

On Jan 5, 2017, at 2:54 PM, Dave Stoldt <dstoldt@mpwmd.net> wrote:

All,

Mr. Coletti did not attend the meeting, nor called in, hence lacks the context of the discussion.

Demand at existing service addresses ebbs and flows based on many conditions, as discussed during the meeting. Our intent was to recognize three key tenets: (a) a property owner who underwent a decline in use, should not be unduly restricted from restoring that use, (b) there is no such thing as “paper water”, rather only water that was already “in the system” as actual use, savings due to an investment in conservation, or an allotment given to a city for a project already permitted in 1993, and (c) managing the system to the EDL is the appropriate approach, treating similar property owners differentially is not an appropriate approach.

The District’s water counting methodology is based on a “no new water” philosophy since the early 1990s and has proven effective at not only limiting growth, but in conjunction with the other (and specified) reasons identified in our discussion and graphic on declining customer demand, has positioned the Peninsula in a much better position than conditions that existed at the time of the Order.

We look forward to continued, but informed, discussion on this matter.

Best regards,

Dave

David J. Stoldt
General Manager
Monterey Peninsula Water Management District
5 Harris Court – Bldg G
Monterey, CA 93940

831.658.5651