



Winston H. Hickox
*Secretary for
Environmental
Protection*

State Water Resources Control Board

901 P Street • Sacramento, California 95814 • (916) 657-1947
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2000 • Sacramento, California • 95812-2000
FAX (916) 657-1495 • Web Site Address: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov>



Gray Davis
Governor

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

**The State Water Resources Control Board will hold a hearing regarding
Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority's
Petition for Change filed pursuant to Water Code Section 1210 et seq.
in San Bernardino County**

**Commencing on Monday, December 4, 2000, at 10:00 a.m. and,
if necessary, continuing on Tuesday, December 5 and 6, 2000 at 9:00 a.m.,
at**

**State Water Resources Control Board
Paul R. Bonderson Building
First-Floor Hearing Room
901 P Street, Sacramento, CA**

SUBJECT OF HEARING

The purpose of this hearing is to receive information to assist the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) in determining whether to approve an order regarding Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority's (VWVRA) Petition for Change filed pursuant to Water Code section 1210 et seq.

BACKGROUND

The Proposed Project: VWVRA is a joint powers agency whose constituent entities include the Cities of Apple Valley, Hesperia and Victorville, and San Bernardino County Service areas. VWVRA owns and operates the Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Plant (VWWRP).¹ On December 3, 1998, VWVRA filed a petition for change with the SWRCB pursuant to Water Code section 1210 et seq. VWVRA proposes an off-site water reclamation project, which would provide up to 1.5 million gallons per day (MGD) of treated wastewater to the City of Victorville for irrigation uses on the City's Westwinds Golf Course and to landscaped areas at the Southern California Logistics Airport (SCLA).

¹ The VWWRP operates under a National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit (No. CA0102822) and a Regional Board Waste Discharge Requirement Order No. 6-94-30.

The reclaimed water would be utilized instead of high quality potable groundwater pumped from the Alto sub-area of the Mojave River Basin for irrigation at the proposed places of use. The attached maps show the locations of the [VVWRP](#) and [proposed areas to be irrigated](#) with reclaimed wastewater.

When VVWRA filed its petition, it was discharging approximately 8 MGD (8,961 acre-feet per year) year-round into the Mojave River from the VVWRP. Pursuant to the petition for change, VVWRA proposes to divert up to 1.5 MGD from the discharge pipeline at the VVWRP, at a maximum rate of about 10.0 cubic-feet-per-second (cfs). The reclaimed water would be transported, via 2.96 mile long pipeline, to an existing pond, which would be enlarged from 0.25 MG to 1.0 MG. The transported treated wastewater would then be distributed through existing irrigation lines to irrigate approximately 200 acres of landscaped areas that are currently irrigated with groundwater. Total annual proposed use would be approximately 1,680 acre-feet.

The Physical Location: The Mojave River is situated within the Mojave Basin Area located in San Bernardino County. The Mojave River traverses the Mojave Basin Area in a northeasterly direction for a distance of approximately 125 miles, from its headwaters on the north-slope of the San Bernardino Mountains, to its terminus in Soda and Silver Dry Lakes near Baker, California. The Mojave Basin Area is comprised of five inter-connected sub-areas: the Alto, Baja, Centro, Este and Oeste Basins. The VVWRP and VVWRA's constituent entities are located in the uppermost sub-area, the Alto Basin sub-area.

The Mojave River Adjudication: The Mojave River Basin has been adjudicated. The vast majority of water users, including groundwater pumpers, in the Mojave Basin Area developed a physical solution, which was the basis of a stipulated trial court judgment. Among other things, the judgment obligates water users in the Alto sub-area to maintain minimum flows in the Mojave River as measured at a point between the Alto and Centro sub-areas. Rather than requiring users to curtail their water use in order to maintain minimum flows, the judgment requires users to purchase sufficient water to make up for any shortfall in the Alto sub-area's downstream obligation. The treated wastewater discharged into the Mojave River by VVWRA is a component of the Alto sub-area's annual downstream obligation. Certain parties appealed the trial court judgment. The Court of Appeal held, and the California Supreme Court recently affirmed, that the physical solution could not be imposed on those water right holders who did not stipulate to the judgment, but that the judgment was binding as to those parties who stipulated to it. (*City of Barstow v. Mojave Water Agency* (2000) 23 Cal.4th 1224 [5 P.3d 853, 99 Cal.Rptr.2d 294].)

