# Chapter 6 Related Projects

## Introduction

This chapter identifies other projects that may influence or be influenced by the Restoration Project and discloses their specific relationships to the Restoration Project. These projects were addressed in the "Cumulative Impacts" analyses found at the end of each resource section in Chapter 4.

Several agreements, investigations, programs, studies, plans, and proposed projects relate to the Restoration Project in different ways. Within the Battle Creek watershed—both downstream and upstream of proposed Hydroelectric Project modifications—the Restoration Project could affect and be affected by:

- continued interim flow agreements;
- actions at the Coleman National Fish Hatchery; watershed activities, community strategies, studies, and stewardship programs implemented by the BCWC;
- potential upstream hydropower development;
- gravel removal or introduction activities;
- sediment reduction programs; and
- long-term operational strategies of private trout-rearing facilities in the watershed.

The Restoration Project would be directly supported by engineering investigations of fish passage, information from nearby reference watersheds, development of wildlife habitat areas, continuing operations of state-run hatcheries, and continuing development of conservation easements and water rights. Because the support of local landowners and stakeholders is important to Restoration Project success, the related project discussions emphasize stakeholder concerns and proposed strategies to address them.

On a broader scale that extends to the upper Sacramento River, the Central Valley, and the CALFED solution area, the Restoration Project will benefit from and contribute important technical information to several larger restoration efforts through monitoring programs, continuing habitat studies, and other

information generated through the adaptive management process. The Restoration Project could help meet the goals of the CVPIA (Title 34, PL 102-575); the CALFED ERP (CALFED 2000b); the Comprehensive Monitoring, Assessment, and Research Program (CMARP)/CALFED Science Program; the Comprehensive Assessment Monitoring Program (CAMP); and other recovery, restoration, management, and enhancement plans for threatened and endangered species and their habitat. Figures 6-1 and 6-2 summarize these related projects and how they relate to the Restoration Project, beginning with those projects that could have the most direct and substantial effects on the Restoration Project in the Battle Creek watershed (Figure 6-1), followed by those related projects that support and will receive benefits from the Restoration Project (Figure 6-2).

# **Projects That Could Directly Affect or Be Affected by the Restoration Project**

# Interim Flow Agreements between the Bureau of Reclamation and Pacific Gas & Electric Company

Since 1995, Reclamation and  $PG\&E^1$  have entered into three consecutive interim flow agreements designed to increase continuous minimum instream flows in several reaches of Battle Creek. These agreements, referred to as the 1995, 1998, and 2003 Interim Flow Agreements, have led PG&E to provide increased flows above the license-required flows of 3 cfs in North Fork Battle Creek and 5 cfs in South Fork Battle Creek. These agreements, representing a partnership between PG&E, federal and state fisheries agencies, and restoration funding entities (CVPIA and CALFED), have allowed interim flow increases in the lower half of the Restoration Project affecting salmon and steelhead while a permanent or long-term arrangement could be reached. CALFED is providing funding for the 2003 Interim Flow Agreement, which is a continuation of the previous agreements reached in 1995 and 1998 that were funded under CVPIA. The temporary flow increase specified in the Interim Flow Agreements is authorized by a FERC license article stating there can be short-term modifications of flow for purposes of fishery management or diversion maintenance upon mutual agreement of PG&E and DFG (Interim Flow Agreement Exhibit A Article G). In relation to fishery management, temporary closure of fish ladders on North Fork and South Fork Battle Creek have been authorized by the fish agencies (Appendix B).

The 2003 Interim Flow Agreement partially pays PG&E for continuing to make temporary water supplies of up to 30 cfs available, primarily, to meet the fish and wildlife needs in both the South Fork and North Fork Battle Creek until implementation of the long-term Restoration Project has been completed. This

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pacific Gas and Electric Company, a utility regulated by the California Public Utility Commission, owned the Battle Creek Hydroelectric Project (FERC Project Number 1121) at the time this document was prepared.

implementation, scheduled for 2004, would end the need for the 2003 Interim Flow Agreement. PG&E is currently required under the Hydroelectric Project's license from FERC to provide the following minimum instream flow releases: 3 cfs at Eagle Canyon and Wildcat Diversion Dams on North Fork Battle Creek and 5 cfs on South Fork Battle Creek. Under the 2003 Interim Flow Agreement, PG&E increases instream flows to 30 cfs through reductions in its hydropower diversions. PG&E provides the first 12.5 cfs at no cost and is compensated to maintain flows above 12.5 cfs up to 30 cfs in either of the forks of the creek. The agreement maintains 30 cfs in North Fork Battle Creek but also maintains seasonal flow augmentations in each of the forks, based on environmental conditions and needs. The actual determination of seasonal flow augmentation in either fork is based on monitoring and adaptive management principles in accordance with consultations between PG&E, the resource agencies, and Reclamation. The intent of the 2003 Interim Flow Agreement is to provide immediate habitat improvement in the lower reaches of Battle Creek as implementation of the more comprehensive Restoration Project moves forward. When Battle Creek has been improved by the implementation of the long-term Restoration Project, the flows provided by this interim agreement will have helped improve conditions for anadromous fish runs, thereby assisting in the strengthening of foundation stocks of anadromous fish in Battle Creek.

### **Coleman National Fish Hatchery**

#### **Hatchery Operations**

The Coleman National Fish Hatchery is located on the north side of Battle Creek about 6 miles upstream of the confluence of Battle Creek and the Sacramento River. Because of its location on Battle Creek, facility operations at the hatchery are intimately linked to the Battle Creek watershed.

The authorized purpose of the Coleman National Fish Hatchery is to mitigate for the effects of Shasta Dam on salmonid populations. Shasta Dam resulted in the loss of approximately 187 miles of spawning and rearing habitat for anadromous salmonids (approximately 50% of the chinook salmon and steelhead spawning and rearing habitats) (Skinner 1958). To mitigate for habitat lost behind Shasta Dam, the federal government established the Shasta Salvage Plan, which contained several features, including the construction and operation of a fish hatchery (Moffett 1949).

The Coleman National Fish Hatchery was constructed on Battle Creek in 1942, and fish culture operations began in 1943 (Figure 6-3). The hatchery currently propagates three salmonid stocks: fall-run chinook salmon, late-fall-run chinook salmon, and steelhead. Risks that hatchery operations may pose to natural populations of steelhead and chinook salmon in Battle Creek include introduction, spread, or amplification of fish pathogens; deleterious genetic effects of hatchery fish on natural stocks; exceedance of the habitat carrying capacities; and fish migration blockage or delay (USFWS 2001a). Operational

and or physical modifications to address some of these issues are underway or being addressed in support of the Restoration Project (USFWS 2001a).

As governed by federal law, principles and legislation, the Coleman National Fish Hatchery will (1) continue to operate to mitigate for the losses of anadromous salmonids associated with the construction of Shasta Dam, (2) participate in species restoration and recovery programs as necessary or appropriate, (3) continue to assess and modify its operations to reduce or avoid impacts on stocks listed as endangered species, and (4), in support of CVPIA and CVPIA's AFRP, continue to attempt to reduce impacts on natural populations basinwide.

The hatchery is managed under an interagency agreement between USFWS and Reclamation. The existing 1993 interagency agreement supercedes all previous agreements between USFWS and Reclamation pertaining to the operation and funding of the hatchery. The agreement stipulates that USFWS will continue to operate, maintain, and evaluate the facility "for the salvage, protection, and preservation of fish spawned in the upper Sacramento River Basin prior to the construction of Shasta and Keswick Dams" (USFWS 2001a, Attachment 3-1). Reclamation will reassume financial responsibility for the facility and arrange for recovery costs from project beneficiaries in accordance with federal reclamation law.



Figure 6-3 Colem an National Fish Hatchery

#### **Endangered Species Act Requirements**

The recently completed biological assessment for Coleman National Fish Hatchery operations (USFWS 2001a) describes fish propagation programs at the Coleman National Fish Hatchery and assesses the potential impacts resulting from those artificial propagation programs to naturally produced salmonids. It fulfills USFWS's obligations for consultation with NOAA Fisheries under Section 7(2)(a) of the ESA of 1973 (16 USC 1531-1544). The current biological assessment is intended to provide a single, comprehensive source of information to describe and assess the impacts of current or proposed operations of the Coleman and Livingston Stone National Fish Hatcheries on ESA-listed, Central Valley populations of anadromous salmonids. The ESA Section 7(2)(a) consultation process is specifically designed to determine if proposed activities are likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or to result in the destruction or adverse modification of their critical habitats.

In addition to filling this customary role as part of the ESA Section 7 consultation process, the biological assessment also focuses on potential impacts of hatchery facilities and operations within the Battle Creek watershed and addresses many of the concerns raised during the Coleman National Fish Hatchery reevaluation process. USFWS recognizes the importance of integrating hatchery operations with natural salmonid production in Battle Creek, especially in light of pending restoration activities within the watershed.

Within the biological assessment, USFWS acknowledges that incidental take of ESA-listed species of anadromous salmonids may occur during the course of conducting fish propagation programs. In some cases, incidental take estimates are directly quantifiable, while in many other cases, impacts can only be assessed qualitatively. As a result of the submission of the biological assessment, USFWS expects that NOAA Fisheries will issue a biological opinion and incidental take authorization to cover the incidental take of all ESA-listed, Central Valley salmonids affected by the described artificial propagation activities. USFWS will work with NOAA Fisheries to ensure that the biological opinion clearly indicates the need for a new biological assessment when the Restoration Project is completed USFWS pers. comm. 2001b).

The current biological opinion will be in effect over the short term (less than 10 years) and a new consultation will be triggered by its expiration date or by a change in the resource brought on by the Restoration Project. USFWS will prepare a new biological assessment when the Restoration Project is complete (USFWS pers. comm. 2001b).

### **Battle Creek Watershed Conservancy Formation**

In 1997, the Western Shasta Resource Conservation District received CALFED Bay-Delta Program funding to organize and develop a conservancy for the Battle Creek watershed. The conservancy's guiding watershed "community" plan was intended to supplement existing technical plans for hydropower, water flow, hatchery production, and water supply. The Battle Creek watershed plan addressed:

- identification of important factors affecting aquatic habitats of spring-run chinook salmon, especially those on private lands or affecting private interests;
- recommended projects and programs to address these factors; and
- description of a monitoring program to evaluate current conditions and results from such projects and programs.

