Buresu of Reclamation Trackee-Carson Trrigation District

6131) Weshoe County Water Conservation District

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 4, A. D. 1958.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF TESTINONY GIVEN AND PROCEEDINGS HAD UPON GEARING.

JOS, E, RIPHER W. B. COMBRINE THOMAS J. FRANKLIN ROOM 306) CQUIRT HOUSE BACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA DEFICIAL SHORTHAND REPORTERS Original

?

1.	APPEARANCES:
2	For the Applicant Washoe County Water Conservation District:
3.	ROBERT M. PRICE, Esq., Reno, Nevada.
. 4	THOMAS R. KING, Esq.,
5	29 East First Street,
6	Reno, Nevada.
. 7	For the Applicant Sierra Pacific Power Company:
8	MESSRS. ORRICK, DAHLQUIST, NEFF & HERRINGTON,
9	By JUSTIN JACOBS, Esq., Financial Center Building,
10	San Francisco, California
	and
11	GEORGE DEVORE, Esq.,
12	21 East First Street, Reno, Nevada.
13	For the Applicant Truckee-Carson Irrigation District:
14	
15	A. L. HAIGHT, Esq., Attorney-at-Law,
16,	Fallon, Nevada.
17	000
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
32	
24	
25	
26	
27	

- i	
1	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
2	DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES,
3	Suite 401, Public Works Building,
4	1120 N Street, Sacramento, California.
5	000
6	Before HAROLD CONKLING, Esq., Deputy Engineer.
7	000
.8	In the Matter of Applications:
9	APPLICANTS
10	5169) Washoe County Water Conservation (
11	5170)
13	6131) Truckee-Carson Irrigation District
15.	PROTESTANTS
16	5169) U. S. Bureau of Reclamation) Truckee-Carson Irrigation District)
17	5170) U. S. Bureau of Reclamation Truckee-Carson Irrigation District
18 19	6131) Washoe County Water Conservation District
20	000 TUESDAY, OCTOBER 4, A. D. 1938.
21	
22	The above named applications came on regularly this day
32	at 10:00 o'clock in the morning for hearing before Harold
24	Conkling, Esq., Deputy Engineer. The applicant Washoe
25	County Water Conservation District was represented by
26	Robert M. Price, Esq., and Thomas R. King, Esq.; the
27	applicant Sierra Pacific Power Company was represented by -

.9

2 4

 Justin Jacobs, Esq., and George DEVORE, Esq. The applicant Truckee-Carson Irrigation District was represented by A. L. Haight, Esq.

The following proceedings were had, to wit:

MR. CONKLING: The hearing will come to order. This is a hearing on Applications 5169, 5170, 6131 and 6534. There are also two applications numbers 9247 and 9267 by Sierra Pacific Power Company which are completed and have not been protested before this office but which I believe desirable to put into this hearing inasmuch as it contemplates storage on the Truckee River Drainage, and if protests should come in before we act on them, why, we would have to hold a hearing. So, if you will stipulate that they be heard at this time in connection with the others so that if later protested we won't have to hold a hearing. If you will do so, I will be glad to have you. Is that all right with you, Mr. Jacobs?

MR. CONKLING: How about you?

MR. JACOBS: Yes, Sir, quite all right.

MR. PRICE: No objection on the part of the District.

That is, the Washoe County Conservation District.

MR. CONKLING: We will take the others as they come in.

Application 5169 is by Washoe County County Water Conservation

District for diversion from the Little Truckee River to the

extent of 40,850 acre feet per annum. The storage is in

Boca Reservoir.

MR. CHRISTIANSEN: Isn't the application for 60,000?

MR. CONKLING: There is more. There is another application.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

32

24

25

26

27

Diversion season is October 1 to July 1 for irrigation and domestic, for use on 30,000 acres of Washoe County Water Conservation District land. Protestants are the Bureau of Reclamation and the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District. The second one is Application 5170 by Sierra Pacific Power Company from Truckee River and Little Truckee River for 500 cubic feet per second to be taken as available from either source. It is to be used for power and incidental domestic use and to be returned to the Truckee River. protested by the Bureau of Reclamation and the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District. The next one is Application 6131 by the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District from Donner Creek and Cole Creek. It contemplates storage in Donner Lake. The 15000 acre feet to be taken from either of the sources or both and diverted into Donner Lake at the rate of 500 cubic feet per second. For irrigation use on the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District project. Next is application 6534, Washoe County Water Conservation District from Little Truckee River in the amount of 19,150 acre feet to be stored in Boca Reservoir. The total amount under this and the other application, 5169, of the Washoe County Water Conservation District exceeds the capacity of the reservoir. but it is thought by the applicants that there would be some emptying of the reservoir during or intermediate to the filling periods. It is to be used on the Washoe County Water Conservation District. Now, I have already mentioned the other two applications, 9247 and 9267 of the Sierra

Pacific Power Company.

2 3

MR. PRICE: I stated, Mr. Devore, that the Washoe County Water Conservation District would not object to those.