The Notice and Protests: On April 9, 1999, the Division noticed VVWRA's petition. In response to the notice, the following parties filed protests against the proposed project: James L. and Naomi Rossi, Jess Ranch Water Company, Gary Thrasher, Joseph Vail, Newton T. Bass Trust, Silver Lake Association, Baldy Mesa Water District, Kemper Campbell Ranch, and California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). The Mojave Water Agency submitted a letter identifying issues that the agency believes the SWRCB should consider in evaluating VVWRA's petition.

On March 2, 2000, CDFG advised the Division that CDFG and VVWRA agreed to conditionally resolve CDFG's protest, in accordance with a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) dated

February 24, 2000. In general terms, the MOU includes operational conditions that appear to assure that the gradual implementation of reclaimed water deliveries under VVWRA's proposed project would occur in a manner such that the level of discharges from the VVWRP's point of discharge to the Mojave River should exceed those discharge levels that existed when VVWRA filed its petition for change in 1998.

By letters dated March 2, 2000, protestants James and Naomi Rossi, and Silver Lakes Association agreed to withdraw their protests based on the operational assurances included in the executed MOU between CDFG and VVWRA.

Most of the protestants are groundwater pumpers in the Alto Basin sub-area who stipulated to the judgment in the Mojave Adjudication. Among other things, they allege that approval of VVWRA's petition would impair their ability to maintain the minimum flows required by the judgment, and would increase the amount of money that they are obligated to pay in order to purchase substitute water supplies.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA): As Lead Agency under CEQA, VVWRA approved a mitigated negative declaration in connection with the project on March 25, 1999. VVWRA filed a NOD on April 20, 1999.

KEY ISSUES

1. Would approval of VVWRA's petition for change operate to the injury of any legal user of surface or groundwater?
 - a. What is the source of the water supplied to and treated by VVWRA? Is the source of supply surface water from the Mojave River or its tributaries, groundwater within the Alto Basin sub-area, or water imported from outside the Mojave River watershed? If the source of supply is from some combination of the foregoing, what percentage of the supply comes from each source, and how will this percentage change over time?
 - b. Does hydrologic continuity exist between the Mojave River and any surface or ground waters that are the source of the wastewater supplied to and treated by VVWRA? If so, to what extent does water supplied to VVWRA result in depletions to the Mojave River? To what extent does VVWRA's discharge of treated wastewater offset any such reductions?
 - c. Will the proposed change increase any water right holder's cost of complying with a court approved physical solution and, if so, does the impact constitute "injury" to a legal user of water within the meaning of Water Code section 1702?
 - d. Will approval of VVWRA's change petition affect groundwater levels in the Alto, Baja, Centro, Este, or Oeste Basin sub-areas?
 - e. If treated wastewater is supplied to the Westwinds Golf Course and the Southern California Logistics Airport, will the right to pump potable groundwater to serve those places of use remain unexercised, or will the right be sold or otherwise transferred? If the

right is transferred, for what purpose will the water be used, and will consumptive use increase as a result?

2. Would approval of VVWRA's petition for change adversely affect fish, wildlife, or other public trust resources?
3. Would approval of VVWRA's change petition further the policy of Water Code section 13550, which provides that the use of potable water for nonpotable uses constitutes a waste and unreasonable use of water if recycled water of adequate quality is available at a reasonable cost, and the use of recycled water would not be detrimental to the public health, degrade water quality, or adversely affect downstream water rights, vegetation, fish, or wildlife?

HEARING PARTICIPATION

IF YOU WANT TO TAKE PART IN THIS HEARING you should carefully read the enclosure entitled "[Information Concerning Appearance at Water Rights Hearings](#)". As stated in that enclosure, parties intending to present evidence at the hearing must submit a "**Notice of Intent to Appear**," which must be received by the SWRCB on or before **October 20, 2000**.

To facilitate exchange of testimony, exhibits and witness qualifications, on or about **October 23, 2000**, the SWRCB will mail out a list of those parties who have indicated an intent to participate in the hearing.

Copies of written testimony, exhibits, a list of exhibits, and witness qualifications must be received by the SWRCB and served on each of the participants on the mailing list **no later than November 6, 2000**.

PARKING AND ACCESSIBILITY

The [enclosed map](#) shows the location of the Paul R. Bonderson building and public parking sites in Sacramento. The Paul R. Bonderson Building first-floor hearing room is accessible to persons with disabilities.