This community plan, when combined with the technical plan, would result in a two-tiered total plan for the watershed. The funding supported the following activities:

- conducting monthly conservancy meetings that focused on restoration efforts and technical planning in the watershed and semiannual public meetings that collected related public input on that planning;
- conducting educational tours of restoration or otherwise significant sites;

- developing articles for publication in local newspapers;
- arranging for on-site television coverage of restoration plans and activities;
- developing booklets, handouts, and brochures for use in meetings and for distribution to interested individuals;
- developing a watershed-wide database that listed private landowners, interested members of the general public, agency contacts, private businesses, environmental groups, and others; and
- assembling a library of published material about the watershed.

The benefits of the conservancy include its ability to bring all involved parties together to discuss watershed restoration efforts, to include community-related issues not found in other technical plans prepared by the agencies, and to provide educational opportunities directed at developing a greater public appreciation and "buy-in" for the restoration efforts.

#### **Battle Creek Watershed Assessment Report**

In 1998, the Working Group received CALFED Bay-Delta Program funding to complete the Battle Creek Watershed Assessment Report. The report is expected to be completed by July 2003 with the support of the Working Group and Terraqua Consulting. The report describes the ecological state of the Battle Creek watershed and the historical roles it has played, particularly in the development of hydroelectric power and fish culture. It also describes several predecessor salmon restoration plans for Battle Creek that produced only modest results because of the lack of sufficient habitat information and restoration funding.

Because the Watershed Assessment Report contemplates a substantial reallocation of streamflow away from hydroelectric production, including the complete removal of some dams and their appurtenant facilities, it carefully spells out the steps taken to assign species priorities (e.g., winter-run chinook salmon) to each stream reach. It also takes care to determine the factors of greatest concern (e.g., upstream migration, spawning, egg incubation) for the successful production of each priority species in each target reach. It defines the streamflow and temperature parameters needed to serve each priority species and target reach and to resolve each production-limiting factor. The report also sets out those physical actions and the monitoring and evaluation needed to achieve and sustain the restoration of salmon and steelhead in Battle Creek.

Overall, the Watershed Assessment Report provides the essential biological criteria and information on which negotiations between PG&E and the federal and state natural resource agencies were conducted to arrive at the MOU described in Chapter 3 of this EIS/EIR.

#### **Battle Creek Watershed Community Strategy**

The Battle Creek Watershed Community Strategy was prepared in 1999 for the BCWC (Paquin-Gilmore 1999). The Battle Creek Watershed Project is a cooperative project of the Tehama County Resource Conservation District and the BCWC. It is supported by grant funds from CVPIA (Title 34, PL 102-575) and CALFED Bay-Delta Program.

The Battle Creek Watershed Community Strategy is a long-term plan developed as a response to the Restoration Project. The strategy is the result of extensive public input from many community meetings and reflects the concerns and goals of local stakeholders regarding the Battle Creek watershed. It emphasizes strategies and actions to support the restoration of chinook salmon to Battle Creek and the continuation of a healthy, fully functioning watershed. Recognizing the stewardship responsibilities that all landowners assume within the watershed, the strategies emphasize "on-the-ground" actions and Best Management Practices to ensure the continued health of the watershed.

The Battle Creek Watershed Community Strategy describes the watershed, private and public lands, their uses, the Coleman National Fish Hatchery, and the communities of Manton, Mineral, Shingletown, and Viola. It also describes the formation and ongoing work of the Working Group and BCWC in facilitating restoration efforts and progress in the watershed. Most importantly, it clearly states the community issues and recommendations regarding the following community concerns:

- protection of existing water rights,
- threats to local economic activities,
- restrictions on land use,
- increased federal ownership and presence,
- control of invasive weeds,
- private stewardship options in the future,
- preservation of the rural landscape, and
- fuels management.

The strategy offers several potential solutions and accompanying action items reflecting the position of those landowners, businesses, and residents that will be most directly affected in the long term by the changes caused by implementation of the Restoration Project.

Because of its insights regarding the concerns and likely responses of the local populace to Restoration Project implementation, several of the mitigation measures presented in the land use, water quality, public health and safety, and other sections of this EIS/EIR rely heavily on recommendations made in the Battle Creek Watershed Community Strategy. Most importantly, the BCWC is

well suited to foster long-term acceptance of the Restoration Project by the local community, which will be a critical component to the success of adaptive management and the Restoration Project. The perception of the Restoration Project by local community members ranges from "it's a government-imposed burden" to "it's a worthy project that we want to help." If the BCWC and the MOU parties can work together to successfully implement the Restoration Project, the challenge will be to give members of the local community a reason to embrace the Restoration Project. The BCWC has suggested that if the local community is encouraged to participate in adaptive management monitoring and data management, community acceptance, a sense of ownership in the outcome of the Restoration Project, and the eventual success of the Restoration Project are far more probable than in the absence of such encouragement.

#### **Suggested Water Quality Monitoring**

Inasmuch as it is motivated and funded to do so, the BCWC, with participation from local schools, may be the organization most suited to monitoring certain aspects of the watershed that either fall within or are complementary to future adaptive management of the Restoration Project.

#### **Sediment Quality Monitoring**

One of the most easily measured symptoms of deleterious land use practices is an increase in sedimentation within Battle Creek. The BCWC could partner with local schools to initiate sediment quality monitoring. Using relatively simple scientific sampling regimens, young residents of the watershed could provide an early-warning system for the health of the Battle Creek uplands, while learning about and forming a connection with the unique populations of salmon and steelhead that will be restored in their watershed.

#### **Ongoing Watershed Assessment**

Sediment quality monitoring is useful in detecting erosion problems. The BCWC believes that a locally developed, long-term watershed assessment program would be able to prevent erosion problems before they occur or, at least, before they affect stream habitat in the Restoration Project. The BCWC could help landowners in the upper watershed implement appropriate land-use practices that would protect against ecological impacts and avoid the need for future regulatory actions.

#### Water Temperature and Climate Monitoring

Water temperature and climate monitoring will be elements of adaptive management and are activities that might be done efficiently and cost-effectively by the BCWC. Depending on the BCWC's interest, it may be possible for the resource agencies to train and fund the BCWC to collect this critical information. Some private landowners may not allow resource agency personnel to access Battle Creek for monitoring but would allow access to a member of the community. In these situations, it is possible that key adaptive management monitoring elements, such as temperature monitoring, would be feasible only with the support and participation of the local community.

#### **Data Management and Dissemination**

The BCWC operates and maintains an information system in which data collected as part of the Restoration Project can be stored and disseminated. This system enables the BCWC and local community members to monitor changes in the watershed and to assess the effects of those changes on the fish populations and habitat in the Restoration Project area. This system complements and, in many respects, outperforms agency-maintained databases that have been designed for Central Valley–wide applications. The BCWC foresees using this information system as a critical way to assist in the adaptive management process.

### **Battle Creek Watershed Stewardship**

The BCWC received CALFED Bay-Delta Program funding for implementing tasks essential for the stewardship of the Battle Creek watershed. This BCWC project directed long-term protection of the public investment in the watershed through the following tasks:

- implementation of a watershed strategy,
- development of a workgroup to address upper watershed processes,
- implementation of fuels management and fire defense improvements,
- planning and implementation of conservation easements, and
- control of noxious weeds.

Five benefits from this stewardship effort were:

- reduced stressors on the anadromous fish in Battle Creek;
- protection, restoration, and maintenance of ecological processes and functions in the watershed;
- maintenance and restoration of riparian communities with local landowner cooperation;
- completion of a future work plan to further reduce stressors; and

setting of the stage for further cooperation by landowners as restoration efforts continue, which will also further reduce stressors.

### **Battle Creek Watershed Stewardship, Phase II**

The BCWC is conducting a series of initiatives under the program titled "Battle Creek Watershed Stewardship, Phase II." This program is funded by AFRP and was approved for federal funding throughout the CALFED 2001 proposal solicitation process. It reflects the goal of integrating CALFED and AFRP in habitat restoration in the Central Valley.

The specific objectives of the program are described below.

- Conduct an assessment of watershed conditions in the upper watershed and for the lands lying upslope and downstream of the Restoration Project reaches. The watershed assessment will (1) characterize the physical condition of fish-bearing stream channels throughout the watershed, using state-of-the-art stream survey techniques developed and implemented by the U.S. Forest Service's Aquatic and Riparian Effectiveness Monitoring Program; (2) establish monitoring sites that will be used for long-term monitoring of in-channel stream conditions as indices of land use impacts to streams; and (3) characterize land use and upland conditions that could affect streams, using protocols established by the State of California's North Coast Watershed Assessment Program.
- Implement, in close cooperation with resource agencies and local schools, a watershed information system to assist the monitoring, assessment, and adaptive management of the Restoration Project. The system will include an updating of the KRIS (Klamath Resource information System) Battle Creek watershed information management program that was developed to support the Restoration Plan. The updated watershed information system will be structured to store, display, and analyze spatial and nonspatial data collected as part of the watershed assessment, long-term stream monitoring, and the Adaptive Management Program specified under the MOU.
- Sustain implementation of the watershed strategy, through outreach by BCWC's board of directors and watershed coordinator, to the area's schools, communities, agencies, and landowners.

### **Greater Battle Creek Watershed Working Group**

The Working Group was created to recognize the value of coordinating the planning, implementation, and evaluation of all fish habitat restoration and watershed projects among public agencies, nonprofit organizations, and private landowners within the greater Battle Creek watershed in order to maximize restoration of all naturally produced anadromous fish and maintain, and restore, as necessary, a healthy watershed and landscape.