4

MR. DEVORE: All right.

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

MR. JACOBS:

right now.

which application is this?

32

24

25

26 27

MR. CONKLING: These hearings are held under Section la of the Water Commission Act for the purpose of getting at the existence or nonexistence of unappropriated water and the possibility of beneficial use. Witnesses are sworn and the rules of evidence are not observed. All those making an appearance will pay for the cost of the hearing. is, first, an item of \$12.50 per diem for the reporter which is divided equally among those making an appearance and the second item is the cost of the transcript for the Division of Water Resources files which is charged for by the reporter at the rate of 20 cents per folio one hundred words. cost is borne in proportion to the space occupied in the transcript. The space occupied by the examiner is divided also in proportion among those making an appearance. I will now take the appearances: The applicants first. appearing?

MR. JACOBS: The Sierra Pacific Power Company is appearing by Mr. George Devore, Engineer, and Orrick, Dahlquist, Neff & Herrington by Justin M. Jacobs, attorneys.

MR. CONKLING: Well, we will take all the appearances

The Sierra Pacific Power Company.

MR. CONKLING: Now, that is Wirick?

MR. JACOBS: Orrick, O-r-r-i-c-k; Dahlquist, D-a-h-l-q-u-i-s-t
Neff, N-e-f-f, and Herrington, H-e-r-r-i-n-g-t-o-n, by
Justin, J-u-s-t-i-n M. Jacobs, J-a-c-o-b-s.

MR. CONKLING: Thank you.

MR. PRICE: The Washee County Water Conservation District is appearing by L. M. Christiansen, President of the District, and Robert M. Price, attorney for the District. There are other representatives of the District here. Now, this is --

MR. CONKLING: Well, we don't need to take the appearance of all of them.

MR. PRICE: Yes.

MR. CONKLING: The Truckee-Carson Irrigation District?

MR. HAIGHT: George G. Miller, President; H. W. Emery, Secretary; W. H. Wallace, Manager.

MR. CONKLING: No need of taking the appearance of all of you.

MR. HAIGHT: A. L. Haight, attorney.

MR. CONKLING: H-a-i-g-h-t?

MR. HAIGHT: Yes.

MR. CONKLING: Now, there is a protest against the Application 6131 by the Orr Ditch and Water Company. Is there a representative of the Orr Ditch and Water Company here? Just before the representatives of the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District came in I stated that there were two applications which we had here which we desired to put in the hearing if it could be so stipulated. Application 9247

6

2

3

4

5

9

8

11. 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21 22

32

25

26

27.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

32

24

25

and 9267 by the Sierra Pacific Power Company which propose storage. I believe, from Independence Lake, a tributary of the Truckee River. If there is no objection on the part of the Truckee-Carson District, we will do so.

MR. HAIGHT: Object to it?

MR. CONKLING: If there is no objection to bringing them into the record by stipulation, why, we will do so.

MR. HAIGHT: Why, no.

MR. CONKLING: Well, I am somewhat at a loss as to the reason for these protests inasmuch as we understand that there is a complete agreement there on the Truckee River. If one of the protestants will briefly outline the matter to me. I think I will have a clearer understanding of what we are trying to arrive at at this hearing.

MR. HAIGHT: Mr. Conkling, my name is Haight, and I am attorney for the Irrigation District. I was in hopes that Mr. Osgood would be here as he is our engineer and he has more technical knowledge of this than I have. But briefly, the situation is this in connection with 5170, isn't it?

MR. PRICE: The power application.

MR. HAIGHT: The Power Company application 5170, is that the one?

MR. CONKLING: 5170 is by the Sierra Pacific Power Company and also the two that I just named.

MR. HAIGHT: Well, all right, 5170. 5169 and 5170, as we understand it, were filed at the same time and have equal priority. One of them is for storage and the other is for power purposes. Storage for irrigation uses and the other is

2

3

4

5

6.

8

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

32

24

25

26

for power purposes and direct diversion. In 5170 -- these, by the way, are both supposed to be owned by the -- or controlled by the Washoe County Water Conservation District. We entered into the Truckee River Agreement; that is, our District did.

MR. CONKLING: By the way, there is another one we might as well include and that is 6534 by the Washoe County Water Conservation District.

MR. HAIGHT: Well, I don't think there were any protests filed gainst that; is that correct?

MR. CONKLING: Yes.

MR. HAIGHT: Are there?

MR. CONKLING: No, no formal protests were filed.

MR. HAIGHT: Yes. The purpose of the Irrigation District in entering into the Truckee River Agreement and the principal purpose of the United States was to provide upstream storage for what we call our bench lands. the higher lands in the Hazen District and the Fernley District and the Swingle Bench District also and it is the theory that under 5169 and 6534 the water would be stored in the Boca Reservoir on the Little Truckee River and retained there until such time as it was needed for irrigation down below. Of course, that would be diring the irrigation season and during the time when your bench lands are needing water. Of course, for many years it has been practically impossible to provide an adequate water supply for our bench They get their water directly from the Truckee lands.

