

From: Luke Coletti <ljc@groknet.net>
Date: January 27, 2017 at 6:14:23 PM PST
To: Dave Stoldt <dstoldt@mpwmd.net>
Cc: "Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards" <Kathy.Mrowka@waterboards.ca.gov>, "O'Hagan, John@Waterboards" <John.O'Hagan@waterboards.ca.gov>, "Aue, Marianna@waterboards" <Marianna.Aue@waterboards.ca.gov>, "Grober, Les@Waterboards" <Les.Grober@waterboards.ca.gov>, "Richard.Svindland@amwater.com" <Richard.Svindland@amwater.com>, "BMeister@mbayaq.org" <BMeister@mbayaq.org>, John Narigi <jnarigi@montereyplazahotel.com>, Mike Zimmerman <mzimmerman@canneryrow.com>, Ron Meer <rmeer@domainepg.com>, Stephanie Locke <s.locke@mpwmd.net>, "bkampe@cityofpacificgrove.org" <bkampe@cityofpacificgrove.org>, David Laredo <dave@laredolaw.net>, Brian LeNeve <bjleneve@att.net>, laurens silver <larrysilver@earthlink.net>, "jficker@calstrat.com" <jficker@calstrat.com>, "Jonas Minton, (jminton@pcl.org)" <jminton@pcl.org>, "dbeech@comcast.net" <dbeech@comcast.net>
Subject: Re: WR 2009-060 Condition 2 Meeting Yesterday

Dave,

I'm concerned with the increased consumption of unlawful water that this proposal will lead to, not District philosophy. The Carmel River habitat probably isn't very interested in District philosophy either. Further, claiming that your proposal is "community based", which has received an "outpouring of support", is anything but "cogent", it's simply inflated commentary. If you want to sell your proposal, do it on the merits, not with inflated commentary.

Finally, if you're truly interested in reading a "cogent" comment letter, which refutes the District's "three tenets" regarding this issue, you are welcome to do so (link below):

http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/waterrights/water_issues/projects/california_american_water_company/docs/coletti_011717.pdf

Luke Coletti

Pacific Grove

On 1/27/17 5:56 PM, Dave Stoldt wrote:

Me. Coletti

I am indeed in a position. District rules for 30 years have been based on "no increase in the capacity to use water" philosophy. Specifically because of concerns over the conditions on the river. It works

Please cease the email barrage. If you collect all of your thoughts in a single, cogent inquiry it will be helpful for us or State Board staff to develop a response.