Various objectives for the greater Battle Creek watershed that were identified by the Working Group include:

- establishing a transparent, balanced, collaborative, respectful, and inclusive forum for communication that ensures activities within the watershed are synchronized and that goals, objectives, and evaluative processes of agencies and organizations are coordinated;
- taking necessary steps to develop a comprehensive greater watershed strategy to ensure that fish, habitat restoration, or watershed projects support and make important contributions to the recovery of, and have no long-term adverse effect on, listed species (i.e., winter-run and spring-run chinook salmon and steelhead), the restoration of nonlisted naturally produced runs (i.e., fall-run and late fall-run chinook salmon), production of chinook salmon for sport and commercial uses, production of steelhead for in-river sport uses, and the continued health of the riparian and upland habitat;
- identifying specific needs for new projects based on the comprehensive greater watershed strategy and current or planned activities within the watershed;
- adopting and applying principles of science and, as appropriate, adaptive management processes to actions considered and undertaken in the comprehensive greater watershed strategy;
- engaging agencies, organizations and the public to provide information on the comprehensive greater watershed strategy and adaptive management processes, identifying and communicating issues and proposed projects, and maximizing compatibility of activities of the Coleman National Fish Hatchery, Livingston Stone National Fish Hatchery, Restoration Project, and agencies, private industries, and nonprofit organizations operating within the greater Battle Creek watershed;
- establishing and implementing a review process for fish, fish habitat restoration, and watershed projects undertaken within the greater Battle Creek watershed that may result in endorsement by members of the Working Group;
- defining and developing administrative processes to guide the Working Group in accomplishing its objectives effectively and efficiently; and
- reviewing and proposing communication and education programs for the Battle Creek community.

The Working Group has developed a draft MOU that memorializes/captures these objectives for the Battle Creek watershed. The Working Group seeks to encourage projects that are consistent with a community- and science-based greater watershed strategy and that incorporate the principles of adaptive management (to be adopted by the Working Group) and establish programmatic linkages between the major actions in the watershed, on the stream course, and with the Coleman and Livingston Stone National Fish Hatcheries. The Working Group provides an opportunity for stakeholders, agencies, and the public to participate in open coordinated discussions on various watershed activities in the greater Battle Creek watershed.

Working Group members will provide input on plans or projects reviewed by the Working Group. Members of the Working Group also seek to advance:

- the Multi-Species Conservation Strategy,
- CVPIA doubling goals of naturally produced salmonids pursuant to AFRP,
- FERC policy regarding hydroelectric project compatibility with comprehensive plans,
- CALFED ecosystem restoration goals to restore and enhance habitat, ecosystem functions and processes, and
- BCWC community strategy goals.

### Lassen Lodge Hydropower Project

The Lassen Lodge Hydropower Project is a proposed 7,000-kilowatt hydroelectric generating station to be constructed on the western slopes of the Cascade Range near the town of Mineral, an unincorporated community in Tehama County, California. The project would be sited along the south bank of South Fork Battle Creek at elevations between 3,062 and 4,310 feet above mean sea level. At this site, South Fork Battle Creek drains an area of approximately 33 square miles south and west of Lassen Volcanic National Park.

The project would consist of a natural, grouted rock and boulder diversion approximately 80 feet long and 5 feet high with a concrete stem wall, a concrete intake, and approximately 19,200 feet of buried penstock from elevation 4,310 feet down to the powerhouse at elevation 3,062 feet. The intake would include fish screens and valves for sluicing silt from the intake. The powerhouse would be constructed of a reinforced concrete substructure, a superstructure of concrete block and metal, and an electrical substation adjacent to the powerhouse.

The Tehama County Power Authority previously licensed the Lassen Lodge Hydropower Project on January 30, 1986, under FERC Project 5350. It later surrendered the license because it was unable to negotiate site control for the powerhouse and other components of the project. The current applicant has obtained all required easements for the project and property access (Hagood 2001) and is now FERC Project number is 11894.

The proposed project is located upstream of South Diversion Dam and above Panther Creek. Previous studies have listed the natural streambed features near Panther Creek as the absolute upstream barrier for anadromous salmonids. Since 1998, the resource agencies' position has been that the natural features (a waterfall referred to as Panthers Grade) are not a total barrier to anadromous fish passage but appear to be a temporary or partial barrier under certain low flow conditions. The issue is currently being investigated.

#### **Gravel Removal Agreements**

Between 1988 and 1995, PG&E and DFG entered into and renewed a series of streambed alteration agreements that permitted PG&E to dispose of gravel and sand that had accumulated behind South, Inskip, and Coleman Diversion Dams. Disposal included placing the gravel and sand immediately downstream of the dam from which they were dredged. The cleaning became necessary when the gravel and sand restricted flow to the fish ladders and canal inlet. The intent of these agreements was to mimic natural downstream sediment movement and to enhance the spawning gravel for salmon and steelhead. Enhanced spawning habitat is consistent with the Restoration Project. Currently, DFG and PG&E are working to formalize this agreement and will include the final version as part of the FERC license amendment for the Hydroelectric Project.

### **U.S. Forest Service Sediment Reduction Programs**

The U.S. Forest Service has been conducting a few limited programs in the Battle Creek watershed related to stream restoration. These programs have included several road restoration measures, such as culvert replacements, that are intended to reduce sediment delivery to the stream. In the summer of 2000, Lassen National Forest assessed wildfire fuels in the Battle Creek watershed under a contract with the BCWC. Although all national forest lands in the watershed are outside the Restoration Project and outside the area that will be adaptively managed, the long-term success of the Restoration Project could be compromised if the U.S. Forest Service does not remain committed to reducing sediment delivery to Battle Creek (Chapell pers. comm.).

#### **Other Trout-Rearing Facilities**

The watershed includes one state hatchery and nine private trout-rearing facilities operated by Mt. Lassen Trout Farms, Inc. These private facilities rear rainbow and brown trout for stocking in private ponds and lakes throughout California (Mt. Lassen Trout Farms 1998). Although these facilities are located above the anadromous habitats of Battle Creek, some facilities, such as the main brood stock facility, are near Hydroelectric Project power canals. Concern has been expressed about possible disease transmission between the canals and these facilities (Mt. Lassen Trout Farms 2000). For example, pathogens from infected chinook salmon and steelhead in Battle Creek could be conveyed with flow and fish diverted from Battle Creek. The pathogens could be transferred to fish farms through seepage of canal flow into the spring-water source for the hatchery operations or by birds and mammals that may eat infected fish and subsequently

carry the pathogen to fish-rearing ponds. Potential socioeconomic impacts associated with these private trout-rearing facilities are analyzed in Section 4.16, "Other NEPA Analyses."

DFG stocks put- and take- rainbow trout in the lakes, reservoirs, and stream reaches above the barriers on the two forks of Battle Creek. Steelhead and rainbow trout are the same species, but rainbow trout is the resident form. Put-and-take steelhead stocking may risk outbreeding depression, loss of genetic fitness, and increased competition to the steelhead population in lower Battle Creek. Outbreeding depression and increased competition may occur if the strain of the stocked steelhead differs from the strain of steelhead in lower Battle Creek. A wide variety of nonnative strains are raised at Darrah Springs State Fish Hatchery, and they, at least, could accidentally co-mingle with Battle Creek–origin fish. If the two strains co-mingle via downstream or accidental release in areas occupied by steelhead of Battle Creek–Sacramento River origin, they may interbreed or compete. However, the processes for producing sterile steelhead are thorough enough to ensure that all fish produced are sterile.

Hybridization may lead to outbreeding depression. When genetically divergent populations interbreed, the progeny may be less fit because of a loss of local adaptation (Templeton 1986). Loss of local adaptation may produce divergent phenotypes. For example, crossbred steelhead juveniles risked exposure to predators more often than naturally produced steelhead (Johnson and Abrahams 1991). McEwan (pers. comm.) claims that rainbow trout and steelhead are considered one genetic "metapopulation" in California because they intermingle and breed with each other. The propagated steelhead may possess genotypes maladapted for Battle Creek, let alone the Sacramento River drainage. When mixed by interbreeding, these propagated steelhead could contribute to outbreeding depression. The extent of this potential problem has not been evaluated.

### **Darrah Springs Hatchery**

Darrah Springs Hatchery is a state-run facility located at Darrah Springs on Baldwin Creek, a tributary to mainstem Battle Creek. It is a key hatchery of DFG's inland fisheries program and raises catchable trout for sport fisheries, using a wide variety of strains, including Eagle Lake and Mt. Shasta–strain steelhead.

# Projects That Support the Restoration Project Purpose and Need

# AFRP- and CVPIA-Related Improvements to the Coleman National Fish Hatchery

Changes have been under way at the hatchery to integrate hatchery operations with the Restoration Project. In the last 12 years, approximately \$30 million has been spent renovating the hatchery (USFWS 2000). Much of the work completed or in progress includes three specific actions: constructing an ozonation water treatment plant and water filtration system, screening the hatchery's water intakes, and modifying the hatchery's barrier weir and upstream fish ladder. These actions are fully expected to support the current Restoration Project as described below (USFWS 2001a).

- The newly constructed water treatment plant at the hatchery is capable of sand-filtering 45,000 gpm and ozonating 30,000 gpm of fish production water. The new system's water treatment capabilities will alleviate concerns that potentially disease-carrying fish will pass into upper Battle Creek, where the hatchery obtains its water (USFWS 2001a).
- The hatchery's water intakes will be screened to avoid impacts to naturally produced fish in the system. The new fish screens will comply with screening criteria established by NOAA Fisheries and DFG.
- The proposed modifications to the hatchery's barrier weir and fish ladders will improve the management of fish passage and monitoring. Controlled passage and monitoring of chinook salmon and steelhead into the upper Battle Creek watershed allows runs to be segregated and counted at that point, thus affording the capability to measure and maximize restoration benefits for "at-risk" priority stocks (USFWS 2000).

# Expanded Water Treatment and Filtration at the Hatchery

To correct sediment and disease problems at the Coleman National Fish Hatchery, USFWS has expanded the water treatment system to a 45,000-gpm capacity and the ozonation water treatment system to a 30,000-gpm capacity. Increasing and improving the water filtration and treatment systems will minimize the risk of catastrophic hatchery events and will optimize the hatchery's production capabilities (USFWS1997e).

In 1985, whirling disease (*Myxobolos cerebralis*) infections at the hatchery triggered the destruction of the entire year-class of steelhead smolt production, consistent with hatchery policy, and punctuated the hatchery's need to develop a pathogen-free water supply. The *Coleman National Fish Hatchery Station* 

*Development Plan*, approved in 1987 by USFWS, includes a provision for a pathogen-free water supply to benefit hatchery fish production. Ozonation was the identified treatment alternative. An adequately treated water supply minimizes the risk of potential outbreaks of catastrophic diseases affecting hatchery production. It also enables the hatchery to produce healthier juvenile fish more capable of withstanding the rigors of out-migration. These fish also have a better chance of surviving to adulthood.