2

.3

4

5

6

. 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19.

20

21

22

32

24

25

Canal which is a diversion of the Truckee River proper. Under this 5170, however, which is the power diversion application there are two points of diversion. One of them on the main Truckee River about a mile or a mile and a half above Boca and the other one is on the Little Truckee River and that is up above the outlet of the Boca Reservoir, having equal priority then it would be possible for the owners of 5170 and by reason of using the water pursuant to 5170 to divert the water above the outlet of the Boca Reservoir and bypass it around the Boca Reservoir. As I said, our principal purpose of entering into the agreement was to secure the storage of water in Boca Reservoir and the release of that water during the irrigation season only. 5170 was at the time the Truckee River Agreement was negotiated and executed, was the exclusive property of the Washoe County Water Conservation District and we assumed that nothing would be done to undermine the Truckee River Agreement through the medium of 5170. It is now proposed to, as we understand it and as we have been informed, to assign the rights under this 5170 to the power company. I can't conceive that the power company --MR. CONKLING Well, the power company is the applicant for 5170 as it is given here.

MR. HAIGHT: Is that right, Mr. Devore?

MR. DEVORE: No, it has never been assigned.

MR. CONKLING: Never has been assigned. It is still in the name of the Washoe County Water Conservation District?

MR. DEVORE: Yes.

MR. HAIGHT:

.3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

32

24

25

26

27

Nevertheless, the power company might do that or it might pass this application to some third party interest not presently connected and whose interests are not identical with ours and that would be the result. The result would be that the water would be bypassed and go into the Truckee River and unless it were possible to store it in La Junta Reservoir it would go to waste in Pyramid Lake. no objection, as I say. We have entered into an agreement for the storage of water in the Boca Reservoir. We desire that to be done and we don't desire to see anything happen which would in any respect undermine the Truckee River Agreement providing for that storage. We made the proposition to the -- or made the request, rather, to the Conservation District and also to the power company that they consent that 5170 be made junior to 5169. They verbally agreed to that and then later, as I understand it, retracted that agreement. That's all we ask in connection with these two applications. and if that were done the whole Truckee River Agreement would be entirely workable and there would be no possibility of anybody doing anything to defeat its purposes. In other words, let the storage come first and that coming first it would naturally be governed by the terms of the Truckee

River Agreement. But, if the water doesn't get into the

So, as I was going to say, we can't conceive

that it would be to the interest of the power company to

divert water above the outlet of Boca Reservoir and bypass

reservoir, why, naturally it cannot be governed by the terms of the agreement providing for the release of water from the Boca Reservoir.

MR. CONKLING: The point of diversion is apparently about the center of the reservoir.

MR. HAIGHT: Yes.

MR. CONKLING: Rather than above the reservoir.

MR. HAIGHT: As I said, it was above the outlet of the reservoir.

MR. CONKLING: Yes.

MR. HAIGHT: That, of course, might be changed. I don't know whether it will be practicable to release it at that point or not, but it might be changed if they had the right to release it at one place and something happened they can't release it or divert it at that point, they might make application to have it diverted at some higher point with the same result. But, I think in fairness to everybody concerned with the Truckee River Agreement that it should be stipulated or noted in some way in the records of this Office that 5169 is senior to Number 5170 and that will clear up our objection.

MR. CONKLING: It also might be cleared up by changing the point of diversion of 5170.

MR. HAIGHT: If that point of diversion could be abandoned that would also do it. They have another point of diversion on the Truckee River under this same application, you understand. At least, that's my understanding

MR. OSGOOD: Yes, we have.

MR. CONKLING: Now, maybe we haven't jurisdiction over this matter. I don't know. I would like to ask the Sierra Pacific Power Company or the Washoe County Water Conservation District what would be the objection to this change? If I may do so, who is the person to answer this question? You, Mr. Devore?

MR. DEVORE: Well, it is my understanding with Mr. King that the two filings would be the same water and stored in the same reservoir. That was the intent.

MR. CONKLING: Then Mr. King is the proper person to interrogate about that. Let's see if I understand that correctly. Mr. Haight, you request that they abandon 5170 or make it --

MR. HAIGHT: Make it junior.

MR. CONKLING: -- junior to 5169.

MR. HAIGHT: In other words, have the right to store for irrigation purposes senior to the right to divert for power purpose above the outlet of the Boca Reservoir.

MR. CONKLING: What is the matter with your application here, Washoe County Conservation District? Can we go ahead with the hearing or are you waiting for somebody else?

MR. CHRISTIANSEN: Well, we were waiting for Tom King but we can't catch him.

MR. CONKLING: Well, we can't wait for him.

MR. CHRISTIANSEN: Well, there he is now. He can have his appearance entered.

1.8

.15

MR. CONKLING: All right.

