## STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD LOWER KLAMATH PROJECT LICENSE SURRENDER

\* \* \*

## REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING Thursday, January 12, 2017

5:09 P.M.

\* \* \*

D Street Neighborhood Center

1301 D Street

Arcata, California 95521

Jennifer L. Yang, CSR 12367

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	FOR THE STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL BOARD:
4	Parker Thaler, Environmental Scientist 1001 I Street, 14th Floor
5   Sacramento, CA 95814	
6	parker.charerewaterboards.ca.gov
7	Erin Ragazzi, Environmental Program Manager I 1001 I Street, 14th Floor
8	P.O. Box 2000 Sacramento, CA 95812
9	erin.ragazzi@waterboards.ca.gov
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX	
2	* * *	
3	OPENING PRESENTATION	
4	Speaker:	Page
5	Erin Ragazzi	6
6	Parker Thaler	10
7	PUBLIC COMMENTS	
8	Speaker:	Page
9	John Driscoll	22
10	Paul Kinsey	24
11	Javier Kinney	24
12	Amy Cordalis	25
13	Larry Glass	27
14	Nathaniel Pennington	28
15	Mahlija Florendo	29
16	Annelia Hillman	30
17	David Bitts	31
18	Mark Lovelace	33
19	Raymond Matz	34
20	Craig Tucker	36
21	James Dunlap	37
22	Dave Hillemeier	38
23	Ian Osipowitsch	40
24	Dr. Joshua Strange	41
25	Clayton Crezer	42

1	INDEX	
2	* * *	
3	PUBLIC COMMENTS - CONTINUED	
4	Speaker	Page
5	Regina Chichizola	44
6	Scott Greacen	47
7	Aaron David	48
8	Zane Schoettgen	49
9	Tracy Katelman	49
10	Lana M. McCovey	51
11	Dr. Cutcha Risling Baldy	52
12	Lisa Sundberg	54
13	Andrew Orahoske	57
14	Jenna Bader	59
15	Sammy Gensaw III	60
16	Colin William Kerosky	63
17	Jon-Luke Gensaw	64
18	Joe James	65
19	Cena Marino	67
20	Lena Belle Gensaw	68
21	Dara Alexander	68
22	Thomas Joseph	70
23		
24		
25		

1	WRITTEN COMMENTS
2	Written Comment by John Driscoll, district representative for Congressman Jared Huffman - one page.
3	
4	Written Comment by Clayton Creager - one page.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
18	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

2

5:09 P.M.

3

1

\* \* \*

4

MS. RAGAZZI: Good evening and welcome.

This is the first of three scoping meetings that

5

the State Water Resources Control Board is going to be

7

having on the Lower Klamath Project. I'm Erin Ragazzi

8

with the State Water Resources Control Board and I'm here

9

today with a couple of my colleagues. Parker Thaler and

10

Christine Diego, in the back of the room, they're both

11

with the Water Quality Certification Program with the

12

State Water Board, and Marianna Aue is with our Office of

13

14

We're also joined today by our environmental

15 | con

Chief Counsel.

consultants, Stillwater Sciences. We have Maia Singer and

16

Barbara Clark, and then they subcontracted. The tribal

17

lead for the project is William Rich.

18

ground rules here today and then I'm going to turn it over

So I'm going to do a little bit of logistics and

19 20

to Parker for a presentation.

21

First thing I want to do is just provide an

22

update. So we were scheduled originally to have a scoping

23

meeting in Yreka on June 6, but there was a lot of weather

24

recently, pretty wet, and, unfortunately, we had to cancel

25

that meeting. We were very fortunate that we could

reschedule that for Thursday, January 26, to the same location, the Miner's Inn, and same time, 5:00 to 7:00 p.m., as this meeting.

I did want to let folks know that should you leave here today and talk with other folks and they have an interest in hearing about this project, hearing about our process, they can either attend one of the future scoping meetings in Sacramento or Yreka, or the Sacramento meeting is actually being webcast, so you can always have them listen in and be at that webcast.

So the purpose of today's meeting is really for us to provide information, but, more importantly, for us to hear comments from folks about the Lower Klamath

Project and specifically the State Water Board's process related to the water quality certification application that's before us.

So after I go through the logistics and ground rules, Parker's going to provide that presentation, a very brief presentation, and then we'll up open it up for comments. This meeting is scheduled to go up until 7:00 p.m. this evening and we'll see how many commenters we have.

The back of the room there's a sign-in sheet.

Please be sure to sign in. There's also a one-page

information sheet. Be sure to pick one of these up. It

provides the web page for the Lower Klamath Project. It also has information on signing up for the Lower Klamath Project email subscription list, so that you can receive future updates about the project, and on the back there's a map of the Lower Klamath Project which will help out.

If you want to speak tonight, please be sure to fill out one of these speaker cards and then hand it off to a State Water Board staffperson in the back of the room.

If you are not sure if you want to speak or not, please fill out a card and just write "if necessary," so that provides with us the opportunity to know how many people might want to speak so that we can figure out how much time we can allocate to each speaker.

Please note that we have Jennifer Yang over there. She's transcribing this meeting for us, and it's important that you state your first and last name and you spell it so that she can accurately transcribe that information for us.

Are we good on sound and everything? Great

Little bit on ground rules. Typical ground rules: Please silence any electronic devices you have. Take a moment to do that. Please be sure to respect all speakers and all points of view this evening, and we want to make sure there's only one person speaking at a time

and that you do use the microphone so that everybody can hear the comments and, more importantly, so that we can get them accurately transcribed.

We ask that you hold any questions or comments until the end of the presentation. So we're really here tonight to listen to the comments that folks have, but there will be an opportunity at the end of the presentation for any procedural questions that you may have.

We do recognize there's a short time frame for us to receive all comments tonight, so please respect the time limits. If at the end of the oral comment period we have additional time, I'm more than happy to have people come up and provide additional oral comments or for other folks to come forward at that time and provide additional comments.

If you don't want to speak tonight, you can take one of these speaker cards, write on it whatever comments you want and put you don't want to speak tonight. We'll take those written comments and consider them equally to what we would consider as part of the oral comments, and if you aren't able to make all of your oral comments tonight, please feel free to submit written comments. The comment deadline is February 1st.

With that, I'm going to turn it over to Parker

to provide a presentation on why we're here and a little bit about the Lower Klamath Project.

MR. THALER: Hello. Thank you all for coming.

As Erin said, my name is Parker Thaler and I'm the lead technical staff assigned to the Lower Klamath Project, and as for why we are here, the Klamath River Renewal Corporation, otherwise known as the KRRC, submitted a water quality certification application to the State Water Board to decommission sufficient portions of the Lower Klamath Project for it to provide a free-flowing Klamath River and for volitional fish passage.

The State Water Board conditions hydroelectric projects via water quality certifications to ensure the protection of State's waters, and the California Environmental Quality Act requires an environmental impact report to inform the State Water Board and the public about the project's significant environmental affects and ways to reduce those impacts.

Now today I'll be providing an overview of the Lower Klamath Project dam developments; background on the Lower Klamath Project Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, or FERC, process, as well as the Lower Klamath Project's link to the Klamath Hydroelectric Project; an overview of the CEQA process, otherwise known as the California Environmental Quality Act; and a discussion of

our notice of preparation, and in advance, I apologize for all the abbreviations.

So shown in this slide is a map illustrating the general locations of Lower Klamath Project's dam developments. This is the same figure located on the cover of your notice of preparation that was sent out December 22, 2016, and is also located on the back page of the fact sheet at the back of the room.

For the purposes of easy viewing on the slide on the screen, I've added blue dots to generally locate the Lower Klamath Project's dam developments and a red line to delineate the State of California and Oregon's border.

The Lower Klamath Project is located along the Klamath River in Siskiyou County, California and in Klamath County, Oregon. The California portion includes Copco No. 1, Copco No. 2, and Iron Gate Dam developments.

J.C. Boyle is located in Oregon, approximately 16 miles north of the state line, and is subject to the State of Oregon's water quality certification process which is a separate action than what we are discussing today.

The Lower Klamath Project dam developments are currently part of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project which is owned and operated by PacifiCorp. For reference, the slide lists all Klamath Hydroelectric Project facilities

and from upstream to downstream include East Side and West Side, which are located adjacent to Link River Dam at Upper Klamath Lake, Keno and J.C. Boyle, which are both Oregon facilities; Copco No. 2, Copco No. 1, and Iron Gate, which are all located on the main stem Klamath River in California, and Fall Creek, which is located on Fall Creek that is a tributary to the Klamath River.

You can see on the slide in bold and underlined I've delineated the Lower Klamath Project dam developments from the Klamath Hydroelectric Project facilities, and off to the right of each name there is an abbreviation for which state that facility is located at.