The complete treatment facility results in:

- potential for restoration of natural production in Battle Creek above the hatchery's water supply intakes by eliminating the hatchery's disease threat and minimizing potential catastrophic events through filtration and disinfection of the water supply;
- likely decrease the egg-incubation and fish-development mortality rate, thus increasing survivorship; and
- likely increase smolt-to-adult survivorship because potential decrease in fish mortality and sublethal effects caused by waterborne pathogens (USFWS 1997a).

The background, previous treatment studies, objectives, water treatment alternatives considered for the Coleman National Fish Hatchery, environmental consequences, and related activities are discussed further in the environmental assessment for the Coleman National Fish Hatchery improvements (USFWS 1997a). The environmental assessment explains the relationship between the improvements and natural fish restoration planning.

#### Water Intake Screening

A proposal for funding this project was submitted to CALFED's Ecosystem Restoration Program in 2001. Although not selected for funding at that time, the need and desire for the project is not diminished. Agency management (USFWS, NOAA Fisheries, Reclamation, and DFG) have all agreed that all Coleman National Fish Hatchery water intakes on the mainstem of Battle Creek require screens. Once funding has been secured, permitting, design, and construction are anticipated to take 3 years to complete. Completion of the project is expected to benefit fish in the upper Battle Creek watershed by eliminating any entrainment risks associated with the hatchery water-supply intakes. More detailed discussions of alternatives, their design elements and standards, and environmental consequences will be discussed in future meetings of the Battle Creek Working Group and environmental documentation associated with the intake modification process.

# Modifications to the Hatchery Barrier Weir and Upstream Ladder

The abundance and distribution of salmon and steelhead populations in Battle Creek have been managed since 1952 by the operation of a large, permanent fish barrier weir at the Coleman National Fish Hatchery (DFG 1998). Prior to that time, adult salmon were collected from Battle Creek at seasonally installed racks at the historic Battle Creek Hatchery (USFWS 1957). The fish ladder at the existing permanent dam is closed to create a migration barrier during periods of brood stock congregation and collection (currently September 1 through early March). The primary purposes of the barrier dam and upstream ladder include:

- congregating and collecting brood stock for the hatchery (USFWS 1998b),
- temporally and spatially separating spring-run and fall-run fish to maintain or manipulate stock identity (Reclamation 1998),
- preventing fish from reaching habitat altered by lack of flow and large, unscreened diversions and preventing overpopulation of habitat by large numbers of hatchery fish, and
- monitoring fish movement into the Battle Creek watershed (USFWS 1996).

The present configuration and future operational strategy of the Coleman National Fish Hatchery barrier dam are currently under investigation by a multiagency team assembled by the Greater Battle Creek Watershed Working Group (Working Group). The physical structure and operational strategy of the barrier weir will be modified, as necessary, to accommodate the Restoration Project. Future operations of the barrier weir will be adapted to integrate with restoration activities in Battle Creek. As part of a successful integration strategy, upstream passage of anadromous salmonids will be blocked from September 1 through early March for the purpose of collecting brood stock of fall-run and late-fall-run chinook salmon and steelhead. However, even during this period, fish can be afforded upstream passage via the Coleman National Fish Hatchery spawning building. In fact, this strategy is currently employed for natural-origin late-fall chinook salmon and steelhead adults. In general, the barrier weir, and associated upstream fish ladder, or other conveyance facilities will be operated in a manner such that the restoration potential for target stocks will be achieved in Battle Creek.

Management of the Coleman National Fish Hatchery barrier weir and upstream ladder is one of the factors controlling the abundance of salmon and steelhead in Battle Creek and a concern for the restoration of anadromous salmonids in the watershed (USRFRHAC 1989; DFG 1993, 1996a; USFWS 1995a, 1997c; Bernard et al. 1996). However, restoration actions recently undertaken in the watershed and those proposed to take place in the near future alleviate much of the former concern that prompted prolonged closures. For example, the construction of ozonation water treatment facilities to disinfect water at the hatchery alleviated disease concerns for the upstream passage of salmon (USFWS 1998b). Furthermore, anticipated flow and habitat restoration actions,

including screening diversions, will alleviate concerns about altered quality and insufficient amount of habitat.

Anticipation of Restoration Project implementation and the need to allow recovering populations of salmon and steelhead to migrate upstream throughout the year have affected the management of the barrier weir. In the future, management of the barrier weir may accommodate the movement of naturally produced salmon and steelhead so they can access the best stream reaches at the right times. A panel was convened in light of commitments by USFWS and DFG to explore improvements to the barrier weir that complement or enhance restoration of natural spawners (USFWS 1998b; DFG 1998a). USFWS, with input from the panel, submitted a proposal that received CALFED funding in 1999. Specific objectives of the proposed modifications are designed to more effectively block the passage of fall-run and late-fall-run chinook salmon and to improve the upstream fish ladder as per future agreed upon criteria. USFWS is working with a subgroup of the Battle Creek Working Group to determine design and future operations of this facility prior to initiation of formal NEPA process scheduled for 2004.

Barrier weir and upstream ladder operations or modification can further support or be affected by the Restoration Project because fish trapping and monitoring facilities at the upstream fish ladder will be used to support several adaptive management objectives. Adult anadromous salmonids returning to the Restoration Project area will be captured and sampled for such information as population estimates, run timing, stock, size, and condition. Future activities to monitor upstream migration of adults into the restored portion of the Battle Creek watershed can be modeled after the monitoring conducted at this site by the USFWS office in Red Bluff since 1995 (USFWS 1996).

#### **Reevaluation Process and Hatchery Management Alternatives Analysis**

The Coleman National Fish Hatchery reevaluation process was formally initiated in 1999 in response to the anticipation of the Restoration Project and other concerns. The primary goal of the reevaluation process is to objectively review all aspects of the hatchery facilities and operations to ensure their integration with AFRP-guided restoration efforts in Battle Creek. During a series of public meetings, participants of the reevaluation process, including stakeholders and agency personnel forwarded more than 50 alternative operational strategies for conducting fish propagation activities at the Coleman National Fish Hatchery. Those alternatives are currently being analyzed.

The four major components of the reevaluation process are:

- compilation and analysis of historical hatchery operations and evaluation work,
- determination of mitigation responsibilities,

- analysis of potential impacts of current and proposed production programs on listed stocks of anadromous salmonids, and
- generation and analysis of potential management alternatives to minimize hatchery impacts on naturally produced salmonid populations.

This broad-based reevaluation process is in addition to the ongoing hatchery evaluation program conducted by the USFWS office in Red Bluff (e.g., biological investigations and hatchery permitting, biological assessments, and enhancement permits). Through the Coleman National Fish Hatchery reevaluation process and the biological assessment for Coleman National Fish Hatchery operations (USFWS 2001a), USFWS will address concerns regarding hatchery programs and activities that could potentially affect the restoration of naturally produced populations of anadromous salmonids in Battle Creek. Potential modifications to hatchery activities being examined through the reevaluation process and the adaptive management of hatchery operations will be designed to minimize potentially negative impacts of hatchery activities to naturally produced salmonid populations. Modifications to hatchery activities or facilities that may result from the reevaluation process may necessitate reinitiation of consultation with NOAA Fisheries and amending or revising the biological assessment for hatchery operations.

The alternative hatchery operational and management strategies formulated during the reevaluation process were grouped, based on similarities between alternatives, with some alternative groupings being analyzed by USFWS and others identified to be analyzed by an independent consultant (Harza Engineering Co. 2001). At this point in the analysis process, two reports have been completed by USFWS (USFWS 2002a, 2002b) and a draft report has been completed by the independent consultant (Harza Engineering Co. 2001) to assess the feasibility and biological impacts of these alternative management strategies.

In May 2001, several local landowners and other stakeholders responded collectively to the Coleman National Fish Hatchery draft alternatives analysis produced by the independent consultants. The stakeholders expressed concern that the contractors conducting the evaluation were constrained by the budget and might be unable to complete the robust assessment required by the stated scope of work. They were also concerned that limitations on the budget for the reevaluation could limit the ability of all involved to adequately address FESA restoration mandates for anadromous fishes. They expressed concern that without completion of the intended scope of work, other stakeholders, managers from the Coleman National Fish Hatchery, and Reclamation were unlikely to be equipped to make informed decisions on the compatibility of hatchery operations with Battle Creek restoration efforts. The stakeholders advocated further development and disclosure of conceptual models and proposed criteria to guide the reevaluation of the stated scope of work and corresponding tasks.

Based on stakeholder comments and concerns received on the draft hatchery alternatives analysis, and subsequent discussions between USFWS, other agencies, and stakeholders regarding the desired detail and the time frame for completing the analysis prior to beginning construction activities on the

Restoration Project, all parties agreed that it would be necessary to narrow the scope of the hatchery management alternatives analysis. The narrowed scope is designed to initially focus on two particular groupings of alternatives that have been identified to be of most interest to stakeholders in the Battle Creek Watershed Conservancy, including: 1) alternatives related to moving hatchery production of late-fall Chinook and steelhead off of Battle Creek to a new facility located on the Sacramento River and, 2) alternatives related to isolating hatchery fall Chinook from Battle Creek by attracting hatchery broodstock to a water ditch, rather than Battle Creek, for broodstock collections. A report on these alternative groupings is scheduled to be available in the fall of 2003.

#### **CALFED Science Review Workshop of Battle Creek**

In 2002 members of the Battle Creek Watershed Conservancy requested that the CALFED Science Program provide an independent evaluation of some of the specific issues pertaining to Coleman National Fish Hatchery operations and potential impacts on Battle Creek restoration. In response to this request, CALFED's Science Program in cooperation with the Ecosystem Restoration Program is planning a science workshop in the Battle Creek Watershed for the fall of 2003.

The workshop will provide a forum to convene a panel of experts knowledgeable about hatchery-wild salmonid interactions especially in the areas of fish health and disease transmission, genetic divergence of hatchery and natural-origin salmonids, and basic hatchery operations. The panel will provide an independent assessment of the uncertainties surrounding the operation of Coleman National Fish Hatchery and the potential impact on Battle Creek restoration. The workshop may provide conclusions and recommendations or develop a pathway and timeline to address additional information necessary to address uncertainties and the feasibility of evaluating assumptions, potential risks and benefits of selected operational proposals.