MR. CONKLING: Now, Mr. King wasn't able to listen to the statement that Mr. Haight made but, in brief, Mr. King, I am making a little preliminary examination prior to a formal hearing and the statement of the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District was that their protest would be withdrawn if the point of diversion of 5170 to Boca Reservoir were changed or if it was made clearly junior to the right under 5169. I asked why that couldn't be done and you were elected to answer it.

MR. DEVORE: You might state what I said so that -- MR. CONKLING: Will you state it again, Mr. Devore?

MR. DEVORE: My understanding was that 5169 and 5170 was to be stored in the same reservoir, being the same water.

MR. KING: That's correct. In other words, it is the intention to transfer the point of diversion under 5170 as stated in the application applied for to the same reservoir as 5169. 5169 has been amended in all respects and it is the understanding of our District that it is in proper shape and form. 5170 is to be stored in the same reservoir.

MR. OSGOOD: Well, that purpose is accomplished, is it not, Mr. Haight, by the fact of making it junior?

MR. HAIGHT: No.

MR. CONKLING: 5170 does not call for any storage.

MR. HAIGHT: That is direct diversion.

MR. CONKLING: Yes, 5169 calls for storage. 5170 is direct diversion from two points; one on the Little Truckee

1 and one on the Truckee River for a power house down below 2 the junction. That is, in other words, it is felt that 3 5170 would give the right to release the water for power 4 during the wintertime? 5 6 from the reservoir under 5169. 7 8 that it? 9 MR. KING: Not as to priority. 10 11 12 MR. KING: Yes. 13. 14 released by 5169 for irrigation? 15 16 our objection. 19 MR. DEVORE: No. 22 to use water which has been released primarily for irrigation demand?

MR. KING: 5170 was to utilize water that is released MR. CONKLING: Well, then it is subordinate to 5169; is MR. CONKLING: Well, it is one number behind it. But, you mean the control of the release would lie with 5169? MR. CONKLING: And 5170 would merely pick up the water MR. HAIGHT: Well, if that can be noted on your records so that that would be final and conclusive, that removes MR. KING: Have you any objection, Mr. Devore? MR. CONKLING: Well, are you asking then that your application be amended to state that Application 5170 is MR. HAIGHT: Under the terms of the Truckee River Agreement. MR. CONKLING: Under the terms of the Truckee River Agreement?

17 18

20

21

32

24

25

```
1
       MR. KING: And the waters are stored under 5169.
 2
    perfectly willing.
 3
       MR. HAIGHT:
                   Are you?
 4
       MR. DEVORE: Yes.
 5
       MR. CONKLING: Well, we will so amend it.
 6
       MR. OSGOOD: That is, pond waters that are released under
 7
    5170 are then subject to the terms of the agreement?
 8
       MR. CONKLING: 5169.
 9
       MR. OSGOOD: Well, released under 5170, or waters
10
    required?
11
       MR. HAIGHT: Well, they can't be diverted above the
12
    outlet of the Boca Reservoir under 5170.
13
       MR. KING: That's right.
14
       MR. HAIGHT: And that they will only be released under
15
    the provisions of 5169 and the terms of the Truckee River
16
    Agreement.
17
       MR. CONKLING: And all the way down the River they will
18
    be used for the purposes of 5170.
19
       MR. HAIGHT: That's all we ask.
20
       MR. CONKLING: Well, then I take it with that amendment
21
    the protest of the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District will
22
    be satisfied?
32
       MR. HAIGHT:
                    That is correct.
24
       MR. KING: That is, there is a withdrawal of the protest
25
    on 5169 and consent --
26
       MR. HAIGHT: Yes.
27
       MR. KING: -- to an extension until after the operative
```

Irrigation District.

1

date of the Truckee River Agreement under 5170.

2

MR. HAIGHT: Well, yes, that's all right.

3

MR. KING: With this notation, an extension until after the operative date of the Truckee River Agreement.

5

MR. HAIGHT: Well, make it until the operative date of the Truckee River Agreement. "After it" is rather an indefinite term.

7

6

MR. KING: That's satisfactory.

8

10

MR. CONKLING: Now, that takes care of 5169 and 5170 and I take it the United States Bureau of Reclamation's protest is on all fours with the protest of the Truckee-Carson

11 12

13

MR. HALE: Well, I am not appearing for the United States Bureau of Reclamation so I wouldn't care to make any statement.

14 15

MR. CONKLING: Oh, the United States Bureau of Reclamation is not represented here?

17

.16

MR. HALE: Well not represented officially, no.

18 19

MR. CONKLING: Well, we will assume that Application 6131 by the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District was protested by

20 21

the Washoe County Water Conservation District and by L. H.

22

Taylor and by Orr Ditch and Water Company. I don't see Mr.

32

Taylor here nor any representative of the Orr Ditch and

24

Water Company and there remains only the Washoe County

25

Water Conservation District as a protestant against this

26

application of Truckee-Carson Irrigation District for storage

27

of 15,000 acre feet in Donner Lake and diversion in Cole

Creek -- in Donner Lake with diversion on Cole Creek.