Now that I've provided information on the Lower Klamath Project dam developments, I will briefly provide background information on the Lower Klamath Project's progress through the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission process. For context, FERC is the federal agency that issues orders for the construction, operations, and decommissioning of hydroelectric projects. FERC orders are often issued with conditions or measures that project operators must comply with to protect public and environmental resources.

So beginning in 1956, FERC issued the original license order for the construction and operation of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project, and in February of 2000,

the 1956 license for the Klamath Hydroelectric Project was issued on a 50-year term that expired in 2006.

Because the FERC license expired, the Klamath
Hydroelectric Project is required to obtain a new license
to operate from FERC, which requires a water quality
certification as well as other authorizations from various
state and federal agencies.

So in 2004, PacifiCorp, the owner of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project, and currently associated Lower Klamath Project dam developments applied to FERC to relicense the Klamath Hydroelectric Project, and shortly thereafter, in 2006, applied to the State Water Board for water quality certification.

In 2007, FERC completed its National
Environmental Policy Act compliance by issuance of a final
environmental impact statement analyzing PacifiCorps
proposals for continued operations of the Klamath
Hydroelectric Project as well as various alternatives.

Following that, in 2008, the State Water Board began CEQA process of evaluating the Klamath Hydroelectric Project for release of a notice of preparation and hosting of scoping meetings.

Following FERC's issuance of its final environmental impact statement, some Klamath Hydroelectric Project-affected parties began discussions for a

settlement agreement that resulted in the formation of the Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement in February 2010.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement created a pathway for dam removal of J.C. Boyle, Copco No. 2, Copco No. 1, and Iron Gate Dam developments via federal authorization that would have removed these developments from the FERC process, and in September of 2012, the Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement, along with its companion agreement known as the Klamath Basin Restoration Agreement, resulted in the final Klamath Facilities Removal environmental impact statement and environmental impact report, and before I go any further, I would like to note here that the State Water Board is not a signatory to any of the settlement agreements and that the State Water Board maintains its independent authority to condition the Klamath Hydroelectric Project and the Lower Klamath Project for the protection of water quality standards, and in light of the substantial new information developed since the 2008 notice of preparation, in 2015 the State Water Board reinitiated the CEQA process by release of an updated notice of preparation and hosting the public scoping meetings.

Shortly thereafter, on April 6 of 2016, the Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement was amended to

remove provisions requiring federal authorization to proceed with dam removal and instead pursue dam removal through the FERC process.

Following the amendment of the Klamath

Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement and at the request of

PacifiCorp, on June 16, 2016, FERC placed the Klamath

Hydroelectric Project relicensing process on hold for an

abeyance and on June 24, 2016, PacifiCorp withdrew its

water quality certification application from the State

Water Board thereby ending the water quality certification

and CEQA process associated with the Klamath Hydroelectric

Project.

Following PacifiCorps' withdrawal of its water quality certification application, several events happened on September 23, 2016. A new entity, a 501(c)(3) non-profit known as the Klamath River Renewal Corporation, jointly filed with PacifiCorp an application to transfer a portion of the Klamath Hydroelectric Project to the Klamath River Renewal Corporation thereby dividing the Klamath Hydroelectric Project into two separate projects, the first being East Side and West Side, Keno, and Fall Creek, which would maintain the name Klamath Hydroelectric Project as well as FERC project number 2082.

The second would go to the Klamath River Renewal Corporation and would consist of J.C. Boyle, Copco No. 2,

Copco No. 1, and Iron Gate dam developments, otherwise known as the Lower Klamath Project. FERC has designated this project as project number 14803. I'd like to note that this transfer application is currently pending before FERC.

In addition to the transfer application, the Klamath River Renewal Corporation also, on September 23, 2016, filed with FERC a license surrender application seeking to decommission sufficient portions of the Lower Klamath Project to provide for a free-flowing Klamath River in the project-affected area as well as volitional fish passage, and also on September 23, 2016, the Klamath River Renewal Corporation submitted a water quality certification application to the State Water Board.

Now that we've covered the background, the State Water Board is proceeding with processing the Klamath River Renewal Corporation's water quality certification application, but before the State Water Board can take an action on the Lower Klamath Project's water quality certification application, it must first comply with CEQA as CEQA is a requirement of state law. Information developed in the CEQA process will be used to inform the State Water Board's future actions.

Today's scoping meeting is part of the notice of preparation public comment period that began on

December 22, 2016, and will end on February 1st of 2017.

Shown in the slide is an overview of the typical CEQA process in which the State Water Board has determined an environmental impact report is necessary. You can see following that determination the State Water Board will issue a notice of preparation and conduct public scoping meetings, which is currently where we are at.

You can see on the slide in bold and underlined I have noted this a formal public comment period under CEQA, and the dates for the comment period are listed. December 22 is when it began, and it will close on February 1 at 5:00 p.m.

Following the close of the comment period, the State Water Board will collect all the comments and take them into consideration as well as consider the existing environmental information that is available and use those to develop a draft environmental impact report.

The draft environmental impact report will then be released for a minimum 30-day public comment period, and again you can see that there's a formal public comment period associated with the environmental impact report.

The draft environmental impact report will include items like a detailed description of the project and project alternatives, mitigation measures to reduce impacts to resource areas and a description of

environmental baseline conditions.

Now, similar to the notice of preparation portion of the CEQA process, the State Water Board will consider all comments received and issue a final environmental impact report.

Following or concurrent with the issuance of the final environmental impact report, the State Water Board will take an action on the KRRC or Klamath River Renewal Corporation's water quality certification application.

Now, our CEQA approach is to focus on the California portion of the Lower Klamath Project because that is the portion of the project subject to the State Water Board's authority.

We plan to use FERC's environmental impact statement and the Klamath facilities removal environmental impact statement and environmental impact report in development of our CEQA document. We also plan to use information gathered by CEQA commenters, the scientific community, settlement agreement, tribes and other sources.

Our notice of preparation identified two project alternatives that we plan to include, and those were the Klamath River Renewal Corporation's proposed project to decommission sufficient portions of the Lower Klamath Project to provide for volitional fish passage and a free-flowing Klamath River and the known project

alternatives, and we recognize there is a range between these two alternatives and part of this public scoping period is to receive input on additional alternatives or specific alternatives that the public feels should be considered.

So to jump back to today's meeting, all public comments submitted by February 1st of 2017 will be considered. I consider all comments to be helpful, but there are a few key pieces of information that would be most helpful to us in development of our CEQA document, and those are the adequacy of FERC's environmental impact statement and the planned facilities removal joint CEQA-NEPA document.

In other words, do these documents address your concerns or is additional time needed; the range of alternatives or specific alternatives that should be considered, as well as potential impacts or mitigation measures, and, of course, any other items.

Now, there has been previous analysis done on alternatives, impacts, and mitigation measures, and those have been described today such as FERC's environmental impact statement and the Klamath Facilities Removal CEQA-NEPA document. To the extent that those documents address your concerns or comments, it would be beneficial for us to know of them, and we do understand there are

environmental impacts associated with the Klamath River
Renewal Corporation's proposed project and potential other
alternatives, including the release of large sediments
from behind Copco and Iron Gate reservoirs, changes in
ground water table and potential impacts to tribal
cultural resources.

If you're planning on commenting on potential impacts, I encourage everyone to refer to attachment one in the notice of preparation for a list of impacts that we've identified which was developed using previous environmental information that we cited.

So with that, again, please submit your comments by 5:00 p.m. on February 1st of 2017. You can send them to the physical or email address shown up here, and there's a link also on the slide to our Lower Klamath Project web page where we post updated water quality certification information, and another slide here is how to stay informed, and on the front of our NLP there's instructions on how to subscribe to our Lower Klamath Project License Surrender email subscription system, and when we have updates such as release of a notice of preparation or draft CEQA document, we will be sending them out via that system, and if you're signed in, you'll automatically receive those updates, and we've also posted those instructions on the slide.

With that, I will turn it over to Erin to take over the questions relating to processes described today and then public comments. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: So there's a bunch of folks standing over here. There are plenty of seats out here. Anyone with a seat next to them that's open, raise your hand and let folks know where they might want to come in and sit down, so if you'd like to, there's plenty of seats up front, and we are here until 7:00, potentially.

So second of all I want to find out does anybody have any comment cards out there. Anybody else that wants to comment today? If so, you can hand your comments to Christian. That would be great.

And then, are there any procedural questions?

So not a comment, just where you have a question about how to submit a comment or something like that.

Okay, so we're going to dive right in to hear what you guys came here to tell us tonight, so first speaker -- I'm going to call out a couple names so folks know -- John Driscoll with representative Jared Huffman followed by Paul Kinsey and Javier Kinney.