### Investigation of Anadromous Fish Passage Alternatives in Upper Battle Creek

The DWR received CALFED Bay-Delta Program funding for a planning and design investigation of fish passage on upper Battle Creek. The study investigated fish ladders for upstream passage of adult salmon and steelhead and fish screen facilities for downstream passage of juveniles. The objective of the study was to provide data and acceptable designs for fish passage facilities to restore the use of Battle Creek salmonid habitat. The scope of work included:

- collection of necessary field data,
- preparation of preliminary designs for three diversion sites (Wildcat, Coleman, and Inskip),

- reconnaissance-level investigations at the South and North Battle Creek Feeder diversions,
- prereconnaissance work for alternative screen sites, and
- preparation of draft CEQA documents for the five diversion sites.

The resulting reconnaissance-level engineering investigation report for improving fish passage facilities on Battle Creek established a baseline from which planning could be conducted to formulate the passage elements of the Restoration Plan. Passage was investigated at the Coleman, Inskip, South, Wildcat, and North Battle Creek Feeder diversions. The report describes the project and its location and then focuses on improving fish passage on Battle Creek through the use of feasible methods that conform to regulations set forth by fish management agencies. Limited by its reconnaissance level of investigation, the report identifies the engineering, operational, and economic issues associated with the fish passage alternatives at each of the five diversion dams studied. The study was intended to allow members of the Working Group to evaluate the feasibility of and maximize the potential for identifying and moving forward with practical passage elements of the alternatives.

The Restoration Project fish passage design technical team considered passage behaviors and biological needs for all anadromous salmonids in Battle Creek. Fish screen and ladder design criteria, including a description for the "fail-safe" criteria, have been defined in the MOU. Additional information on the specific factors considered in the investigation, and how they translated into fish passage design for the Restoration Project, is located in the MOU and in the Department of Water Resources Technical Report *Battle Creek Salmon and Steelhead Restoration Project Fish Ladder and Screen Features: Inskip Diversion, North Battle Creek Feeder Diversion, Eagle Canyon Diversion* (DWR 2000). Maintenance of the fish screens and ladders is discussed in further detail in the project description (Chapter 2).

#### Monitoring of Adult and Juvenile Spring-Run and Winter-Run Chinook Salmon and Steelhead in Battle Creek

USFWS has received CALFED Bay-Delta Program funding to obtain annual life history information on spring-run and winter-run chinook salmon in Battle Creek. The primary expected benefits for completing this work include: (1) collecting life history information on a potentially remnant population of spring-run chinook salmon, (2) assessing the effectiveness of the winter-run chinook salmon propagation program, (3) assessing the feasibility of developing a winter-run chinook salmon population in Battle Creek, and (4) evaluating the effectiveness of ongoing restoration actions. The information collected in this study will help in characterizing existing populations, determining the quality and quantity of available habitat, and identifying possible habitat limitations affecting salmon populations. Information on the following 10 life history factors, for spring-run and winter-run chinook salmon each, will be used to assess the suitability of the current habitat and provide an evaluation tool for restoration activities:

- number of adults returning;
- timing of adult migration;
- age, size, and gender of returning adults;
- timing of spawning;
- timing of fry emergence;
- growth rate of juvenile salmon;
- timing of juvenile emigration;
- size of emigrating salmon;
- genetic analysis of tissue samples collected from adult and juvenile chinook salmon; and
- potential limiting factors affecting survival at various life stages.

Aspects of this proposal will address recommendations by the Winter Chinook Salmon Recovery Team and AFRP to assess the feasibility of developing a winter-run chinook salmon run in Battle Creek. In 1998, USFWS received funding for this effort and added an additional life history factor, location of spawning, to its data collection.

#### Sacramento River–Wide Focused Study

Reclamation's Sacramento River–Wide Focused Study, which has been funded by CALFED, will identify and implement additional fish passage projects at locations such as the Coleman National Fish Hatchery on Battle Creek. It will provide construction cost data, feasibility information, draft CEQA documentation, and basic water temperature and streamflow data for the Restoration Project. This information will be used to quantify the costs and prioritize measures to eliminate the identified system stressors and facilitate the restoration of remnant populations of steelhead, spring-run chinook salmon, and, perhaps, winter-run chinook salmon.

The objectives of this program are to provide data and acceptable designs for fish passage facilities and to restore the use of this prime salmonid habitat; its goal is to develop preliminary designs and environmental work in coordination with CALFED staff, USFWS, NOAA Fisheries, and other interested agencies or private entities. The final design will be completed by the DWR, and

construction will proceed as part of the alternative selected for implementation of the Restoration Project.

#### **Battle Creek Wildlife Area**

The Battle Creek Wildlife Area contains more than 480 acres of riparian, freshwater marsh, and oak woodland wildlife habitat that were acquired by the Wildlife Conservation Board and are managed by DFG. The wildlife area includes land on both sides of lower Battle Creek approximately 3 miles upstream of its confluence with the Sacramento River. The area is a climax community that includes cottonwoods, sycamores, oaks, willows, maples, wild grapes, and blackberries and an abundance of perennial grasses and wildflowers. It is home to diverse wildlife, including wood ducks and other waterfowl, deer, coyotes, bald eagles, osprey, egrets, and otters. The Battle Creek Wildlife Area is part of a plan developed to conserve property with outstanding riparian and wetland habitats. Its goals are to protect wildlife species and their habitat and to improve this habitat with a balance of riparian restoration, wetland enhancement and development, salmon and steelhead spawning area preservation, fish habitat development, and public access for bird watching, nature study, and fishing (DFG 1995).

The Battle Creek Wildlife Area has two distinct units: a western unit that includes the western curve of Battle Creek and the eastern unit adjacent to the Coleman National Fish Hatchery, which is divided by Battle Creek into northern and southern sections. The Battle Creek Wildlife Area also serves Redding area developers who have indicated a willingness to finance the enhancement of off-site lands for riparian and wetland values if they would be allowed to develop residential properties on similar lands near Redding. Other local governments have also strongly supported an area where lands would be enhanced to offset losses caused by development projects (Aumack and Paquin-Gilmore 1999).

### Tehama Wildlife Area

The Tehama Wildlife Area is located approximately 3 miles south of the town of Paynes Creek and south of the Restoration Project. It includes 46,900 acres of oak woodland, grasslands, and chaparral. There are also rugged canyons throughout the area, and it is a winter range for black-tailed deer. Camping, hunting, and fishing are allowed in the Tehama Wildlife Area.

# Conservation Easements and Conservation Water Rights

The intended goals of conservation easements are to preserve high-quality riparian habitat adjacent to wildlife-compatible agriculture and to limit the future

impacts of landscape fragmentation, instream physical disturbance, and new wells and septic systems. TNC hypothesizes that the purchase of conservation easements in a watershed with at-risk native species will help maintain and enhance functional riparian habitat and streambank conditions and will help minimize threats that stem from extensive human impacts, including water use.

The goal of TNC's Lassen Foothills Project is to partner with private landowners, local organizations, and the community to ensure the sustainability and economic viability of private land uses and the ongoing health of the area's plants and animals. As of May 2000, TNC has protected more than 830,000 acres in the eastern Sacramento Valley. Approximately half of this land, which includes ranch land and streamside habitat, has been safeguarded through the use of conservation easements with private landowners. The other half includes two preserves that TNC owns or manages. The Vina Plains Preserve is a 4,600-acre nature preserve with native grassland and vernal pools that support a wide variety of native species, many of which are rare or endangered. The Gray Davis Dye Creek Preserve is a 37,450-acre nature preserve, working cattle ranch, and outdoor laboratory. These two preserves run demonstration projects that include habitat restoration, rotational grazing, prescribed burning, and other range management techniques that are both economically viable and compatible with a healthy ecosystem.

TNC has established one conservation easement within the Battle Creek watershed and is negotiating with several landowners about possibly acquiring others. In 1999, it purchased a conservation easement on the 36,000-acre Denny Ranch, which is located on both the north and south sides of Highway 36 about 7 miles northeast of the intersection of Highway 36 and Highway 99. The easement is the largest in California history. The property will continue to be operated as a privately owned working cattle ranch, while its natural communities are permanently preserved from subdivision and development land uses. Important components of this property are its increasingly rare natural grassland communities with native bunchgrasses and wildflowers, numerous vernal pools, and blue oak woodlands. The Denny Ranch is also important because it links protected BLM lands on its western borders with the Tehama National Wildlife Refuge to the east. In turn, the wildlife refuge adjoins Lassen National Forest and TNC's Gray Davis Dye Creek Preserve. Linking easement properties to protected lands is one of TNC's key strategies.

TNC believes that the next important step in protecting salmon and steelhead along Battle Creek is protecting the relatively pristine riparian habitat along the stream from alteration and preventing the loss or alteration of its cold spring water by well development. In this project, TNC, working in partnership with the BCWC, plans to acquire conservation easement interests from willing landowners on resource-rich Battle Creek properties with the potential for future development. These easements will provide conservation protection of natural processes while maintaining the land in private agricultural use and ownership. It is intended that the terms of the easements, although they may vary slightly to fit a particular property, will help ensure protection of the riparian habitat, prevent excessive water extraction and use, and ensure connectivity of the stream to the surrounding land.

The BLM has also acquired conservation easements on two properties in lower Battle Creek, including land along the mouth of the stream. The purpose of these easements, acquired in October 2000 on the Gover Ranch, is to conduct riparian restoration activities along Battle Creek and the Sacramento River and to maintain the agricultural nature of these properties. The BLM will be developing a conservation plan for these properties and anticipates implementing restoration activities during the next 15–20 years. Although the BLM is not actively seeking other conservation easements or land acquisitions in the Battle Creek watershed at this time, it will entertain proposals by willing sellers for new acquisitions or easements in the future (Schultz pers. comm.). The BCWC and local landowners have predicted that BLM land acquisition would increase public access to Battle Creek and likely heighten human impacts on sensitive populations of salmon and steelhead (Lee and McCampbell 1998).

USFWS and TNC have obtained a conservation easement on Digger Creek in Shasta and Tehama Counties. In late September 2001, the TNC acquired the 1,844-acre Wildcat Ranch, which has approximately 2 miles of frontage along North Fork Battle Creek (TNC 2002). The ranch is just downstream from the 990-acre Canyon Ranch, which TNC previously had protected with a conservation easement. TNC will hold Wildcat Ranch for about 2 years to carry out studies and land stewardship work. It then will place a conservation easement on it and sell it to a private buyer (TNC 2002). TNC will hold and monitor the conservation easement to ensure compliance with its terms.

