Barnes, Peter@Waterboards

From: Hugh Cooney <cooney.hugh@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, March 02, 2015 11:43 AM **To:** Barnes, Peter@Waterboards

Subject: RE: Draft EIR, PG&E Certification UNFFR Project NO 2105

Dear Mr. Barnes,

Thank you for providing me the opportunity to provide feedback on the above mentioned project. My concern is that Alternatives 1 and 2 will have a devastating negative impact on my community, the surrounding communities, the local economy, and the environment.

My main issue with the Draft EIR is that I find very little in the report regarding the impacts this project, and the proposed Alternatives, will have on the quality of my life. I strongly disagree with the statement that increasing the water temperatures of Lake Almanor will not have a "substantial impact". I live here and my personal experience has been contrary to your findings. With several years of drought I have seen firsthand the negative effects that an increase in water temperature has on the lake. We are experiencing more algae than in the past, which in turn reduces the clarity of the lake. I fear that any activity that further reduces cold water in the lake will greatly reduce the cold water fisheries that have made Lake Almanor one of the best fishing destinations in the State of California. My observations are supported by data in the final draft of the Lake Almanor Water Quality Report, 2015.

Increased water temperatures, increased algae, and reduced fisheries will have a devastating negative impact on the local economy, which is dependent upon tourism and already suffering. When I consider all of the negative impacts this project will have on the local economy and environment, I wonder what the impact will be on my quality of life and property value. Will more local businesses close? Will I lose needed services, such as our hospital, as a result of a worsening local economy? Will we lose the one thing that has brought us all here – the enjoyment of Lake Almanor?

In conclusion, I am OPPOSED to Alternatives 1 & 2, as described in the Draft EIR, and I believe that pursuing these Alternatives is unreasonable and reckless. I urge the State Water Board to only consider the PG&E project, as submitted and approved in the Settlement Agreement of April 22, 2004, without the additional release of cold water from Lake Almanor.

Best regards,

Hugh Cooney

733 Lassen View Dr., Lake Almanor, CA 96137

Barnes, Peter@Waterboards

Sincerely,

From: Sent: To: Subject:	Hugh Cooney <cooney.hugh@gmail.com> Tuesday, March 17, 2015 3:03 PM Barnes, Peter@Waterboards RE: Draft EIR, PG&E Certification UNFFR Project NO 2105</cooney.hugh@gmail.com>
Dear Mr. Barnes,	
•	e opportunity to provide feedback on the above mentioned project. My concern is ave a devastating negative impact on my community, the surrounding y, and the environment.
the proposed Alternatives, will increasing the water temperatur personal experience has been conegative effects that an increase the past, which in turn reduces the lake will greatly reduce the	IR is that I find very little in the report regarding the impacts this project, and have on the quality of my life. I strongly disagree with the statement that res of Lake Almanor will not have a "substantial impact". I live here and my ontrary to your findings. With several years of drought I have seen firsthand the in water temperature has on the lake. We are experiencing more algae than in the clarity of the lake. I fear that any activity that further reduces cold water in cold water fisheries that have made Lake Almanor one of the best fishing fornia. My observations are supported by data in the final draft of the Lake , 2015.
the local economy, which is de- impacts this project will have o quality of life and property valu	ncreased algae, and reduced fisheries will have a devastating negative impact on pendent upon tourism and already suffering. When I consider all of the negative in the local economy and environment, I wonder what the impact will be on my ne. Will more local businesses close? Will I lose needed services, such as our ing local economy? Will we lose the one thing that has brought us all here – the
these Alternatives is unreasonal	to Alternatives 1 & 2, as described in the Draft EIR, and I believe that pursuing ble and reckless. I urge the State Water Board to only consider the PG&E ved in the Settlement Agreement of April 22, 2004, without the additional e Almanor.

Hugh Cooney