And just so folks know, I'm going to give folks three minutes, so plan accordingly. If we have extra time at the end, I'm happy to give people an opportunity to come back and provide additional comments.

If you could state your name, first and last name, and spell it, that would be great.

JOHN DRISCOLL: My name is John Driscoll,

J-o-h-n D-r-i-s-c-o-l-l. I'm the district representative

for Congressman Jared Huffman. Do I need to spell that as
well?

Thank you for coming to Arcata tonight and being here to listen to what all these folks have to say, and I think it's pretty clear that most people feel the same way about these dams.

The part that you're considering is of great interest to the Congressman and to tribes, fishermen, communities up and down the West Coast. It's a key piece in the effort to remove the four dams on the Klamath, to rebuild salmon runs and make the river healthy.

We've known for a long time that the reservoirs behind the four dams severely compromise water quality by heating up water and causing algae blooms. This has had disastrous effects on the Klamath River's important fisheries, recreational uses of the river, and the ceremony uses by the tribes that hold the river sacred.

As you know, the 2012 state and federal environmental study on this issue detailed those impacts, and the studies that have come since then have added more scientific weight to joint EIR/EIS conclusions.

Congressman Huffman asks that the findings of that joint study be rolled into the new state environmental study for consideration.

I also ask that the expected long-term benefits of removing the Klamath's four dams be weighed carefully and thoroughly along with any possibility of short-term impacts. It's especially important to take that long view in which a restored river can rebuild itself.

Congressman Huffman asks that your board issue a water quality certification for dam removal as so many, including many of the people in this room, have worked to achieve for so long. The timely issuance of the certificate is imperative to allow the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission to authorize dam removal this year.

Please give the water quality certification your full and fair consideration. Congress Huffman thanks you for your long participation in the Klamath River issues and Congressman Huffman also asks you take one more step to help tear down these dams.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

If you feel comfortable with leaving your written comments with Jenni, she'd probably really appreciate it.

So next speaker, Paul Kinsey.

If I mispronounce anyone's name, please don't

take offense and please correct me when you come up, so
first name, last name, and please spell it.

PAUL KINSEY: You did a very good job.

My name is Paul Kinsey, P-a-u-l K-i-n-s-e-y, and I am in fact a water license holder in the State of California, one of the very few less than 7,000 license holders in California and I am one of those license holders, and I am here today to say that I support the unlicensing of the Klamath dams and encourage the State Water Resources Board to revoke the license and discontinue it. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Javier Kinney followed by Amy Cordalis followed by Larry Glass.

JAVIER KINNEY: Good evening. Javier Kinney,

J-a-v-i-e-r K-i-n-n-e-y, and Yurok tribal member, director

of the Office of Self Governance, Yurok Tribe.

So the Yurok Tribe formally and strongly supports the issuance of the 401 certification for the removal of all four dams for three reasons. The first, restoration, respect and recognition.

First, the removal of all four dams will assist not only the Yurok Tribe, indigenous people of the Klamath River, to restore and balance our ecosystem and our traditional ways of life, not only our economic, political, social, legal, and cultural ways of being.

Secondly, the removal of all four dams will respect the balance, the indigenous knowledge, as well as the contemporary way of life. The Yurok Tribe not only manages, but implements direct action to protect the waterways, the water quality, and the scientific foundation in order to continue the positive, as well as economic, foundation for generations to come.

Lastly, the removal of all four dams will rightly recognize the way of life of the Yurok Tribe, and its communities, the cultural ceremonies, the prayers, as well as traditional food types and sources, will continue to provide both sustenance for our communities, our children, our elders, as well as the rest of the region and the peoples along the Klamath River.

So in recap, the restoration, respect, and recognition of Yurok Tribe's tribal comments -- more will be coming this evening as well -- to remove all four dams and the approval, as well as strong support for the issuance of the 401 certification. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

So Amy followed by Larry Glass followed by Nathaniel Pennington.

AMY CORDALIS: Aiy yu kwee. Nek now Amy Cordalis. My name is Amy Cordalis. I'm general counsel for the Yurok tribe. My family is from Requa. We've been

in Requa since the beginning of time, which for folks that don't know, that's right at the mouth of the Klamath River on the north side.

The Yurok Tribe has always resided on the Klamath River. Our current reservation straddles the lower 44 miles of the Klamath River a mile on either side of the river.

The river is the core of who we are as a people. Everything we do, from our economic livelihood to our culture, to our religion, to recreation depends on a clean, healthy river.

Ever since the dams have been in place since the 1950s, we have seen the gradual destruction of our fishery and our river. We have spent a considerable amount of our time and resources building a fisheries department that has studied the poor -- well, the effects of dams on our fisheries, and all of our reports, all of our work which has informed the 2012 EIS indicates that the dams have incredibly negative water quality impacts -- there's just no other way around it -- and so we strongly support removal of the dams.

We strongly support that this board move forward with the certification process. We hope that you can do that by at least 2019 so that we can stay on track for dam removal by 2020. We encourage you to inform your

1 environmental impact report with the 2012 EIS update, that

2 by taking out the components that were related to the

3 | KBRA, because that's no longer valid; account for the last

4 | five years of drought; and then also consider the new

5 | fisheries or fisheries disease issues that we've had on

6 the Klamath River. Those are in 2014 and 2015 the

7 | Endangered Species Act was violated because the incidental

8 | take permit was exceeded.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We had a disease, C. shasta, that killed what I call our baby fish. Eighty to 90 percent of our baby fish in 2014 and 2015 were killed as a result of the C. shasta disease, and so since then, our fisheries team has looked at the cause of that, those mortalities, and again it goes back to the poor water quality conditions caused by the dams, so I encourage you to incorporate the reports that we will submit later outlining those disease into your EIR. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

Next speaker, Larry Glass followed by Nathaniel Pennington followed by Medilia Cordeno.

LARRY GLASS: Hi. Larry Glass, L-a-r-ry
G-l-a-s-s, representing the North Coast Environmental
Center. The Environmental Center would like to restate
its long-held position of all dams being removed from the
Klamath River.

For our input for the Water Board, we'd like you to be sure to move expeditiously so that the permit certificate can be granted in a timely enough fashion so that the FERC can do their job in 2020. Those of us that deal with government all the time know how critical that timing issue can be. We may have missed our window of opportunity.

I want to encourage you to encompass the 2012 EIR/EIS, the State and the Fed's, in your document, and oh, yes, do not forget to thoroughly analyze the long-term benefits that will be accorded to the fish and the people who live along the Klamath River system by these dam removals.

NATHANIEL PENNINGTON: Hello. My name is

Nathaniel Pennington, N-a-t-h-a-n-i-e-l

P-e-n-n-i-n-g-t-o-n. I'm here representing the Salmon

River Restoration Council. I'm also on the board of

directors of Klamath Riverkeeper, Nature Rights Council.

I've worked for the Department of Fish & Wildlife.

I'd like to encourage you guys -- I echo some of the earlier speakers -- to act with expedience and also, please, in development of the document, as you've heard, take into account the long-term benefits of dams out in the river and, of course, you know, also take into account the fact that there is absolutely no way to have a healthy

Klamath River with these four lower-most large dams in place, and so eventually they will be removed.

At the Salmon River Restoration Council, we have an imperilled run of salmon that once was predominant in the Klamath Basin and provided economy and traditional food sources for tribes, that is the Klamath River spring Chinook run, and with the dams in place, there is really no way that these fish are going to continue to exist in the Klamath.

As of now, there's, you know, anywhere between 90 and 1,600 wild fish that return on the Klamath side, and once numbering in the hundreds of thousands before the dams were put in place, so to wrap it up, just there's absolutely no way that the river will survive or the salmon will survive with the dams.

So please take into account the overall benefit and try to buffer your document against people that, for whatever reason, may be trying to doom it all, the good work that has happened. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: So I'm going to -- I know I'm not getting this name right, so I can't -- Medilia. Sorry.

I'll let you say it properly -- followed by Annelia

Hillman followed by David Bitts.

MAHLIJA FLORENDO: Hello. My name is Mahlija Florendo, M-a-h-l-i-j-a F-l-o-r-e-n-d-o. I am part of the

Yurok, Selek, Hoopa, and Wasco tribes. I live in Orleans,
California and my people are from the Rek-woy and the
Hop-ew villages on the Klamath River and we have always
depended on this river for years, for thousands and

thousands of years of what we know.