#### Butte, Deer, and Mill Creek Reference Watersheds

Monitoring relevant to adaptive management of Battle Creek is routinely conducted in the Butte, Deer, and Mill Creek reference watersheds. With some variations in specific methodologies, population estimates of adult fall-run and spring-run chinook salmon and estimates of juvenile chinook salmon production are generated annually in each of these watersheds. From these estimates, cohort replacement rates are calculated. Other fish population data, either recently collected or anticipated in the near future, include genetic sampling of spring-run and fall-run chinook salmon, life history details of juvenile chinook salmon, and age and growth information from otolith sampling.

Fish habitat is monitored in Butte, Deer, and Mill Creeks, especially in the highelevation habitat of spring-run chinook salmon. Also, water temperature and water quality monitoring is routinely conducted in these streams.

The data collection of both adult counts and juvenile production is part of longterm federal and state programs expected to continue well into the future. However, other fish population data collection has received direct funding that may be unavailable in the future. Data about fish populations, habitat, and water temperature and quality collected in these reference watersheds will be directly compared with similar data from Battle Creek as a means of measuring attainment of several adaptive management objectives.

# Potential Future Habitat Improvement Projects in the Battle Creek Watershed

As major habitat restoration in Battle Creek is achieved through environmental improvements to the Hydroelectric Project and the Coleman National Fish Hatchery, it will be practical to consider a number of smaller-scale habitat improvement projects. These potential projects include placement of spawning gravel in lower Battle Creek downstream of the Coleman National Fish Hatchery and in lower Baldwin Creek. In addition, it is possible to improve fish passage over natural obstacles on Baldwin Creek below Asbury Dam and Soap Creek below Soap Creek Dam. These habitat improvement projects will require the development of proposals, funding sources, and landowner permissions. Other opportunities to improve habitat may be developed throughout the watershed.

#### Battle Creek Spawning Gravel Study and Restoration for Winter-Run and Fall-Run Chinook Salmon on Lower Battle Creek

In the future, the DWR would like to place spawning-sized gravel in the lower reaches of Battle Creek to double or triple the area available for salmon spawning. The lower reaches of Battle Creek downstream of the Coleman National Fish Hatchery have been diverted in two places, resulting in a minimal loss of spawning gravel recruitment. Only about 3 miles of the lower part of the creek are available for fall-run chinook salmon spawning. In 1996, as many as 80,000 salmon ascended Battle Creek; however, the Coleman National Fish Hatchery could use only about 11,000. The remaining salmon either spawned in the limited riffle areas on top of other redds or died.

### **Gravel Introduction and Natural Barrier Modifications** on Baldwin Creek

The proposed gravel introduction and natural barrier modifications on Baldwin Creek would include the improvement of a partial natural barrier and enhancement of existing spawning gravel supplies on a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>-mile stretch of Baldwin Creek. The project is in the early planning stages and will likely be developed in cooperation with DFG. Improved steelhead habitat resulting from this project would be consistent with the Restoration Project.

# Related Sacramento River and Central Valley Projects and Plans

# Upper Sacramento River Fisheries and Riparian Habitat Management Plan

The Upper Sacramento River Fisheries and Riparian Habitat Management Plan (USRFRHAC 1989) singled out Battle Creek as a key watershed for restoration. Goals of this plan will be achieved with the implementation of the Restoration Project and adaptive management process.

### **Central Valley Project Improvement Act**

CVPIA (Title 34, PL 102-575) amends the previous authorizations of the CVP to include fish and wildlife protection, restoration, and mitigation as project purposes having equal priority with irrigation and domestic uses and to include fish and wildlife enhancement as a project purpose equal to power generation. CVPIA identifies a number of specific measures to meet these new purposes. To comply with the purposes and goals of CVPIA and the revised purposes of the CVP, the Department of the Interior is developing programs to improve environmental conditions and modify the CVP's operations, management, and physical facilities and thus its associated environmental conditions. A complete description of CVPIA can be found in the Programmatic EIS for CVPIA (Reclamation and USFWS 1999) and the agencies' ROD for the Programmatic EIS (Reclamation and USFWS 2001).

Section 3406 of CVPIA focuses on fish, wildlife, and habitat restoration. Several subsections of this act are specific to activities in the Battle Creek watershed.

- Section 3406(b)(1) includes developing a program that makes all reasonable efforts to double natural production of anadromous fish in Central Valley rivers and streams.
- Section 3406(b)(3) mandates a water acquisition program to supplement the amount of CVP water dedicated for fish and wildlife restoration by the act.
- Section 3406(b)(11) authorizes the implementation of USFWS's 1987 Coleman National Fish Hatchery Station Development Plan.
- Section 3406(b)(21) authorizes screening of water diversions.
- Section 3406(e)(3) includes measures to eliminate barriers to salmonid migration.
- Section 3406(e)(6) authorizes "other measures" to protect, restore, and enhance salmonid natural production.

#### **Anadromous Fish Restoration Program**

To meet certain provisions of CVPIA (Title 34, PL 102-575), USFWS developed AFRP, which identified 12 actions or evaluations specific to salmon and steelhead restoration in Battle Creek (USFWS 2001b) (Figure 6-4). Of the 12, three are associated with the Coleman National Fish Hatchery and four are elements of the Restoration Project. AFRP actions under way at the Coleman National Fish Hatchery are:

- improving the water intakes,
- improving the barrier weir and upstream ladder, and
- developing a disease-safe water supply.

The Restoration Project–related actions pertain to facilitating anadromous fish passage (i.e., providing fish screens and fish ladders) and improving fish habitat (i.e., increasing instream flows), which constitute the backbone of the Restoration Project.

#### Figure 6–4

Actions Identified by the AFRP That Would Help Restore Anadrom ous Fish to Battle Creek

- 1. Continue to allow adult spring-run chinook and steelhead passage above the Coleman National Fish Hatchery weir. Allow passage of fall- and late-fall-run chinook and steelhead above the Coleman National Fish Hatchery weir after a disease-safe water supply becomes available to the Coleman National Fish Hatchery.
- 2. Acquire water from willing sellers consistent with applicable guidelines or negotiate agreements to increase flows past PG&E's hydropower diversions in two phases to provide adequate holding, spawning, and rearing habitat for anadromous salmonids. The following suggested flows are indicators of magnitude and subject to revision based on additional analyses:

Diversion	Month	Flow (cfs)
Keswick <sup>a</sup>	All year	30
North Battle Creek Feeder <sup>a</sup>	SeptNov. <sup>b</sup> JanApr.	40 40
Eagle Canyon <sup>c</sup>	May-Aug. May-Nov. DecApr.	30 30 50
Wildcat <sup>c</sup>	May Nov. DecApr.	30 50
South <sup>a</sup>	May-Nov. DecApr.	20 30
Inskip <sup>a</sup>	May-Nov. DecApr.	30 40
Coleman <sup>c</sup>	SeptApr. May-Aug.	50 30

<sup>a</sup> Second phase flows required to support fall-run chinook salmon and steelhead above the Coleman National Fish Hatchery weir, Coleman Powerhouse, and Eagle Canyon Dan, after a disease-safe water supply is available to the Coleman National Fish Hatchery.

<sup>b</sup> The original table of flows in the AFRP document neglected to specify flows at North Battle Creek Feeder in December.

<sup>c</sup> First phase flows required to support winter- and spring-run chinook salmon between the Coleman Powerhouse and Eagle Canyon Diversion Dam while a disease-safe water supply is being developed for the Coleman National Fish Hatchery.

- 3. Construct barrier racks at the Gover Diversion dam and waste gates from the Gover Canal to prevent adult chinook salmon from entering Gover Diversion.
- 4. Screen Orwick Diversion to prevent entrainment of juvenile salmonids and straying of adult chinook salmon.
- 5. Screen tailrace of the Coleman Powerhouse to eliminate attraction of adult chinook salmon and steelhead into an area with little spawning habitat and contamination of the Coleman National Fish Hatchery water supply.
- 6. Construct fish screens on all PG&E diversions, as appropriate, after both phases of upstream flow actions (see Action 1) are completed and fish ladders on Coleman and Eagle Canyon Diversion Dams are opened.
- 7. Improve fish passage in Eagle Canyon by modifying a bedrock ledge and boulders that are potential barriers to adult salmonids, and rebuild fish ladders on Wildcat and Eagle Canyon Diversion Dams.
- 8. Screen Coleman National Fish Hatchery intakes 2 and 3 to prevent entrainment of juvenile chinook and steelhead.
- 9. Evaluate the effectiveness of fish ladders at PG&E diversions.
- 10. Evaluate the feasibility of establishing naturally spawning populations of winter-run and spring-run chinook salmon and steelhead through a comprehensive plan to restore Battle Creek.
- Evaluate alternatives for providing a disease-safe water supply to Coleman National Fish Hatchery so that winter-, spring- and fall-run chinook salmon and steelhead would have access to an additional 41 miles of Battle Creek habitat.
- 12. Develop a comprehensive restoration plan for Battle Creek that integrates Coleman National Fish Hatchery operations.
- (USFWS 2001d)

#### **Comprehensive Assessment and Monitoring Program**

CAMP was established in response to CVPIA (Title 34, PL 102-575). CVPIA directed the Secretary of the Interior to develop a program to evaluate the effectiveness of actions designed to ensure that the natural long-term production of anadromous fish in Central Valley streams would be sustainable by 2002 at levels not less than twice the average levels attained during 1967–1991. The anadromous species included in CAMP are fall-run, late fall-run, winter-run, and spring-run chinook salmon, steelhead, American shad, striped bass, white sturgeon, and green sturgeon. The categories of anadromous fish restoration actions evaluated by CAMP are habitat restoration, water management, fish screens, and structural modifications.

CAMP assesses both the cumulative and relative effectiveness of restoration actions on anadromous fish production. The cumulative effectiveness is evaluated by monitoring adult production of each species and comparing the estimated natural adult production to the target natural adult production (i.e., the anadromous fish doubling goals). The relative effectiveness is evaluated by monitoring the abundance of juvenile chinook salmon in relation to when and where restoration actions have been implemented. Data on adult and juvenile chinook salmon are compiled regularly and made available on the Internet and in published reports.