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS

Questions concerning this notice may be directed to Ernest Mona (Hearings/Special Projects Section) at (916) 657-1947 or effective November 6, 2000 (916) 341-5359/fax: (916) 341-5400

Original Signed By:

_____,
Maureen Marché
Administrative Assistant to the Board

Enclosure

Date: October 6, 2000

MAILING LIST
Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority Petition for Change
(WW-VVWRA)
(Updated 08/14/2000)

Petitioner

Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority
c/o Mr. Daniel Gallager
General Manager
20111 Shay Road
Victorville, CA 92394

Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority
c/o Mr. Andrew M. Hitchings
Somach, Simmons & Dunn
400 Capitol Mall, Suite 1900
Sacramento, CA 95814-4407

Protestants

James and Naomi Rossi
5555 Carrizo Road
Atascadero, CA 93422-1572

Mojave Water Agency
P.O. Box 1089
Apple Valley, CA 92307

Jess Ranch Water Company
c/o Mr. Gary Ledford, Vice President
11401 Apple Valley Road
Apple Valley, CA 92308

Mr. Joseph Vail
16993 Abbey Lane
Victorville, CA 92394

California Department of Fish and Game
c/o Ms. Nancee Murray
Legal Office
1416 9th Street, 12th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

California Department of Fish and Game
c/o Mr. Alan Pickard
407 West Line Street, Room 8
Bishop, CA 93515

Ms. Jean DeBlasis, Trustee
Kemper Campbell Ranch
c/o Mr. Scott B. Campbell
Rogers & Scheffield, LLP
P.O. Box 22257
Santa Barbara, CA 93121-2257

Baldy Mesa Water District and
Silver Lake Association
c/o Mr. Michael Duane Davis
Gresham, Savage, Nolan & Tilden, LLP
600 N. Arrowhead Avenue, Suite 300
San Bernardino, CA 92401-1148

Mr. Gary Thrasher
14024 Sunflower Lane
Oro Grande, CA 92368

Newton T. Bass Trust
14924 Chamber Lane
Apple Valley, CA 92307

Other Parties

Mr. Bob Wagner
Wagner & Bonsignore
444 North Third Street, Suite 401
Sacramento, CA 95814-0228

Ms. Peggy Sartor
Victorville Sanitary Advisory Committee
14657 Rodeo Drive
Victorville, CA 92392

Standard Mailing List

B-4

California Environmental
Protection Agency
c/o Winston H. Hickox
Secretary for Env. Prot.
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 235
Sacramento, CA 95812

California Farm Bureau Fed.
c/o William Dubois
Natural Resources Consultant
11th & L Building, Room 626
Sacramento, CA 95814

The Associated Press
Park Executive Building
925 L Street, Suite 320-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

Yuba-Sutter Appeal Democrat
P.O. Box 431
Marysville, CA 95901

Stetson Engineering
c/o Ali Shahrwody
2171 E. Francisco Blvd, Ste K
San Rafael, CA 94901

Nino J. Mascolo
Southern California
Edison Company
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, CA 91770

Bartkiewicz, Kronick
& Shanahan
c/o Alan B. Lilly
1011 Twenty-Second Street
Sacramento, CA 95816-4907

Calif. Fisheries Restoration
Foundation
c/o Martin Seldon
1146 Pulora Court
Sunnyvale, CA 94087-2331

Bob Baiocchi, Consultant
for California Sportfishing
Protection Alliance
P.O. Box 1790
Graeagle, CA 96103

Flycasters, Inc.
Mondy Lariz
2353 Venndale Ave
San Jose, CA 95124-4930

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
MP-440
2800 Cottage Way
Sacramento, CA 95825

Department of Fish & Game
Chief, Env. Serv. Division
1416 9th Street, Rm. 1341
Sacramento, CA 95814

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
Ecological Division
2800 Cottage Way, Room E1803
Sacramento, CA 95825

Sierra Club
c/o Mr. Bob Rutemoeller
P.O. Box 587
Gualala, CA 95445-0587

City Attorney's Office
c/o Thomas M. Berliner
214 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

City of Los Angeles
c/o Mr. Eric P. Bock, P.E.
Department of Water & Power
Los Angeles Aqueduct Division
111 North Hope Street, Rm 1469
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Pechanga Indian Reservation
c/o Mr. Vincent B. Ibanez
P.O. Box 181
Temecula, CA 92390

G-4
Dept. of Boating &
Waterways
c/o Mr. Mike Ammon
2000 Evergreen Street, Suite
100
Sacramento, CA 95815-3888

Mr. John A. Hecht, P.E.,
President
West Coast Environmental and
Engineering
4253 Transport Street, Suite A
Ventura, CA 93003

INFORMATION CONCERNING APPEARANCE AT WATER RIGHT HEARINGS

The following procedural requirements will apply and will be strictly enforced for purposes of the above-mentioned hearing.