MR. HAIGHT: I will add, Mr. Conkling, the Irrigation
District would request that the hearing be postponed until
the operative date of the Truckee River Agreement if the
Truckee River Agreement -- if and when the Truckee River
Agreement goes into effect and operation that will dispose
of our upstream storage for our bench lands. If, on the
other hand, anything should happen to prevent the operations
under the Truckee River Agreement, we would like to preserve
this Donner Lake site for additional storage to take care of
our bench land.

MR. CONKLING: You would like to preserve it?

MR. HAIGHT: Yes, it is our application.

MR. CONKLING: Oh, yes, I see.

MR. PRICE: Any objection to that, Tom?

MR. KING: What is the status of 6534?

MR. HAIGHT: No protests filed.

MR. KING: No protests filed?

MR. CONKLIN: Yes, there is a protest filed by the United States Bureau of Reclamation but the Truckee-Carson did not file a formal protest.

MR. KING: Well, coming back to your horse trading, what you will do on 5169 vs. 5170 we will do on 6534 vs. 6131. In other words, if your protests are withdrawn and the United States not continue to protest in the matter of 6534, we will consent to the operative date of the Truckee River Agreement as the date of a hearing on 6131.

.18

MR. HAIGHT: Well, as I said before, I don't know whether it was before or after you came in, we have no objection to 6534 or 5169 either. Of course, we can't speak for the Bureau of Reclamation, but their interests are identical with ours and I don't think that their protest, if they have filed one, will be pressed.

MR. KING: Mr. Conkling, at this hearing, if the protest of the United States is not pressed and they are not represented officially, what is the usual ruling in the matter by your Office?

MR. CONKLING: Well, we are rather inclined to assume that if a person doesn't appear at a hearing their interests aren't very great in the matter. Of course, we will accept the testimony that is given. We are not bound, however, by legal — or by only the material presented at the hearing. But, in general, we will overrule protestants that do not appear unless the facts are so strong the other way that we can't make any other ruling except for them. But, I don't like this idea of deferring this hearing on 6131 or deferring the hearing on 6534. That ought to be heard or not heard or they should be heard and a ruling made and then you can abandon them later if you want to.

MR. HAIGHT: Well, all of these have been pending for ten to twelve years or more and the Truckee River Agreement, if it ever becomes effective, will probably become effective within a year, won't it, Tom?

MR. CONKLING: What is holding back the Truckee River

Agreement?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

32

24

25

26

2.7

MR. KING: The construction or completion of Boca Dam ready to operate.

MR. CONKLING: It becomes effective when it --

MR. HAIGHT: You might say when operations are commenced with the dam.

When the Secretary of the Interior deems it MR. KING: completed and turns it over to the Washoe County Water Conservation District for irrigation. Then all of the terms of the Truckee River Agreement become effective. this time there are only certain portions of it effective. In other words, we have entered into an agreement to spend a million dollars, the power company to spend a certain sum to build a reservoir and when that is done and the Secretary turns it over to the Washoe County Water Conservation District, then, all of the terms as to the distribution and use of the water become effective. Now, the point on 6534 is simply this that we have transferred such rights as we have under that application to the Boca site from the original Star Reservoir site four miles upstream. The priority to that application in January, 1930, the application 6131 at a Donner Lake was 1928. We have shown our good faith; obligated ourselves for a million dollars and proceeded to erect a reservoir which is about 50 per cent complete. 6131 is to intervene with its priority and jeopardize our rights to store under 6534, we are just out of luck and consequently we will never consent to it. In other words,

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13.

14

15

16 . 17

18

19

20

21

22 32

24 25

26

27

decision --MR. HAIGHT: Why not do the thing we did in the other

we can continue our protests and have the hearing and have a

thing; stipulate that no operations that might be conducted under 6131 will ever be in conflict with 5169 or 6534 or prior to the rights under 5169?

MR. CONKLING: Well, doesn't your Truckee River Agreement rather supercede all these technical rights?

MR. HAIGHT: Yes. When that becomes effective. what I say. When that becomes effective, why, all of this is in the discard, but up to that time we would like to preserve our rights. In other words, the government might theoretically or possibly abandon the construction of the Boca Reservoir. The cost might exceed the amount available and they might suspend work and perhaps if it were never completed why we would want our Donner Lake site available.

MR. CONKLING: Well, now taking into consideration the present situation, the Division of Water Resources here could issue permits to all of these applications perhaps subject to vested rights and by your agreement the rights would be changed entirely at some later date. So, they would all be subject to any agreement that was made in the future.

It wouldn't seem to me that that would be a proper procedure. Rights under 5169 are the prior rights on the Truckee River.

MR. HAIGHT: Under the Truckee River Agreement.

MR. KING: Under that and the agreement both. That is

.2

. 8

exclusive of La Junta. In other words, the rights of the Washoe Valley or Truckee Meadows to store are dependent upon that priority and that is regardless of the Truckee River Agreement. If the United States should fail to complete this reservoir or we should fall out with them in some way we still wish to maintain our rights under 5169 and I believe that our permits should be granted. That is regardless of all other considerations or all other applications considered.