This river is our food source, you know, it is our water source. It is everything to us. It's our lifeline, and the dams have a great affect on how we live and how we depend on this river. We have never been able to use it the way that our ancestors did before this dam was here, and I want in my future, I want my kids to be able to see what this river is like when it's natural and beautiful because it's -- it's our lifeline and it is everything, and I just want to say, regarding my future as well as everyone around it and who depends on it, undam the Klamath.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

ANNELIA HILLMAN: Aiy yu kwee. Nek now Annelia Hillman, A-n-n-e-l-i-a H-i-l-l-m-a-n. Here we are again. Hopefully, we won't have to do this too many more times, but I'm here, of course, today to support the certification to remove the four dams on the Klamath River. Again, dam removal is the most necessary and first step to restoration for our river that we, the Yurok people and the Karuk people, depend on so deeply for our

lifeways and our future.

I'd like you to consider, you know, we talk a lot about the environmental impacts. Well, our people are part of that environment. We are a species that depends on this river, and so I'd like you to consider our mental health and well-being that will be affected and renewed. Once our river is renewed and restored, our mental health and the well-being of our people will also be restored, so please consider that, and I thank you for coming and listening to us today.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

So David, I believe it's Fitts, followed by Christian Richard, Mark Lovelace.

DAVID BITTS: Thank you. My name is Dave Bitts, that's bravo, india, tango, tango, sierra, and I'm a ocean salmon fisherman and crab fisherman based in Eureka for the last 40 years. I'm also president of the Pacific Coast Federation of Fishermen's Associations, which we have been involved in the settlement talks that led to the agreement in 2010 since before those talks began, and I want to speak briefly on behalf of ocean salmon trollers because we are constrained by the abundance of Klamath fall Chinook from Point Sur, California to Cape Falcon in Oregon.

In good years we have not really a wonderful

opportunity, but many years of adequate opportunity to fish and do okay at that, but years like last year and this year, we don't. We were lucky to get a few days on the water and lucky to have a few fish to catch.

The parasite C. shasta has been mentioned. I believe that parasite is the primary cause of the situation we have on the Klamath River in years like last year and this year. As far as I can tell, some very smart people have been studying that parasite for at least 15 years, and as far as I know, the solution seems to be scouring the bottom of the river by high flows with lots of gravel, and one of the things the dams do is trap all that gravel so it can't scour the bottom of the river, and that allows the algae to flourish.

There's a worm that lives in the algae. The parasite lives in the worm when it's not out looking for salmon to kill. If the algae can be scoured by a free-flowing river, gravel rolling down in the wintertime flows, we should have better fishing in many more years than we currently do, so we would like to see these dams removed.

It's kind of funny that a project that, by every measure I know of, should vastly increase water quality has to get certified based on water quality standards compared to what it is now, but that's the way it is, so

1 thank you. 2 MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you. Christian Richter, Mark Lovelace and Raymond 3 Christian? 4 Matz. I'll move it to the back and we'll go with Mark. 5 6 MARK LOVELACE: Thank you. I'm Mark Lovelace. 7 Until very recently, I was the Third District Supervisor 8 for Humboldt County. I've worked on the Klamath River 9 issue since 2006. Oh. L-o-v-e-l-a-c-e. 10 I've worked on the Klamath River issue since 11 2006, including eight years on behalf of County of 12 Humboldt. While I no longer speak on behalf of the 13 County, Humboldt is the signatory to the revised KHSA and 14 to the regional KHSA and KVRA. 15 I urge you to approve the 401 permit and move 16 forward with decommissioning in 2020 as proposed. The 17 existing EIR/EIS addresses the full range of the issues, 18 alternatives, and mitigations for this project and forms a 19 sound basis for your own analysis. I wish I had 20 forethought to bring the administrative record for that 21 document here. It would stand about this tall, and that 22 found conclusively that dam decommission and removal is by 23 far the best path forward with the health of the river. 24 As with the previous speaker, David Bitts, I 25 have to comment on the irony of having to do EIR,

environmental study, for removal of the dams when none was done for construction of the these dams over the 60-year period of their development. Had there been any kind of analysis of constructing these dams prior to that, I don't think there's any doubt these dams never would have been built in the first place.

We've seen over the 100 years that some of these dams have stood the impacts that they've created to water quality, to fish, to the river itself, to the fisherman, the tribes, downstream communities, the industries that depend upon this river. Clearly, that impact is very present. You've heard that and you will hear that for the rest of this evening from so many people.

I urge you to move forward on 401 certification to allow these dams to come down by 2020. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

Raymond followed by Craig Tucker followed by James Dunlap. Raymond, you're next. You're up right now.

RAYMOND MATZ: Hello. Raymond Matz. I'm a

Yurok Indian and I live down at the mouth of the river

and, you know, I just heard the commercial fisherman get

up here say about the algae. How about taking those four

dams out and you won't have to scrub the bottom. They'd

be clean in a year. It's the craziest thing I've heard in

a long time, but that's important. You know, I'm a

commercial fisherman, so I know how they act.

Years ago I was fishing, swimming on the Klamath River -- folks had a place up the river -- and some boat pulled up and a bunch of guys got out and they had cameras in the boat and they asked us, "What you think of all of the bark coming down the river? Is it killing salmon?"

And I spoke up, "Yeah, you see dead salmon, but you always see them in the summertime," and the friend where I was swimming, there was a plumb full of water and they say,

"Where is that coming from," and I said, "The rafts coming down the river hit the bottom and knocking the bark off."

No. She didn't know why she was even there. Just some people talking, you know?

The biggest thing I seen with hurt the Klamath River is the salmon kill. I cried on that. I went up four times with fishing people, showing up, and I said, "I can't go back." It's just a killing zone the way I felt about it, and I knew it was happening. I knew as soon as I heard they were dying up there what was happening.

I said, when they took the Trinity and put a dam on it, something's going to happen. The water, so much of it came out of the Klamath, out of the Trinity and just started -- the whole river started falling apart, and you know we don't get them dams out, the Klamath River's going

to die. You can see it. It's happening right now. It's happening. Okay. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

CRAIG TUCKER: My name is Craig Tucker. I'm the net resources policy advocate for the Karuk Tribe. I've been working on this professionally for over 12 years, and there's a lot of people in this room that have spent a lot more years than that, they're whole lives, working on this issue.

I want to let you know the Karuk Tribe supports the issuance of the 401 water quality permit for the decommission of the lower four dams unequivocally. We think that you need to incorporate the bulk of the scientific analysis that went into the 2012 EIR/EIS. This is what's already been done. There's 3,000 pages of peer-reviewed, technical analysis that can be drawn on. The result of that analysis was a recommendation to remove these dam. We want you to do this in a timely manner. We want to remove these dams by 2020, so we hope your permitting process will be consistent with that time line.

We think that new information that should be included has to do with what we have learned in recent years about the fish diseases you've been hearing about, and the Karuk Tribe will be providing you guys, in coordination with Karuk, Yurok, and Hoopa tribes, a

technical report on these fish diseases.

I just want to say I appreciate you guys making the drive out here. I really appreciate -- seems like a little bit of a family reunion when we have these meetings now, and I really appreciate the people in this community. It makes me proud to live here where we can have these very similar meetings where we come out time and time again to make the exact same points about restoring our river.

We have kids out playing basketball right now, we have things going on at home, but people are in here. It makes really proud to live this in area and see all these familiar faces keep coming out and committed to this river. I really appreciate it. Thank you very much.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

James Dunlap followed by Dave Hilleman followed by Ian Ospowochi. Start with James.

JAMES DUNLAP: James Dunlap, D-u-n-l-a-p.

I'd like to say I'm a Yurok tribal member, a father,

grandfather and fisherman, and I would implore you to

expediate the process.

I was sitting back there going over the mission statement of water quality of the resource board, and just follow through with the mission statement, that's to preserve and restore California water.

1 When kids and grandkids can't swim in the river 2 because of the toxic green algae, because the quality of water that's coming out from behind those dams, it's a 3 4 very deep and saddening affect on everyone, and, you know, 5 I want to tell you to do your job, you know, and of course 6 one of the things I'd like to see is that the newest 7 dam -- I'd like to thank you for giving us this 8 opportunity to give you the impact it's going to have on 9 us, and it's about the quality of water that -- you guys 10 are the water quality people. 11 How it's gotten to this point, how it's 12 progressed to where we are today is a tragedy within 13 itself, and I would hope that you take all the necessary 14 steps to rectify this problem. Thank you. 15 MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you. David, it could be Hillman. Hillemeier. 16 Thank 17 you. I apologize. 18 DAVID HILLEMEIER: Good evening. My name is 19 David Hillemeier and I'm the fisheries director for the 20 Yurok Tribe. 21 MS. RAGAZZI: Can you spell it for Jenni, 22 please. 23 DAVID HILLEMEIER: H-i-l-l-e-m-e-i-e-r. It's 24 hard to pronounce. 25 Yeah, so I'm the fisheries director for the

Yurok Tribe, and I want to reiterate what some of the Yurok residents have said previously, that we fully support the certification of the removal of the four Klamath dams.