1. **HEARING PROCEDURES GENERALLY:** The hearing will be conducted in accordance with the procedures for hearings set forth at California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 648-649.6 and 760, as they currently exist or may be amended. A copy of the current regulations may be viewed at the Division of Water Rights's web site: <http://www.waterrights.ca.gov/Title23Regs.htm>.

Each party has the right to call and examine witnesses, introduce exhibits, cross-examine opposing witnesses on any matter relevant to the issues even if that matter was not covered in the direct examination, impeach any witness, rebut adverse evidence, and subpoena, call and examine an adverse party or witness as if under cross examination. The hearing officer may extend these rights to a non-party participant or may limit the participation of a non-party participant.

Any requests for exceptions to the procedural requirements specified in this notice shall be filed in writing. To provide time for other participants to respond, the hearing officer will rule on procedural requests filed in writing no sooner than fifteen days after receiving the request, unless an earlier ruling is necessary to avoid disrupting the hearing.

2. **PARTIES:** The parties are the petitioner and persons or entities who have filed unresolved protests or objections, and other interested persons or entities who intend to present evidence.

Only parties and other participants who are authorized by the hearing officer will be allowed to present evidence. A person or entity who appears and presents only a policy statement will not be allowed to participate in other parts of the hearing. The rules for policy statements are discussed below.

3. **NOTICE OF INTENT TO APPEAR:** Participants in this hearing must file a [Notice of Intent to Appear](#) and **six copies** thereof which must be received by the SWRCB no later than **4:00 p.m. on October 20, 2000**. Failure to submit a Notice of Intent to Appear and exhibits in a timely manner may be interpreted by the SWRCB as intent not to appear.

The Notice of Intent to Appear must state the name and address of the participant; the name of each witness who will testify on the participant's behalf; a brief description of the proposed testimony; and an estimate of the time, not to exceed 20 minutes, that the witness will take to present a brief oral summary of the witness's testimony. The witness's testimony must be submitted in writing as described in section 3 below. Participants who do not intend to present a case-in-chief but who may wish to cross-examine witnesses or present rebuttal should so indicate on the Notice of Intent to Appear. Participants who

decide not to present a case-in-chief after having submitted a Notice of Intent to Appear should notify the SWRCB and the other participants as soon as possible.

Following receipt of the Notices of Intent to Appear, on or about **October 23, 2000**, the SWRCB will mail to each participant who has submitted a notice a service list of participants. If there is any change in the hearing schedule, only those persons or entities who have filed a Notice of Intent to Appear will be informed of the change.

4. **WRITTEN TESTIMONY AND OTHER EXHIBITS:** Exhibits include written testimony, statements of qualifications of expert witnesses, and other documents to be used as evidence. Each participant proposing to present testimony on factual or other evidentiary matters at the hearing shall submit such testimony in writing.¹ Written testimony shall be designated as an exhibit, and must be submitted with the other exhibits. Oral testimony that goes beyond the scope of the written testimony may be excluded. A participant who proposes to offer expert testimony must submit an exhibit containing a statement of the expert witness's qualifications.

Each participant shall submit **six copies** of each of its exhibits to the SWRCB and serve **one copy** of each exhibit and Notice of Intent to Appear on every participant on the service list. With its exhibits, each participant must submit to the SWRCB and serve on the other participants a completed [Exhibit Identification Index](#). A statement of service with manner of service indicated shall be filed with each participant's exhibits. The exhibits and indexes for this hearing, and a statement of service, must be **received** by the SWRCB by **4:00 p.m. on November 6, 2000** and served on the other participants on or before that date.

If possible, each participant should submit to the SWRCB an electronic copy, as well as a hard copy, of the Exhibit Identification Index. The electronic copy should be submitted on a disk or as an attachment to electronic mail sent to WrHearing@waterrights.swrcb.ca.gov, with the subject heading of "[VWRA Wastewater Petition](#)." The electronic copy must be in a version supported by Microsoft Excel 97 (preferred) or Word 97. The SWRCB will post a list of all exhibits submitted for the hearing on the Division of Water Rights (Division) website at <http://www.waterrights.ca.gov/hearings>.