MR. CONKLING: Well, the protest against that has been withdrawn.

MR. HAIGHT: Yes, no protest on that.

MR. CONKLING: I am discussing 6534 and 6131. The observation was made that we postpone hearing on those two.

MR. HAIGHT: No, pardon me. That's the point. Not 6534; that was 6131 only.

MR. CONKLING: All right, 6131.

MR. KING: Well, I will consent to postponement of the hearing on 6131 provided that the clause comparable to the clause we made on 5170 is noted in the application. In other words, it is subservient to 5169 and 6534.

MR. HAIGHT: Well, of course, 5169 is prior anyway.

MR. KING: Yes.

MR. HAIGHT: The only thing that we had in mind was this, Tom, that when the Truckee River Agreement becomes effective we won't have any use for this anyway and if the Truckee River Agreement should not become effective, we might have to

2

3

4

5.

6

7

8.

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

-16

17

18

19

20

21

22

32

24

25

utilize it. What I suggested was that we stipulate that operations under 6131, if that permit were granted, could not in any way conflict with operations under the Truckee River Agreement. Now then, if you complete your reservoir the Truckee River Agreement is going to become effective and you have got a priority. If you don't complete the Boca Reservoir then the Truckee River Agreement would not become operative and we would be back where we are now. You would have a prior right under 5169 and then would come in 6131 and then would come 6534 and that is just exactly the way it should be, I should think.

MR. KING: Well, I think we are pointing at exactly the same thing.

MR. CONKLING: It isn't the intention to construct a reservoir at Donner Lake?

MR. HAIGHT: Not unless we have to provide upstream storage and we won't have to do that if the Boca Reservoir is completed and the Truckee River Agreement becomes operative. TO THE STREET OF THE STREET, T

MR. CONKLING: Well. maybe we could complete the hearing on this and reserve decision on this Application 6131 and you may withdraw it later. Would that be satisfactory? That would be really the proper course, wouldn't it?

MR. HAIGHT: Well, yes, if you want to do it that way.

MR. KING: That is, providing that you attach to your application a statement similar to the one respecting 5170. In other words, that 6534 has a junior right, priority of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

32

24

25 26

27

1930, and when the Truckee River Agreement becomes operative it shall precede 6131.

MR. CONKLING: Well, I think that is something that you would have to agree among yourselves. We can't be issuing permits with such agreements as that on them. Make it subject to prior rights and you agree as to what their prior rights are outside.

MR. HAIGHT: Well, that is complicating things, if you can't do that.

MR. KING: Well, when do you issue permits, for instance. under 5169?

MR. CONKLING: When?

MR: KING: Yes.

MR. CONKLING: We couldn't get around to it until after the hearing.

MR. KING: All right. Let's take 6534. When do you issue your permit under it?

MR. CONKLING: Well, if 6534 now is not bound up in this agreement in any way and would stand and be maintained even in spite of the agreement, the Truckee River Agreement, --

MR. KING: Well, it seems to me it should. We have obligated ourselves to a million dollars and have a dam half completed under 6534 and there has never been anything done under 6131.

MR. HAIGHT: Well, there are no protests against 6534 excepting the --

MR. CONKLING: Bureau of Reclamation. Well, they are not --

.1

MR. KING: The Bureau of Reclamation.

2

MR. CONKLING: -- appearing in this hearing. Suppose we issued a permit under 6534 and withheld action under 6131.

3

MR. PRICE: And 5170?

5

MR. CONKLING: No.

6

MR. KING: Yes, and 5170.

7

MR. CONKLING: 5170?

8

MR. KING: That's the power application.

9

MR. CONKLING: Why 5170? Isn't 5170 to be used?

10

MR. KING: Yes.

11

MR. CONKLING: Well, then why withhold action on it?

12

MR. KING: Give us time to complete our arrangements with

13.

the power company. We have an agreement at this time to

14

Application 5170, but the use of water of the reservoir which

15 16

we release, having stored for irrigation purposes and

. 17

released for irrigation purposes. Now, that's to be

transfer to the power company our rights. Not under

18

completed upon the operative date of the Truckee River

19

Agreement. In other words, when our reservoir is complete

20

then we will complete our agreement with the power company

21

before that right to use the water which we release from

22

Boca Dam. So, we would like to maintain that in its

date of the agreement upon which we will complete our

32

present status and condition, however, until the operative

24

arrangements with the power company.