It's a pretty exciting time for me. I've been working for the tribe for over 20 years, and we do a lot of stuff to try to improve fisheries habitat in the Klamath and Trinity Basins, and I think it's all really good stuff, but it's all pretty much work, from my perspective, relative to the prospect of getting the four dams out of the Klamath River. That's the one that would make a major difference in regards to restoring the fishery.

I encourage you to work as expeditiously as possible, try to rely upon some of the record that exists from previous EIS efforts because there's a lot of good information that was gathered at that time.

In regards to the timing of it, just this past year, Yurok Tribe received the lowest fall Chinook allocation that they've received since the early 1990s.

The river needs help. The river is very sick, and there are several reasons for that. One of the primary reasons is the dams.

In 2014 and 2015, we had over 80-90 percent of the juvenile fish that were sampled for disease were

1 infected with a parasite known as Ceratonova shasta, and 2 high or low flows are a large component of that, but also 3 the dams, they make it a very good polychaete habitat, 4 which is a worm that is part of the life cycle of this of disease, and because the dams have interrupted the 5 6 sediment budget in the Klamath River, the river has become 7 very armored and stable, so these polychaetes stay in 8 place rather than having sediments move them around, which 9 is how the natural river would function each year, and 10 also the algae in the reservoirs, every year they deposit 11 that algae downstream. It's prime food for these 12 polychaetes and it's really disrupted the balances of the 13 Klamath Basin and it was a major contributor to the fact 14 that there was almost no fish for the Yurok Tribe to 15 harvest this past year. 16 MS. RAGAZZI: Ian. 17 IAN OSIPOWITSCH: My name is I-a-n 18 O-s-i-p-o-w-i-t-s-c-h. Thank you. 19 I just want to say that I support the dam 20 I think it would behoove the local people and removal. 21 the ecology a lot and hopefully lead the way for more 22 river restoration throughout the state and the country

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

of the watershed. And thank you.

23

24

25

because it's a very large river. You can tell by the size

Dr. Joshua Strange followed by Clayton Creger followed by Regina Chichizola followed by Scott Greacen.

DR. JOSHUA STRANGE: Hello. Good evening.

Appreciate the opportunity to speak here, yeah. So I also appreciate the Water Board's efforts here and the team that's invoked to help keep this on pace for 2020.

I do want to speak just a few brief comments about the effects analysis. As many speakers have already alluded to, it's going to be really important to add that we discussed the disease impacts, and that is relating --

MS. RAGAZZI: I think there's some reverb.

DR. JOSHUA STRANGE: Yeah, so many people have already spoken to the Myxosporidium disease and it's important to include the Parvicapsulum minibicornis, as well as the C. shasta, and there are some recent documents, as you know, that will be helpful on all that as well.

Also, I conducted research for the Yurok Tribe on the rivers of Washington related to the adult salmon migration in the lower Klamath River, and one of the conclusions of that research is that the dams are likely contributing to the disease risk to the adults as well through the Ick, and that's related to how it impacts their migration behavior, so I'd be happy to provide that publication reference. That is an important part.

In terms of mitigation, I would suggest that there are some additional mitigations that could work for the dam, in particular for allowing continuation of the East Side and West Side project on the Fall side, and I would suggest looking at the possibility of fish passage at Jenny Creek Falls and also opportunities to improve water quality in the Klamath River and the Link River through the East Side and West Side in filtration galleries, other approaches that could be tested and then scaled up if they showed promise as part of the mitigation for water quality.

In particular, as many of you are aware, Keno Reservoir is going to be a key water quality issue moving forward, and so as part of this process, whatever additional mitigation that could be done related to East Side and West Side as part of this transfer of those projects would be helpful, and that can include potentially transferring or ejecting clean water into the river or thereabouts. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

Clayton, Regina, Scott.

CLAYTON CREAGER: Good evening. My name is

Clayton Creager, C-r-e-a-g-e-r, and I'm an environmental

program manager for the North Coast Regional Water Quality

Control Board. I'm here tonight to speak on behalf of our

agency relative to this Lower Klamath Project and express our full support for the alternative of the four Lower Klamath Dams.

The North Coast Regional Water Board has been working on a comprehensive step throughout the basin, both above and below the dams to improve and restore water quality throughout the basin.

MS. RAGAZZI: We turned it up.

CLAYTON CREAGER: Through that strategy, we've been working with many organizations, many of the people in the room, the tribes, private landowners, environmental groups, and we consider the Klamath Basin in its entirety a single ecosystem, and towards that end, to support that adaptive management approach, we've developed the Klamath Basin Long-term Program, which could provide essential information on both current status and trends and future effects of dam removal, and the Klamath Tracking and Hunting Program, which provides information on restoration actions throughout the basin.

So the ongoing restoration action of -- the ongoing restoration actions of the TMVL implementation is not adequate to restore water quality to the Klamath River. It cannot restore the Klamath to its full potential without also addressing the water quality factors created by the four dams identified in the Lower

Klamath Project. This understanding is widely accepted in the scientific community as noted in Interior Secretary Jewell's summary of the Klamath Secondary Determination Findings which makes the selection of the facility removal alternative a clear choice.

The North Coast Board accepts that this facility removal scenario is undoubtedly going to result in temporary downstream impacts that may be unavoidable. The procedures for evaluating and authorizing these temporary impacts from restoration projects, which at times can degrade water quality and cause temporary exceeding of water quality objectives, are detailed in the Regional Board's policy in support of restoration in the North Coast Region Restoration Policy which when it's approved by the State Board and the Office of Administrative Law.

In short, we just want to express again our full support for the alternatives to decommission the dams.

Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

REGINA CHICHIZOLA: Hello. My name is Regina Chichizola. This is my son, Malcom Fisher Chichizola. C-h-i, c-h-i again, z-o-l-a.

I have been working on this process, the 401 certification process, for 12 years and have been kind of a pain in the butt sometimes, so sorry for that, but it's

really nice to see you moving forward with the 401 certification to remove these dams.

I know that removing the dams are going to have this great impact. I have studied dam removal as to how it relates to water quality in other watersheds, and what we've seen is even in cases where there are major pollutants such as PCBs and lead and things like that, actually, dam removal has been beneficial and it has not released, in areas where there's been so many sediments way beyond the Klamath, those sediments have flushed out fast and they've actually created new beaches, new opportunities for fishermen, and so I think you should look at some of those dam removals in this process because they will show that the benefits far outweigh anything else that is possible.

That said, I'd also like to say that the Klamath River right now is so extremely important and the restoration is so extremely important because most of the other rivers on the West Coast have declining fish populations. A lot of the species are at risk of immediate extinction in the Sacramento and Columbia River, and this is the best chance we have to bring back a fishery in the West Coast, so I fully support this plan.

I'd like to bring up I hope that California engages in the Oregon process and makes sure that Keno

Reservoir is not a problem for fisheries and that water quality issues there are dealt with, and also, I would like to support what Josh said about the East Side and West Side power houses.

Other than that, I would also like to say that any of the claims that these dams help nutrient dynamics or temperatures are false. All they do is change nutrient dynamics and they greatly increase temperatures, and all of that is negative for salmon, on and on.

Just so you know who I represent, I work the Pacific Coast Federation Fishermen's Association and also work with a group called Save the Klamath Trinity Salmon, and I have been doing comments, also, for the California Sportfishing Protection Association. Thank you very much, and I'm going to see if Malcom wants to say anything.

Oh, yeah. The last thing is please have this process move as quickly as possible because everyone who depend on these fisheries need the dam removal to occur fairly soon so that everyone can be eating and communities can be restored upon the river.

And Malcom, do you want to say anything?

MALCOM CHICHIZOLA: I don't know.

REGINA CHICHIZOLA: You don't?

Well, he usually likes to say that he really likes orca whales and sharks and he thinks you should save

the salmon for the orca whales and the sharks.

This meeting's a little bigger than the one before, but thank you again so much and please move this process along quickly and take down these dams. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Scott Greacen, Friends of the Eel,
Aaron David and Zane Schoettgen.

SCOTT GREACEN: Scott Greacen, Friends of the Eel River. G-r-e-a-c-e-n.

The Eel River has two dams on its outer main stem which should also be decommissioned and removed, which is part of the reason I'm here tonight.

We got a little too comfortable rebuilding the plumbing of a lot of westerns watersheds, and I see this process as a important step in getting more comfortable with the idea that many of these dams are going to need to come out during the lifetimes of people in this room. We do need to get started with these four Klamath dams, the removal of which, as you heard, is necessary to secure the restoration and protection of clean water and functioning ecosystem.