CAMP monitoring focuses on estimating juvenile production and counts of adults. While CAMP does fund some monitoring projects, it acts primarily as a guide to other studies by maintaining protocols for fish research that facilitate the development of a Central Valley–wide understanding of anadromous fish restoration. Applicable data collected as part of the Restoration Project and adaptive management process will follow CAMP protocols to facilitate the understanding of the Restoration Project's contribution to reaching CVPIA goals.

#### Proposed Comprehensive Fisheries Management Plan for the Upper Sacramento River and Its Tributaries

DFG is drafting a comprehensive fisheries management plan for the upper Sacramento River and its tributaries. The objective of this plan is to take a watershed-wide, fisheries management–based view of production potential and population levels of all races of anadromous salmonids. Specific goals set for each upper Sacramento River tributary will integrate the production potential of each stream and the main river from a system perspective. Perennial anadromous salmonid–producing tributaries to be addressed in this plan include Clear, Cow, Cottonwood, Battle, Deer, Mill, and Antelope Creeks. Other streams that occasionally produce anadromous salmonids in good water years will also be addressed, including Sulfur, Churn, and Bear Creeks. Questions regarding Battle Creek will be developed during this open planning process.

# Sacramento Corridor Habitat Restoration Assessment

DFG, TNC, and DWR, in cooperation with the BLM, will study the geomorphic and riparian interactions occurring on an alluvial reach of the Sacramento River between the mouth of Cow Creek and Jellys Ferry Bridge (RMs 280–267), including lower Battle Creek and Anderson Creek. This study will determine restoration possibilities for the integrated complex that includes lands owned and managed by the BLM, lands with conservation easements held by the BLM, and other possible acquisitions by fee or conservation easements from willing sellers within this reach. This work will establish the existing conditions in the river reach for quantifiable attributes that could be monitored to evaluate the effects of land use improvements.

#### **Recovery Plans for Threatened or Endangered** Salmonids

NOAA Fisheries has or is developing recovery plans for winter-run chinook salmon, steelhead, and spring-run chinook salmon.

The NOAA Fisheries' recovery plan for winter-run chinook salmon identified and set priorities for actions necessary to ultimately restore the Sacramento River winter-run chinook salmon as a naturally sustaining population throughout its present range. More immediately, the plan identified actions to prevent any further erosion of the population's viability and its genetic integrity. The plan also included:

- a description of site-specific management actions necessary for recovery;
- objective, measurable criteria that, when met, will allow delisting of the species; and
- estimates of the time and cost to carry out the recommended recovery measures.

Finally, the plan specified Battle Creek as a site for the potential restoration of self-sustaining populations of winter-run chinook salmon.

NOAA Fisheries is currently preparing a recovery plan for steelhead and plans to prepare a recovery plan for spring-run chinook salmon that would likely be prepared jointly with DFG. Much of these plans would likely be based on CALFED's EIS/EIR, their Multi-Species Conservation Plan, and the ERP. No timeline has been set for the completion of these plans.

These recovery plans would link to the Restoration Project by setting numerical goals for viable population levels for three of the species targeted for restoration.

They would likely not include any binding mandates or prescriptions to be specifically implemented in Battle Creek.

### **Restoring Central Valley Streams: A Plan for Action**

DFG's *Restoring Central Valley Streams: A Plan for Action* (DFG 1993) focused on the potential of the following actions for restoring winter-run chinook salmon, spring-run chinook salmon, and steelhead to Battle Creek:

- preparing and implementing a comprehensive restoration plan for anadromous fish in Battle Creek,
- increasing instream flows, and
- revising management of the barrier weir at the Coleman National Fish Hatchery.

The planning recommendations in this plan for action have already been achieved with the development of the Restoration Plan (Kier Associates 1999a) and the MOU. Implementation of the Restoration Project and adaptive management will meet the goal of increasing instream flows found in the document.

# Central Valley Salmon and Steelhead Restoration and Enhancement Plan

Developed in the early 1990s, the Central Valley Salmon and Steelhead Restoration and Enhancement Plan (DFG 1990a) called for increased instream flows and effective fish screens on Battle Creek. The implementation of the Restoration Project will meet all of the recommendations in this plan specific to Battle Creek.

# Steelhead Restoration and Management Plan for California

The Steelhead Restoration and Management Plan (DFG 1996a) is a follow-up to DFG's *Restoring Central Valley Streams: A Plan for Action* (DFG 1993), stemming from the final recommendations of the California Advisory Committee on Salmon and Steelhead Trout. The Restoration Project would implement several of the actions pertaining to the Battle Creek watershed that were identified in the plan for action.

### **CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program**

The Restoration Project is funded in large part by funds allocated as part of the implementation phase of CALFED's ERP. The ERP is organized into a matrix of vision statements that identify what the ERP will accomplish with its stated objectives, targets, and programmatic actions for an ecological process, habitat, species or species group, stressor, or geographical unit. The vision statements provide technical background to increase understanding of the ecosystem and its elements. ERP vision statements about species or processes relevant to the Restoration Project are presented in Table 6-1. The adaptive management actions that will meet ERP visions will be identified later.

### Comprehensive Monitoring, Assessment, and Research Program/CALFED Science Program

In 1998, CALFED approved and funded a joint proposal from the San Francisco Estuary Institute, Interagency Ecological Program, and USGS to develop the CMARP (recently renamed the CALFED Science Program) for CALFED and its member agencies. The proposed program addresses eight CALFED program elements and actions to be implemented over the next 30 years:

- long-term levee protection,
- water quality,
- ecosystem restoration,
- water use efficiency,
- water transfer framework,
- watershed management coordination,
- Delta conveyance, and
- Delta storage.

One of the primary goals of CMARP has been the design and implementation of a monitoring program with several modules that overlap with the Restoration Project. Compliance monitoring provides information needed to determine whether activities are meeting permit or other regulatory requirements. Model verification monitoring provides information to evaluate management alternatives (e.g., for adaptive management). Trend monitoring helps identify long-term changes caused by human and natural factors. The following components are part of the CMARP monitoring program: inventorying existing monitoring programs, developing specific monitoring elements, developing a process for data management, and developing a process for data assessment and reporting. CMARP is currently developing aquatic and terrestrial baseline monitoring programs. These programs will provide information needed by CALFED managers and scientists to follow the status of and trends in key indicators for ecosystems and several sensitive plant and animals in the Bay-Delta and Central Valley. Geographically, the recommended baseline program for aquatic resources will extend from the bases of the major dams through the Bay-Delta and into the nearshore ocean. The program will include ecosystem processes, as well as specific elements directed to listed and special-status fish species, such as chinook salmon, steelhead, delta smelt, splittail, and green and white sturgeon.

The foundation of the proposed baseline will be built on many of the existing monitoring efforts being conducted under the auspices of CVPIA, CAMP, the Interagency Ecological Program, the Sacramento Watershed Group, the San Francisco Estuary Institute's Regional Monitoring Program, and agency-funded tributary monitoring on the Feather, American, and Tolumne Rivers and on Battle, Deer, Mill, and Butte Creeks. The monitoring program report will identify data gaps and recommend new elements to fill those gaps.

Monitoring and data assessment results from the Battle Creek adaptive management program will be shared with CMARP. Data collections and analyses as part of the adaptive management process (Appendix D) will be coordinated with CMARP's larger aims.

# Delta and Sacramento River Operations and Monitoring

Water diversions from the Sacramento River downstream of Battle Creek, including those at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam and approximately 300 other locations, have been identified as causing problems for fish passage (DFG 1990a). Especially harmful for fish populations from the upper Sacramento River basin are the many unscreened water diversions that can entrain juvenile and adult fish (DFG 1990a). Perhaps the most commonly cited factor negatively affecting populations of salmon and steelhead from Sacramento River tributaries such as Battle Creek is the operation of water pumping plants by federal and state agencies and smaller water diversions within the Bay-Delta (DFG 1990a). These pumps affect the magnitude and direction of flow, tidal cycles, fish entrainment, salinity, water quality, and fish migration (DFG 1990a).

Seeking solutions to the resource problems in the Bay-Delta, federal and state agencies signed a framework agreement in June 1994 that provided increased coordination and communication for environmental protection and water supply dependability. The framework agreement laid the foundation for the Bay-Delta Plan Accord and the CALFED Bay-Delta Program. A programmatic EIS/EIR (CALFED 2000a) released in June 2000 detailed specific actions regarding how water supply operations will be coordinated with endangered species protection and water quality. It also developed long-term solutions to fish and wildlife,

water supply reliability, flood control, and water quality problems in the Bay-Delta.

The well-intended steps proposed in these planning documents may have beneficial effects on fish populations from Battle Creek and should aid the Restoration Project in restoring anadromous fish to Battle Creek. However, it is possible that diversions in the Bay-Delta and Sacramento River will continue to harm fish populations from upper Sacramento River tributaries. If that happens, salmon and steelhead restoration in Battle Creek could be adversely affected. The adaptive management studies in the adaptive management process have been designed to identify those impacts on Battle Creek fish caused by the Hydroelectric Project and to determine when factors from outside the watershed are at play. However, the adaptive management process will not be able to rectify limiting factors outside the watershed.