25 26

MR. CONKLING: Well, of course, I was trying to act in this matter in accordance with the wishes of the people

in Nevada. We don't want to do anything to embarrass the 1 2 people down there in Nevada. In fact, I think out of 3. courtesy to the residents of a sister state we could go a little further than we could in California entirely. I 4 5 think if this hearing were in California entirely we would 6 just act right now on this thing and get it all settled. 7 Let me get this down. Application 5169) is to be amended --8 MR. KING: It is amended. 8 It is amended and in good shape. MR. CONKLING: 10 MR. KING: And in good order. 11 There is a desire that we act upon that now. MR. CONKLING: 12 MR. HAIGHT: Which one is that? (5169?) 13 MR. KING: Yes, on our part. 14 MR. HAIGHT: No, no objection. 15 MR. CONKLING: How does it come into the Truckee River 16 Agreement? 17 MR. KING: It is the foundation for our storage right in 18 Boca Reservoir, 19 MR. CONKLING: All right. Now, 5170 is now tied up in 20 the negotiations between Washoe County Water Conservation 21 District and the Sierra Pacific Power by which it is assumed 22 that whether the application is transferred to the Sierra 32 Pacific Power or whether it is not, at least the right to use 24 the water in some way will be transferred to the power

MR. DEVORE: That's right, generally, yes.

company. Is that right, Mr. Devore?

25

26

27

MR. CONKLING: Well. my conception of it is that you will

1 take over the application?

MR. DEVORE: Well, that has not been discussed but it will either be the application or something parallel to it.

MR. HAIGHT: If you had the priority you would have to take over the application.

MR. CONKLING: I presume you would have to take over the application. But, for reasons which I do not comprehend at this time it is desired that we do not act on this application until negotiations have been completed between the two entities which are now negotiating. I do not understand why that should be. Why shouldn't we act on that now?

MR. KING: For the reason that something might intervene and prevent completion of our dam and our reservoir. Then we would not have this stored water to release for the benefit of the power company. Therefore there would be nothing for them to acquire except, we will say, an existing right. But, if we complete our reservoir then we will transfer to them a very material and substantial right for power use.

MR. CONKLING: Well, supposing you didn't complete the reservoir?

MR. KING: I don't know. You have got me there.

MR. CONKLING: Well, you say you haven't any right. Well, that's true. You haven't a right under 5170 of any magnitude.

MR. KING: That's correct.

MR. CONKLING: But I don't see why that should call for a delay on the part of our Office. Whether they get anything

or not would be immaterial to this office.

MR. KING: Well, as far as our District is concerned -MR. CONKLING: It seems to me that I would a lot rather
go right ahead and act on that and then if it falls down,
why, you can cancel it.

MR. KING: That's perfectly satisfactory with our District.
Of course, I understand the Carson District and the
Reclamation Bureau object to that.

MR. CONKLING: No, not when clearly understood that water under 5170 is only water which is released for use under 5169.

MR. KING: That's entirely satisfactory.

MR. DEVORE: When you grant the permit doesn't that require a federal power permit?

MR. CONKLING: Yes, that's right. That's something I wanted to find out about, too. Is an application necessary with the Federal Power Commission under 5170?

MR. DEVORE: I think it probably may be, but it would be granted.

MR. CONKLING: Well, we wouldn't grant it without concurrence with the Federal Power Commission and until everything else had been cleared. That, along with these reasons, might delay it.

MR. DEVORE: We are not ready to go ahead and get the permit until the operative date of the agreement.

MR. CONKLING: Well, in spite of our desire to proceed with this high speed, we will have to wait a while.

. 6

13·

MR. KING: Why not proceed under the proposition outlined l a short time back and just postpone the agreement until the 2 3 operative date of the agreement? MR. CONKLING: Well, let's go as far as we can now. 4 5 don't want to come over to Sacramento again. 6 MR. HAIGHT: Well. as I understand it 5170 is deemed to 7 be amended in accordance with our understanding? 8 MR. CONKLING: That will be amended. You have made #ar 9 request for an amendment and I guess we will ask the parties 10 to amend it right while they are here. Now then, Application 11 6131 is to be abandoned, is it? If the Boca Reservoir is 12 completed? 13 We will have no further use for it. MR. HAIGHT: 14 MR. CONKLING: So action on that question will be 15 postponed until the Boca Reservoir is completed. 16 MR. HAIGHT: I think so. 17 MR. CONKLING: That is protested by the Washoe County 18 Water Conservation District. How about that? 19 MR. KING: That is satisfactory provided that 6534 is 20 granted along with 5169. 21 MR. CONKLING: Well, 6534 is just additional storage in 22 the Boca Reservoir, isn't it? 32 That's right. MR. KING: 24 MR. CONKLING: Well, then is there any objection to 25 acting on 6534 at this time if we find it desirable to do so? 26 MR. HAIGHT: No objection as far as we are concerned on

27

6534 or 5169.

13.

- 5

MR. KING: You haven't any protest on 6534?

MR. HAGHT: I have said that, my dear Sir.

MR. CONKLING: You have no protest on 6534. It is behind -- no, it wouldn't be behind the Truckee-Carson -- MR. KING: Yes, it is.

MR. CONKLING: Well, yes it is, but if that is abandoned it would still be ahead of it, but is it the desire -- no, that has no tie-up. This is purely for storage and the Truckee-Carson District has no objection to it. Now, as I understand it now we have your agreement that we should act on 5169 and 6534 and that we shall not act on Application 6131 and we'll wait until we see what happens to the agreement. And that 5170 cannot be acted on now, anyway because of lack of right-of-way.