As others have said, I would encourage you to move as expeditiously as possible to issue this clean water permit, because this is going to help protect clean water; to use the analysis in the 2012 EIR/EIS because,

hey, it would be foolish not to use it, we paid for it; and to look again at the long-term benefits of dam removal, because they are very substantial and we really need to think about that stuff as we make these important decisions. Thank you very much.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you. Aaron David.

AARON DAVID: Aaron David. A-a-r-o-n D-a-v-i-d.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak, primarily an echo what other folks have already said

tonight, that in regards to this process, please, I urge you to certify the water quality certification for removal

of the dams, and as other folks have said, there's this

tremendous scientific and regulatory literature, and all

these reports have been published and I'm sure you don't

want to start from scratch with this process and write a

phone book-sized report, so use that literature out there,

17 use the scientific and regulatory literatures as others

18 | have said.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Department of Interior report, 2012 EIS/EIR said taking down the dams is the best thing we can possibly do for restoring the fisheries along the Klamath River also water quality and the communities along the Klamath River, so take that information into account. It is solid science.

Also echo what Regina had to say. Take a look

1 at the dam removals that have occurred in other places, 2 the Elwha in particular and some other dam removals, where 3 they have seen some short-term water quality impacts it's 4 true, but they abate pretty quickly and that we have seen 5 very rapidly a huge number of benefits from the removal of 6 the dam. I encourage you, as you go through this process, 7 to take a look at some of those results. Thank you. 8 MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you. 9 Zane followed by Tracy Katelman. 10 ZANE SCHOETTGEN: Zane Schoettgen, 11 S-c-h-o-e-t-t-g-e-n. Most of what I had to say has been 12 said tonight and in far better terms than I could have and 13 far more versed in literature and scientific findings than I could have said; however, what I want to say is I want 14 15 to see this dam removal happen as quickly as it can. 16 the scientific findings and bureaucratic standards, but as 17 expeditiously as possible I think would be best and make 18 an impact. In an area where dam removal will get you a dirty look at the very least, it's refreshing to see this. 19 20 Thank you for your time. 21 MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you. Tracy Katelman. 22 TRACY KATELMAN: My name is Tracy Katelman, 23 T-r-a-c-y K-a-t-e-l-m-a-n. I know a little about the 24 I've been gone for ten years out of the country.

I'm back to Humboldt, glad to be back, and really

25

heartened that an idea that we talked about back then as a dream really is happening, so that's so exciting for me to see that.

In perspective, I want to share a couple of things with you. One is that the world is watching this process. I've been living in Southern Chile and we've been looking there at how to stop dams coming in, and it's been interesting to see the news about what's happening up here and the dam removal process down there and people looking at the environment to stop more dams coming in, so I wanted you to understand that international perspective happening.

And I'm looking down what the mission is the of the Water Board, which is to ensure the highest reasonable quality for waters of the State while allocating these waters to achieve the optimal balance of beneficial uses, and I think, from what I've heard today, people who have been working on this issue for the last ten or twenty years, there's a lot of really good science that's already happened that's clearly demonstrating that this is, without a doubt, the best thing for water quality.

Certainly we're going to see short-term impacts with the flushing of the dams, but the long-term impact, which is what I think this issue says, is that we're going to see an absolute increase in water quality, so I

encourage you to approve the permit as expeditiously as possible and thanks for providing this.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

LANA MCCOVEY: Hi. My name is Lana McCovey,
L-a-n-a M-c-C-o-v, as in Victor, e-y. I'm a Yurok tribal
member. I'm also a council member for the Yurok Tribe,
and a lot has been said already about the science and you
have received documentation on that, and I just want to
reiterate that the Yurok Tribe supports the full removal
of the four Upper Klamath River dams, but I did want to
reflect, on a personal note, some of the things regarding
the water quality of the Klamath.

I remember the first time that I was told, as a teenager, that I shouldn't swim in the river because it wasn't healthy, and I couldn't understand then, and being a council member, I'm slowly learning everything that has contributed to this, and the one thing I have learned is that the dams are in fact the contributing factor to the poor health of the river, and so that's sad to me that I have to put out notices -- and I put out several throughout this last year -- to the tribal membership that the river wasn't healthy to touch, you know, that elders and children and animals should not go into the water, and with the Yurok Tribe, that reservation is on both sides of the river going for 35 miles, you know, up from the mouth,

that that is a really sad thing to have happen, that you can't even touch the water that's in your backyard because you can get sick from it, and so it's just that, you know, if we're going to be -- if you're going to focus, you know, you really need to focus on the water quality and how it affects all species, not just the salmon, but the people that live on the river, the species that live on the river, and how just a simple contact with water that should be clean and pure you can't have. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

Dr. Cutcha Risling Baldy.

CUTCHA RISLING BALDY: Hi. I'm Cutcha Risling
Baldy, C-u-t-c-h-a R-i-s-l-i-n-g B-a-l-d-y. I'm a
professor of Native American Studies at Humboldt State -just started -- and I am here today specifically because I
wanted to speak a little bit about the work that I do when
talking about the work that you all do with the
environment and water quality as not just being about the
science, but about the way that it affects our people and
our communities as a whole and the way that, when you look
at studies coming out saying that the people who are most
affected by the water issues there are happening when it
comes to the Klamath dams are native people and that our
native people have very high rates of suicide and very
high rates of violence, and some of that comes out of the

things happening in our environment and the things we have to contend with as people on a regular basis.

So in 2002, there was a massive fish kill on the Klamath River, and I went to speak to my grandfather and talk to him about what that was like to see thousands upon thousands of dead fish, and he said to me, "What does this mean for our future and for our people? What does this mean for who we are as a people today?" and he was looking at it as what does it mean that this can be allowed to happen in our society, that we can be allowed to be faced with the dead carcasses of fish and the sort of sadness that comes with that and what will we carry with us now that we've had to live through that.

So what I have started to do as a researcher is to look at the way the environment impacts who we are as a people, and that's something that I want you to consider. The taking down of the dams is not just about the fact that the science supports it and it's not just about the fact that it is actually more economically beneficial for everyone in the state if we do it, it's that it is actually going to help us on a social level to be healthier individuals in our society.

I think a lot about a scholar who said one of the things about the society that we live in today is that we are taught that we live in a world with rights, not

responsibilities, and we start to think that things are our right -- we have a right to this water. We have a right to have dams -- rather than what is our responsibility to the world that we live in.

Native people have always looked at our responsibilities. Who are we responsible for and to? We do not fish just because it is our right. We fish because it is part of our responsibility to this earth. It's the things that we take care of.

So as we move forward, I urge you to think about what your responsibilities are for our future. It's not just now, but seven generations into the future. Think about the fact that there is no other alternative but dam removal for our children and our children's children and our great, great grandchildren. It's the only way that we're going to be able to start to heal all of the things that we see that are affecting us today. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

Lisa Sundberry followed by Andrew Bocarowski followed by Christian Richer.

LISA SUNDBERG: Hi. My name is Lisa Sundberg.

MS. RAGAZZI: Spell your name.

LISA SUNDBERG: S-u-n-d-b-e-r-g.

I am a tribal member of the Trinidad Rancheria and I was raised on the Trinidad Rancheria. I'm Yurok.

I'm no other type of Indian, I'm just Yurok, and I was raised on the Yurok reservation and the Rancheria -- I spent my life growing up in both places -- and so I'm a descendant of villages Churey, which is Trinidad, as well as Big Lagoon and villages up and down the Klamath River as well. Yurok people are river and coastal Indians, and my rancheria is a coastal Yurok community predominantly and/or organized under the Hoopa, the 1906 Rancheria Act.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our family -- I wanted to say also, you don't stop becoming a Yurok because you're from a different tribal organization, so we continue to practice our ceremonies, and so before the Yurok Tribe was organized, under the Hoopa/Yurok Settlement Act, which I won't get into all that, but anyway, before the Yurok Tribe was organized, my mom was a chairman of the Trinidad Rancheria for 28 years, and as a community activist, even when I was young, we stood in the gap where we could to support Yurok rights, even though there was no tribal government there at that time, and so some of the things that we worked on was protecting the salmon and the water wherever we could, because we're a government and it's always that government-to-government relationship that's so important, that gives people voices, but I'm glad to be here as an individual today talking to you about this and that we have a voice as individuals to be heard.

So I also worked on things like protecting traditional ways of the Yurok practices when it was tribe-based at that time, and we hosted some of the first discussions between the Native Americans and the commercial and sport fishermen to come together as a common body of people who are interested in the fisheries just in general and not continue to fight, because we didn't have anything to fight over if there's no fish, and so we do host the ceremonies at Sumig and so our ceremonies are being affected by these low runs as well.

