

From: doris livingston [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2005 11:56 AM
To: 2105comments@nsrnet.com
Subject: Almanor Thermal Curtin
Attn: Sharon Stohrer, State Water Resources Control Board

As residents of Plumas County and recreational users of Lake Almanor, we would like to go on record as opposing the Thermal Curtin Proposal at Almanor and Butt Lakes.

1. Give up this Thermal Curtin idea and save the expense of an EIR.
2. Can the Almanor Thermal Curtin be constructed without disturbing Maidu burial sites?
3. Will the withdrawal of cold water from Almanor and Butt have a detrimental affect on the lakes' fisheries?
4. Would the overall public trust interests of the entire watershed benefit more from \$50,000,000 (fifty million dollars) being spent on watershed restoration and improvement rather than a Thermal Curtin?
5. Would the negative effects on Almanor and Butt outweigh the marginal benefits of one degree Celsius cooler water in the lower North Fork of the Feather River?
6. Could the fishery effects of the warmer, lower NFFR be mitigated by a multi-million dollar investment in state and/or private fish hatcheries to improve fisheries in other areas.

A reply will be expected

Thank you,

Bruce and Doris Livingston