Dave Stoldt

~~~~~  
Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 27, 2017, at 5:41 PM, Luke Coletti <[ljc@groknnet.net](mailto:ljc@groknnet.net)<<mailto:ljc@groknnet.net>>> wrote:

Dave,

You're not in a position to say what the magnitude of the increased unlawful water consumption will be as a result of your proposed change to Condition 2. There is no way to determine how many more projects will come forth as a result of this proposed change.

Also, which "community-based proposal" are you talking about? The community I'm familiar with wants unlawful water consumption from the Carmel River to be reduced, not increased, which your proposal would lead to.

Luke

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: RE: WR 2009-060 Condition 2 Meeting Yesterday

Date: Fri, 27 Jan 2017 00:04:54 +0000

From: Dave Stoldt <[dstoldt@mpwmd.net](mailto:dstoldt@mpwmd.net)><<mailto:dstoldt@mpwmd.net>>

To: Mrowka, Kathy@Waterboards

(<[Kathy.Mrowka@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:Kathy.Mrowka@waterboards.ca.gov)<<mailto:Kathy.Mrowka@waterboards.ca.gov>>)

<[Kathy.Mrowka@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:Kathy.Mrowka@waterboards.ca.gov)><<mailto:Kathy.Mrowka@waterboards.ca.gov>>, O'Hagan,  
John@Waterboards

<[John.O'Hagan@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:John.O'Hagan@waterboards.ca.gov)><<mailto:John.O'Hagan@waterboards.ca.gov>>, Aue,  
Marianna <[Marianna.Aue@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:Marianna.Aue@waterboards.ca.gov)><<mailto:Marianna.Aue@waterboards.ca.gov>>,

Grober, Les@Waterboards

(<[Les.Grober@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:Les.Grober@waterboards.ca.gov)<<mailto:Les.Grober@waterboards.ca.gov>>)

<[Les.Grober@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:Les.Grober@waterboards.ca.gov)><<mailto:Les.Grober@waterboards.ca.gov>>

CC: Rich Svindland

<[Richard.Svindland@amwater.com](mailto:Richard.Svindland@amwater.com)><<mailto:Richard.Svindland@amwater.com>>, Barbara  
Meister <[BMeister@mbayaq.org](mailto:BMeister@mbayaq.org)><<mailto:BMeister@mbayaq.org>>, John Narigi

<[jnarigi@montereyplazahotel.com](mailto:jnarigi@montereyplazahotel.com)><<mailto:jnarigi@montereyplazahotel.com>>, Mike

Zimmerman <[mzimmerman@canneryrow.com](mailto:mzimmerman@canneryrow.com)><<mailto:mzimmerman@canneryrow.com>>, Ron

Meer <[rmeer@domainepg.com](mailto:rmeer@domainepg.com)><<mailto:rmeer@domainepg.com>>, Stephanie Locke

<[s.locke@mpwmd.net](mailto:s.locke@mpwmd.net)><<mailto:s.locke@mpwmd.net>>, Bill Kampe () <[IMCEAINVALID-Bill+20Kampe+20+28+29@mpwmd.net](mailto:IMCEAINVALID-Bill+20Kampe+20+28+29@mpwmd.net)><<mailto:IMCEAINVALID-Bill+20Kampe+20+28+29@mpwmd.net>>,

'Dave Laredo'

<[dave@laredolaw.net](mailto:dave@laredolaw.net)><<mailto:dave@laredolaw.net>>, Brian LeNeve

(<[bjleneve@att.net](mailto:bjleneve@att.net)<<mailto:bjleneve@att.net>>)<[bjleneve@att.net](mailto:bjleneve@att.net)><<mailto:bjleneve@att.net>>,

[LarrySilver@earthlink.net](mailto:LarrySilver@earthlink.net)<<mailto:LarrySilver@earthlink.net>>

<[LarrySilver@earthlink.net](mailto:LarrySilver@earthlink.net)><<mailto:LarrySilver@earthlink.net>>,

'[jficker@calstrat.com](mailto:jficker@calstrat.com)<<mailto:jficker@calstrat.com>>'<[jficker@calstrat.com](mailto:jficker@calstrat.com)><<mailto:jficker@calstrat.com>>, 'Jonas Minton ([jminton@pcl.org](mailto:jminton@pcl.org)<<mailto:jminton@pcl.org>>)' <[jminton@pcl.org](mailto:jminton@pcl.org)><<mailto:jminton@pcl.org>>, 'David Beech ([dbeech@comcast.net](mailto:dbeech@comcast.net)<<mailto:dbeech@comcast.net>>)'<[dbeech@comcast.net](mailto:dbeech@comcast.net)><<mailto:dbeech@comcast.net>>

All,

In an attempt to address concerns raised by the attorney for the Sierra Club, we have made very slight changes to the text of our January 3rd proposal. Most of the clarification is contained in the footnotes and is intended to underscore the unlikelihood of significant water credit transfers from location to location and the inability to move water from jurisdiction to jurisdiction under existing rules. Redline and clean versions are attached.

Once again, I want to point out that all of this discussion is about a very small amount of water use capacity that has already been “in the system” at some point during recent years, hence not new water. Further, the District’s rules and regulations are designed to reduce consumption system-wide, ensure compliance with an overall Effective Diversion Limit, but to maintain a clear, well understood set of rules for local land use decisions.

At this time, the District does not intend to further modify its language on the community-based proposal, and we recognize that this version is consistent with the January 3rd proposal which has received an outpouring of support from many different stakeholders on the Monterey Peninsula.

Best regards,

Dave

---

David J. Stoldt  
General Manager  
Monterey Peninsula Water Management District  
5 Harris Court – Bldg G  
Monterey, CA 93940

831.658.5651