The following requirements apply to exhibits:

- a. Exhibits based on technical studies or models shall be accompanied by sufficient information to clearly identify and explain the logic, assumptions, development, and operation of the studies or models.
- b. The hearing officer has discretion to receive in evidence by reference relevant, otherwise admissible, public records of the SWRCB and documents or other evidence that have been prepared and published by a public agency, provided that the original or a copy was in the possession of the SWRCB before the notice of the hearing is issued. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 648.3.) A participant offering an

¹ The hearing officer may make an exception to this rule if the witness is adverse to the participant presenting the testimony and is willing to testify only in response to a subpoena or alternative arrangement. In such a case, the hearing officer may allow presentation of the oral direct testimony without requiring written testimony.

exhibit by reference shall advise the other participants and the SWRCB of the titles of the documents, the particular portions, including page and paragraph numbers, on which the participant relies, the nature of the contents, the purpose for which the exhibit will be used when offered in evidence, and the specific file folder or other exact location in the SWRCB's files where the document may be found.

- c. A participant seeking to enter in evidence as an exhibit a voluminous document or database may so advise the other participants prior to the filing date for exhibits, and may ask them to respond if they wish to have a copy of the exhibit. If a participant waives the opportunity to obtain a copy of the exhibit, the participant sponsoring the exhibit will not be required to provide a copy to the waiving participant. Additionally, such exhibits may be submitted to the SWRCB in electronic form, using a file format readable by Microsoft Office 97 software, portable document format (PDF) or HTML.

If possible, participants should use oversized maps or other documents only for illustrative purposes during the hearing, and should not designate the oversized documents as exhibits to be entered into evidence. Instead, participants are requested to designate an 8 ½" by 11" copy of any oversized document as the exhibit.

- d. Exhibits that rely on unpublished technical documents will be excluded unless the unpublished technical documents are admitted as exhibits.
5. **ORDER OF PROCEEDING:** The SWRCB member serving as hearing officer will follow the Order of Proceedings specified in California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 648.5. Participants should take note of the following additional information regarding the major hearing events.
- a. **Policy Statements:** Pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 23, section 648.1, subdivision (d), the SWRCB will provide an opportunity for presentation of nonevidentiary policy statements or comments by interested persons who are not participating in the hearing. Policy statements will be heard at **10:00 a.m. on December 4, 2000.** Policy statements are subject to the following provisions in addition to the regulation:
 - i. Policy statements are not subject to the prehearing requirements noted above for testimony or exhibits, except that persons wishing to make policy statements are requested to file a Notice of Intent to Appear, indicating clearly an intent to make only a policy statement.
 - ii. The SWRCB requests that policy statements be provided in writing before they are presented. Oral summaries of the policy statements will be limited to five minutes or such other time as established by the hearing officer.
 - b. **Presentation Of Cases-In-Chief:** Each participant may present a case-in-chief addressing the key issues identified in the hearing notice. The case-in-chief will consist of any opening statement provided by the participant, oral testimony, introduction of exhibits, and cross-examination of the participant's witnesses. The hearing officer may allow redirect examination and recross-examination. The hearing officer will

decide whether to accept the participant's exhibits in evidence upon a motion of the participant after the case-in-chief has been completed.