MR. KING: That is entirely satisfactory to our District.

MR. CONKLING: Well, is that satisfactory to Counsel?

MR. HAIGHT: Satisfactory to us, Mr. Conkling.

MR. CONKLING: All right.

MR. DEVORE: Of course, with the understanding that application of the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District will be withdrawn in case that the Boca Dam is completed and the Truckee River Agreement becomes operative.

MR. CONKLING: That is my understanding. Do you coincide with that, Mr. Haight?

MR. HAIGHT: Well, we are not under that agreement to abandon in connection with that, but as I say there will be no further use for it on the part of the Truckee-Carson

Irrigation District.

MR. CONKLING: Well, do you withdraw it?

MR. HAIGHT: I won't agree to withdraw it because that would be obligating the future Board of Directors of the Truckee-Carson Irrigation District.

MR. CONKLING: Well, then in order that we may know how to act on it, it is our understanding that that will be useless when that agreement becomes effective which in turn—the agreement will become effective when the Boca Reservoir is built.

MR. HAIGHT: It will be entirely junior to any rights under the Boca Reservoir.

MR. CONKLING: And that at that time we can use our own judgment about canceling if the District does not withdraw it?

MR. HAIGHT: Yes.

MR. DEVORE: The point I had in mind is if we wish to prove on rights that are filed junior to that and we proceed with the construction, we don't like to have too many filings standing up there that would be senior in case they are not utilized.

MR. CONKLING: Well, we wouldn't allow them to remain on our files if they are not going to be utilized. They would be canceled.

MR. KING: Couldn't they be heard at that time, Mr. Conkling?

MR. CONKLING: Well, we are trying to hear 9247 and 9267, which, I presume, are the applications to which Mr. Devore

8.

refers; is that not true?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

8

10

11

.12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

32

24

25

MR. DEVORE: Correct.

MR. CONKLING: And we have taken them into the hearing. They haven't been protested, but we thought we would get the whole matter straightened up while we are here. Now, you want to act on those when it is cleared with the Federal Power Commission, I take it?

MR. DEVORE: It is cleared now.

MR. CONKLING: Is it clear? Have we got that in our files?

MR. DEVORE: I don't know whether you have or not, but we have a clearance.

MR. CONKLING: Well, if we haven't it now, we will have it shortly. Well, then we will act on them very shortly and we will not at this time act on 6131, if that is agreeable. As everybody assumed, I presume the United States will go ahead with the Boca Reservoir and build it and the thing will be ironed so that as far as anyahumana affair can be foreseen, it looks like the matters will solve themselves without further hearing.

MR. HAIGHT: We will all get along all right, Mr. Conkling.

MR. CONKLING: Well, I do see that you have a few scraps All right, if there is nothing more to come in Nevada. before the hearing it will --

MR. HAIGHT: Mr. Conkling: In connection with those Independence Lake applications, I presume you will figure

26

1 that this is none of your concern, but, well, our Board 2 desired me to make a brief statement. We understand that 3 the power company has applied for 17,500 acre feet of 4 storage of unappropriated water and incurred a right to 5 2500 or 3000 acre feet of vested storage right and we merely - 6 want to go on record as not acknowledging that there is any 7 vested storage right in Independence Lake that would be 8 subject to operation. . 8 MR. CONKLING: Well, that is entirely outside of our 10 jurisdiction. 11 MR. HAIGHT: I understand that but they wanted me to get 12 that into the record at this time. 13.

MR. CONKLING: I think I ought to throw that out of the record. (Laughter)

MR. HAIGHT: Do just as you like about it. I have made the statement.

MR. CONKLING: Well, if there is nothing more to come before the Division, the hearing is adjourned.

19

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

32

24

25

26

27

--000--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

32

2425

26

27

CERTIFICATE OF PHONOGRAPHIC REPORTER:

State of California,) ss. County of Sacramento.)

I, B. A. RICE, hereby certify that I am an official shorthand reporter of the Superior Court of the State of California, in and for the County of Sacramento, and that as such reporter I took down in shorthand writing on the fourth day of October, A. D. 1938, the testimony and proceedings had in the matter of Applications Nos. 5169, 6534, 5170. 9247, 9267, and 6131 of the Washoe County Water Conservation District, Sierra Pacific Power Company and Truckee-Carson Irm gation District, respectively, on hearing before Harold Conkling, Esq., Deputy Engineer, at Suite 401, Public Works Building, 1120 N Street, Sacramento, California, fully, truly and correctly, to the best of my ability; that I thereafter caused my said shorthand writing to be transcribed into longhand typewriting, and the foregoing 33 pages constitute said transcription; that the same is a full, true, accurate and correct transcription of the testimony given and proceedings had on the hearing of said matter mentioned above.

DATED: Sacramento, California, October 15, A. D. 1938.

Shorthand Reporter.