Element	ERP Vision	Achievement Method
Central Valley streamflows	To protect and enhance the ecological functions that are achieved through the physical and biological processes that operate within the stream channel and associated riparian and floodplain areas in order to contribute to the recovery of species and the overall health of the San Francisco Bay and Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta area (Bay-Delta).	The Restoration Project will substantially increase streamflows to meet the needs of ERP priority 1 fish species, chinook salmon and steelhead. The Restoration Project's adaptive process contains protocols for changing these streamflows if necessary to increase chinook salmon and steelhead populations or habitat or to assist chinook salmon and steelhead passage.
Stream meander	To conserve and reestablish areas of active stream meander, where feasible, by implementing stream conservation programs, setting levees back, and reestablishing natural sediment supply to restore riverine and floodplain habitats for fish, wildlife, and plant communities.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, the Restoration Project will aid in the reestablishment of active stream meanders to the extent that Battle Creek and its tributaries meander naturally. Furthermore, agreements between PG&E and DFG regarding enhancing the natural sediment supply and sediment routing in Battle Creek have been formalized in the past and will be pursued in the future.
Natural floodplains and flood processes	To conserve existing and intact floodplains and modify or remove barriers to over- bank flooding to reestablish aquatic, wetland, and riparian floodplain habitats.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, the Restoration Project will aid in the reestablishment of natural floodplains and flood processes, even though the Hydroelectric Project has historically had a relatively minor effect on natural flood flows.
Coarse sediment supply	To provide a sustained supply of alluvial sediments that are transported by rivers and streams and distributed to riverbed deposits, floodplains, channel bars, riffles, shallow shoals, and mudflats, throughout the Central Valley, Sacramento-San Joaquin River delta (Delta), and San Francisco Bay regions. This would contribute to habitat structure, function, and foodweb production throughout the ecosystem.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, the Restoration Project will prevent the loss of naturally supplied sediment that can be stored in reservoir impoundments or removed from the system by reservoir dredging operations. On dams that remain, course sediments will be passed downstream during high flow conditions using low-level gates at the dam.
Central Valley stream temperatures	To restore natural seasonal patterns of water temperature in streams, rivers, and the Delta to benefit aquatic species by protecting and improving ecological processes that regulate water.	The Restoration Project will substantially increase instream flows, increase spring releases from Hydroelectric Project water collection facilities, and remove interbasin transfers of water to restore natural seasonal patterns of water temperatures in Battle Creek by protecting and improving ecological processes that regulate water. Furthermore, the adaptive management process contains protocols for changing these streamflows if necessary to meet appropriate water temperature criteria

**Table 6-1.** CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Program Visions for Ecosystem Elements and

 How the Restoration Project and Adaptive Management Achieve These Visions

Element	ERP Vision	Achievement Method
Riparian and riverine aquatic habitats	To increase their area and protect and improve their quality. Achieving this vision will assist in the recovery of special- status fish and wildlife populations and provide high-quality habitat for other fish and wildlife dependent on the Bay-Delta. The ERP vision includes restoring native riparian communities ranging from valley oak woodland, which is associated with higher, less frequently inundated floodplain elevations, to willow scrub, which is associated with low, frequently inundated floodplain elevation sites such as stream banks, point bars, and in-channel bars.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, increasing instream flows, and increasing cold-water spring releases from Hydroelectric Project water collection facilities, the Restoration Project will improve riparian and riverine aquatic habitats. It is believed that higher instream flows will aid in the distribution of seeds from riparian plant species and elevate the dry-season water table in the riparian area, fostering an expansion of riparian communities such as willow scrub.
Freshwater fish habitats	To protect existing habitat from alteration or loss, to restore altered habitats, and restore areas to a more natural state. Freshwater fish habitat will be increased to assist in the recovery of special-status plant, fish, and wildlife populations. Restoration will provide high-quality habitat for other fish and wildlife dependent on the Bay-Delta.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, increasing instream flows, and providing improved fish passage facilities, the Restoration Project will restore altered freshwater fish habitats to assist in the recovery of special-status plant, fish, and wildlife populations.
Essential fish habitats	To maintain and improve the quality of existing habitats and to restore former habitats in order to support self-sustaining populations of chinook salmon.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, increasing instream flows, increasing cold water spring releases from Hydroelectric Project water collection facilities, and providing improved fish passage facilities, the Restoration Project will restore altered freshwater fish habitats to assist in the recovery of self-sustaining chinook salmon populations.
Winter-run chinook salmon	To recover this federally and state-listed endangered species, achieve naturally spawning population levels that support and maintain ocean and inland recreational and ocean commercial fisheries and that fully use existing and restored habitats. This vision will contribute to the overall species diversity and richness of the Bay- Delta system and reduce conflict between protection for this species and other beneficial uses of water and land in the Central Valley.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, increasing instream flows, increasing flows from cold water springs, and providing improved fish passage facilities, the Restoration Project will restore altered freshwater fish habitats to assist in the recovery of self-sustaining populations of winter-run chinook salmon. Fish passage facilities and prescribed minimum instream flows were determined in part based on the needs of winter- run chinook salmon. Furthermore, the adaptive management process contains protocols for changing these streamflows if necessary to specifically meet the habitat needs of winter-run chinook salmon.

Element	ERP Vision	Achievement Method
Spring-run chinook salmon	To recover this federally and state- listed threatened species, achieve naturally spawning population levels that support and maintain ocean and inland recreational and ocean commercial fisheries and that fully use existing and restored habitats. This vision will contribute to the overall species diversity and richness of the Bay- Delta system and reduce conflict between protection for this species and other beneficial uses of water and land in the Central Valley.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, increasing instream flows, increasing flows from cold water springs, and providing improved fish passage facilities, the Restoration Project will restore altered freshwater fish habitats to assist in the recovery of self-sustaining populations of spring-run chinook salmon. Fish passage facilities and prescribed minimum instream flows were determined in part based on the needs of spring- run chinook salmon. Furthermore, the adaptive management process contains protocols for changing these streamflows if necessary to specifically meet the habitat needs of spring-run chinook salmon.
Late-fall-run chinook salmon	To recover this stock, which is presently a candidate for listing under the ESA (it is included in the fall-run chinook salmon evolutionarily significant unit), achieve naturally spawning population levels that support and maintain ocean and inland recreational and ocean commercial fisheries and that fully use existing and restored habitats. This vision will contribute to the overall species diversity and richness of the Bay-Delta system and reduce conflict between protection for this species and other beneficial uses of water and land in the Central Valley.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, increasing instream flows, and providing improved fish passage facilities, the Restoration Project will restore altered freshwater fish habitats to assist in the recovery of self-sustaining populations of late-fall-run chinook salmon. Fish passage facilities and prescribed minimum instream flows were determined in part based on the needs of late-fall-run chinook salmon. Furthermore, the adaptive management process contains protocols for changing these streamflows if necessary to specifically meet the habitat needs of late-fall-run chinook salmon.
Fall-run chinook salmon	To recover all stocks presently a candidate for listing under the federal ESA to achieve naturally spawning population levels that support and maintain ocean commercial and ocean and inland recreational fisheries, and that fully use existing and restored habitats. This vision will contribute to the overall species diversity and richness of the Bay-Delta system and reduce conflict between protection for this species and other beneficial uses of water and land in the Central Valley.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, increasing instream flows, and providing improved fish passage facilities, the Restoration Project will restore altered freshwater fish habitats to assist in the recovery of self-sustaining populations of fall-run chinook salmon. Fish passage facilities and prescribed minimum instream flows were determined in part based on the needs of fall-run chinook salmon. Furthermore, the adaptive management process contains protocols for changing these streamflows if necessary to specifically meet the habitat needs of fall-run chinook salmon.
Steelhead	To recover this species listed as threatened under ESA and achieve naturally spawning populations of sufficient size to support inland recreational fishing that fully use existing and restored habitat areas.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, increasing instream flows, and providing improved fish passage facilities, the Restoration Project will restore altered freshwater fish habitats to assist in the recovery of self-sustaining populations of steelhead. Fish passage facilities and prescribed minimum instream flows were determined in part based on the needs of steelhead. Furthermore, the adaptive management process contains protocols for changing these streamflows

Element	ERP Vision	Achievement Method
		if necessary to specifically meet the habitat needs of steelhead.
Anadromous lampreys	To maintain and restore population distribution and abundance to higher levels than at present. The ERP vision is also to better understand life history and identify factors that influence abundance. Better knowledge of these species and restoration would ensure their long-term population sustainability.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, increasing instream flows, and providing improved fish passage facilities, the Restoration Project will restore altered freshwater fish habitats to assist in the recovery of self-sustaining populations of anadromous lamprey. Furthermore, monitoring approaches within the adaptive management process will contribute to a better understanding of the life history and will identify factors that influence the abundance of anadromous lamprey.
Native resident fish species	To maintain and restore the distribution and abundance of native species, such as Sacramento blackfish, hardhead, and tule perch to contribute to the overall species richness and diversity. Achieving this vision will reduce conflict between protection for this species and other beneficial uses of land and water in the Bay-Delta.	By removing several diversion dams from Battle Creek, increasing instream flows, and providing improved fish passage facilities, the Restoration Project will restore altered freshwater fish habitats and should assist the restoration of the distribution and abundance of native fish species in Battle Creek.







#### **Upper Sacramento River Projects**

UPPER SACRAMENTO RIVER FISHERIES AND RIPARIAN HABITAT MANAGEMENT PLAN The Upper Sacramento River Fisheries and Riparian

#### RESTORING CENTRAL VALLEY STREAMSA PLAN FOR ACTION

factors from outside the watershed are at play.

Λ

The CDFG's "Restoring Central Valley StreamsA Plan for Action" focused on the potential for restoring winter-run, spring-run, and steelhead to Battle Creek by the preparation and implementation of a comprehensive restoration plan for anadromous fish in Battle Creek, increasing instream flows, and revised management of the barrier dam at CNFH. The planning recommendations in this document have already been achieved with the development of the Restoration Plan and the MOU. Implementation of the Restoration Project and the Adaptive Management Plan will meet the goal of increasing instream flows found in "A Plan for Action."

#### STEELHEAD RESTORATION AND MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR CALIFORNIA

The Steelhead Restoration and Management Plan is a follow-up to the CDFG's "Restoring Central Valley StreamsA Plan for Action," stemming from the final recommendations of the California Advisory Committee on Salmon and Steelhead Trout. Several of the actions identified in this document that pertain to the Battle Creek watershed will be implemented through the Restoration Project. CVPIA: Title 34, PL 102-575, Section 3406:

- (b)(1): Restoration Project is one of many efforts to double the natural production of anadromous fish in Central Valley Rivers and streams (Anadromous Fish Restoration Plan (AFRP)).
- (b)(3): Restoration Project Interim Flow Agreements, and water acquisition fund element of the Proposed Action consistent with this mandate to acquire water to supplement CVP water dedicated for fish and wildlife restoration.
- (b)(11): authorizes implementation of the USFWS' 1987 Station Development Plan.
- (b)(21): authorizes screening of water diversions.
- (e)(3): Restoration Project facility modifications consistent with this subsection that includes measures to eliminate barriers to salmonid migration.
- (e)(6): Restoration Project is consistent with this subsection that authorizes other measures to protect, restore, and enhance salmonid natural production.

FIGURE 6-2 Related Sacramento River and Central Valley Projects