- i. **Opening Statements:** At the beginning of a case-in-chief, the participant or the participant's attorney may make an opening statement briefly and concisely stating the objectives of the case-in-chief, the major points that the proposed evidence is intended to establish, and the relationship between the major points and the key issues. Oral opening statements will be limited to 20 minutes per participant. A participant may submit a written opening statement. Any policy-oriented statements by a participant should be included in the participant's opening statement.
- ii. **Oral Testimony:** All witnesses presenting testimony shall appear at the hearing. Before testifying, witnesses shall swear or affirm that the written and oral testimony they will present is true and correct. Written testimony shall not be read into the record. Written testimony affirmed by the witness is direct testimony. Witnesses will be allowed up to 20 minutes to summarize or emphasize their written testimony on direct examination.² Each participant will be allowed up to two hours total to present all of its direct testimony.³
- iii. **Cross-Examination:** Cross-examination of a witness will be permitted on the party's written submittals, the witness's oral testimony, and other relevant matters. If a participant presents multiple witnesses, the hearing officer will decide whether the participant's witnesses will be cross-examined as a panel. Cross-examiners initially will be limited to one hour per witness or panel of witnesses. The hearing officer has discretion to allow additional time for cross-examination if there is good cause demonstrated in an offer of proof. Any redirect examination and recross examination permitted by the hearing officer will be limited to the scope of the cross-examination and the redirect examination, respectively. Witnesses may be cross-examined on relevant subjects that are not covered in the direct testimony. (Gov. Code, § 11513, subd. (a).) Ordinarily, only a participant or the participant's representative will be permitted to examine a witness, but the hearing officer may allow a participant to designate a person technically qualified in the subject being considered to examine a witness. SWRCB members and the SWRCB's counsel may ask questions at any time, and the SWRCB members and staff may cross-examine any witness.
- c. **Rebuttal:** After all participants have presented their cases-in-chief and their witnesses have been cross-examined, the hearing officer will allow participants to present rebuttal evidence. Rebuttal evidence is new evidence used to rebut evidence presented in another participants case-in-chief. Rebuttal evidence need not be submitted prior to the hearing. Rebuttal evidence is limited to evidence that is responsive to evidence presented in a case-in-chief, and it does not include evidence that should have been presented during the presenter's case-in-chief. It also does not include repetitive

² The hearing officer may allow additional time for the oral direct testimony of the witness if the witness is adverse to the participant presenting the testimony and the hearing officer is satisfied that the participant could not produce written direct testimony for the witness.

³ The hearing officer may allow more than two hours total for presentation of direct testimony during a party's case in chief upon a showing of good cause.

evidence. Cross-examination of rebuttal evidence will be limited to the scope of the rebuttal evidence.

- d. **Closing Statements and Legal Arguments:** At the close of the hearing or at other times if appropriate, the hearing officer may allow oral arguments or set a schedule for filing briefs or closing statements. If the hearing officer authorizes the participants to file briefs, **six copies** of each brief shall be submitted to the SWRCB, and **one copy** shall be served on each of the other participants on the service list. A participant shall not attach a document of an evidentiary nature to a brief unless the document is at the time in the evidentiary hearing record or is the subject of an offer of the document in evidence. Every participant filing a brief shall file a statement of service with the brief, indicating the manner of service.
6. **EX PARTE CONTACTS:** During the pendency of this proceeding, commencing no later than the issuance of the Notice of Hearing, there will be no *ex parte* communications between board members or staff of the SWRCB and any of the participants regarding substantive issues within the scope of the proceeding. (Gov. Code § 11430.10-11430.80.) Communications regarding noncontroversial procedural matters are permissible, but ordinarily should be directed to SWRCB staff, not board members. (Gov. Code § 11430.20, subd. (b).)
7. **RULES OF EVIDENCE:** Evidence will be admitted in accordance with Government Code section 11513. Hearsay evidence may be used to supplement or explain other evidence, but over timely objection shall not be sufficient in itself to support a finding unless it would be admissible over objection in a civil action.
8. **SUBMITTALS TO THE SWRCB:** Materials submitted to the SWRCB should be addressed as follows:

Division of Water Rights
State Water Resources Control Board
P.O. Box 2000
Sacramento, CA 95812-2000

Attn: Ernest Mona
Phone: (916) 657-1947 or (916) 341-5359 (effective 11/06/2000)
Fax: (916) 657-1485 or (916) 341-5400 (effective 11/06/2000)
Email: WrHearing@waterrights.swrcb.ca.gov
Subject: VVWRA Wastewater Petition

STAFF EXHIBITS TO BE OFFERED INTO EVIDENCE

The following will be offered, by reference, as staff exhibits at the December 4, 5, and 6, 2000 water right hearing:

1. All water right files related to Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority's Petition for Change (File No. WW-VVWRA)
2. Topographic maps published by the United States Geological Survey covering the area of consideration.
3. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, "Climatological Data of California" for the period of published record.
4. DWR Bulletin No. 230, "Index to Sources of hydrological Data" and all pertinent data available from the Water Data Information System and all predecessor publications (Bulletins No. 130 and 23)

EXHIBIT IDENTIFICATION INDEX

Page ____ of ____.

Participant _____

Exhibit No.	Description	Status as Evidence		
		Introduced	Accepted	By Official Notice