Last year salmon festival and our ceremonies were affected by the fact there was no -- a low salmon run, and I echo the comments made earlier by the Yurok tribal councilman about the fact that, you know, you can't swim in the river and, you know, the social impacts.

There's other things that contributed to the low salmon runs besides, and it's the timber practices. The incremental things that happened to our land from the gold rush to the timber rush, the dams, all these things to undo.

I can only remember the stories of hearing that the salmon were so thick in the runs that you could walk across their backs. That's how plentiful they were when they came up the river. In my lifetime, I have not seen that because of these practices that have gone along.

They have affected that.

I hope that my grandchildren will be able to see those backs so plentiful again that they'll be able to walk across them, and I support the removal of the dams and to do it timely.

MS. RAGAZZI: Andrew, Christian, Jenna Bader.

ANDREW ORAHOSKE: Good evening. My name is

Andrew Orahoske, O-r-a-h-o-s-k-e. Thank you for coming up
to the North Coast to listen to everyone this evening, and
I hope you come back, perhaps next time, to the Klamath
River, the mouth of the Klamath, for some future meetings.

I've been living in the region for about 15
years and worked as a biologist, a conservationist, and
more recently, in outdoor recreation as a river guide, and
it's in that capacity I'm really excited about prospects
on the Klamath River. Soon I'll be able to float from
Oregon to the ocean on the Klamath. I'm really excited by
that. I'd like to thank everyone for giving me that
opportunity and to believe able to share it with others.

I've got four things to share specifically with you about this project. The first thing, the project area, I notice that the Trinity River, the South Fork Klamath River, is looks like it's outside of the project area, and I think in basically every decision on the Klamath River, the Trinity River and it's vantagement,

because a lot of that water is taken out of basin, as you know, to meet water quality standards on the Sacramento River, I think as this project moves forward, it's going to be really important for the State of California to better manage the Trinity River so that the Klamath River is not left short, as it has been.

The second thing is the duties of the State
Water Board 401 certification on the Clean Water Act, and
many view that as a very narrow responsibility, so narrow
that it's been delayed for over a decade. It's primarily
a federal process that we're understanding this entire dam
removal process to be, and it may get more complicated,
which relates to the second.

We've been very fortunate over the last eight years to have a relatively non-hostile federal agency administration that has helped the river out to a certain extent, and we haven't faced a hostile administration on the Klamath River, openly hostile. The last time that happened, tens of thousands of fish perished in one event, so that's something to look at going forward as well, because regardless of what these federal agencies may be doing in the next several years, the State of California has a duty under The Clean Water Act and 401 certification, but also under the Public Trust Doctrine, to rescue the Klamath River, to rescue the people that

depend on the Klamath River.

So please remember that regardless of what we may see ahead at a federal level that California needs to step up, and California can be in the driver's seat and see this process through and it can be successful. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

So Christian Richer. Okay, that's the second time.

Jenna Bader, Sammy Genshaw III followed by Colin William Kerosky.

JENNA BADER: My name is Jenna Bader, J-e-n-n-a B-a-d-e-r. I'm just here as a citizen in support of undamming the Klamath River. I'm also an environmental science energy and climate graduate from HSU and I work as a certified energy analyst.

I have a few points to say. I'm really just echoing what people have said very well tonight. One is that dams are kind of a old creation. We're born into this system, but we don't necessarily have to abide by it. Dams were created with a very narrow framework that doesn't take into account the fact that we need water for other purposes, like our survival. With our climate being threatened, drought, all these things just mean we need to act quickly with the Klamath River.

The Klamath River is a life source for local tribes who rely on the river for clean water, rely on it for healthy salmon, for cultural purposes. The river also carries critical nutrients and minerals downstream. Dams are basically halting that stream. Dams completely interrupt the health of the entire watershed, and it's said best by Dr. Amana Shiva in a documentary called "Blue Gold". She explains it the rivers are like our veins distributing vital nutrients through our system. The dams are liked clogged arteries. They're choking us and choking our planet.

I want to mention not to forget about the devastating fish die-off that happened in 2002. Just reminding you we need to act quickly. And the Klamath also faces terrifying consequences with companies trying to build pipelines below it. Just worth a mention that there are many threats to the Klamath River, mainly caused by humans, so we need to act quickly to let the Klamath flow freely and get it back to its natural cycle. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

SAMMY GENSAW III: Aiy yu kwee. Nek now Sammy Gensaw. I come from the village of Requa and have grown up in these type of meetings. I now understand the words of Chief Seattle from Washington and Rocks Baugh of Requa.

Creator may have brought your ancestors across this ocean so we could teach you, but the original American settlers did not have the patience for our knowledge. The original American settlers only had one thing on their mind, and they were willing to do anything to get it, including abandoning the base of their own religion, twisting the words of peace from their prophets, using the Bible as a weapon of genocide in this position. May you be the leaders of your generations to listen to the scientists of modern man who study the earth, as my people once did years ago.

Eighty percent of original indigenous California has been exterminated. They are still with us. They will always be my people. As an American, I feel the pain of your ancestors. Sorry. As an American, I feel the pain of your ancestors because they are now my ancestors as well. We may differ in many ways, but the shames and benefits of a colonized America are shared. Fortunately, my surviving ancestors had the patience to not only learn the American way of life, but build up the foundation indigenous societies stand on today. Fortunately, my people left the banks of the river to learn English language so your ancestors will no longer treat us as savage beasts, but instead listen to us as fellow Americans.

Living on the reservation, I see the stress of historical trauma on a daily basis. Although I strive to live a good life, every day is a struggle. My whole existence has been among these rivers as a fisherman, but now there are not enough salmon, not even for each of my people to have one fish.

My first boat had been burned and carved from the ancient wood of my peoples, but I cannot do the same for my nephews because most of our forests I cannot go.

My favorite memories were formed deep within the Redwood forests or at the mouth of the Klamath River where my parents raised me. These are the same places I will spend the rest of my life.

I was brought up in a world of tradition values surrounded by the love and pain that come with being indigenous. Many people in this room have guided every step of my growth and support the work I do now.

Family lore states as a man of the river, when the river is sick, I am also sick. When a family member is sick, we do not leave them. We help them get better, and that's what I'm asking you to do is to help us be able to help our family make themselves better, because we have people coming back to our homeland, but we cannot support them without a healthy, thriving river, so I ask you guys, please, help make our rivers great again. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Colin William -- I think it's Kerosky followed by Jon-Luke Gensaw and Joe James.

COLIN WILLIAM KEROSKY: Colin William Kerosky, C-o-l-i-n W-i-l-l-i-a-m K-e-r-o-s-k-y.

I'd like to thank you all for bringing everybody together once again. I am a resident of Phoenix, Oregon. I've been watching this process very closely, and it's very inspirational to see such a large community support something that seems so obviously scientifically beneficial. We appreciate all the work you've been doing for these water quality committees, and I'd like to recommend that you expedite them and really be part of this process in Oregon.

On a final note, the last time I was down here, I was a young man and my mother had been working with the conservation movement. Sneaking off from their cricket game, I found an old man along the river. He was watching the salmon run which was the first one I had seen in my life. I didn't notice until I almost left that he was crying, and part of it was because he had seen me watching it and this was something he thought future generations would not be able to enjoy to the level the tribes had.

Even though it's not directly related to this permitting process, I'd like to urge you to expedite the removal of these dams so people have the right to enjoy

1 the salmon as well as I did for a short period. Thank
2 you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

JON-LUKE GENSAW: Aiy yu kwee. Nek now Jon Gensaw. I come from Requa, my village at the mouth of the Klamath. I personally know that the river is important -- let me start from the top.

I come tonight from Klamath. My ancestors lived along the banks since the world was created. I speak for them when I say the river is my mother, just as important as my biological. Although I never had too much, the river had moments in life. That's all I have every had. I come from a big family with six relatives -- two brothers, two sisters, and the river.

I may not be the most educated on the science of the river, but I do know that the river is very sick. I hear stories of the quality and the death of quantity, purity. My traditions are carried word-of-mouth, and when the river dies, so does my traditions and my religion and my spirit. I know that the knowledge is deep as my roots for this land.

I may not be the best public speaker, but I feel like this is very important. I personally know that the river is important to everybody, not just indigenous.

Water is life, life is energy and currents and is what you

1 make it, and at the end of the day, being indigenous is a 2 mind-set. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Joe James, followed by Mary Ann Murphy followed by Cena M-a-m, I think.

JOE JAMES: Good evening. My name is Joe James, J-o-e J-a-m-e-s. I'm a Yurok tribal member, Yurok tribal council member. I'm from the village of Srey-gon up there on the Klamath River, just upriver from the stream of Pek-won.

I wanted to comment that, from the tribal leadership, we fully support the removal of the dams, but also, too, I wanted to talk on the record, talk to the importance of the impacts on our culture it has for us.

As a couple of my young brothers have mentioned, lack of salmon this last year, there was more tribal members than we had fish to give out. That was a real huge impact on us. We rely on our salmon for our way of life. We are traditional people. We are hunters, we are gatherers, we are fisherman. We are strong Indian people that rely directly on the salmon that provides for us, and at the same time -- we mentioned respectfulness -- we respect the river and Mother Earth that has provided for us. But getting back to that culture component of it, this year it was really disheartening to provide not just our elders -- we were taught to give the fish to our

elders, our community members, during our ceremonies, and when we don't have that, that makes it really tough on the challenge. It doesn't stop the way we are, but it makes things more difficult, and it's a huge, huge red flag, not just this year, but has been for the last four, five-plus years, so I wanted to comment on that, on the cultural side of it.

And just a little bit, too, on the economic side of it, too, we have felt the impacts from an economic side that the lack of salmon, the lack of sports fishermen, the lack of business to our enterprises that have impacted to our business there in Klamath.

I also wanted to let the people know that Yurok Tribe has been on point and has been a lead, but at the same time, we couldn't have done it by ourselves, and we thank everybody that we continue to work with, our partners, our tribes, our local fisherman, people here on the North Coast, and again, we want to look forward to partnering, collaborating, and working with people in removing the dams and strongly consider using the existing resources to expedite. The Yurok would say "heemerks'ers", hurry up. Like I said, please utilize the existing resources so we break the dams down. Thank you for your time.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you. Mary Ann Murphy,

followed by Cena, followed by Lena Belle Gensaw.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CENA MARINO: My name is Cena Marino C-e-n-a M-a-r-i-n-o, and what I'd like to say tonight is the Indian people are awaiting us, as they have on the Dakota Access Project. They're closer to Mother Nature and closer to Mother Earth and we need to listen to them, but for all of us who are concerned with our environmental health, we have to stand together. We have to come out again and again, no matter whether it's the main focus of our concern or not. We have to get together because we have an administration and a cabinet that is not going to support us at all, so we have to work from the grassroots. We have to write letters. We have to make calls. We have to sign petitions whenever we can to get behind each other and to show support for the things we need, to save our planet, to save our earth.

It's getting close to the tipping point in a lot of areas, and we see it in the fish, we see it in other species, and we are a species that are at the top and can go down with the rest of them, and that's about all I wanted to say is we really need to stick together. Thank you guys for listening to us.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

Lena Belle Crenshaw followed by Dara Alexander.

At this point I'm going to -- Dara's the last

one I have here, so if there's any other speaker cards out there and you haven't heard your name called, let Christian know.

LENA BELLE GENSAW: Aiy yu kwee. Nek now Lena Belle Gensaw. I come here tonight to represent my people from the Yurok Tribe and the people from our lands. I have two young sons and I come here tonight to be able to ask you guys to further the dam removal so that they may have a plentiful future, so they can grow and learn our culture and be part of the land we live upon.

A Creator blessed us so we were able to live on the Earth, to live and not to damage the Earth and doing such things that put us in harm's way. I ask that you guys keep an open mind to be able to do what you can to represent the dam removal. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you. Dara Alexander.

DARA ALEXANDER: Hello. I'm very nervous. I don't usually speak in front of people.

I just want to say I started coming to the Klamath River just on accident 10 or 15 years ago, and it's just an amazing river and I love the people that live on the river, even though I don't know them personally, and I can just -- I can't imagine how beautiful it once was because before it was dirty, became dirty.

I just want to say that I believe there are four

forces of unification that unify people. There may be more than four, but the ones I know of are the water, the forest, the fire, and the vibration, the rhythm, and the water helps, and the moons, all that help with the river.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I believe there's a rhythm to life and the rivers help, and I just believe that a part of the reason why there's war, in part, is because when the water is dirty and people cannot play in the water and they cannot unite in the water and cleanse and heal in the water, where once in summertime the water is like a main magnet and focus to cool off in, you know, that unify people and cleanse people and heal people so that they can be ready and cleaned out and ready to start the winter, and now we cannot go through this cycle. There is no cycle for this, and the native people cannot go through this so they can help heal the Earth, and to me, the indigenous people are like the old growths of the world and so we need to help We need to help them. They're trying to help the them. Earth, and we need to help them. We need to take down these dams and let the river flourish and flow and clean out and -- and so that we can all have more healing and there will be less distraction toward doing other things that are negative, that cause harm and war and violence and competition and just more unity.

So I hope we can help to -- to support the

1 people that are the keepers of -- the Earth keepers and 2 that keep the rivers and to keep the sacred ceremonies and healing places and places for playing and flourishing in 3 4 eternal life of planet Earth. Thank you. 5 MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you. And Thomas Joseph. 6 THOMAS JOSEPH: Good evening. I was late and so 7 I apologize. Are you guys board members or 8 representatives of the board? 9 MS. RAGAZZI: So we're staff. 10 THOMAS JOSEPH: Staff. 11 MS. RAGAZZI: Staff of the Board. 12 THOMAS JOSEPH: Okay, so you're taking this 13 message to the board then. 14 I think most important message that I heard 15 tonight, you know, there's a lot of science, and we all 16 know that the science is correct and right for the removal 17 of the dams. It is going to benefit the waters of California. When you go to Yreka, you'll have some 18 scientists up there, but we have real scientists that have 19 20 dedicated their lives, have worked hard, have proven this, 21 that dam removal will be beneficial for not only the Klamath Basin, but for the waters of all California. 22 23 I want you guys to know that's here, that's 24 going to take this message, that the most valuable message 25 to take to the Board is the responsibility to protect and

honor indigenous rights and the tribes that have been living in this basin for thousands and thousands of years, and if you don't really, truly feel that or understand that, then you're not really able to take our message to that board.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You really have to believe the stories that these people have told are deeply rooted in the blood and the DNA that flows through them for thousands of years, and as representatives of the board that are supposed to look after the best interests of California water, it is your obligation and duty to deliver that message in a powerful way and so you may have to excuse yourself sometime in your personal life to really contemplate and understand what that means, to really dig deep and find the connection that you have with your Creator, that you have with your higher power or whatever you may look to spiritually to understand that the importance of this dam removal is in your hands quite a bit and that you really need to persuade those decision-makers to let them know with all your might, with your all your ability, with all the moves that you may carry that these dams need to come down, not because scientists say that it's correct and right, but because you dug deep within yourselves and found out and know that that's what's best for the State of California, that's what is best for California water.

And you're going to receive the same message and the same answers that we all receive, that all these scientists have done for a long time, for decades. going to receive a message we all say as indigenous people that have grown up here for thousands of years, that these dams need to come down, but how are you going to be able to deliver that message in a way that is going to move them emotionally for them to do their job in a respectful, honorable manner to protect the waters of California? That's a tricky task, and I applaud you if you do take these words seriously and dig deep and real that you may be able to deliver the message of these people here in a powerful way for the decision-makers for them to make the I mean, jeez, how many hearings have we right decision. been to? Your faces actually look familiar some of you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So continue, continue, but really this is the responsibility of all of us living in this basin and it's the responsibility of your guys' job and task to deliver our message in a powerful way, in a spiritual way, in a meaningful way, that these dams can come down, that you are standing on the right side of history and that you are doing your obligation to protect all California water. Thank you.

MS. RAGAZZI: Thank you.

Okay, so if you weren't counting, that was 35

```
1
   commenters that we got to hear from tonight, but I do want
 2
   to say if there's anyone who felt like they didn't get
 3
   enough time to make a comment, they can come up now, and
    if there's anyone who didn't put in a speaker card but
4
 5
   would like to make a comment, now would be a good time as
   well.
6
7
              Okay, I want to thank everyone for coming
8
    tonight. Again, written comments are due by 5:00 p.m. on
9
   February 1st. Thank you all for coming in tonight.
          (Whereupon, the hearing concluded at 7:01 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
2	COUNTY OF HUMBOLDT )
3	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the
4	foregoing hearing was taken in shorthand by me, a
5	Certified Shorthand Reporter, at the time and place
6	therein stated and that the testimony was thereafter
7	reduced, by computer, to typewriting under my direction
8	and supervision.
9	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
LO	attorney for either or any of the parties in the foregoing
L1	hearing and caption named, nor in any way interested in
L2	the event or outcome of this cause and that I am not
L3	related to any of the parties thereto.
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
L9	hereunto set my hand this
20	1st day of February, 2017
21	Jemper Dyaz
22	
23	Jennifer L. Yang, CSR No. 12367
24	
25	